

October 21, 2026

Submission By: Dafna Tachover, Esq. (NY, Israel). MBA

Former Director of “Children’s Health Defence 5G” and Wireless Harms Project

Founder of “We Are The Evidence”

Response to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [Request for Information on Electromagnetic Fields \(EMFs\), Radiofrequency \(RF\) Radiation, and Wireless Radiation Exposure](#).

Worked alongside Robert F. Kennedy Jr. on Wireless Harms.

My name is Dafna Tachover, I am the attorney and advocate who engaged HHS Secretary Robert F. Kennedy Jr. to the issue of the adverse health effects of EMFs and RF radiation, ultimately convincing him that the proliferation of pulsed and modulated radiofrequency radiation has been a major contributor to our ongoing public health crisis. I met Secretary Kennedy in September 2019 at an environmental conference where we were both featured speakers. As a result of our discussion, Secretary Kennedy—who at the time served as the Chairman of Children’s Health Defense (CHD)—asked me to join the organization. In December 2019, I was appointed Senior Attorney and Director of CHD’s 5G and Wireless Harm Project. I worked for and with Secretary Kennedy until my resignation in October 2021.

We are all deeply grateful to HHS Secretary Robert F. Kennedy Jr. for directing the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to finally address the health implications of EMF/RF radiation with the seriousness this matter demands.

I am an attorney admitted to practice in New York and Israel (currently inactive), and I hold an MBA alongside a technology background gained during my service in the Israel Defense Forces (IDF). In 2009, after years of standard wireless technology use, I suddenly and without warning developed a severe intolerance to radiofrequency (RF) based technologies. I was subsequently diagnosed by three medical doctors with Microwave Sickness, also known as Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity (EHS). Following this initial injury from RF exposure, my physiological intolerance expanded to include lower-frequency electric and magnetic fields (EMFs), such as those emitted by standard, non-wireless electrical appliances. These environmental factors quickly made daily life intolerable.

For 12 years, I have conducted advocacy and legal work on behalf of the injured and in pursuit of policy change on EMF/RFs. While working with CHD, as well as through the organization I established, “We Are The Evidence,” I initiated three lawsuits (two in the US and one in Israel), filed amicus briefs in two US cases, supported attorneys on **numerous** cases both in the US and globally, and appeared as an expert witness.

Following my Supreme Court case in Israel, which challenged the installation of Wi-Fi in schools, advocated for wired infrastructure, and exposed widespread illnesses among children, the Israeli Ministry of Education enacted groundbreaking limitations on school Wi-Fi usage. When I was back in the US, I delivered hundreds of lectures across the United States, empowered grassroots movements, and lobbied at federal, state, and local levels and with State AGs.. Furthermore, I have provided multifaceted support for affected individuals including by educating their physicians, working to secure disability accommodations, particularly for children within the school system.

Driven by my personal experience with microwave radiation illness, a profound sense of injustice, and my extensive advocacy and legal work, I have developed a command of the relevant science and become familiar with many scientists and medical professionals working on this issue. Through this work, I have built and communicated with perhaps the largest network of adults and children adversely affected by this technology in the US and worldwide. Throughout this process, I have prided myself on doing my best to maintain the highest standards of factual accuracy, scientific integrity, and credibility.

During my tenure at CHD, I initiated two legal actions and filed one amicus brief. These cases along with their associated briefs, expert declarations, and underlying scientific studies and reviews, provide an invaluable resource for the HHS committee's review. Equally important, they contain personal testimonials from injured individuals, including children. Together, this comprehensive record offers irrefutable evidence of the profound harms caused by EMFs/RFs radiation.

The first case I initiated and led for CHD was the successful landmark case against the FCC, challenging the FCC’s 2019 decision affirming the adequacy of its obsolete RF guidelines for public health purposes. *Env’tl. Health Tr., et al v. FCC, Nos. 20-1025, 20-1138, 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 24138 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 13, 2021)*. I ask that the [files provided in this link](#) which are part of the case be part of the record of my submission to this docket. In support of our case, we submitted [11,000 pages of evidence](#) in 27 volumes.

I would like to direct this Commission especially to our [Reply Brief](#) in this case, as it effectively refutes critical arguments used by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to dismiss the existence of adverse effects from electromagnetic fields (EMFs) and radiofrequency (RF) radiation. The Reply Brief further supports the [Opening Brief](#) and is critical to a comprehensive understanding of the issues.

Our Reply Brief (pages 15-19) provides a common-sense, scientifically and medically grounded refutation of the FCC's obsolete assertion that non-ionizing, non-thermal RF radiation cannot cause biological effects or harm. The Reply Brief showed that “This assertion relies on outdated engineering assumptions” (not empirical evidence) that directly contradict established biological and medical consensus. **“Humans are bioelectrical beings. Our bodies utilize internally generated, non-thermal EMFs to function.** Consequently, exposure to external RF/EMFs can evoke profound **biological responses that are entirely independent of power levels or tissue heating.”**

A [2011 National Institutes of Health \(NIH\) Study](#) referenced in the Reply Brief soundly invalidates the FCC’s denial of non-thermal biological effects. Brain scans of 47 human participants revealed that non-thermal RF radiation from a cell phone induced significant biological changes in brain glucose metabolism in every single subject. These scans serve as irrefutable evidence of bio-effects that can ultimately progress to adverse health outcomes.

Moreover, the Reply Brief shows the FCC’s position cannot co-exist with modern medical practices, “where physicians routinely use FDA-approved, non-thermal pulsed EMF devices to treat complex diseases, bone fractures, and chronic pain.” If external RF/EMFs can be successfully harnessed for targeted therapeutic treatments, they undeniably possess the capacity to generate biological effects.

If an exposure can induce a positive biological change, it is scientifically axiomatic that it can also trigger an adverse one. Hence, the FCC’s assertions are obsolete and entirely inconsistent with current data. Furthermore, established science provides clear mechanisms of harm by which non-ionizing, non-thermal electromagnetic fields affect organic tissue. Prominent among these are oxidative stress mechanisms “that often lead to adverse health effects, disease and dysfunction.”

The Reply Brief further emphasizes evidence demonstrating that, just as in the case of EMF/RF-based medical treatments, **pulsation and modulation appear to be more bio-active and exert a greater impact on biological responses than power levels.**

The Reply Brief (pages 19-21) also exposes the deeply flawed and highly compromised nature of the industry-funded studies upon which the FCC relied to dismiss Electromagnetic

Hypersensitivity (EHS) and radiation sickness. A critical review of these studies demonstrates that they were structurally engineered to ensure negative results.

Ultimately, this Reply Brief is indispensable to a comprehensive, evidence-based understanding of these critical public health issues.

In addition, I recommend reading the [Petition for Review](#); and the Petitioners' Declarations including [My Declaration](#) on behalf of CHD which provides background about my sickness, activism and the widespread sickness I have encountered via my work. My declaration is included in this docket submission.

I urge the Commission to review the declaration of **Petitioner Dr. Paul Dart, MD**, an environmental medicine specialist who has hands-on experience with affected patients. Dr. Dart possesses deep expertise on this issue and previously evaluated scientific evidence to help establish local smart meter public policy. His declaration brilliantly refutes the FCC's arguments and is included in this docket submission.

Petitioner Dr. Toril Jelter, MD is a pediatrician specializing in autism and pediatric neurological conditions. Dr. Jelter details the scientific mechanisms behind the neurological effects of RF radiation on children and provides critical case studies from her clinic. Notably, her data demonstrates the RFs effects on her patients and immediate clinical improvements in children with such conditions after residential wireless devices were deactivated. This includes a case where a non-verbal 10-year-old child on the spectrum began speaking after wireless devices and Wi-Fi were turned off at home during the night for just two weeks. Her Declaration is included in this docket submission.

I also urge the Commission to review the declaration of **Petitioner Prof. David Carpenter, MD**, an internationally recognized public health expert and co-editor of the **BioInitiative Report**. This landmark report represents the most comprehensive evaluation of EMF/RF of the peer-reviewed scientific literature compiled by leading independent scientists worldwide. The report concludes that the biological and adverse health effects of EMF/RF exposure are well-established and widespread. His Declaration is included in this docket submission.

The Second case I initiated and led for CHD against the FCC challenged the amendment to the [Over-the-Air Reception Devices Rule](#) (OTARD) *Children's Health Defense v. Federal Communications Commission*, No. 21-1075 (D.C. Cir. Feb. 11, 2022).

Our campaign against OTARD started by filing a [22-page Ex-Parte Letter](#) on behalf of CHD under FCC Docket No. 19-71. A record **15,090 people joined CHD's** letter. A staggering **6,231 of them**

declared that wireless radiation had injured them and/or their children. The list of people and their comments is part of [my declaration](#), which was also filed in the FCC docket (included in this docket submission). In our [Main Brief](#) (included in this docket submission) we included **246 of their heartbreaking testimonials of sickness and death** from this radiation. The comments include **testimonials from parents who wrote about their children suffering from cancer, radiation sickness, and the aggravating effects of EMFs/RFs on their children's autism symptoms and seizures**. These testimonials are titled '[OTARD Main Brief – Addendum Record Reference T2](#),' and are attached to this docket submission.

The OTARD case included an expert **Declaration from Prof. Beatrice Golomb MD PhD**, from the University of California San Diego School of Medicine. Prof. Golomb has a BS in physics, a PhD in Biology and is an MD who worked as a physician. Her work in various areas has led to changes in military policy, and drug regulation/labeling. Her research lab focuses on conditions and exposures that are tied to “Oxidative Stress” (OS) and impaired “mitochondrial” function which were shown to be associated also with EMF/RFs exposure and sickness. A paper she authored was the first to prove based on compelling evidence that the “mystery illness” of US and Canadian diplomats in Cuba and China (and elsewhere) was, based on compelling evidence, caused by pulsed RF/MW. Her Expert Declaration is included in this submission. Her paper analyzes in detail on the science on EMF/RFs and the evidence for Electro-Sensitivity / Radiation Sickness including the established mechanisms of harm. Her Declaration is included in this docket submission.

Another important declaration that was attached is by **Prof. Riina Bray, MD, BASc, MSc, FCFP, MHSc**, who leads the largest government hospital clinic specializing in diagnosing electro-sensitivity. The diagnosis guidelines she developed have been used by physicians globally, and are based on the knowledge she and the seven other doctors in the clinic have accumulated over the past 23 years seeing hundreds of patients with electro-sensitivity. Her declaration is included in this submission.

My third submission while at Children's Health Defense (CHD) was an [amicus curiae](#) brief on behalf of CHD, which was joined by 80 safe-technology and environmental organizations in the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania. This brief challenged a [2008 Act](#) that mandated smart meters and denied disability accommodations to individuals suffering from Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity (EHS). *Povacz v. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission* (commonly cited as *Povacz II*), 280 A.3d 975 (Pa. 2022).

The Amicus Brief included an expert **Physicians Statement** signed by **57 physicians who combined have over 3,000 patients suffering from electro-sensitivity** and/or “other conditions aggravated by EMF/RFs exposure. The physicians explain recent medical developments,

diagnosis guidelines and the effects of smart meters and other wireless devices on their patients.” This statement is attached to this docket submission.

The Amicus Brief also included an expert **Scientists Statement** signed by **19 scientists with expert knowledge of pulsed EMF/RFs effects. Combined these scientists published hundreds of studies on RF/EMF effects and reviewed thousands.** “They explain recent developments and the scientific evidence as it applies also specifically to smart meters. They emphasize that RF based technologies including smart meters generating pulsed EMF/ RFs are a significant harm agent.” This statement is attached to this docket submission.

The **Building Biology Institute (BBI)** also filed a statement explaining that its mission is to “help meet the ever-increasing public demand for proven methods that secure homes, schools, and workplaces from toxic indoor air, tap-water pollutants, and hazards posed by electromagnetic fields (‘EMF’) and radiofrequency radiation (‘RFR’).” BBI experts work with injured individuals to mitigate EMF/RF exposure in their homes. They document the severe adverse health effects that wireless technologies—including smart meters—can cause, as well as the significant health improvements observed once radiation sources are mitigated and smart meters are removed. This statement is attached to this docket submission.

After leaving CHD, I discontinued my advocacy efforts. It became clear to me that our successful challenge of the FCC guidelines was the last meaningful legal action I could take, and that for the most part, the public prefers to “take its chances” and utilize cognitive dissonance rather than take the risks seriously—especially considering the FCC continues to falsely claim this technology is safe. Because it was also apparent that the FCC could simply ignore the court's decision, I felt I had no other meaningful course of action. We may have won the battle, but we had lost the war. It seemed to me that the only impactful change would have to come through the political arena. Even at that time, I knew our only real chance would be if Robert F. Kennedy Jr. decided to enter politics. When he later chose to run for president and eventually joined the Trump administration as HHS Secretary, I became hopeful.

After leaving CHD, and considering what seemed to me like a dead end, I decided to shift my efforts to research ways for people to heal from the adverse health effects of EMF/RFs emissions. I was able to significantly improve my own health and took efforts to educate others.

I still consider myself an “affected” person, and I often feel the radiation and its impact, but it is far from the extreme reactivity I experienced in the past. Today, I am able to live “in” society. I do use a cell phone—as it is nearly impossible to navigate the modern world without one—however, I strictly limit my usage. I disable most of the antennas on my phone and never use Wi-Fi or Bluetooth. At home, I rely entirely on wired Ethernet rather than Wi-Fi, I buy older

televisions instead of “smart” TVs, and I drive an older vehicle. Essentially, I do my best to minimize my daily exposure.

Following family members' health challenges and the complex geopolitical events in Israel since October 7, 2023, I have lived in Israel for the past 20 months. Like most homes here, my residence features a reinforced safe room (shelter), which to a large extent blocks external RF sources. Using this room as my bedroom, allows me to get a good night's sleep fully protected from RFs. Implementing these rigorous measures is what ultimately helps me maintain my health and ability to function despite my reactivity.

Every day I see the sickness created by EMF/RFs. Witnessing the surge in chronic illness around us comes as no surprise to those who understand the science of EMFs and pulsed-and-modulated RFs. Since wireless technology and cell phones were commercialized in 1996, our environmental exposure to its bio-active radiation has grown exponentially. Whether acting as a direct cause or a compounding factor, pulsed and modulated RF radiation provides a highly plausible explanation for the dramatic rise in various conditions like autism, neurological disorders, fibromyalgia, MS, anxiety, ADHD, dementia, infertility, and various types of cancers.

For example, over the past few years, a wave of alarming reports has highlighted a sharp rise in early-onset colorectal cancer, making it a leading cause of cancer death in young people. Media headlines like *"Rectal cancer deaths rising rapidly among millennials"* from [NBC News](#) and *"Rectal cancer is striking earlier and killing faster"* from [eCancer Medical Science](#) reflect this shift. Data published in *JAMA* (2026) confirms this trend, noting that incidence rates have risen by nearly 3% annually in adults aged 20 to 49, nearly doubling since the 1990s. The report highlights a massive demographic shift: about 45% of new colorectal cancers now occur in adults younger than 65, up from just 27% in 1995.

While mainstream medicine remains puzzled as to the cause of this increase, those who are aware of the science on radiofrequency (RF) radiation—including independent experts—identify a clear culprit: pulsed and modulated RF radiation from wireless technology. In the case of rectal cancer, the specific culprit is the cell phone. Landmark [National Toxicology Program \(NTP\)](#) research, backed by the [National Institutes of Health](#), linking cell phone radiation to various types of cancer. Furthermore, according to a [Statement Dr. Linda Birnbaum](#), the Director of the National Institute of Environmental and Health Sciences (“NIEHS”) from 2009-2019, filed via and Amicus, it is now established that RF/EMFs have the potential to cause cancer in humans (Dr. Birnbaum’s Statement in an Amicus is attached). Given the universal habit of carrying smartphones in back pockets, these devices place continuous, localized radiation directly against the rectum. As the [Environmental Health Trust](#) notes, this constant exposure provides a highly logical explanation—and a potential primary culprit—for this young-adult epidemic.

This is not an isolated trend. In my declaration for the OTARD case, I list several additional such conditions. But the evidence has been ignored. However, ignoring the science and the obvious doesn't change the facts; it merely amplifies the scale of the crisis.

The reason scientific evidence linking wireless radiation to adverse health effects has been ignored is due to systemic regulatory capture within the FCC, as documented in the Harvard University Center for Ethics report, [Captured Agency - How the Federal Communications Commission Is Dominated by the Industries It Presumably Regulates](#)" This contention is strongly supported by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit's [decision](#) in the Children's Health Defense case, which ruled that the FCC failed its legal duties by ignoring thousands of pages of scientific evidence. I recommend [listening to the hearing](#) to capture the Court's outrage with the FCC and the FDA (you can also [read the transcript](#)). The agency's prolonged failure to properly address the court's remand further underscores its disregard for public safety and its intent to sideline existing science in order to prioritize commercial industry expansion.

The "no evidence" false narrative has been systematically perpetuated by the wireless industry also via creating influential global bodies, including the **World Health Organization EMF's Project (WHO)**, the **International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP)**, and influencing the **International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)**. A definitive account of how this industry-driven fraud was established is thoroughly exposed by **Professor Lennart Hardell** in his landmark paper, '[World Health Organization, radiofrequency radiation and health - a hard nut to crack](#)' (*Int J Oncol*, 2017). As one of the world's foremost epidemiologists specializing in radiofrequency radiation and oncology, Dr. Hardell meticulously documents the institutional bias and conflicts of interest that have compromised global safety standards."

As the numerous testimonials provided in this docket submission indicate, an epidemic scale of children and adults suffering and dying from various conditions associated with EMF/RFs exposure are paying the price for over three decades of unprecedented neglect. At best, this represents a monumental failure of public health and safety; at worst, it points to an orchestrated fraud perpetrated against the public by the very agencies entrusted with their protection.

Many individuals suffering from electromagnetic field and radiofrequency radiation exposure have been forced to abandon their homes, effectively becoming refugees with nowhere to go. Countless others remain unaware that wireless radiation causes or exacerbates their sickness, or that reducing exposure could dramatically improve their condition.

Because wireless technology is so deeply embedded in modern society, linking these invisible frequencies to physical symptoms is incredibly difficult for the average person. Compounding this challenge, medical professionals—frequently unfamiliar with the relevant science and

misled by the FCC's outdated safety standards—routinely misdiagnose these patients. Consequently, victims are often denied effective treatment and instead subjected to harmful, inappropriate medical interventions. When patients do make the connection, their symptoms are frequently dismissed as psychosomatic due to cognitive dissonance and media or medical echo chambers claiming "there is no evidence." This systemic failure destroys the health, lives, and financial stability of affected families, and **it must be urgently corrected.**

This submission and the underlying administrative record contain an abundance of both robust scientific and empirical human evidence. We trust that this inquiry by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) will review this evidence with strict objectivity.

To that end, I strongly urge the HHS to consult and interview independent medical doctors and scientists who possess specialized expertise on this issue and are completely free from conflicts of interest.

This is a highly complex, technical area of science with an extensive history. It demands a profound understanding of competing scientific issues across physics, biology, and technology. It requires familiarity with industry funding practices, sophisticated corporate methods of research manipulation regarding the various biological effects associated with EMF/RFs, and potential conflicts of interest among researchers. Furthermore, it demands a comprehensive grasp of the intricate mechanics behind various wireless technologies (such as cell phones, cell towers, Wi-Fi, and Smart Meters), the specific biological impacts associated with each, and the clinical manifestations and onset of electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS) and radiation sickness and experts who have technical understanding on how to mitigate EMFs to help those who are impacted reduce exposure which is currently the most effective way to reduce symptoms.

I especially recommend to consult the following experts:

1. Prof. Beatrice Golomb MD, PhD - See above. Her Expert Declaration is included in this submission.
2. Prof. Riina Bray MD, BASC, MSC, FFCP, MHSC. See above. Her Expert Declaration is included in this submission.
3. Prof. Martha Herbert, MD PhD - a Pediatric Neurologist and a Neuroscientist. She is highly regarded for her pioneering "whole-body" approach to autism spectrum disorders. She serves as an assistant professor of neurology at Harvard Medical School and as a pediatric neurologist at Massachusetts General Hospital. Her investigation of possible association between EMF/RFs exposure and the autism epidemic resulted in a profoundly important, landmark paper with 500 references. Consequent to her findings,

she has become an outspoken critic of the FCC Guidelines and an expert on the biological effects of EMF/RF radiation, focusing on its neurological impacts on children. Furthermore, Dr. Herbert is one of the authors of the authoritative **BioInitiative Report**.

4. **Dr. Paul Dart MD** - (See above). His Declaration is attached.
5. **Dr. Ron Melnick PhD** - Leading scientist for the NTP studies regarding EMF/RFs cancer link and DNA damage.
6. **Prof. Paul Heroux PhD**
7. **Dr. Joel Moskowitz, PhD**
8. **Brian Hoyer, EMF/RFs Mitigation Expert, FNTP**, Founder of Shielded Healing and The Shielding Shop. Brian Hoyer is an esteemed certified functional nutritional therapy practitioner, certified geobiologist and serves on the Board of the Building Biology Institute. With a deep understanding of the detrimental effects of modern electromagnetic environments, Brian embarked on a mission to recreate healthier environments. Backed by extensive scientific research, Brian has seamlessly merged the realms of EMF and the medical wellness industry through his groundbreaking initiative in founding his company Shielded Healing. The impact of Shielded Healing has been widely recognized, attracting notable individuals as well as professional athletes, and celebrities who have found solace in Shielded Healing's EMF solutions. He has been closely collaborating with functional and environmental medicine doctors, hospitals, and clinics worldwide, devising effective EMF shielding strategies and building protocols to significantly reduce patients' exposure to harmful EMFs. He and his team of EMF mitigation experts at Shielded Healing spends 90% of the time helping the average family create safe healing spaces in their home with affordable solutions that are proven to reduce EMF radiation. Brian also founded The Shielding Shop with the goal of creating technology that respects human biology. He's heading up a team that is reverse engineering common everyday household gadgets to transform the most common EMF stressing devices found in homes into EMF free versions that will promote health and well being.

The Duty to Truth Regardless of Consequences: I understand the complexities of addressing this issue at a time when wireless technology and its radiation are deeply embedded in every facet of daily life. However, this committee must evaluate the scientific data and human evidence of harm independently of the logistical challenges of our current reality. Practical obstacles do not diminish the committee's fundamental moral obligation to provide the public with the unvarnished truth they deserve.

Once a thorough, unbiased investigation by experts is conducted, the evidence will undoubtedly dictate the following conclusions and actions:

1. **Establishment of Harm:** There is clear scientific and empirical human evidence of harm caused by EMF/RF-based technologies.
2. **Obsolete FCC Guidelines:** The FCC's thermally based guidelines are obsolete, unscientific, fail to protect public health, and must be immediately overhauled.
3. **Pulsation and Modulation Underlying Bio-Effects:** The primary drivers of these biological effects are the pulsation and modulation of frequencies utilized in modern wireless technology, which generate low-level external EMFs that directly disrupt the body's internal bioelectrical systems.
4. **Identified Mechanisms of Harm:** Clear and established biological mechanisms of harm exist, most notably oxidative stress pathways.
5. **Electrosensitivity / Microwave Sickness is a real condition** caused by exposure to EMF/RFs with established guidelines for diagnosis and treatment.
6. **Accommodation of Affected Individuals:** Immediate regulatory steps must be taken to formally acknowledge and accommodate individuals who have already been medically injured.
7. **Public Health Warnings:** As an immediate preventative measure, the public must be formally warned of the risks associated with EMF/RF-based technologies to allow individuals to proactively reduce their daily exposure.
8. **Cell Tower Siting Regulations:** New, protective regulations regarding the geographical placement and proximity of cell towers must be adopted.
9. **Incentivizing Safer Technology:** Wireless technology companies must be structurally encouraged to develop safer devices characterized by lower radiation output and less bio-active modulations.
10. **Promotion of Wired Infrastructure:** The deployment and implementation of secure, hard-wired technology infrastructure must be actively prioritized and encouraged over wireless alternatives.
11. **Medical Education:** Physicians must be systematically educated on this clinical topic so they can properly inform their patients and evaluate the role of environmental RF radiation in complex patient conditions.

Many years ago, I learned about the life and work of the British abolitionist and statesman **William Wilberforce**, whose enduring legacy has inspired my own advocacy. I find it most fitting to close this submission with his timeless words:

"You may choose to look the other way, but you can never again say you did not know."

Respectfully,

Dafna Tachover

List of Documents Attached to my Docket Submission:

1. Dafna Tachover Esq. Submission to HHS Docket
2. Dafna Affidavit - FCC Guidelines
3. Dafna Tachover OTARD Docket Comment + List of 15,090 joiners
4. Dafna Affidavit - OTARD Case

5. FCC Guidelines - Petition for Review
6. FCC Guidelines - Opening Brief
7. FCC Guidelines - Reply Brief
8. FCC Guidelines - Dr. Paul Dart, MD Declaration
9. FCC Guidelines - Dr. Toril Jelter, MD Declaration
10. FCC Guidelines - Prof. David Carpenter, MD Declaration
11. FCC Guidelines - Amicus Brief with Statement from Dr. Linda Birnbaum, Director of the National Institute of Environmental and Health Sciences ("NIEHS") from 2009-2019

12. OTARD submission - Ex-Parte Letter
13. OTARD - 246 Comments
14. OTARD - Main Brief
15. OTARD - Beatrice Golomb Declaration
16. OTARD - Riina Bray Declaration

17. Smart Meters - Amicus Brief
18. Smart Meters - Building Biologists
19. Smart Meters - Scientists Declaration
20. Smart Meters - Doctors Declaration