Why FCC and ICNIRP Limits are not protective and conflicts of interest in science

Alster, N. (2015) *Captured agency: How the Federal Communications Commission is dominated by the industries it presumably regulates*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University. Available at: https://ethics.harvard.edu/files/center-for-ethics/files/capturedagency_alster.pdf

Hardell, L. (2017) 'World Health Organization, radiofrequency radiation and health—A hard nut to crack', *International Journal of Oncology*, 51(2), pp. 405–413. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2017.4046

Hardell, L. and Carlberg, M. (2020) 'Health risks from radiofrequency radiation, including 5G, should be assessed by experts with no conflicts of interest', *Oncology Letters*, 20(4). Available at: https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2020.11876

Hardell, L. and Carlberg, M. (2021) 'Lost opportunities for cancer prevention: Historical evidence on early warnings with emphasis on radiofrequency radiation', *Reviews on Environmental Health*, 36(4), pp. 585–597. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2020-0168

Hardell, L., Nilsson, M., Koppel, T. and Carlberg, M. (2021) 'Aspects on the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) 2020 Guidelines on Radiofrequency Radiation', *Journal of Cancer Science and Clinical Therapeutics*, 5(2), pp. 250–285. Available at: https://www.fortunejournals.com/articles/aspects-on-the-international-commission-on-nonionizing-radiation-protection-icnirp-2020-guidelines-on-radiofrequency-radiation.pdf

Huss, A., Egger, M., Hug, K., Huwiler-Müntener, K. and Röösli, M. (2007) 'Source of funding and results of studies of health effects of mobile phone use: Systematic review of experimental studies', *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115(1), pp. 1–4. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1797826/

International Commission on the Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Fields (ICBE-EMF) (2022) 'Scientific evidence invalidates health assumptions underlying the FCC and ICNIRP exposure limit determinations for radiofrequency radiation: implications for 5G', *Environmental Health*, 21, 92. Available at: https://ehjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12940-022-00900-9

Jeschke, P., Alteköster, C., Hansson Mild, K., Israel, M., Ivanova, M., Schiessl, K., Shalamanova, T., Soyka, F., Stam, R. and Wilén, J. (2022) 'Protection of workers exposed to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields: A perspective on open questions in the context of the new ICNIRP 2020 guidelines', *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10, 875946. Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2022.875946/full

Lin, J.C. (2023) ‘Incongruities in recently revised radiofrequency exposure guidelines and standards’, *Environmental Research*, 222, 115369. Available at: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36706903/

Lin, J.C. (2025) ‘Health and safety practices and policies concerning human exposure to RF/microwave radiation’, *Frontiers in Public Health*, 13, 1619781. Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/public-health/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1619781/full

Marino, A.A. and Carrubba, S. (2009) ‘The effects of mobile-phone electromagnetic fields on brain electrical activity: A critical analysis of the literature’, *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 28(3), pp. 250–274. Available at: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3109/15368370902918912

McCredden, J.E., Weller, S. and Leach, V. (2023) ‘The assumption of safety is being used to justify the rollout of 5G technologies’, *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 1058454. Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1058454/full

Nordhagen, E.K. and Flydal, E. (2022) ‘Self-referencing authorships behind the ICNIRP 2020 radiation protection guidelines’, *Reviews on Environmental Health*. Available at: https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.1515/reveh-2022-0037/html

Nyberg, N.R., McCredden, J.E., Weller, S.G. and Hardell, L. (2022) ‘The European Union prioritises economics over health in the rollout of radiofrequency technologies’, *Reviews on Environmental Health*. Available at: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36129168/

Nyberg, R., McCredden, J. and Hardell, L. (2023) ‘The European Union assessments of radiofrequency radiation health risks—another hard nut to crack’, *Reviews on Environmental Health*. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2023-0046

Pascual, G.D. (2013) ‘Not entirely reliable: Private scientific organizations and risk regulation—the case of electromagnetic fields’, *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, 4(1), pp. 29–42. Available at: https://www.uv.es/gadopas/2013.Not.Entirely.Reliable.pdf

Prasad, M., Kathuria, P., Nair, P., Kumar, A. and Prasad, K. (2017) ‘Mobile phone use and risk of brain tumours: A systematic review of association between study quality, source of funding, and research outcomes’, *Neurological Sciences*, 38(5), pp. 797–810. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28213724

van Nierop, L.E., Röösli, M., Egger, M. and Huss, A. (2010) ‘Source of funding in experimental studies of mobile phone use on health: Update of systematic review’, *Comptes Rendus Physique*, 11(9–10), pp. 622–627. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1631070510001465

Vanheste, T. and Lambert, E. (2020) *The International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection: Conflicts of interest, corporate capture and the push for 5G*.

Available at: https://klaus-buchner.eu/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/ICNIRP-report-FINAL-JUNE-2020-2.pdf

Weller, S. and McCredden, J.E. (2024) 'Understanding the public voices and researchers speaking into the 5G narrative', *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 1339513. Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/public-health/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1339513/full