

Document Download

 FCC%20COMMENT%2...

ID	1052368522666
Name of Filer	Joyce Fong
Type of Filing	COMMENT
Viewing Status	Unrestricted
Total Pagecount	1
Date Received	May 26, 2020
Address	747 Leonard St
State	OR

Proceeding	OET 19-226
Filing Status	DISSEMINATED
Date Posted	May 26, 2020
City	ASHLAND
ZIP	97520

The FCC's repeated refusal to consider biological effects from exposure to all types of wireless radiation shows a clear and dangerous intention to disregard the emerging science which must inform its decisions regarding public health.

The agency's reliance on organizations of physicists and engineers for advice on human exposures has resulted in a sole focus on "thermal" effects, despite thousands of published, peer-reviewed studies showing biological harm, even at non-thermal levels, for various frequency ranges.

The FCC's own admission that it is unaware of any other types of effects demonstrates a failure to actively investigate the issue by proactively engaging with the scientific community studying the short and long-term biological impacts of exposure to humans and possible interference with systems of the natural world. Failure to seek out and consider the latest science makes decisions of the FCC suspect.

Moreover, the complex comment process used by the FCC virtually ensures that most commenters will be those who follow the activities of the agency closely and have a financial interest in the agency's decisions.

I am personally extremely sensitive to wireless and there is no safe haven for people like me. I do not own a home because it ties me down to a potentially compromising location; I can not go to certain areas of town--restaurants, shopping, etc. because of wireless intensity. I am now a recluse and use shielding on a constant basis. Of course, people in the commercial wireless industry dismiss my experience, but it is real.