Document Download

FCC%20comments.pdf ... 41%2FFCC%2520comments.pdf)

ID	104300515413041	**Proceeding**	OET 19-226
Name of Filer	Raymur Rachels		
Type of Filing	COMMENT	**Filing Status**	DISSEMINATED
Viewing Status	Unrestricted	**Report Number**	9043862356
Total Pagecount	2		
Date Received	Apr 29, 2020	**Date Posted**	Apr 30, 2020
Address	3811 MCGIRTS BLVD	**City**	JACKSONVILLE
State	FL	**ZIP**	32210

- I believe that the tinnitus that I have been suffering from for roughly the last year is due to my exposure to EMF. We have taken measures in my house to minimize our exposure, but given the microwave soup surrounding us, it is difficult to escape.

- It is totally irresponsible that the FCC has deemed it unnecessary to update the exposure limits which have been in place since 1996. We all KNOW it is damaging, yet industry has allocated ZERO dollars to safety testing. That said, hundreds of independent studies show damage on multiple levels.

- I also object to the denial of non-thermal effects; the use of averaging to take measurements instead of peaks which cause effects; the idea that for very high frequencies little penetration equals little harm; the idea that harm to the skin is no harm; the erroneous FCC focus on power and heating versus pulsation and modulation which are the determining factors of biological effects.

- I object to the fact that SAR which looks at radiation absorption in the human body will NO longer be used as with under 6GHz. Instead, Power Density will be used which focuses on emission from devices and antennas which allows for higher exposures than SAR.
- I object to the assumption that without any safety testing that below 6GHz is currently safe; therefore above 6GHz all the way up to 3,000 GHz is also safe-wow.

- I believe these new rules will violate Americans with Disabilities Act or ADA and FHAA or Federal Fair House Amendments Act

- I have witnessed how the FCC is captured by industry. The FCC is unqualified to assess the value and usefulness of these FCC comments. The FCC is not qualified to determine exposure levels because lack health and safety dept and staff with degrees and specialized training in biological sciences. The FDA lacks expertise and safety testing to make this determination. Advice from FDA faulty because Jeffrey Shuren MD, Director of Devices and Radiological Health at FDA GROSSLY conflicted with his wife Alison Shuren partner at law firm Arnold & Porter who has handled more than $200 billion worth of business for AT&T. He should recuse himself rather than lead the way as he is now saying our existing guidelines are

adequate and there are no nonthermal biological and health effects to prevent.

- Children are more vulnerable to EMF exposure and the impact on their developing brains and bodies has been disregarded.