

October 19th, 2026

Dear Secretary Kennedy,

With numbers identified below on your questions that I am answering in this submission, I am Charles Frohman, a consumer, a patient (with a state utility medical exemption), a family member and a healthcare professional (via [registration](#) as a health freedom lobbyist and [licensure](#) health insurance agent). I act as an individual in this comment.

3. Despite receiving in 2022 a lawful medical exemption [offered](#) by my state electrical utility monopoly (for [immune endocrinology](#)), I along with a dozen other Virginia families [were threatened](#) with electricity disconnection if we stopped insisting on a safe meter (i.e., connected via ethernet and acting in an analog fashion). Several households went for weeks and months without heat, showing intercession is needed by the Health and Human Services Department to protect Americans from industry abuse, including via uncompensated taking of health and property value - as well as from radiation trespass committed by smart meter-using neighbors.

As for evidence of the danger of wireless radiation, Dr. Lai, emeritus member of the International Commission on the Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Fields (ICBE-EMF), in a 2026 review of over 3,000 wireless radiation studies since 1990, [found](#) harm in over 70% of such studies - starting with DNA damage but also genetic, neurological and reproductive injuries. For the 30% of studies that fail to find definitive wireless radiation harm, here's how industry-funded scientists [commit](#) scientific fraud. The U.S. government [has known](#) for over 50 years that wireless radiation is linked to 23 chronic diseases but has failed to protect the public from chronic wireless radiation exposure.

This same taking and trespass occurs from unwanted cell towers being deployed ever closer to our bedrooms, kids' schools, shopping areas, where we work and in nearby parks - including the soccer fields where my son's friends practice every week. I also suffer wireless radiation harm from the unavailability of new cars [without](#) the mesh of unwanted sensors. Every kid at school is addicted to cell phones and when watching tablets in bed have it placed right on their torsos!

As for future research or surveillance, no wireless equipment should be deployed [without an infrastructure](#) of monitoring of power and emissions, as well as an updated FCC radiation exposure limit that accounts for the results of this HHS process. Research should stem from

examination of wireless radiation on the electro-magnetic performance of our very cells, and the [impact](#) of DNA agitation on downstream chronic disease state. Also, synergy [with other](#) environmental insults should be emphasized.

5. The existing exposure standards that agencies should consider when evaluating the adverse effects of radio frequency/electromagnetic fields (RF/EMF) are ones that should be considered negatively. Thus, please respond to the [conclusions](#) of the International Commission on the Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Fields (ICBE-EMF). These eminent scientists argue the

“...Federal Communication Commission (FCC) and ICNIRP (International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radio Protection) limits, based on science from the 1980s – before cell phones were ubiquitous – do not adequately protect workers, children, people with electromagnetic hypersensitivity, and the public from exposure to the non-ionizing radiation from wireless data transmission.”

They urge “...an **independent** assessment is needed...to establish health protective exposure standards for workers and the general population.”

n. The regulatory changes that would improve the assessment, disclosure, and regulation of wireless radiation exposure would start with the independent assessment recommended above, as well as monitoring of individual wireless device output as mandated [by P.L. 90-602](#).

HHS's independent project should include an investigation into [Havana Syndrome](#), and the extent to which governmental bodies are implicated in the criminal targeting of dissidents.

9. Before completion of HHS' study and input on FCC's exposure limit update, Americans should be warned IMMEDIATELY to study prudent mitigation behaviors, and to pass state laws like that which [almost passed](#) in New Hampshire. Cell phones and towers should be banned from schools and hospitals. Notice should be communicated to all voters on how to upgrade pulsed, microwave devices [with LiFi](#), in conjunction with wiring to the last mile and within structures. Farmers should be educated on risks, not just benefits, of Precision Agriculture - including [negative impacts](#) on yield, profits and security.

10. In addition to the antenna output monitoring recommended above, HHS should set up an adverse event reporting system to allow the 1/3 of sensitive Americans to submit evidence that HHS should use annually to update exposure limits and implement P.L. 90-602 safety testing for ALL wireless equipment nationwide.

11. For the 5% of Americans not only sensitive to wireless radiation but actually injured (or disabled), they need compensation for the trespass of unavoidable radiation from their neighbors' meters and nearby towers and small cells. Public housing should be built and identified as wireless-free.

17. Cooperation with the Department of Transportation should begin to produce new cars without wireless radiation exposure. The Commerce Department should consider the desire of smart families for non-smart appliances, and Housing and Urban Development should encourage developers to consider low-wireless housing projects. USDA should realize Precision Agriculture informed consent needs to include the risks, not just the claimed benefits, of microwave ecology (on yield, profit and security).

Thank you Secretary Kennedy for promising to end the government's dangerous and capricious treatment of radio frequency microwave radiation.

Sincerely,

Charles Frohman

Health lobbyist & insurance agent