

<https://www.regulations.gov/comment/AHRQ-2025-0001-0970> [July 2025]

to: Honorable Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., Secretary, United States Department of Health and Human Services.

Thank you for attempting to bring the federal government to care about the effects of electromagnetic fields and electromagnetic signals upon the health, and well being, and quality of life, of citizens.

In July 2025, I participated in your Public Comment period concerning any HHS regulations that need review or change. Please, I welcome your sincere follow up with that. I hope that you have reached out to have a diverse panel of ordinary citizens who reviewed those Public Comments, and developed a report for you as to some (many) issues, in their opinion, where Policy Changes in HHS policies would simply make the U.S. as good a nation as we can be.

Question 2.: I am writing to you in my capacity as one of the (300 to) 500 to 2000 or more complaining victims of the widely alleged illegal U.S. Government program of Ongoing non-consensual human testing, which subjects individual victims, to day and night, very well-developed, advanced-technology, electromagnetic-signals monitoring and harassment/assault of the human body and brain.

The well developed, real time, detailed, brain and full body neurological monitoring is now about 35 old, since about 1990 - 1991 (or perhaps a little earlier).

In particular, I am a victim of interactive, day and night, monitoring and harassment for over 36 years.

You should understand that because this technology is so detailed, and so well developed, it is likely that the U.S. government possesses the technology to perform measurements of the impact of electromagnetic fields upon at least the human brain and the human neurological system.

This is tax-payer paid for technology, and the public, and members of the Public, should benefit from it being used FOR THE GOOD.

Further, you should realize that it is likely possible to decode what sorts of electromagnetic fields or electronic signals, would have more interference with a particular human's brain, and neurological system, than other field or signals which would have significantly less interference with each particular, unique, individual human being.

Question 5: n. re: Gaps in Knowledge;

It is wonderful for HHS to have a Request for Information to attempt to hear from many citizens regarding the adverse effects of EM fields and EM signals upon human health and well being.

The government needs to develop very large or even 'Big' data, to better be able to study this matter.

1) there are many breakthroughs in science that come from advances in measurement, and/or the availability of newly advanced measurement and sensing devices. There needs to be an infrastructure of equipment, and standards/protocols for using such equipment.

2) very importantly, we need to collect all sorts of well quantified data; [even definite values for 5 of 100 variables has value; if you can develop a massive data set;]

3) government should not be 'in control' of the government's response. Just like after the Tuskegee Experiment scandal, nationally prominent persons [from multiple fields] were 'put in charge' of what the federal HRP [human research protections] guidelines should be. Even like NIH funding proposal review panels are staffed by non-government medical experts. But the expertise to 'run the show' here needs to be wide, and 'without fear' for 'professional consequences'.

5 p. All of the above. If you don't try to quantify EM exposures of all sorts, then you/we will be without the data that might be able to help scientists to partly quantify possible 'part-cause and effect'.

7. As noted above at my Question 2. response, measurement methods are needed: equipment; and, procedures/protocols for using specific equipment to take measurements;

9. The federal Government should open up all information about EM activity within the U.S., across all geographic areas (maybe except military installations), and across time (all days, across many years),

11. Everyone should be protected. But children and pregnant women merit extra protection.

13. Wrong question. Gather data on the entire EM environment.