

Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84 to FCC by Shane Gregory

Current FCC guidelines for radio frequency radiation allow for extraordinarily high levels of exposure. The FCC is not qualified to set guidelines and should, instead, rely on the recommendations of unbiased medical and science professionals who do not have ties to the telecommunications industry. Findings should be based on science and not on financial reward. Medical and science professionals who work for and/or who stand to profit from the telecommunications industry should be excluded from comments.

Further, the testimony of people who suffer from the biological effects of radio frequency radiation and/or the parents and/or guardians of minor children should be taken in account.

I began to suffer from debilitating health effects directly attributable to exposure to pulsed non-ionizing radio frequency radiation originating from wireless routers and cell towers in 2010. My symptoms include headaches, heart palpitations, sleep disturbances, difficulty concentrating, and feelings of agitation when exposed to levels as low as 100 micro watts per square meter.

In 2011 I became ill when Burbank Water and Power deployed smart meters throughout the city. I had made my home in Burbank for 24 years but found it necessary to move out of the city due to the health effects from which I began to suffer.

I have had to move 3 times in 3 years due to intolerable symptoms due to RFR. I currently live in a shielded environment in order to avoid symptoms. Recently the wireless router and DECT phone belonging to a neighbor in the multi-family dwelling in which I live made it necessary to shield the common wall in order to lower the levels of RFR down from 2450 microwatts/square meter to levels that I can tolerate (approximately 5 microwatts per square meter).

I do not have equal access to public buildings, businesses, recreational facilities, schools, theaters, airplanes, trains, restaurants, libraries, or entire cities that have city-wide WiFi because I suffer from a constellation of symptoms that make it impossible for me to tolerate many environments.

I am not alone in my reaction to pulsed non-ionizing radio frequency radiation. I believe that the current levels that are deemed acceptable by the FCC, IEEE and other similar organizations are extremely hazardous and do not take into account the impressive body of literature that is growing daily.

The FCC is charged with the task of setting standards. As such, it must look to true unbiased science, epidemiology, and the testimony of those who are being sickened by RFR exposures, putting aside the concerns of the corporations and other entities that seek to profit from the proliferations of technology that employs RFR.

For assistance with using ECFS, please contact the ECFS Help Desk at 202-418-0193 or via email at ECFSHelp@fcc.gov.

Filing Detail

Document Download

[7520940736.pdf](#)

ID	6017465384	Proceeding	ET 13-84
Name of Filer	Shane Gregory		
Type of Filing	COMMENT	Filing Status	DISSEMINATED
Viewing Status	Unrestricted	Exparte/Late Filed	N
Total Pagecount	2		
Date Received	Sep 2, 2013	Date Posted	Sep 3, 2013
Address	5151 1/2 Cartwright Avenue	City	North Hollywood
		State	CA
ZIP	91607		

For assistance with using ECFS, please contact the ECFS Help Desk at 202-418-0193 or via email at ECFSHelp@fcc.gov.

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554
Phone: 1-888-225-5322
TTY: 1-888-835-5322