The FCC notes that there is a likelihood of new developments—both in basic research and in new health standards—and that it therefore may "revisit this matter in other proceedings."

EPA-FCC RF Survey in Spokane, WA

The EPA–FCC survey of RF radiation—primarily from AM radio transmitters—in Spokane, WA, has been released. In general, the field levels were far below the 1982 American National Standards Institute (ANSI) guidelines, though the report acknowledges that "levels far below the ANSI guide can cause annoying RF shock/burns."

At the front of a school close to KGA, a 50 kW AM station, the team found fields of 13.9 V/m and 39.7 mA/m. Inside the room closest to the transmitter, the electric field measured 28 V/m, with higher levels (92-190 V/m) near fluorescent lights. The magnetic fields in that room were generally less than 50 mA/m, but larger fields (470 mA/m) were identified near metal fixtures and ground wires.

After the school was built, complaints about RF burns and shocks led to the installation of a metal roof to limit the electric fields inside the classrooms.

KGA has also been at the center of a lawsuit: in 1986, Thomas DiLuzio alleged that long-term exposure to AM radiation from the station caused his wife to develop a terminal case of multiple myeloma, a cancerous proliferation of blood plasma cells that is similar to leukemia (see *MWN*, S/O86). Robert Greer of the Spokane law firm of Feltman, Gebhardt, Eymann & Jones, which is representing DiLuzio, said that the case is still pending.

A copy of *Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields and Induced Currents in the Spokane, Washington Area, June 29-July 3, 1987* (EPA/520/6-88/008, June 1988) is available from: Ed Mantiply, Office of Radiation Programs, EPA, PO Box 98517, Las Vegas, NV 89193, (702) 798-2440.

Navy Selects Ocean Site for EMPRESS II

Following years of controversy, the U.S. Navy is using its second Electromagnetic Pulse Radiation Simulator for Ships (EMPRESS II) in the Atlantic Ocean instead of the Chesapeake Bay (see *MWN*, 084, N84, J/F87, M/A87 & M/J88). Testing began in early June.

The EMP simulator is operating in international waters in the Virginia Capes (VACAPES) Operating Area, approximately 15 miles offshore from Currituck Light, NC. In a statement published in the June 1 *Federal Register* (53 *FR* 20083), the Navy stated that neither the VACAPES area nor the Chesapeake site would cause an adverse environmental impact. The ocean site was selected because it "can be utilized to test deep draft ships which do not have unrestricted access to the Chesapeake Bay" and because it "presents minimal conflict with other users in the area."

EMPRESS II will be in operation during the months of June through August. When in use, the Navy will enforce an exclusion zone with a radius of two miles, from the ocean surface to an altitude of 6,000 feet.

With respect to the EMPRESS I facility at the Solomons Annex of the Patuxent River Naval Air Station, MD, the Navy scheduled a public meeting for August 9 to review its environmental assessment of the simulator's continued operation. For more information on the meeting, contact: Diane Palermo, Code C12, Naval Surface Warfare Center, White Oak Lab, Silver Spring, MD 20903, (301) 394-2865.

CONFERENCES

New Listings

1988

October 5-7: **IEEE International Carnahan Conference on Security Technology**, Lexington, KY. Contact: Louise McGill, Conference Secretary, IEEE International Carnahan Conference, PO Box 23961, Lexington, KY 40523, (606) 223-8580.

October 6-7: **International Conference on Microwavable Foods**, The Swiss Grand Hotel, Chicago, IL. Contact: Robin Geary, Conference Manager, Schotland Business Research, Inc., Princeton Corporate Center, 3 Independence Way, Princeton, NJ 08540, (609) 520-0100.

1989

January 29-February 3: **Winter Meeting of the IEEE Power Engineering Society**, Penta Hotel, New York, NY. Contact: IEEE Society Special Services, 345 East 47th St., New York, NY 10017, (212) 705-7895.

March 19-23: **37th Annual Meeting of the Radiation Research Society (RRS)**, Westin Hotel, Seattle, WA. Contact: RRS, 1101 Market St., Philadelphia, PA 19107.

March 27-30: **INTERMAG '89 Conference**, Mayflower Hotel, Washington, DC. Contact: Diane Suiters, INTERMAG '89, 655 15th St. NW, Suite 300, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 639-5088.

March 29-30: **IEEE AESS National Radar Conference**, Sheraton Park Central Hotel, Dallas, TX. Contact: IEEE AESS Radar Conference, Attn: Russell Logan, PO Box 1000-262, McKinney, TX 75069.

April 4-7: **6th International Conference on Antennas and Propagation**, University of Warwick, U.K. Contact: Institution of Electrical Engineers, Savoy Place, London WC2R 0BL, U.K., (01) 240-1871, ext. 222.

April 24-28: **International Conference on Radar**, Versailles, France. Contact: International Conference on Radar, 11 rue Hamelin, F-75783 Paris Cedex 16, France, (1) 45 05 71 69.

ELF NEWS

« Power Line Talk »

Participants at the May 1988 International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) **meeting on ELF fields and cancer**—most of whom are planning or are now engaged in epidemiological studies—agreed to standardize study designs to aid the comparison of results (see *MWN*, M/A88). In this way, IARC's Dr. **Michel Coleman** told *Microwave News*, it should be easier to reach a consensus on the health risk. Specifically, there was general agreement on malignancies to be studied (leukemia and brain tumors); on how they will be defined (histology and International Classification of Diseases–Oncology); and on confounding variables (ionizing radiation and toxic chemicals). Progress was also made on standardizing exposure assessment, though this was more difficult in the absence of a well-defined mechanism of action. A summary report on the meeting has been submitted for publication.

«« »»

The International Radiation Protection Association's International Non-Ionizing Radiation Committee (**IRPA/INIRC**) is revising downward its **guidelines** for public and occupational exposures to power line **electric and magnetic fields**. In May 1987, the committee issued a draft proposal which specified permissible exposures of less than 5 kV/m and 2 G (200 μT) for the public and 10 kV/m and 50 G (5 mT) for workers—for both groups, higher values would have been allowed for short periods of time (see *MWN*, M/A88). Australia's Dr. **Michael Repacholi**, the new chairman of IRPA/INIRC, says that a final draft should be completed by the end of September. When asked what the new values would be, he said that he would prefer to wait until the draft was finalized before citing any specific numbers.

«« »»

After 39 years with GE, Dr. **Don Deno** has retired to concentrate full time on his business, **Electric Field Measurement Co.**, based in West Stockbridge, MA. He said that he is planning to introduce a number of "exciting new products" in the fall. Deno is facing increasing competition. In our last issue, we mentioned the new meter being marketed by **Integrity Electronics & Research Co.** of Buffalo, NY. Now **Holaday Industries,** which already has a major share of the meter market at RF/MW frequencies, has a new survey meter for power line fields. The HI-3600-02 can measure both electric and magnetic fields: 1 V/m–200 kV/m for electric fields and 0.01 mG–2 G for magnetic fields. The unit costs $995. You can also buy the 50/60 Hz sensor separately ($475) and use it with Holaday's VDT survey meter. (Contact: Holaday Industries, Inc., 14825 Martin Dr., Eden Prairie, MN 55344, (612) 934-4920.)

«« »»

Florida watchers: The earliest that power line **siting rules** could be in place is January 1, according to Buck Oven of the state's Department of Environmental Regulation (DER), and he is quick to add that the date is "very optimistic." The 500 kV Lake Tarpon–Kathleen transmission line has been approved by the state siting board—made up of the governor and his cabinet. The latest draft of the state power line rules, dated July 6, cites specific EMF limits for that line: 1.56 kV/m and 160 mG. Oven explained that the magnetic field value applies for maximum loading conditions, which can occur only 15 hours a year. Otherwise, the magnetic field will be 37 mG at the edge of a 100-foot right-of-way. As the rule development process continues, applications for new lines continue to be received by the DER, Oven said.

«« »»

The new popular interest in power line safety in the U.K.—in large part prompted by a BBC documentary—has led the **Central Electricity Generating Board** (CEGB) to issue pamphlets on the health effects of EMFs. In one of these ***Power Points,*** the CEGB comments: "All available worldwide evidence has been reviewed by a number of national and international bodies, including the World Health Organization, and the Industrial Advisory Council...in Britain. Their unanimous conclusion is that no risk to public or occupational health has been demonstrated."

«« »»

Australia's 220 kV line between Brunswick and Richmond has not been canceled as we reported in our March/April issue (see also *MWN*, J/A86), according to Peter Wallace of the **State Electricity Commission of Victoria** (SECV). Instead, a review panel, chaired by David Scott, Victoria's Environment Commissioner, has been set up to consider the proposed line as well as other options. Scott was also a Community Commissioner of the SECV, but he has stepped down until the review is completed. Construction of the transmission line is on hold until the panel issues its report. The panel will make sure that interested groups have the resources to participate. One such association, **Powerline Action,** an umbrella organization of community groups and residents based in Melbourne, plan to monitor the work of the panel. Meanwhile, the new analysis by Dr. Nancy Wertheimer and Ed Leeper of Dr. Richard Stevens's epidemiological data has been picked up in the Australian press. It was the lead article in the June 27 issue of *Electricity Week*, a publication based in Sydney, and was featured in a number of newspapers.

«« »»

It's official—Drs. **Richard Stevens** and **David Savitz's epidemiological studies** are in print: *American Journal of Epidemiology, 128*, pp.10-20 and 21-38, respectively. The New York State Power Lines Project (NYPLP) has issued a supple-

ment to Savitz's original report which presents some additional methodological details not contained in the published paper; these include analyses of traffic density and wire coding. This new Savitz report, which includes a copy of the published paper, is available for $22.50 from: Charlene McAuliffe, NYPLP, School of Public Health, Corning Tower, Room 2517, Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY 12237. Allow 2-4 weeks for delivery and make checks payable to *Health Research Inc.*

«« »»

EPRI has again expanded its staff working on power line health research. Dr. **Leeka Kheifets** has joined the institute's radiation studies program, where she will oversee epidemiological studies on both power line EMFs and ionizing radiation.

«« »»

From 1987 to 1993, Canada's **Ontario Hydro** will contribute $4.5 million (Canadian) to a $7.1 million program assessing the risks associated with EMFs. Speaking at the Bioelectromagnetics Society meeting in June, Hydro's Murray Walsh said that the utility is negotiating with the Royal Society of Canada to act as the Scientific Review Committee "to establish credibility for the program." Of the $7.1 million, $2.8 million has been allocated to occupational epidemiology and $1.3 million to animal carcinogenesis. Ontario Hydro's position is that biological responses to EMFs have been identified, but that no human health risk has yet been established, Walsh said. Consequently, there have been no changes in operating or design practices.

Correction on New Zealand Cancer Study

Dr. Neil Pearce has issued a correction to his 1985 survey of leukemia in New Zealand electrical workers (see *MWN*, My85). Pearce now reports that the odds ratios for "radio/television repair" workers and for "electricians" are 8.17 and 4.75 respectively—both are statistically significant. He notes, however, that the causes of the increased risks "remain unclear."

Pearce, who is at the Department of Community Health at the Wellington School of Medicine in Wellington, New Zealand, previously had reported an odds ratio of 8.17 for "electronic equipment assemblers," but this was actually the odds ratio for radio/television repairmen. The assemblers, in fact, did not have an elevated incidence of leukemia. The "electricians" category was omitted in the 1985 table.

Pearce's correction appears in the July 2 issue of *The Lancet* (p.48). The original survey was published in the April 6, 1985 issue of *The Lancet* (pp.811-812).

Sleeping with EMFs

Electric Blankets Shift Menstrual Cycles

Sleeping with an electric blanket may affect menstrual cycles in women, according to the interim results of a new study by Dr. Bary Wilson of Battelle Pacific Northwest Labs in Richland, WA. Wilson believes that this previously undetected effect may be mediated by the pineal gland.

The study, which he presented in June at the *Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics Society* in Stamford, CT, revealed "dramatic" changes in the cycles of those using AC-powered electric blankets.

"It's an observation at this point," Wilson told *Microwave News*, "but if confirmed, this will be the first indication that the mechanisms for pineal effects in animals may also be at work in humans."

Earlier Battelle studies have shown a link between electric field exposures and changes in pineal rhythms in rats (see *MWN*, M/J88). Melatonin, which is produced and secreted by the pineal gland, plays a key role in hormonal cycles associated with menstruation and reproduction in women.

Wilson collected urine samples from 33 women three times a week and tested them for a melatonin metabolite. In addition, a clinical psychologist monitored and assessed the participants' general mood. The results of the melatonin experiments are still incomplete; only 40% of the data had been analyzed by the time of the presentation. No statistically significant changes in mood and affect were observed. Wilson plans to follow up the study with detailed analyses of the menstrual cycle changes. The work is being sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI).

CA Study Finds No Leukemia Risk

There is no increased risk of myelogenous leukemia among adults who use electric blankets in Los Angeles county, according to a new study by a research group at the Department of Preventive Medicine at the University of Southern California School of Medicine in Los Angeles.

The study, which was published in the most recent issue of *Bioelectromagnetics* (*9*, pp.207-213, 1988), estimates that general electric blanket use increases overall electric and magnetic field exposures by 36% and 82%, respectively. Typical field strengths were 40 V/m and 24 mG.

Dr. John Peters and co-workers suggest that the hypothetical link between electric blanket use and leukemia should now be tested in an area "with a harsher climate."

The work was supported by grants from the American Cancer Society and the National Cancer Institute.

EMF Exposure and Control

• EPRI is funding a pilot study on the prevalence of electric blanket use among a group of 4,000 pregnant women. The objective of the study is to determine the feasibility of study-

ing the growth and development of children exposed to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) *in utero*. The eight-month effort, which is in progress, is headed by Dr. Michael Bracken of the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health at the Yale School of Medicine in New Haven, CT. EPRI is providing $80,000 for the project, which is part of a larger study on the effects of tobacco smoke supported by the National Institutes of Health.

• In a technical report directed at waterbed heater manufacturers, Ed Leeper outlines some cost-efficient methods for minimizing the generation of magnetic fields. The report, *Possible Inexpensive Modifications of Waterbed Heaters to Reduce AC Magnetic Field Production*, argues that magnetic fields can be easily reduced—by 95% or more. For a copy of the report, contact: Ed Leeper, Salina Star Route, Boulder, CO 80302, (303) 442-3773.

TX Supreme Court Refuses To Review Klein Decision

On July 6, the Texas Supreme Court refused the Klein Independent School District's request for a review of the state court of appeals' decision to overturn a $25 million judgment against Houston Lighting & Power (HL&P).

The Klein–HL&P suit, arguably the most famous power line case ever litigated, was filed after HL&P built a 345 kV transmission line across school property without obtaining proper permission. A jury ordered HL&P to pay Klein $25 million in punitive damages and to either move the line or pay tens of millions of dollars to move nearby school buildings (see *MWN*, N/D85). The utility subsequently moved the line at a cost of $8.6 million and appealed the damage award. Last year, the court of appeals struck down the $25 million award (see *MWN*, N/D87), and Klein in turn appealed the reversal.

According to Harris Leven, an HL&P attorney, the utility's liability is limited to the value of the easement while its power line was on Klein property—$104,000 plus interest, as determined by the original trial court.

On July 21, Dixon Montague of the Houston law firm of Vinson & Elkins filed a new motion with the state supreme court for a rehearing. "It's not over yet," he told *Microwave News*. He said that the issue of punitive damages "should be addressed, and it is distressing that [the judges] do not want to." He acknowledged that if the court refuses, the case would be over.

Montague said that the supreme court is now on its summer break and the earliest his motion could be considered is in mid-September.

Eastern European Literature on ELF Bioeffects

Identifying and locating papers from Eastern European countries on the biological effects of electromagnetic fields has always been difficult and time consuming. Now, the Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS) has made it easier with a comprehensive bibliography—*Biological and Health Effects of Extremely Low Frequency (ELF) Fields: A List of Eastern European Literature.*

The 43-page guide, which features hundreds of references, was assembled by the center's Andrew Cutz and Dr. Karel Marha. Most of the citations are to papers from the 1970s and 1980s—a few date to the 1950s and 1960s.

Copies are available for $10.00 from: Chief Accountant, CCOHS, 250 Main Street East, Hamilton, Ontario L8N 1H6, Canada. Only prepaid orders will be accepted. Make checks payable to the *Receiver General for Canada* and cite order No.B88-1E.

NY Power Authority Prepares for $60 Million Trial

In preparation for the $60 million Marcy–South suit beginning September 8 (see *MWN*, M/A87 & M/J88), the New York Power Authority (NYPA) on June 1 filed testimony with the New York State Court of Claims from seven scientists on the health effects of electromagnetic fields (EMFs). These experts, who are unanimous in their finding of no significant effect, have not previously done research on the biological effects of EMFs.

• Dr. Stuart Aaronson, chief of the laboratory of cellular and molecular biology at the National Cancer Institute (NCI) in Bethesda, MD, reports that "there is no scientific basis for concluding that [EMFs] induce any consistent effects on cell growth properties...associated with the acquisition of malignant properties."

• Dr. Richard Bockman, a researcher and attending physician at the Memorial Sloan–Kettering Cancer Center in New York City, states that "no endocrine or metabolic disorders can be demonstrated in animals or humans exposed to EMFs at the levels generated by electric power lines."

• Dr. Roswell Boutwell, a professor in the Department of Oncology at the University of Wisconsin in Madison, concludes that "there is no scientific basis for the assertion that power frequency EMFs are either cancer initiators or promoters."

• Dr. E.A. Egan, a professor of pediatrics and physiology at the University at Buffalo, NY, "conclude[s] with confidence that EMFs associated with electric power generation, transmission and use have had no ill effects on reproduction or prenatal development."

• Dr. Lucius Sinks, a specialist on pediatric cancer at the NCI, reports that "after consideration of genetics, hematology, immunology and epidemiology taken as a whole, it is my opinion that there is no causal relationship between exposure to power frequency EMFs and childhood cancer."

• Dr. H.S. Terrace, a professor at Columbia University in New York City, concludes that "the hundreds of studies...on the behavioral properties of EMFs...have failed to uncover any persuasive evidence that such fields have any psychological significance."

• Dr. Margaret Tucker, an epidemiologist at the NCI, sums up recent research as having yielded "no persuasive scientific evidence of increased risk of cancer in children or adults from exposure to power frequency EMFs."

The Washington, DC, law firm of Crowell & Moring worked with the NYPA in assembling the expert testimony.

For more information, contact: Kathleen Ryan, NYPA, 10 Columbus Circle, New York, NY 10019, (212) 397-7410.

NEW BOOKS

Selected Reviews

Martin Blank and Eugene Findl, editors, **Mechanistic Approaches to Interactions of Electric and Electromagnetic Fields with Living Systems**, New York, NY: Plenum Press, 1987, 444 pp., $85.00.

Papers on mechanisms can be tough to get through. If you have had trouble, this collection makes the task easier. Blank and Findl gave the contributors enough space to put their ideas into a larger context, allowing them to connect ideas from a number of different studies and thereby helping the reader to get a better understanding of what they are proposing. All the major theories that seek to explain EMF interactions are here—from A(dey) to Z(on). This text is a welcome addition to the literature.

Jonathan M. Charry and Robert I. Kavet, editors, **Air Ions: Physical and Biological Aspects,** Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Inc., 1987, 240 pp., $132.00.

According to one contributor to this review, after decades of studies on whether air ions—for instance from DC power lines—have any health effects, the controversy "is as lively today as it was 20, 30 or 40 years ago." Nevertheless, at the end of his own 50-page chapter, replete with detailed tables, Charry concludes that, while ions can have biological and behavioral effects, they "are for the most part small in absolute magnitude" and "are for the most part transient." The nine chapters in this volume, most of which were written with the support of the EPRI and the DOE, have a huge number of references—one suspects every paper ever written on air ions is included. Unfortunately, two different footnoting systems are used, often making it difficult to find the desired citation.

Andrew A. Marino, editor, **Modern Bioelectricity,** New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1988, 1,136 pp., $149.75 (U.S. and Canada).

Marino has assembled a most useful collection of papers by some of the leading members of the international research community. Of special note are the contributions by Szmigielski (Poland), Shandala (U.S.S.R.) and Smith (U.K.). Szmigielski's chapter is the first published report of his epidemiological studies documenting an increase in cancer among members of the Polish military exposed to RF/MW radiation (see *MWN*, Mr85 & J/F87). Information on Soviet power line health research is very rare, making Shandala's contribution all the more welcome. And Smith's experiments on EMF effects under conditions of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) prompted a lot of interest when they were first published in 1983, and the new details presented here are the first to emerge since then (see *MWN*, O83). In his introductory overview, Becker writes that, "Bioelectricity...provides us with a totally new scientific paradigm by which to understand the basic physiological mechanisms occurring within living organisms...." The 27 papers written for this book go a long way toward elucidating that paradigm.

Sol M. Michaelson and James C. Lin, **Biological Effects and Health Implications of Radiofrequency Radiation,** New York, NY: Plenum Press, 1987, 675 pp., $79.50.

This book is testimony to the fact that the thermalists have not given up. As the authors conclude: "Most of the experimental data support the concept that the effects of microwave exposure are primarily, if not wholly, a response to hyperthermia or altered thermal gradients in the body." But this view is at the cost of passing over or ignoring the key experiments that now dominate the field. Guy's $5 million long-term exposure study is not mentioned, nor are Szmigielski's papers on co-carcinogenicity of MWs with benzopyrene. In fact, the whole cancer issue is dismissed in one short paragraph. The permeability of the blood-brain barrier is assumed to be due to increased blood flow (see p.2 of this issue), and Thomas's landmark MW-Librium synergy paper gets only one sentence. Kues's studies on the eye and Gandhi's work on low-frequency shocks and burns are not cited. Even the chapter on standards is clearly out of date: The 1986 NCRP report is ignored—the only post-1980 references made are to IRPA (1981) and ANSI (1982).

Mary Ellen O'Connor and Richard H. Lovely, editors, **Electromagnetic Fields and Neurobehavioral Function,** New York, NY: Alan R. Liss, Inc., 1987, 416 pp., $74.00 (***Progress in Clinical and Biological Research,*** Volume 257).

Each paper in this collection, which grew out of a meeting held in Corsendonk, Belgium, in the summer of 1984, received two independent reviews. Both ELF and RF/MW frequencies are covered by experts specializing in thermal and non-thermal effects. For instance, Lotz and Saxton report on their experiments indicating that the thermoregulatory response of Rhesus monkeys exposed to 225 MHz radiation cannot fully redistribute the heat load, even in a cool environment. And on the other hand, Adey and Blackman each put forward a multi-step mechanism to explain their well-known calcium efflux results. Also of special interest are the papers by Albert, Monahan, O'Connor, Semm and Shandala.

Michael I. Sobel, **Light,** Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press, 1987, 263 pp., $29.95.

Sobel, a professor of physics at Brooklyn College in New York City, has written a highly informative yet readable account of everything related to light. His elegant explanations of blackbody radiation, mirages, holograms, microscopes, black holes—to cite only a few examples—are science writing at its best. Even if you know why the sky is blue or why there is a flash of green at sunset, you will learn something new. Don't pass up this book.

NEW BOOKS

U.S. Department of Defense, **Soviet Military Power: An Assessment of the Threat 1988,** Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1988, 175 pp., $10.00 (Stock No.: 008-000-0488-9).
Tom Gervash, **Soviet Military Power*: *The Pentagon's Propaganda Document, Annotated and Corrected,** New York, NY: Vintage Books, 1987, 159 pp., $14.95.

Every year since 1981, the DOD has issued its assessment of the Soviet military. This year's report, like past ones, gives the Pentagon's view of the Soviet's RF/MW weapons program: "A ground-based [RF weapon] could be tested in the 1990s," and "Recent Soviet developments in the generation of [RF] energy could lead to fundamentally new types of weapon systems that could jam or destroy electronics equipment or be used in antipersonnel roles." Gervash, a freelance editor and writer, has issued a "corrected" version of the 1987 DOD report. He has reproduced the report in a reduced format and corrected every statement he believes is inaccurate. With respect to RF weapons, he writes: "Our research...started way before theirs and has progressed far beyond theirs. Little of promise has emerged." There is also a lot about Soviet radars in each of these volumes.

Recently Published

E.G. Bowen, **Radar Days,** Philadelphia, PA: Taylor & Francis, 1987, 231 pp., $28.00.

Jeremy Campbell, **Winston Churchill's Nap: A Wide-Awake Inquiry into the Human Nature of Time,** New York, NY: Touchstone Books (Simon & Schuster), 1988, 432 pp., $8.95.

Victor L. Granatstein and Igor Alexeff, editors, **High-Power Microwave Sources,** Norwood, MA: Artech House, 1987, 500 pp., $77.00.

A.P. Sakis Meliopoulos, **Power System Grounding and Transients,** New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1988, 472 pp., $99.75 (U.S. and Canada).

National Research Council, **Health Risks of Radon and Other Internally Deposited Alpha-Emitters (BEIR IV),** Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1988, 602 pp., $32.50 (paperbound), $42.50 (clothbound).

Philippe Robert, **Electrical and Magnetic Properties of Materials,** Norwood, MA: Artech House Books, 1988, 475 pp., $72.00.

Merrill Skolnik, **Radar Applications,** New York, NY: IEEE Press, 1988, 488 pp., $53.95 ($40.50 for IEEE members).

Fleur L. Strand, editor, **Fourth Colloquium in Biological Sciences, Blood-Brain Transfer,** New York, NY: The New York Academy of Sciences, 1988, 325 pp., Volume 529.

Forthcoming

Bertil R.R. Persson and Freddy Stahlberg, **Health and Safety of Clinical NMR Examinations,** Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Inc., November 1988, 208 pp., $99.50.

UPDATES

BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

Reviews of EMF Effects... Dr. Harry Brown and Swaraj Chattopadhyay of Rutgers University in New Brunswick, NJ, have written a comprehensive review article, "Electromagnetic-Field Exposure and Cancer," which appears in the May 1988 issue of *Cancer Biochemistry Biophysics* (*9*, pp.295-342). The review covers frequencies from ELF up to RF/MW and includes over 300 references. They conclude: "Animal carcinogenesis studies and human epidemiological data indicate that exposure to non-ionizing radiation can play a role in cancer causation." Brown does fundamental research in enzyme catalysis at the university's Department of Biochemistry. "A Review of Epidemiological Studies of the Health Effects of Living Near or Working with Electricity Generation and Transmission Equipment," by Dr. Michel Coleman of the International Agency for Research on Cancer in Lyon, France and Dr. Valerie Beral of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine in the U.K., appears in the *International Journal of Epidemiology* (*17*, pp.1-13, 1988). Though they cover a number of different types of health risks, their principal focus is on cancer and leukemia. Drs. Timothy Aldrich and Clay Easterly of the Oak Ridge National Lab in Tennessee also survey the ELF literature in "Electromagnetic Fields and Public Health," which is in *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *75*, pp.159-171, 1987. Their review has 133 references.

More on MW Accidents... In their January 15 letter to the *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)*, Drs. Mauricio Castillo and Robert Quencer describe the case of an F-16 pilot accidentally exposed to MW radiation with resulting short-term memory loss (see *MWN*, J/F88). Their letter prompted responses (June 3 issue of *JAMA*) from Dr. Samuel Forman and Dr. Milton Zaret, who have both previously written on MW effects. Forman, based in Cincinnati, OH, writes that he too has seen victims of MW exposure—with headaches, insomnia, photophobia and hypertension, attributable to post-traumatic stress disorder (see *MWN*, D84). Dr. Milton Zaret, an ophthalmologist based in Scarsdale, NY, well known for his work on the ocular effects of MW radiation, notes that chronic exposure to non-ionizing radiation can also cause reactions that appear only after a time delay. He proposes that the unexplained increase in breast cancer-related deaths among white women under the age of 50 may be related to exposures from microwave ovens and VDTs—both of which are usually operated at chest level. In their response, Castillo and Quencer report that approximately one year after his exposure, the F-16 pilot has made a complete recovery.

COMPATIBILITY & INTERFERENCE

Space Station EMC...NASA is in the midst of soliciting bids for ways of analyzing the EMC of its proposed space station. In the process, the contractor will develop computer-aided engineering software to predict EMI problems *before* they develop. NASA wants to avoid past approaches: either waiting for EMI to occur and then fixing it, or imposing rigid specifications that require wasteful over-engineering with no

guarantee of EMC. Bids on solicitation No. 1-8-EL-93085 were due at NASA's Marshall Space Flight Center in Alabama on August 12.

INTERNATIONAL

Changes at IRPA/INIRC... Dr. Michael Repacholi of the Royal Adelaide Hospital in Australia has taken over from France's Dr. Henri Jammet as the chairman of the International Radiation Protection Association's International Non-Ionizing Radiation Committee (IRPA/INIRC). Jammet has become chairman emeritus. Five new members have been appointed: Drs. Louis Court (France), Alastair McKinlay (U.K.), Michael Shandala (U.S.S.R.), Maria Stuchly (Canada) and Lazlo Szabo (Hungary). (At press time, Shandala and Szabo had not yet officially confirmed their appointments.) They join the following members who will continue to serve on INIRC at least until May 1992 when IRPA holds its 8th Congress in Montreal: Drs. Jürgen Bernhardt (F.R.G.), Branko Bosnjakovic (The Netherlands), Przemyslaw Czerski (U.S.), Martino Grandolfo (Italy), Bengt Knave (Sweden), David Sliney (U.S.) and Jan Stolwijk (U.S.). Drs. Dietrich Harder (F.R.G.) and John Marshall (U.K.) have retired from the committee. France's Annette Duchêne will continue as INIRC's scientific secretary. Dr. John Lakey of the Royal Naval College in the U.K. is the new president of IRPA.

MEDICAL APPLICATIONS

MRI and Eye Implants...A letter in the July 23 issue of *The Lancet* warns that magnetic eye implants can interfere with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), making it difficult to get an accurate reading of the scan. In addition, strong fields from MRI can displace the implant. Acrylic eye implants should be used in place of magnetic ones, recommend Drs. William Power and L.M.T. Collum of the Royal Victoria Eye and Ear Hospital in Dublin, Ireland.

PEOPLE

Dr. **William Rea**, a Dallas, TX, surgeon who specializes in allergies and clinical ecology with an emphasis on EMFs, has been awarded the U.K.'s first research chair in environmental medicine at the University of Surrey's Robens Institute. Initial funding—£2 million for ten years—is being provided by the Environmental Medicine Foundation. Rea has collaborated with Dr. **Cyril Smith** of the University of Salford and Dr. **Jean Munro**, medical director of the Allergy and Environmental Medicine Hospital in Breakspear College in Hertfordshire, who have shown that weak EMFs can cause allergic reactions that are frequency specific (see *MWN*, M/A87). Munro was formerly with the Environmental Medicine Unit at London's Lister Hospital. Rea will also continue as director of the Environmental Control Unit in Dallas....Dr. **Don Justesen** of the VA Hospital in Kansas City, MO, has been appointed the new editor of *Bioelectromagnetics*. NIEHS's Dr. **Don McRee**, who was originally slated to become the editor, will now be an associate editor....Dr. **Tom Tenforde** has left the Lawrence Berkeley Lab to become the chief scientist at Battelle Pacific Northwest Labs' Life Sciences Center in Richland, WA....Dr. **Joe Lary** is giving up his research position at NIOSH's labs in Cincinnati, OH, to join the agency's grants program in Atlanta, GA.

STANDARDS

LNLL RF/MW Limits... The Lawrence Livermore National Lab has adopted new exposure limits for RF/MW fields and radiation below 300 GHz. The standard appears to be the first to specify guidelines for pulsed EMFs. The LNLL limits for CW radiation are adapted from a recent draft of the ANSI proposal to revise C95.1–1982, according to LLNL's Gordon Miller. The standard also cites exposure limits for 3 kHz-300 MHz that are designed to protect against electric shocks when other safety precautions cannot control them. With respect to pulsed EMFs, two different sets of guidelines are specified, for fewer and for more than 13,000 pulses in an eight-hour shift—these are heavily annotated to address specific cases. Miller told *Microwave News* that the guidelines are probably "too complex for a general use standard." For a copy of Supplement 23.57 to the LLNL *Health & Safety Manual: Radiofrequency/Microwave Radiation and Fields*, issued May 1988, contact: Gordon Miller, LLNL, L-384, PO Box 5505, Livermore, CA 94550.

CISPR and IEC Draft Rules...CISPR has released a six-month draft rule amending CISPR Publication 16, Part I, *Specifications for Radio Interference and Immunity Measuring Equipment*, CISPR/A (Central Office) 47 Parts I & II ($70.00). And IEC's Technical Committee 77 has issued a draft amendment, *Electromagnetic Environment and Compatibility Levels For Low Frequency Conducted Disturbances and Signalling in Public Power Systems*, 77(Central Office)26-27, Parts I & II ($18.00). Copies of both are available (prepaid) from: ANSI, International Sales Department, 1430 Broadway, New York, NY 10018.

ETC...

Lightning Protection?... Lightning kills more Americans each year than any other natural phenomenon, yet there are no standard guidelines for lightning safety, complains an editorial in the July 25 *New York Times*. "Is there no one in Washington's health and science establishment capable of sorting out the confusion and establishing a standard doctrine on lightning safety?" The editorial was prompted by a rash of lightning deaths. The next day, Samuel Huntington, the CEO of New England Electric System, was killed by lightning in Aspen, CO.

"They've known since the early 1970s that EMP is potentially dangerous, but they never advised their personnel of the risk," said Michael Withey of Schroeter, Goldmark & Bender, a Seattle law firm which is representing Strom in cooperation with the Washington, DC-based Trial Lawyers for Public Justice.

According to the complaint, Boeing became aware of the potential health risk associated with EMP exposure when a cluster of leukemia and skin cancer cases developed among a small group of EMP technicians working at a Boeing test site in Montana. At a 1970 *Technical Coordination Conference on EMP Biological Effects* in Albuquerque, NM, Boeing and the others decided to proceed with their EMP research while monitoring the health of technicians. Those who were exposed were given medical exams, but were never told why: "The defendants...have exchanged medical information gathered from these examinations with one another, without the knowledge or consent of the subjects," the complaint charges.

Representatives from Boeing refused to comment on the case.

Strom worked inside a shielded room containing the EMP power supply and pulsers which, he said, were fired hundreds of times a day. "The pulsers were operated with no concern for safety other than high voltage shock hazard since Boeing... provided no information or protection against EMP radiation," Strom charged. In general, the work was characterized by "a lack of training, knowledge and safety information on radiation hazards and by poor engineering design," he said.

"They told me that EMP exposure was safe, but they knew that other Boeing EMP technicians had already gotten leukemia. Ultimately, I got it too," Strom told *Microwave News*.

In 1985, during one of his required company medical examinations, Strom was informed that he had an abnormally high white blood cell count. He was later diagnosed with chronic myelogenous leukemia which he was told gave him a life expectancy of 42 months. Strom was then 45 years old.

In addition to his lawsuit, Strom filed a workers' compensation claim in August 1986 which is still pending. Michael Welch of Tacoma, WA, is handling this claim.

A number of documents obtained by Strom's attorneys indicate the delicacy with which Boeing has handled the EMP hazard issue. A March 1987 Boeing memo—which concerns proposed topics and speakers for a meeting on EMP biological effects (see *MWN*, J/A87)—warns that some EMP health hazard questions could be "an embarrassment to...governmental clients and other attendees of the meeting." It also advises against inviting participants who could be "potentially disruptive."

One document of particular interest is a May 1987 report prepared by Dr. Ralph Coates—then an epidemiologist with Boeing Medical Services. The report, *Exposure to EMP Radiation and Risk of Leukemia and Lymphoma*; chronicles the medical testing of Boeing employees throughout the 1970s and describes four possible leukemia and/or lymphoma cases out of 350 potentially exposed EMP workers—when fewer than one or two cases would be expected in a population of that size during that period of exposure.

Coates, now an assistant professor at the Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics at Emory University in Decatur, GA, was reluctant to comment directly on the case, but in a telephone interview with *Microwave News*, he said: "There is enough information in the literature on electromagnetic fields to raise the leukemia question with EMP."

Montana Tests

From 1968 to 1972, Boeing—in conjunction with the U.S. Air Force—ran extensive EMP tests on outdoor missile sites in Montana. In his 1977 book, *The Zapping of America*, Paul Brodeur wrote that Boeing was aware as early as 1971 of two cases of leukemia and one case of skin cancer among a group of 17 technicians working at the site. A few years later, two other Montana workers developed leukemia and bladder cancer.

According to Strom's complaint, in September 1972, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) informed Boeing that "there was no justification for assuming that EMP was not a factor in the development of leukemias and skin cancers."

Several EMP technicians who worked in Montana later

EMP Bioeffects Review

A preliminary report on the biological effects of electromagnetic pulse (EMP) radiation concludes that current studies do not "establish that EMPs represent either an occupational or a public health hazard," nor do they indicate acute or short-term health effects.

But the survey, which was prepared by Drs. Tim Aldrich, Clay Easterly, Paul Gailey and Charles Hamilton for the U.S. Department of Energy, cautions that "the appearance that several experimental studies and considerable occupational experience have resolved the EMP health effects question is misleading." Indeed, the survey calls for "more research...to adequately characterize the physical interactions of EMP fields with the body," and states that the "potential for...health effects...will eventually have to be addressed more fully for EMP simulators."

The survey notes that AT&T Bell Labs is planning to raise its EMP exposure limit from 5 kV/m to 100 kV/m to match that of the U.S. Air Force; AT&T has no plans for medical surveillance of exposed workers.

A copy of *Bioelectromagnetic Effects of EMP: Preliminary Findings* is available from: National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. It includes a 31-page appendix with abstracts of EMP bioeffects studies. Aldrich and Easterly are with Oak Ridge National Lab, Gailey is with the EC Corp. and Hamilton is at the University of Tennessee's Department of Health.

told Strom that the leukemia victims had worked extensively in mobile trailers where the pulse tests were run; another EMP worker developed skin cancer on his hand after repeatedly placing it under the sensor to measure the electromagnetic field. Indeed, Strom recalled a co-worker in Seattle with skin cancer on his temple who held his ear next to the pulser during adjustments to hear it fire.

Montana technicians also revealed to Strom that many of them suffered emotional problems and personality changes; Strom said that he too was unaccountably irritable and depressed while working with EMP.

In the early 1970s, Boeing filed a petition with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) asking the agency to set a national EMP exposure standard. OSHA eventually denied the petition on the grounds that "the need for a standard...has not been shown" and that "the scientific information necessary for the development of a standard currently does not exist."

Without federal regulatory guidance, Boeing gradually relaxed its in-house standard; it went from 0.4 kV/m in 1970 to 1 kV/m and then to 5 kV/m over the next seven years. In 1977, the limit was raised to its present 50 kV/m, but Boeing is considering a further increase to match the Air Force's standard of 100 kV/m (see *MWN*, J/A87).

Confounding the issue, Strom also said that while working with the pulsers he was exposed to such an intense level of toxic gases—ozone, hydrogen sulfide and others—that he could identify them by smell. After his diagnosis, Boeing installed vented hoods over the pulser work area.

Brain Cancer *(continued from p.1)*

In a telephone interview, Milham commented that, "Overall, I can't think of any clear bias that would negate Speers's results."

A study by Dr. Terry Thomas of the National Cancer Institute in Bethesda, MD, also showed an association between brain cancer and electrical work, but she rejected EMF exposure as being the responsible agent (see *MWN*, S/O87).

In an editorial appearing in the same issue of the journal, Dr. Baruch Modan of the Department of Clinical Epidemiology at Tel Aviv University Medical School in Israel notes that the Speers, Lin and Milham studies, which may support a "true causal association," are weakened by methodological flaws, including: the lack of assessment of other toxic substances; the fact that other occupational groups (such as truckers) showed elevated risks; and the reliance on death certificates as the primary source of information.

Despite his reservations, Modan writes that "[Electromagnetic] energy, which is with us to stay, must be considered an environmental hazard and dealt with accordingly, until proven otherwise."

Speers's team targeted cancer deaths from 1969 to 1978 with positive diagnoses of primary malignant brain tumors. Although more than half of those initially identified were women, they were eliminated from the study because of the lack of occupational information on their death certificates.

The team grouped the mortalities by occupation and industry and found that, for those in the category of "transportation, communications and utilities occupations," the risk was more than twice the expected rate for unexposed workers, and was statistically significant.

Curiously, the team also found that the risk to workers in the trucking industry was more than six times the expected rate to unexposed workers. None of the other remaining occupational subgroups showed a similar risk elevation.

When asked about the possibility of citizens band (CB) radios being responsible for the increased risk, Speers said she didn't know and added that the trucking finding "should be replicated before too much is made of it." (Note: Many truckers use higher-than-allowed power outputs for their CBs and often place the antennas on the cabs above their heads.)

The team employed an index to estimate EMF exposures —the same one used by Lin in his study. When divided into exposure groups of "none, possible, probable and definite," the analyses yielded, "not only a significant elevated risk of brain cancer but also an increase in risk by probability of exposure." The risk increased from 115% in the possible exposure group (policemen, utility employees, mechanics, plumbers, steelworkers, carpenters and boilermakers) to 286% in the probable group (non-specified engineers in electrical, electronics, aerospace and telecommunications industries). As for the definite group (electric and telephone company employees, electricians and electronic, railroad and telecommunication engineers), there were six cases of brain cancer as compared to none among the controls. This increase was statistically significant at the 0.009 level.

With respect to confounding factors, such as chemical exposures, the team was unable to identify any potential chemical carcinogens for brain cancer associated with electrical work. The team did not, however, discount the possibility that unknown variables—diet, alcohol consumption and tobacco use—played a role.

Marjorie Speers et al., "Occupational Exposures and Brain Cancer Mortality: A Preliminary Study of East Texas Residents," *American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 13*, pp.629-638, June 1988; Baruch Modan, "Editorial: Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields and Brain Malignancy: A Newly Discovered Menace?" *Ibid.*, pp.625-627.

MICROWAVE NEWS is published bimonthly • ISSN 0275-6595 • PO Box 1799, Grand Central Station, New York, NY 10163 • (212) 517-2800 • Editor and Publisher: Louis Slesin, Ph.D.; Associate Editors: Jennifer Goren, Sarah Verdone; Contributing Editor: Mark A. Pinsky; Copy Editors: Peter Pullman, Ann Hornaday; Intern: Fiona Greaves • Subscriptions: $250.00 per year ($285.00 Canada & Foreign, U.S. funds only); single copies: $50.00 • Copyright © 1988 by Louis Slesin • Reproduction in any form is forbidden without written permission. • We invite contributions to *From the Field*, our column featuring news and opinions from the non-ionizing radiation community. Letters from readers are also welcome.

CLASSIFIEDS

RICHARD TELL ASSOCIATES, INC.

RADIOFREQUENCY RADIATION and POWER FREQUENCY FIELDS CONSULTING SERVICES

- RF Radiation Hazard Measurements
- Electric Power Transmission Studies
- Environmental and Industrial Evaluations
- Training Seminars

RICHARD A. TELL, PRESIDENT

702-645-3338 • 6141 W. RACEL ST., LAS VEGAS, NV 89131

VDT NEWS

"Recognized for its authority on health and safety issues"
— *Fortune* Magazine (August 29, 1988)

1-year subscription (6 bimonthly issues) for $87.00; $97.00 Canada & Foreign.

To order: *VDT News*, PO Box 1799, Grand Central Station, New York, NY 10163, (212) 517-2802.

Order Microwave News

[ ] 1-year subscription (6 bimonthly issues) for 250.00; $285.00 Canada & Foreign.

[ ] 6-month trial (3 bimonthly issues) for $130.00; $150.00 Canada & Foreign.

Send to *Microwave News*, PO Box 1799, Grand Central Station, New York, NY 10163, (212) 517-2800.
[U.S. Funds Please]

Name _____
Institution _____
Address _____
City _____
State _____ Zip _____

HAZARDOUS RADIO FREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION:
Evaluation, Control, Effects and Standards

Course No. 588DC—November 2-4, 1988 in Washington, D.C. Fee: $815

The rapidly increasing number of RF electromagnetic radiators such as radars and high-power radio broadcast and television stations, the large number of microwave ovens and other consumer and medical RF-generating devices in use, and the increased number of industrial RF applications constitute potential environmental, occupational, and public health hazards. The risks of exposure to intense RF fields for sensitive electronics equipment, ordnance devices, fuels, and people are examined. National defense, security, and law enforcement needs and public demand for increased consumer conveniences suggest that exposure to RF fields will probably increase. The transmission of solar power using EM fields is one of many possible future techniques that may appreciably increase the levels of environmental RF.

The presentation provides broader knowledge and understanding of the sources of RF electromagnetic fields, their transmission and interaction mechanisms, how to detect and quantify the fields, and how to protect equipment and people from their effects. The presentation is basically descriptive, with minimal use of mathematics. Examples of actual RF field surveys, investigation of human potential overexposures, and typical problem solving are provided.

The course is designed for managers, scientists, physicians, attorneys, engineers, industrial hygienists, environmental specialists, security and law enforcement personnel, electronic systems planners, and equipment operators in government, industry, and academe who need better working knowledge of hazardous radio frequency (RF) electromagnetic radiation, its effects, and the means of protecting against it. The course should be especially valuable to members of the medical electronics profession, manufacturers of portable and hand-held radio equipment, and users of test and industrial electronics equipment.

Instructors: Dr. Bernhard E. Keiser and Dr. Zory R. Glaser.



GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

For further information, contact Shirley Forlenzo:
(202) 994-8530
Toll Free (800) 424-9773 (USA)
Toll Free (800) 535-4567 (Canada) **Telex: ITT 4992135**

The George Washington University
Continuing Engineering Education Program
Washington, DC 20052

GW is an equal opportunity institution

MICRO WAVE NEWS

Vol. IX No. 4 | A Report on Non-Ionizing Radiation | July/August 1989

INSIDE...

HIGHLIGHTS pp.2-5

USSR-UK Dispute over Embassy MWs
"Maze" and Mind Control: A Roman à Clef?
VDT Reproductive Risks Study Funded
Canadians Find No VDT-Miscarriage Link
UK's NRPB: Guidance for 0-300 GHz
NRPB's "Basic Restrictions"
Navy Seeks Winter Site for Empress II
AMA on EMP-Resistant Medical Devices
EMC Rules Around the World
Polish RF/MW-Cancer Link Reaffirmed p.14

ELF NEWS pp.5-10

Power Line Talk
"Science" Calls for More 60 Hz Research
Florida Judge Bars Play Near Power Lines
Animal Cancer Studies To Begin Soon
NZ Study Supports EMF-Cancer Risk
Around the U.S. and Canada:
California • Kentucky • Minnesota • New Jersey • New York • Alberta • British Columbia
Proposed California Health Research

UPDATES pp.10-13

MRI Safety • Army To Move Woodbridge EMP Simulators • NAB Opposes Seattle RF Limits • EMI Did Not Cause Accidental Bomb Drop • VDT Allergies • Updates on FCC Part 15 Rules • New E-Field Probe on the Market • EPRI Utility Seminars • Preventing Osteoporosis with PEMFs • Zapping Hazardous Wastes • Ionospheric Effects Meeting • HPM Weapons R&D • EIA on TV/VCR Immunity • Measurements for Military Standards • People in the News • New From ANSI • Microwaves for the Prostate • and more....

COMMENTARY p.15

America Tunes In, But For How Long?

CONFERENCES p.16

New Listings

ELF-Microwaves and Chemical Promoter Yield Cancerous Cells

Extremely low frequency (ELF) modulated microwave radiation and chemical promoters can transform cells from a normal to a cancerous state, according to researchers at the University of Maryland School of Medicine in Baltimore. Once initiated by microwaves, cells exposed to a chemical cancer promoter—TPA—grow abnormally, like those cells exposed to a chemical carcinogen or ionizing radiation.

This new finding supports previous research showing that microwave radiation can act as a co-carcinogen with chemicals. Epidemiological and animal exposure studies also have indicated a cancer-microwave link.

Up to now, however, most researchers have believed that non-ionizing radiation is more likely to be a cancer promoter than an initiator.

In a paper presented at the *11th Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics Society*, held in Tucson, AZ, in June, Drs. Elizabeth Balcer-Kubiczek and George Harrison reported a dose-dependent relationship between a 24-hour exposure to 2.45 GHz microwaves and cell transformation at specific absorption rates (SARs) of 0.1-4.4 W/Kg. They found a "possible SAR-threshold for initiation of transformation" between 0.1 and 1 W/Kg.

The 2.45 GHz microwave source used to expose the cells emits 120 pulses per second, with an 8.3 msec pulse width, corresponding to a 120 Hz modulation.[1]

After the conference, Balcer-Kubiczek told *Microwave News* that SARs of 0.1 and 4.4 W/Kg correspond to power densities of 0.065 and 2.8 mW/cm^2— levels well below current exposure standards, such as the 1982 American National Standards Institute guidelines.

(continued on p.14)

OTA on ELF Hazards: "Legitimate Reasons for Concern"

"Electric and magnetic fields produced by electric power systems may pose public health hazards," according to a report by the Congressional Office of Technology Assessment (OTA). The report, which was issued June 19, states that a growing amount of evidence now indicates that, under certain circumstances, even relatively weak extremely low frequency (ELF) fields can cause biological changes and that, although the implications are still unclear, "there are legitimate reasons for concern."

Distribution lines, electric blankets and certain types of wall wiring may play a "far greater role than transmission lines in any public health problem," the report concludes: "To the extent this proves true, it will be important for legislators, regulators and others to take care to think of this

(continued on p.13)

HIGHLIGHTS

U.S.S.R. Accuses U.K. of Irradiating London Embassy

In June, the Soviet Union charged the British government with beaming high-frequency radio waves at Soviet embassy buildings in London to power listening devices planted in the walls. *Izvestia*, the Soviet government newspaper, charged that the microwave bombardment may have caused the deaths of two Soviet citizens.

In an article headlined "Rays Bring Death," *Izvestia* stated that the five-year-old son of a diplomat and a young journalist who worked at the London embassy died suddenly last year, according to a United Press International report published in the June 5 *Philadelphia Inquirer*.

The Soviet embassy also alleged that a young girl who lived in an embassy residence developed leukemia last year and subsequently left the U.K. in a "grave" condition, the June 5 *Irish Times* reported.

The Soviet allegations come in the wake of a May diplomatic incident in which the British government accused eight Soviet diplomats and three Soviet journalists of spying and expelled them from the U.K. The Soviet Union countered by expelling 11 British subjects on suspicion of espionage.

At a June 3 news conference, Soviet diplomats revealed that recent construction work on embassy buildings uncovered a honeycomb of listening devices planted in the walls and deep underground, according to the Associated Press. The British government, however, has denied knowledge of the bugs. Indeed, U.K. Foreign Secretary Sir Geoffrey Howe told a BBC Radio 4 news program that the Soviet announcement was a "rather amateurish" attempt at media manipulation, the *Independent*, a British newspaper, reported on June 5.

The British have used microwave-controlled listening devices in the past, however. In the 1987 book *Spycatcher*, Peter Wright, a former member of MI5—one of the British secret services—wrote that throughout the 1950s, the British (as well as the Americans, Canadians and Australians) used a bugging system known as SATYR, which employed cavity microphones activated by microwaves. Wright described SATYR as "one of the best methods of obtaining coverage." The Thatcher government tried to suppress the publication of Wright's memoirs.

During that period, the Soviet Union began beaming microwaves at the U.S. embassy in Moscow, a practice which lasted for at least 26 years (see *MWN*, Jan81). The irradiation was resumed for a few months at varying frequencies and intensities over the summer of 1983. At that time, the U.S. Ambassador denied that the microwaves posed a health hazard, but he filed a formal protest "as a matter of principle" (see

"Maze" and Mind Control: A Roman à Clef?

"By employing an ELF modulation of a high frequency radio signal, our researchers have discovered that it is possible to bypass the normal sensory mechanisms of certain of the brain's organisms and to influence the brain directly."

So reads a memorandum written for the KGB in a new novel, *Maze*, by Larry Collins, the author of a number of best-sellers, including *O Jerusalem!* and *Is Paris Burning?*

Maze (Simon and Schuster, $19.95) has all the makings of a conventional espionage thriller, except, in this case, the plot is about how the Soviets are trying to find an electromagnetic "brain bomb"—that is, a way to "unlock the combination of [a man's] electromagnetic code" to send the President of the United States into an uncontrollable rage.

The novel is chock-full of electromagnetic arcana. For example, "An Australian named Adey in California gave us the answer [as to how cells interact with ELF fields]. The cell's membranes are covered with strands of proteins tipped with calcium ions. Each strand has a negative electrical charge. Think of them as like ears of corn waving in a field in a summer breeze." Dr. José Delgado also has a featured role.

The CIA, as portrayed by Collins, does not believe a word of all this. The one exception is the protagonist, Dr. Art Bennington, who heads the agency's Behavioral Sciences Division. He has an uphill battle because, as he explains to the director of the CIA: "Our scientific establishment has always said no, these [ELF] frequencies can't affect human beings for one simple reason; their waves are both non-thermal and non-ionizing. Therefore, no heat, no effect. It's as simple as ABC."

When Bennington assumes his cover at Electrobiological Research Associates, a CIA dummy corporation, he takes on the identity of Capt. Eldon Tyler of the U.S. Navy. Of course, he sees enormous potential for ELF and RF weapons: "Believe me, if an unequivocal correlation is ever established between those fields and human behavior, we're going to be faced with something that makes splitting the atom pale in comparison."

No doubt Collins was aware of the CIA's MKULTRA mind control projects of the 1950s and 1960s, and of the persistent charges and countercharges over beaming microwaves at foreign embassies (see above). He has also delved deeply into the science and politics of EMFs. Perhaps he even talked to Navy Capt. Paul Tyler (retired), who has written about EMF weapons, and to the women at the Greenham Common peace camp in England who have charged that they are being "zapped" (*MWN*, S/O86).

In July, *Maze* made a brief appearance on the *New York Times* best-seller list and then dropped out of sight.

MWN, D83).

A 1978 epidemiological study of Moscow embassy employees by Professor Abraham Lilienfeld of Johns Hopkins University failed to show any adverse effects, but Lilienfeld urged the U.S. State Department to continue to monitor the health of the Moscow staff over the next few years since only a short time had elapsed between the period of maximum exposure and his study.

More recently, in March 1988, the State Department acknowledged that it had again detected radiation—"primarily" in the 9-11 GHz range—at the Moscow embassy (see *MWN*, M/A88). Although the department would not speculate on the reasons for the transmissions, a number of theories have been offered over the years, including activation of bugging devices, electromagnetic interference and mind control.

Epidemiological Study of VDT Reproductive Risks Funded

On September 1, the Mount Sinai School of Medicine in New York City will launch its long-awaited epidemiological study of reproductive risks among office workers. The National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) is sponsoring the $2 million project, which is the first prospective study ever undertaken of pregnancy risks among video display terminal (VDT) operators. The results are due in 1993.

"We will be addressing an important public health question—whether VDTs pose any risk to early pregnancy," Mount Sinai's Dr. Michele Marcus—the principal investigator for the study—told *Microwave News*. Dr. Philip Landrigan, head of Mount Sinai's Division of Environmental and Occupational Medicine, and Dr. Irving Selikoff, the previous division head, are collaborating with Marcus. Selikoff first announced plans for a VDT study in 1985 (see *MWN*, Jn85).

Over the years, the study design has evolved to encompass all aspects of office work, not just VDTs (see *MWN*, M/J87). In addition, the Mount Sinai team will address other VDT health risks such as musculoskeletal and vision ailments.

Many labor and government representatives hailed the government's decision to fund the project, but laced their praise with criticism for the long delays. Congressman Ted Weiss (D-NY), who has been an active supporter of VDT health and safety studies, called it "an important first step," but added that, "There is growing evidence that research which addresses other health risks from VDTs is also long overdue." At an NICHD-sponsored workshop last November, a Weiss aide urged the institute to sponsor a study on reproductive risks in light of the Kaiser Permanente epidemiological study, which showed that women who used VDTs more than 20 hours a week had twice the miscarriage rate of those who did other types of office work (see *MWN*, M/J88 and N/D88).

Senator Albert Gore (D-TN) commented that, "There are still many unanswered questions about the potential health effects of VDTs and of electromagnetic fields (EMFs) generally, but there is no longer any doubt that this form of radiation can cause some biochemical changes. In my view, that information alone is sufficient to warrant a renewed commitment on the part of government and private industry to study this issue and search for solutions to protect people." Gore held a hearing on VDT EMFs in 1981, when he was in the House of Representatives (see *MWN*, Jn81).

"We are incredibly thrilled," Sharon Danann of 9 to 5, the National Association of Working Women, told *Microwave News*. "We think that the study will add a great deal to this area of research," she said. The Service Employees International Union and 9 to 5, as well as a number of large corporations, are assisting Mount Sinai in identifying workplaces to participate in the study.

The Mount Sinai study will be the first to monitor office workers before they are pregnant and throughout the first few months of pregnancy. Marcus and coworkers will follow 8,000 women in New York, Boston, Cleveland and an as-yet-undetermined California city. For one year, approximately 10% of the study population will submit urine samples, which will then be tested for human chorionic gonadotropin—a sensitive indicator of pregnancy. Menstrual cycles and hormone levels will also be monitored.

AT&T Bell Labs, based in Murray Hill, NJ, will work with Mount Sinai on VDT radiation measurements.

In addition to looking at VDT use, the researchers will address the impact of stress, ergonomics and the general office environment. Potential risks due to cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and caffeine intake will also be investigated.

A pilot study was funded by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and the March of Dimes (see *MWN*, S/O87).

Canadian Study Shows No VDT-Miscarriage Link

Women who used VDTs while pregnant did not suffer an increased incidence of miscarriages, according to a new study from the University of Calgary in Alberta, Canada. Drs. Heather Bryant and Edgar Love of the university's medical school found that there was no association, regardless of the length of time spent at a terminal.

The number of operators who worked at VDTs for more than 20 hours a week was small, however. The researchers noted that their study "lacks power to comment on this single stratum of exposure." Last year a team from Kaiser reported that women who used VDTs for more than 20 hours a week had twice as many miscarriages as those unexposed (see *MWN*, M/J88).

The Calgary results appear in the *International Journal of Epidemiology, 18*, pp.132-138, 1989.

U.K.'s NRPB: Same 0-300 GHz Limits for Workers & Public

Under the U.K.'s National Radiological Protection Board's (NRPB) new guidelines, workers and the general public are subject to the same limits for exposures to non-ionizing radiation. "The board is unable to find a scientific justification for recommending lower basic restrictions for the public in comparison with workers," the NRPB advised in a May report.

The new guidelines only take into account thermal effects. While noting "some epidemiological evidence" of cancer and birth defects risks, the NRPB said that, "It would seem premature to recommend restrictions on exposures to take account of possible cancer risks."

The new recommendations have no legal force. "It's our advice," Dr. Alastair McKinlay, head of Physical Dosimetry for the NRPB, told *Microwave News*.

An editorial appearing in the May issue of the NRPB's *Radiological Protection Bulletin* pointed out that the electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from domestic appliances—e.g., heaters and kettles—are often greater than fields that arise from power lines. "A ban on the use of electricity would not be feasible, useful or welcome," it stated.

The editorial went on to comment that the "as low as reasonably achievable" (ALARA) strategy "is hardly useful when there is no way of quantifying the reduction in the possible risk against the costs, inconvenience and effectiveness of reducing existing exposures levels."

The recommendations, which cover extremely low frequencies (ELF) up to microwaves, came in response to the guidelines put forward by the International Non-Ionizing Radiation Committee (INIRC) of the International Radiation Protection Association (IRPA) (*see MWN*, M/A87 & J/F88).

Unlike the IRPA guidelines, the NRPB's "basic restrictions" are specified in terms of induced currents and specific absorption rates (SARs)—instead of electric and/or magnetic fields and power densities. The NRPB stressed that the currents and SARs are based on "reference levels" adapted from IRPA's EMF limits. But these reference levels "are not to be regarded as limits" and cannot be enforced.

In an interview with *Microwave News*, Stuart Allen of the NRPB's office in Leeds, U.K., acknowledged that there are no off-the-shelf devices now available to measure the quantities specified in restrictions 1-5 (see box at right). He added that, "If you exceed the reference levels, it does not necessarily mean that you would exceed the basic restrictions. The implication is that if you exceed the reference levels, you need to do further investigations on the characteristics of the particular exposure situation." Allen is the group leader of the NRPB's EMF Study Group.

Dr. John Male of Central Electricity Research Laboratories in Leatherhead, U.K., told *Microwave News* that the 50 Hz limits recommended by the NRPB "are not going to cause us any embarrassment—we can live with them." He said that he agrees that a distinction between workers and the general public is not necessary.

Guidance as to Restrictions on Exposures to Time Varying Electromagnetic Fields and the 1988 Recommendations of the International Non-Ionizing Radiation Committee, NRPB-GS11, is available from: Publications Officer, NRPB, Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0RQ, U.K.

NRPB: Basic Restrictions on Exposures to EMFs Below 300 GHz

1. The continuous induced current in any arm, hand, leg, ankle or foot should not exceed:

   $$\left[1 + f/1500\right] \text{ mA or } 100 \text{ mA}$$

   whichever is smaller for frequency f less than 30 MHz; f is in Hz.
2. The average specific energy absorption rate in the body over any 6 min should not exceed 0.4 W/Kg.
3. When taken in conjunction with 2 above, the maximum value of the specific energy absorption rate in any 0.1 Kg of an internal organ or tissue in the head or trunk over any 6 min should not exceed 10 W/Kg.
4. When taken in conjunction with 2 above, the maximum value of the specific energy absorption rate in any 0.1 Kg of an arm, hand, leg, ankle or foot in any 6 min should not exceed 20 W/Kg.
5. Exposures to time-integrated power densities in any pulse of duration less than 50 μs exceeding 0.4 J/m² should be neither prolonged nor frequent.
6. Radiofrequency burns from objects in the field should be avoided.
7. Any uncomfortable sensation of heat in the superficial layers of the body should be avoided at frequencies above 1 GHz.

Navy Seeks Winter Site for EMPRESS II

The U.S. Navy is planning a winter site for its electromagnetic pulse (EMP) simulator, EMPRESS II, in the Gulf of Mexico, 30-60 miles off the coasts of Mississippi and Alabama. The Navy seeks to operate the simulator approximately 60 days during the months of November through April.

The Navy is currently preparing an environmental assessment for the site and expects to complete all of its environmental reviews by October 1, 1990. It has already filed an environmental impact statement for the operation of EMPRESS II off the coast of Virginia (see *MWN*, M/J88).

EMPRESS II—an acronym for the second Electromagnetic Pulse Radiation Environment Simulator for Ships—has been at the center of a controversy over health and interference effects for the last five years (see *MWN*, O84, N84 and

J/F87). The Navy originally intended to use the simulator on Chesapeake Bay, but finally gave up in the face of intense opposition from citizens and elected officials in Maryland and Virginia. The simulator was ultimately located on the Atlantic Ocean, 15 miles off the coast of North Carolina (see *MWN*, J/A88).

In an interview with the *New Orleans Times-Picayune*, EMPRESS II Project Manager Captain W.E. Mayhew blamed the Navy's troubles at the Chesapeake Bay site on a failure to "head off initial misinformation." He added that the local politicians were "victims of our failure to get out good information." Three public meetings were held in Mississippi, Alabama and Louisiana at the end of June to brief local residents.

A recent analysis by the Congressional Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) concluded that EMPRESS II, in its Atlantic Ocean site, presented "no significant health effects" threat to humans and wildlife, but recommended further testing to determine possible electromagnetic interference (EMI) to avionics (see *MWN*, J/F89).

The proposed site is located away from airports and commercial air corridors and thus would pose no EMI threat to aircraft, the Navy told *Microwave News*.

The Navy's plans have not been well-publicized. Indeed, when asked by *Microwave News* about the southern site, a Navy spokesperson replied, "Good Lord! They're not going to drag that thing all the way around Florida?"

AMA Seeks EMP-Resistant Medical Devices

In a new policy statement, the American Medical Association (AMA) encourages the development of medical devices that are resistant to electromagnetic pulse (EMP) radiation. The AMA's House of Delegates approved a staff report on the effects of EMP at its June annual meeting (see *MWN*, S/O88).

It is not clear whether the report will be published, but the AMA's Dr. Theodore Doege, the report's author, told *Microwave News* that it "definitely represents AMA policy." The seven-page report identifies electronic equipment for monitoring life-support systems, lab instruments, computers, communications and emergency power-generating systems as among those devices most vulnerable to EMP. Diagnostic equipment, such as magnetic resonance imagers, might also be affected.

Over the years, the U.S. Army has funded research on protecting medical devices from EMP (see *MWN*, J/F86 and J/A87). In 1985, the Food and Drug Administration reported that many computerized medical devices are susceptible to electromagnetic interference (see *MWN*, N/D85).

The new report also calls for the AMA to support the recommendations of the National Security Telecommunications Advisory Committee's task force on EMP and urges that they be adapted to the medical sector (see *MWN*, S/086).

EMC Rules Around the World

The Netherlands Standardization Institute (NSI) in Delft has published a handbook, *Electromagnetic Compatibility [EMC]—Regulations and Standards Worldwide*, a highly useful compilation of EMC rules and legislation from 69 countries and territories—from Algeria to Zimbabwe. It also covers international standard-setting groups.

The guide includes rules for household electrical appliances, fluorescent lights, computers, radio and TV receivers, RF and ISM equipment and automobile ignition systems.

H.Th.C. Haus of the Nederlandse Philips Bedrijven edited the 207-page handbook.

Copies are available by special order for $55.00 each from: Sales Department, American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, NY 10018, (212) 354-3300. Allow extra time for shipping and handling. For further information on the NSI, contact: Mrs. P.J.A. van Heeswijk, Public Relations Dept., NNI, PO Box 5059, 2600 GB Delft, The Netherlands, (015) 690 390.

ELF NEWS

« Power Line Talk »

Philip Abelson's editorial in *Science* making the case for more EMF research funds inspires considerable irony. Three years ago in that same space, Dr. **Granger Morgan**, who heads the Carnegie Mellon team that wrote the OTA report (which in turn prompted the *Science* editorial), raised the question of when to *stop* risk-related research, using 60 Hz EMFs as an illustration (see *MWN*, M/J86). And in late 1987, *Nature*, *Science*'s principal competitor, published a commentary by Drs. **Kenneth Foster** and **William Pickard** suggesting that the time had indeed come to stop low and high frequency EMF research (see *MWN*, J/F88). Of course, all that has now changed.

«« »»

Building new transmission lines in the U.S. is getting harder every year. In a recent analysis of electric utilities industry trends, **Morgan Stanley**, an investment banking firm, reports that right now it may be more difficult to get regulatory ap-

proval to build power lines than to build generating facilities. Analyst Sanford Cohen points out that industry experts believe "a large transmission project could take 20 years from conception to completion." He also notes that over the last four or five years, U.S. transmission line construction has "dropped considerably": In 1984, transmission capacity grew at a rate of four percent, but by 1987, growth had shrunk to one percent.

«« »»

Dr. **Robert Becker**'s forthcoming book will be titled ***Cross Currents: The Promise of Electromedicine, The Peril of Electropollution.*** The publisher, Jeremy P. Tarcher, Inc., is planning to have it in bookstores by the beginning of 1990.

«« »»

Potomac Electric Power Co. (**PEPCO**), which serves the Washington, DC, area, estimates that it would cost $1.3 billion—at $100,000 per mile—to bury all of its overhead lines. In a letter to the July 8 *Washington Post*, PEPCO's H.J. Pulizzi listed the vulnerabilities of underground lines, including risks from construction and garden digging, lawn fertilizers, snow-melting chemicals, rodents, water and heat. He stated that, for "aesthetic reasons," PEPCO—along with most other U.S. utilities—has been installing underground cables in new residential areas for years, even though finding and repairing underground line problems can be "agonizingly slow."

«« »»

Dr. **Stephen Perry** has reaffirmed the link between power line EMFs and **depression**. His latest paper, published in *Public Health* (*103*, pp.177-180, 1989), provides new support for this association, which he first described in 1979 (see *MWN*, D81). He does not observe an association with heart disease, which he reported last year (see *MWN*, N/D87). This will probably be Perry's last research paper in this area. In a letter to *Microwave News* from his home in Wolverhampton, U.K., Perry said that, in order to continue his work, he would need "monitoring equipment which is too expensive." Perry hopes that someone will pick up where he has left off.

«« »»

Two more indicators that EMFs have become a key issue for utilities: The ***First Annual Transmission Symposium***, to be held in Washington, DC, September 25-26, will feature a session on health and environmental effects. Scheduled to speak are an attorney for the New York Power Authority, which is waiting for a decision in the Marcy-South trial, and an analyst from the Office of Technology Assessment, which just released its much talked about report on EMF bioeffects. And in its latest issue, *Environment Update*, a newsletter published quarterly by **EPRI**, EMFs gets more ink than either air or water pollution.

"Science" Magazine Calls for More 60 Hz Health Research

Science, the leading U.S. magazine covering scientific issues, has called for increased support of research into the health effects of electromagnetic fields.

In an editorial appearing in the July 21 issue, Philip Abelson, the magazine's deputy editor, writes, "The situation calls for much more effort in research than has hitherto taken place." He recommends "more animal studies and substantial epidemiological studies, coupled with accurate measures of fields."

The editorial was prompted by the Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) report (see pp.1 and 15). Abelson cites the OTA strategy of adopting a "prudent avoidance" strategy—that is, minimizing exposures when the costs of doing so are justified.

Florida Judge Bars Students from Playing Near Power Lines

In an unusual decision which could affect future zoning policies, a Florida judge has ruled that children may not play in a Boca Raton school yard which borders on high voltage power lines. The suit was brought by three local parents who sought to close the Sandpiper Shores school because of potential electromagnetic field (EMF) health hazards (see *MWN*, S/O88, J/F89 and M/J89).

"The evidence is clear that both electric and magnetic fields affect the human body," although the "connection between EMFs and cancer is still very much up in the air," Judge Timothy Poulton of the Palm Beach County Florida Circuit Court ruled on June 8.

The judge decided that the school may remain open, but he reserved the right to review the case "upon a change of circumstances"—for example, if the upcoming National Cancer Institute study shows an association between EMFs and cancer (see *MWN*, J/F89), or if future measurements show higher EMF levels than those presented at the trial. The judge also ordered the county school board to measure nearby EMF levels every month.

Many schools around the country are near high-voltage power lines. For instance, the Sandpiper school is one of 24 in Palm Beach County located near such lines, according to local reports.

At the trial, Fred Dietrich, an engineer with Electric Research & Management in State College, PA, who testified on behalf of the school board, claimed that the average magnetic field levels in the school for a "realistic worst-case scenario"—41% of line capacity—would be approximately 1.13 mG. Dr. Andrew Marino of LSU Medical Center in Shre-

veport, LA, who testified for the parents, estimated that, using his worst-case assumptions—100% capacity—the levels would be 3.3 mG. The court leaned towards Dietrich's estimate; the judge said that his worst-case estimate was 1.55 mG.

The judge discounted some of the evidence presented by the school board's witnesses "because of [their] financial ties...to the power industry." Among the school board's other witnesses were Drs. Edwin Carstensen, Philip Cole, William Feero, Dwight Mercer and Morton Miller. Also testifying for the parents were Drs. Harris Busch, Jerry Phillips and Stephen Smith.

The judge noted that children have "no choice" about going to school and therefore EMF exposure at school is an involuntary risk: "A 1% chance that there is substantial danger is unacceptable."

Robert Rausch et al., v. School Board of Palm Beach County, FL, 15th Judicial Circuit Court in and for Palm Beach County, FL, Case No.CL-88-10772-AD, June 8, 1989.

California School Policy

On the other side of the U.S., the California State Department of Education recently adopted a policy for siting schools near power lines, noting that a "conservative approach" should be taken when evaluating sites near power line easements. The department's School Facilities Planning Division limits for schools are: 100 feet from the edge of easement for 100-110 kV lines, 150 feet for 220-230 kV lines and 250 feet for 345 kV lines (see *MWN*, M/J88).

The policy appears in *School Site Selection and Approval Guide,* p. 4, 1989; for more information, contact: Duwayne Brooks, School Facilities Planning Division, California Department of Education, PO Box 944272, Sacramento, CA 94244, (916) 322-2470.

Cancer Studies of Animals To Begin Soon in U.S. & Canada

The first major studies of the cancer risk in laboratory animals exposed to power frequency magnetic fields are about to be launched in the U.S. and Canada. In June, the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) issued a request for proposals (RFP) for a four-year study to begin at the end of 1989. Health and Welfare Canada, Ontario Hydro and Hydro-Québec issued their RFP in April, with applications due July 1. The results of the joint Canadian government–utility effort are expected in 1992.

The U.S. government's National Toxicology Program (NTP) is also planning its own studies of power line carcinogenicity.

For the past few years, researchers have been calling for whole animal experiments to support or refute epidemiological findings. At a May 14 workshop convened by the National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, Dr. David Carpenter of the New York Department of Health argued that animal studies are essential in order to find out the magnitude of the leukemia and brain cancer risks associated with exposures to extremely low frequency (ELF) electromagnetic fields (EMFs) (see *MWN*, M/A89).

EPRI

The EPRI study will use strains of laboratory mice with high intrinsic incidences of leukemia and/or brain cancer to determine whether ELF fields can act as cancer initiators, promoters or as co-carcinogens. Ionizing radiation—a known carcinogen—will be used to help distinguish among these mechanisms of interaction.

The magnetic field intensities used in the experiment will be chosen to "simulate the values found in diverse residential and occupational environments." Mice will be exposed to nine combinations of magnetic fields and ionizing radiation: less than 1 mG, 100 mG and 10 G varied with 0, 0.2 and 1 Gy.

New Zealand Study Supports Electrical Work-Cancer Link

A new epidemiological study reaffirms an earlier finding showing an elevated leukemia risk among New Zealand electrical workers. The research team, which was led by Dr. Neil Pearce of the Department of Community Health at the Wellington School of Medicine in Wellington, New Zealand, also found that the increased risk was primarily for chronic—rather than acute—and lymphatic—rather than myeloid—leukemia. Radio and TV repairers, electricians, linemen and power station operators were at the greatest risk.

In general, there was no evidence of increased risk of other types of cancers, although there was some indication of a higher risk of brain tumors among electrical engineers and electricians. The researchers point out, however, that the findings for specific categories of electrical work should be regarded with caution because of the small number of cases studied.

The team concludes that the results are consistent with other occupational studies suggesting a link with electromagnetic field (EMF) exposures, but cautions that "interpretation is difficult in the current absence of quantification of 'typical' exposures to [EMFs] experienced in these types of electrical work." They note that more detailed studies with exposure assessments are under way in New Zealand.

The study appears in the *International Journal of Epidemiology, 18,* pp.55-59, 1989.

In the April 6, 1985 issue of *The Lancet,* Pearce and coworkers first reported unexpectedly high rates of leukemia among certain New Zealand electrical workers (see *MWN*, My85). Pearce issued a brief correction to the survey in the July 2, 1988 issue of the journal (see *MWN*, J/A88).

The EPRI initiative follows a recommendation for animal studies from an expert advisory group convened by EPRI in San Diego, CA, in 1987 (see *MWN*, M/A87). The specific study design was conceived at an EPRI workshop attended by cancer researchers and held in Carmel, CA, in July 1988.

"This animal study is a key element in EPRI's program to understand apparent associations between power line EMF exposure and cancer, as has been reported in humans," Dr. Charles Rafferty, a project manager in EPRI's radiation studies program told *Microwave News*. He noted that to provide the needed statistical power, the study will be large. "We want the study to be of exceptional quality," he said.

Proposals in response to RFP2965-11 on "Leukemia and Brain Cancer in Animals Exposed to 60 Hz Magnetic Fields" were due by mid-August and EPRI expects to award the contract in October.

For more information, contact: Dr. Charles Rafferty, Radiation Studies Program, EPRI, 3412 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94303, (415) 855-8908.

Canada

The Canadian project is very similar to the EPRI study. Either ionizing radiation or a chemical carcinogen will be used as an initiator. The study will use 1,000 mice, divided into five exposure groups—0, 20 mG, 200 mG, 2 G and 20 G. The mice will be exposed ten hours a day for 80 weeks.

"The study will cost approximately $1.3 million [Canadian]," Dr. Maria Stuchly of Health and Welfare Canada told *Microwave News*, with each of the government and utility sponsors contributing equal shares.

For more information, contact: Dr. Maria Stuchly, Bureau of Radiation and Medical Devices, Health and Welfare Canada, Room 66, HPB Building, Tunney's Pasture, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0L2, Canada, (613) 954-0306.

NTP

At the NTP, Dr. Rajendra Chhabra is developing a protocol for a carcinogenicity study of ELF fields in rodents. When completed, the protocol will be evaluated by a committee which ranks toxicity studies according to funding priorities.

"We hope that the Department of Energy will contribute some funds for this study," Dr. Richard Griesemer, the director of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences' (NIEHS) division of toxicology research and testing, told *Microwave News*.

The NTP coordinates research for the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, including the NIEHS, the Food and Drug Administration and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Dr. David Rall, the director of the NIEHS, also serves as the director of the NTP.

For more information, contact: Dr. Rajendra Chhabra, NTP, [A0-01], PO Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, (919) 541-3386.

Around the U.S. and Canada...

California...In a draft report, the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) agreed that further studies on EMF health effects are needed, but stopped short of recommending regulatory action: "Too little is known to be able to determine where or what rule would provide useful protection." The report, *Potential Health Effects of Electric Power Facilities*, issued in cooperation with the state Department of Health Services (DHS), was ordered last year by the state legislature (see *MWN*, M/J88, S/O88, N/D88 and M/A89). A portion of the report lists three high priority projects identified by DHS (see box on p.9). The report, which was originally due March 15, will be submitted to the legislature by September 15. The PUC and the DHS held three public meetings in the first week of August in San Francisco, Los Angeles and Sacramento. A copy of the draft report is available for $20.00 from: Documents Section, California PUC, 505 Van Ness Ave., San Francisco, CA 94102, (415) 557-1812.

Kentucky...In Mason County, a dairy farmer and his wife have settled a damages suit against Kentucky Power Co. after claiming that stray voltage from power lines 150 feet from their milking parlor was responsible for dairy production losses. David and Brenda Polley, whose home is 330 feet from the lines, also sued over potential human health effects from EMF exposures. The terms of the June settlement are confidential, Charles Kirk, the Polleys' attorney, told *Microwave News*. Kirk, who is with Royse, Zweigart, Kirk & Brammer in Maysville, said that the amount originally sought by the Polleys was $100,000.

Minnesota...A Rochester real estate broker who has been fighting a 161 kV line located within 97 feet of his home was awarded $17,500 in damages by a jury. Jim Kuehl took his case to court after unsuccessfully attempting to persuade the Rochester Public Utility to choose an alternate route for the line. The utility originally offered Kuehl $400 for an easement, and a few years later $3,760, both of which he turned down. In 1988, Kuehl—who lives with his two sons—initiated an eminent domain proceeding against the utility and received $11,500. He next appealed to the district court, seeking $80,000 in damages and claiming that the EMFs from the line posed a hazard to the health of his family and decreased the value of his property. Dr. Andrew Marino testified on Kuehl's behalf at the trial. The utility was also ordered to pay for Kuehl's expert witness and appraisal fees. Kuehl now intends to launch a power line public awareness campaign. "We have never been after money," he told *Microwave News*. "We just don't want the line so close to our home."

New Jersey...Residents and town officials in Middletown Township are engaged in a bitter struggle with Jersey Central Power & Light (JCP&L) over a new 9.8 mile 230 kV power line scheduled to go up along a commuter rail ROW. The proposed route goes through a heavily populated area and passes near two schools, according to Mary Cashen Purcell, one of the founders of the citizens' group Residents Against Giant Electric (RAGE), which was formed to fight the line. When JCP&L first introduced its proposal to the public at a March 13 town meeting, local officials raised questions about potential impacts, Committeewoman Rosemarie Peters told *Microwave News*. The utility then successfully sought to have the line classified as "contested" and transferred to the jurisdiction of the Office of Administrative Law, where a judge has scheduled a hearing for October 23. Two public hearings will also be held—in conjunction with the Army Corps of Engineers—to provide the public with an opportunity to comment. Melvin Greenberg of the Newark, NJ, law firm of Greenberg, Dauber & Epstein is representing the township—Drs. Andrew Marino, John Norgard and Lewis Walker will testify for the township at the October hearing. In an information packet distributed to the public, JCP&L, which is also fighting to build a power line in nearby Little Silver, quotes Dr. Philip Cole: "Power lines are not an important factor in public health." RAGE is launching its own public awareness campaign, Cashen Purcell said.

New Jersey...A bill that would require utilities to prepare environmental and public health reviews and to demonstrate that there are no feasible alternatives before building new power lines cleared the state Assembly Committee on Conservation, Natural Resources and Energy in July. Bill A-4783, which was sponsored by Assemblypersons Joe Kyrillos, Jr. and Joann Smith, was prompted by an ongoing dispute over a proposed power line in Middletown (see above). If adopted, the measure will only apply to contested lines of at least 138 kV. For more information, contact: Assemblyman Joe Kyrillos, Jr., One Arin Park Bldg., 1715 State Highway 35, Middletown, NJ 07748, (201) 671-3206.

New York...During half of 1988, the mean magnetic field exceeded 24.8 mG at the edge of a 345 kV ROW, according to a survey of *Magnetic Field Levels Associated with 345 kV Transmission Circuits in New York State*. It exceeded 41.2 and 52.4 mG 10% and 1% of the year, respectively. The *maximum* fields were approximately three times higher in each case. Field levels measured one foot above 345 kV underground lines were on the order of 1 mG during normal power flow. These survey results were presented at a July 26 Technical Conference in Albany, in order to assist the PSC staff in setting an interim magnetic field standard (see *MWN*, M/A88). In April 1988, the PSC concluded that such a standard should be set to ensure that magnetic fields at the edge of future ROWs be "no greater than the fields typical of the many existing 345 kV lines operating throughout the state." The commission's EMF Committee plans to draft an interim standard by the end of 1989. For more information, contact: Dan Driscoll, Office of Energy Conservation and Environmental Planning, NY PSC, Three Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY 12223, (518) 474-5368.

Proposed California Research

The California Department of Health Services (DHS) is proposing three research projects to be funded by the $2 million allocated for EMF research by the state legislature (see *MWN*, S/O88):

- An extension of an ongoing National Institutes of Health-funded study of childhood brain cancer under the direction of Dr. Susan Preston-Martin at the University of Southern California (USC) in Los Angeles. The study was originally designed to investigate the effects of nitrates. Now, questions related to EMF exposure will be added and residential measurements will be made. Approximate added cost: $700,000.
- New measurements of all the "high current configuration" homes in Denver included in the Savitz study, together with a random sample of "low current configuration" homes. Bill Kaune of Enertech, Inc. in Campbell, CA, will direct the survey of a total of 80 homes with the assistance of USC's Preston-Martin. Approximate cost: $300,000.
- An expansion of an ongoing Kaiser Permanente study of the link between spontaneous abortions and drinking (bottled and tap) water. This study, which will be co-directed by Kaiser's Dr. Robert Hiatt and DHS's Dr. Ray Neutra, will now be able to detect relative risks of 1.7 for electric blankets and 1.5 for water beds. The study will include measurements of EMF exposures of a random sample of 200 women. Indices of VDT exposure will be added. Approximate added cost: $800,000.

Alberta, Canada...On May 12, the Energy Resources Conservation Board ruled in favor of routing a 240 kV power line along an existing ROW—the first choice of both TransAlta Utilities Corp. and those citizens concerned about health hazards. In its decision, however, the board disregarded the health effects issue, concluding that "biomedical research and epidemiological studies do not demonstrate harmful biological effects caused by [60 Hz EMFs] associated with high-voltage transmission lines" and that "the question of health effects should not influence its decision on the placement of the line." At hearings held last November, Drs. William Bailey and Jack Mandel testified on behalf of TransAlta.

British Columbia, Canada...Following a July 11-14 public inquiry, the BC Utilities Commission allowed BC Hydro to complete the disputed 230 kV Dunsmuir-Gold River power

line, but ordered it to extend its offer to buy the homes along the ROW until September 15 (see *MWN*, M/J89). According to the commission's July 26 *Report and Recommendations*, which accompanied the commission order, 66 of the 144 property owners who received a buy-out letter accepted the utility's offer, but the utility also received approximately 140 responses from other homeowners. The commission denied a request to move the line, but noted that, "BC Hydro may find it advantageous to consider rerouting its transmission lines at a future date." "Our plan is to proceed with the line. We've nothing more to say beyond that," BC Hydro's Peter McMullan told *Microwave News*. The inquiry was prompted by numerous complaints from local residents concerned about potentially higher levels of EMFs from the new line. Dr. Andrew Marino, testifying on behalf of a citizens group, questioned the credibility of utility-funded studies and said that he considers a "safe level" of electric and magnetic fields to be 50 V/m and 1-2 mG, respectively. Drs. Antonio Sastre and Linda Erdreich, both of ERI, Inc., were among BC Hydro's expert witnesses. In its report, the commission said that, "It is clear that some results emerging from the studies conducted to date give reason for concern," but it concluded that there is "insufficient evidence to support a presumption of an actual health risk" and countered Marino's statements, calling them "strident sweeping allegations." Commenting that the utility acted "imprudently" in offering a buy-out, the commission ordered the utility to "exclude the net cost of the buy-out program from their cost of service" to ensure that "no precedent is established." It also recommended that BC Hydro collaborate with the BC Ministry of Health to devise and fund research programs already under way on EMF effects.

UPDATES

COMPATIBILITY & INTERFERENCE

EMI Did Not Cause Accidental Bomb Drop... The USAF is "99% sure" that a worn-out, malfunctioning bomb rack was to blame for the May 4 accidental bomb drop by an F-16 jet over western Georgia (see *MWN*, M/J89). There had been speculation that EMI might have triggered an electro-explosive device aboard the F-16, releasing the 500-pound bomb. But a spokesman at Moody AFB told *Microwave News* that, although the USAF cannot absolutely rule out other causes, it is almost certain that EMI was not a factor in the accident.

FCC News... The FCC has denied three petitions challenging its newly revised Part 15 rules, which govern the use of such non-licensed RF appliances as remote control units, garage door openers and cordless telephones (see *MWN*, M/J89). In its June 19 order, the FCC ruled that Sensormatic Electronics Corp., Linear Corp. and the American Radio Relay League "failed to meet the criteria necessary to obtain a stay of an administrative action."...CBEMA calls *TP-5*—the FCC's proposed procedure for measuring emissions from digital devices—a "substantial organizational improvement over its predecessor, *MP-4*"—even though it requires "certain modifications" (see *MWN*, M/A89 and M/J89). CBEMA favors the proposal because it "distinguishes measurement procedures on the basis of size and physical characteristics, rather than the intended environment of use or the applicable emanation limits."...And on July 31, the FCC announced that it had initiated a proceeding to update its rules governing the importation of RF devices capable of causing interference. For more information, contact Richard Engelman at the FCC's Field Operations Bureau, (202) 632-6345.

EMP

Army To Move Woodbridge Simulators... The U.S. Army has announced that it will no longer operate EMP simulators at its Woodbridge Research Facility in Virginia, which is operated by the Harry Diamond Labs. The Woodbridge EMP simulators have been shut down since May 1988 as part of a legal settlement which required environmental assessments for most DOD EMP facilities (see *MWN*, M/J88 and J/F89). The Army is considering moving the EMP simulators, although a new location has not yet been announced. In July, the Army released the results of an environmental assessment of the Woodbridge facility, whose mission is to "solve a broad spectrum of nuclear weapons effects and Army systems survivability problems." The assessment does not address the EMP simulators since they will be relocated. No significant environmental impacts were identified. The facility, which is approximately 25 miles southwest of Washington, DC, lies adjacent to nine military family housing units which are scheduled to close by 1995. An elementary school is located about one-half mile away. The commander of the Harry Diamond Labs acknowledged to the *Washington Post* (July 13) that the densely populated neighborhood around the Woodbridge installation is not the place for high-power EMP testing. For more information, contact: U.S. Army Lab Command, ATTN: AMSLC-PA, 2800 Powder Mill Rd., Adelphi, MD 20783, (202) 394-3590.

MEASUREMENT

Electric Field Probe on the Market...A broadband electric field probe developed by engineers at NIST is now being marketed by Electro-Mechanics Co. of Austin, TX, as part of a total measurement system. The probe can measure fields between 1 and 1000 V/m, from 1 MHz to 10 GHz, and is only 8.41 cm long and 1.75 cm in diameter. The system—which consists of a probe, a metering unit and a data processing/interface unit—costs $7,585. Foreign orders are $8,345. NIST's Drs. Motohisa Kanda and Lanny Driver, who designed the probe, described it in detail in "An Isotropic Elec-

tric-Field Probe with Tapered Resistive Dipoles for Broad-Band Use, 100 kHz to 18 GHz," which appeared in the February 1987 issue of *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques* (see *MWN*, J/F87). For more information on the Model 7100 Broadband Isotropic Probe System, contact: Electro-Mechanics Co., PO Box 1546, Austin, TX 78767, (512) 835-4684, or (800) 253-3761 (outside of TX). For a copy of the NIST paper, contact: Dr. Motohisa Kanda, NIST, Division 723.03, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80303, (303) 497-5320.

Military Standards...NIST researchers J.E. Cruz and E.B. Larsen recommend that, when doing testing for military standards, "shielded rooms should be used only when it is not feasible to use other facilities, such as an open area field site or a fully loaded screenroom." They outline possible ways for improving measurement methods in a new report, *Alternative Techniques for Some Typical MIL-STD-461/462 Type of Measurements*, NIST Technical Note 1320. Copies are available for $2.50 each from the Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402. Order No.003-003-02946-7....Ed Aslan of the Narda Microwave Corp. in Hauppauge, NY, describes a system for the calibration of electromagnetic radiation monitors in accordance with the requirements of MIL STD 45662 in a paper appearing in the latest issue of *The Journal of Microwave Power & Electromagnetic Energy, 24*, pp.102-107, 1989.

MEDICAL APPLICATIONS

Preventing Osteoporosis with PEMFs...A team of researchers led by Dr. Clinton Rubin of the Musculo-Skeletal Research Lab at the State University of New York in Stony Brook, NY, has found that short, daily periods of exposure to PEMFs may reverse the trend of osteoporosis caused by a lack of mechanical stimulation. The team studied a population of mature male turkeys whose wing bones were incapacitated through surgery. The turkeys were divided into seven exposure populations: five groups exposed to PEMFs (3 msec bursts at a repetition rate of 1.5 Hz, each burst containing 120 repetitions of an asymmetrical pulse) at one of five different intensities, one group with inactive coils and one with no coils. In all the groups, the turkeys' second wing served as the control. The birds were exposed for one hour a day, five days a week over an eight-week period. The cross sections of the bones revealed that there was "an effective window of [PEMFs] in which bone mass can be controlled in the absence of function"—bone mass was increased 12.3% in one of the exposure groups. The team believes that PEMFs influence the behavior of the cell populations responsible for bone regeneration "because of the physiological characteristics of the signal." In contrast, the study group exposed to inactive coils showed a bone mass *reduction* of 10.8%, as compared to the controls. This figure was not significantly different from the 13% bone loss observed in the control group. The researchers conclude that their data suggest a "potential role for the use of PEMFs in the prevention of loss of bone" in immobilized patients and in the elderly. "Prevention of Osteoporosis by Pulsed Electromagnetic Fields" appeared in the *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, 71*, pp.411-417, March 1989.

MRI Safety... Dr. G. Jerome Beers of the Boston University Medical Center in Boston, MA, has published a major review article on the bioeffects of weak EMFs, with special emphasis on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and magnetic resonance studies (MRS). He concludes that, "Research specifically on MRI and MRS devices has so far failed to demonstrate significant harmful effects at 1.5 T or less. It may, therefore, be rather conservative for a recent NIH panel to have recommended caution in using MRI on pregnant patients. Whether such conservatism should extend to pregnant MR personnel is not clear....Nevertheless, careful attention to further developments in relevant research would seem reasonable, along with possibly an increase in scientific dialogue within the MR community concerning the subject." Beers also suggests that "MRI and MRS researchers might want to consider the subject as an area to which they could contribute." The review appears in *Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 7*, pp.309-331, 1989....And in the June 16, 1989 issue of the *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Drs. Frank Shellock and Howard Bierman comment on the recent relaxation of the FDA's rules for exposures to MRI EMFs. (See also *MWN*, M/J86, M/J87 and J/A88.)

Microwaves for the Prostate... English doctors, picking up on research developed in Israel, are using microwave radiation to treat prostate problems, including cancer. The *New Scientist* reports in its May 27 issue that while some European researchers see great promise in this type of hyperthermia treatment as an alternative to major surgery, others are more skeptical. According to the article, as many as 30% of all U.S. males over 60 have a prostatectomy, in which most of the gland is removed.

Resources... The July 1989 issue of the *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* is devoted to functional electrical stimulation—used to enhance or restore neurological function. Drs. Gideon Kantor of the FDA and Charles Robinson of the VA Hospital in Hines, IL, were the guest editors....Drs. Richard Magin and Andrew Peterson, both of the University of Illinois in Urbana, present an invited review of "Noninvasive Microwave Phased Arrays for Local Hyperthermia" in the July/August 1989 issue of the *International Journal of Hyperthermia, 5*, pp.429-450.

MEETINGS

EPRI Utility Seminars...EPRI will host a workshop on *Power-Frequency Electric and Magnetic Field Laboratory Research*, September 27-29, in Delavan, WI. Among the issues to be addressed are cancer research, neurobiology and behavior, developmental toxicology, whole animal and cell physiology, mechanisms of interaction and engineering factors.

Also scheduled is a panel discussion on EMF-related developments of the past year. Registration fees start at $175. For more information, contact: Robert S. Banks Associates, PO Box 14574, Minneapolis, MN 55414, (612) 623-4646.... EPRI is also sponsoring a course on *Measurement of Power System Magnetic Fields*, September 14-15, in Lenox, MA. The meeting is open to EPRI members only at a cost of $1,600. For more information, contact: Hazel Mazza, GE Co., High-Voltage Transmission Research Center, East New Lenox Rd., PO Box 796, Lenox, MA 01240, (413) 494-4359.

Ionospheric Effects...A call for papers has been issued for the *6th International Ionospheric Effects Symposium*, to be held in Washington, DC, May 1-3, 1990. The deadline for abstracts has been extended to September 15. The meeting is being sponsored by the Naval Research Lab, the Air Force Geophysics Lab, the Army Center for Command, Control and Communication Systems and the Office of Naval Research, among other branches of the DOD, and by the NTIA. The symposium will be unclassified. For more information, contact: Ionospheric Effects Symposium Coordinator, Code 4180, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375.

MILITARY SYSTEMS

HPM R&D... The Naval Air Development Center in Warminster, PA, has issued a request for proposals (RFP) for a study to identify the potential vulnerabilities of manned aircraft digital fly-by-wire control systems to high power microwaves (HPMs). The primary objectives of the study are: to develop a credible HPM threat profile—e.g., flux levels, frequencies and pulse durations; to identify probable HPM entry points, coupling paths, induced voltage/power/energy levels and consequent HPM effects; and to define hardening measures. The study does not call for any HPM testing; it will be limited to a review and an analysis of existing research. For more information on Solicitation N62269-89-R-0231, contact: John Stabilito, Purchase Service Branch, Code 84573, Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, PA 18974, (215) 441-2683....The Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) has issued a call for research on the effects of microwaves on electronics systems. For more information on Solicitation N00014-89-R-ET12, contact: Evelyn Taylor, Code 3240.ET, NRL, 4555 Overlook Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20375, (202) 767-6457....The U.S. Army Missile Command at the Redstone Arsenal in Alabama has awarded two contracts to Sparta, Inc., of Laguna Hill, CA, for work on a directed RF energy effects data base. The contracts are for a total of $195,000.

PEOPLE

Dr. **Lee Rosen** has left W/L Associates to join the NIH Division of Research Grants. After working with Dr. **Bill Wisecup** for nine-and-a-half years on power line issues, Rosen is now down the hall from Dr. **Elliot Postow**, who joined NIH from the Navy Medical R&D Command. Postow is the former editor of *Bioelectromagnetics*. Rosen told *Microwave News* that he hopes to be able to keep up with ELF health issues....Dr. **Richard Phillips**, another former editor of *Bioelectromagnetics*, will retire from EPA in September and plans to move back to the West Coast....After almost 33 years with AT&T Bell Labs' Radiation Protection Department, Dr. **Max Weiss** has retired. He will continue to serve on a number of state advisory groups, including the New Jersey Commission on Radiation Protection....The FDA has awarded Dr. **Przemyslaw Czerski** its Commendable Service Award for his "outstanding accomplishments in research" on the biological effects of EMFs and for his leadership in standard-setting activities. And Dr. **Zory Glaser** has been awarded the Public Health Service Commendation Medal for his work on radiological health and medical devices.

STANDARDS

NAB Opposes Seattle 100 μW Limit... The National Association of Broadcasters (NAB) is urging the city of Seattle, WA, not to adopt a proposed RF radiation standard that is ten times stricter than the ANSI standard—100 μW/cm² in the 30-300 MHz frequency band (see *MWN*, J/A88). The NAB argues that the proposed standard is "not supported by scientific data, is inordinately restrictive and would not benefit the public," and that "sound public policy should be based on established evidence, not superstition or fear of the unknown." The NAB was responding to a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) issued in May—a public hearing was held on May 30 in Seattle. The EIS, which covers a telecommunications policy and land use as well as the disputed RF ordinance, was prepared by the Seattle Office for Long-Range Planning. Under the proposed rules, the Department of Health would issue permits to broadcasters. According to the EIS, the department could grant a "special exception" under certain conditions, but levels could never exceed 200 μW/cm². The NAB again made its case for a national RF/MW exposure standard instead of the evolving "patchwork quilt" of local rules (see *MWN*, S/O85, M/A86, M/J86 and J/A88). For more information, contact: Cliff Marks, Office for Long-Range Planning, 200 Municipal Bldg., Seattle, WA 98104, (206) 684-8056.

EIA on TV/VCR Immunity...ANSI has published ANSI/EIA 544-1988, *Immunity of TV and VCR Tuners to Internally Generated Harmonic Interference from Signals in the Band 535 kHz to 30 MHz*. A copy is available for $13.00, prepaid, from: Sales Department, ANSI, 1430 Broadway, New York, NY 10018.

TECHNOLOGY

Zapping Hazardous Wastes... An efficient, cost-effective method for decontaminating soil full of toxic wastes using RF radiation has been developed by scientists at the IIT Research Institute (IITRI) in Chicago, IL. IITRI claims that the procedure, "RF *in situ* decontamination," has been demonstrated to be 99% effective in removing hazardous wastes and that it is

two-to-four times cheaper than traditional methods. The process, in which electrodes beam 2-45 MHz radiation into the contaminated soil, is "similar to the heating accomplished within a microwave oven, although the area heated is much larger and the frequencies are much lower," according to IIT-RI's Jack Bridges, one of the developers. "During the heating process, water and hazardous components in the soil are rapidly evaporated; the vapors are collected and condensed for subsequent removal from the site," he said. The remaining gases and liquids are reportedly one-thousandth of those produced by excavating and incinerating wastes. In a recent field test, approximately 30 tons of soil laced with jet fuel and chlorinated hydrocarbons were almost completely decontaminated, IITRI reports. The *in situ* method is an extension of an earlier IITRI technology—developed by Bridges and IITRI's Harsh Dev—using RF heat energy to extract fuels from oil shale and tar sand deposits. IITRI recently sold the commercial rights to its RF *in situ* process to Roy I. Weston, Inc., a West Chester, PA, company. A report describing the *in situ* process is available from: Dr. Glenn Paulson, Director, Center for Hazardous Waste Management, IITRI, 10 W. 35th St., Chicago, IL 60616, (312) 567-4250....On a related front, the April 10 *Wall Street Journal* reported on a procedure developed by the West German company Vetco Sanitec which uses microwaves to disinfect medical waste.

VDTs

Electric Allergies... In a recent study of 32 people "with alleged hypersensitivity to electricity," experts at the Department of Neuromedicine at the National Institute of Occupational Health (NIOH) in Stockholm, Sweden, found that most of the group attributed their problems to work at VDTs. But it is far from clear whether VDTs are responsible for all the cases. "We don't know what is causing the problem," NIOH's Dr. Kjell Hansson Mild told *Microwave News*. Using low-radiation VDTs did not improve the subjects' conditions—nor did eliminating "unnecessary" electrical appliances. "In some cases, there is a psychological component—and among those working with VDTs, stress and lack of ventilation may also be problems," Mild said. Nevertheless, he emphasized that electrical sensitivity is a real issue. Indeed, others in the U.S. and the U.K. have shown that weak EMFs can cause allergic reactions that are frequency specific (see *MWN*, M/A87 and J/A88). According to NIOH's Dr. Bengt Knave, the combination of VDT flicker and magnetic fields may explain why VDT workers report hypersensitivities more than other exposed groups, such as railway workers or welders. Mild said that since 1984, approximately 1,400 workers with dermatological problems have been referred to NIOH and about 10% of them have electrical sensitivities. But, he added, "That is just the tip of the iceberg." Mild said that a society has been formed in Sweden for those who suffer from these ailments.

ETC...

RF To Fight Terrorism?...A Washington state doctor suggests that there is a "simple solution" to guard against terrorist bombs aboard aircraft. In a letter to the June 7 *Wall Street Journal*, Dr. Jeffrey Reynolds advocates beaming RF energy at all baggage prior to takeoff to detonate any blasting caps that might trigger hidden explosives.

But Seriously, Folks... Standup Comic Billy Crystal to a packed Moscow audience in early August: "I wanted to perform in the U.S. embassy because it has the best microphones."

OTA Report on ELF Hazards *(continued from p.1)*

issue as a problem of field exposure rather than as a problem of high-voltage transmission lines."

The 103-page report, which was prepared for the OTA by Drs. Indira Nair, Granger Morgan and Keith Florig, all of Carnegie Mellon University's Department of Engineering and Public Policy in Pittsburgh, PA, provides detailed coverage of most of the major laboratory and epidemiological studies conducted over the past decade, as well as a section on risk assessment and policy alternatives.

Among the report's proposals is a strategy of "prudent avoidance": attempting to route new transmission lines so that they avoid people; widening transmission line rights-of-way; developing designs for distribution systems—including new grounding procedures—which would reduce the associated fields; and redesigning appliances to minimize or eliminate fields.

The report downplays the value of establishing regulatory standards with the rationale that, since the research appears to point to frequency window effects, setting a "safe" limit at this time cannot be scientifically supported and might potentially do "more harm than good."

In addition to calling for a balanced mixture of cell, whole animal and epidemiological studies, the report cautions against becoming too fixed on cancer as the "single health effect of concern."

The report has received widespread news coverage. For instance, the Associated Press story ran under the headline "Data on Electromagnetic Fields, Potential Risks Needed, Study Says" (*Arizona Daily Star*), while *USA Today* featured the report under the headline "Killer Toasters?"

Biological Effects of Power Frequency Electric and Magnetic Fields is available for $4.75 from: U.S. Government Printing Office, Superintendent of Documents, Washington, DC 20402, (202) 783-3238; specify stock number 052-003-01152-2. It serves as a background paper for another OTA report, *Electric Power Wheeling and Dealing: Technological Considerations for Increasing Competition.*

Cells exposed to microwaves alone did not respond. "You need a promoter like TPA to see the effect," Balcer-Kubiczek said in an interview in Tucson. "We are observing a synergistic effect of microwaves and TPA." She added that, "It is not clear whether the effect is caused by the microwave carrier frequency or by the ELF modulation. This is something we would like to follow up."

Last year, Dr. Abe Parola reported that 100 Hz sine waves could malignantly transform cells (see *MWN*, M/A88). Parola is at the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev in Beer-Sheva, Israel.

In a paper published earlier this year in *Radiation Research* (see references below), Balcer-Kubiczek and Harrison reported that microwaves can transform cells. They wrote, "In the experiments reported here, microwaves appear to act as an initiator in a two-stage transformation assay." They went on to note a "striking" similarity between their microwave findings and those of previous studies using ultraviolet radiation.

One point is clear, however: the cell transformation is not caused by heating. "It is important to note that any positive result from our *in vitro* microwave protocols cannot be explained by microwave hyperthermia because heat alone is known to be non-carcinogenic," the researchers point out. Also, they report that the measured temperature elevation in the microwave-exposed cell flasks did not exceed 0.3°C, and that the tumor promoter was added after microwave treatment.

The cell assay used by the Maryland researchers is very well characterized and widely used. It was originally developed for studying chemical carcinogens. "It predicts carcinogenic potential for chemicals with an accuracy of approximately 80%," Balcer-Kubiczek said.

The researchers have run the same experiment using various combinations of ionizing and non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation, as well as with ultrasound—with and without chemical promoters. In Tucson, they reported that continuous wave ultrasound does not cause cell transformation. They began their microwave experiments five years ago; they were originally supported by the Office of Naval Research and are now funded by the National Cancer Institute.

As early as 1981, Dr. Stanislaw Szmigielski of the Center for Radiobiology and Radioprotection in Warsaw, Poland, reported that non-thermal levels of microwaves could accelerate the development of tumors (see *MWN*, My81). Balcer-Kubiczek said that, "Our new results are not inconsistent with those of Szmigielski."

A major epidemiological study released by Szmigielski in 1985 showed that radiofrequency and microwave radiation had caused abnormally high rates of cancer among exposed servicemen; the preliminary results of an ongoing prospective epidemiological study support this finding (see box at left).

In a long-term exposure study carried out at the University of Washington in Seattle, rats exposed to relatively low levels of microwaves had a statistically significant increase in cancer, primarily of the endocrine system (see *MWN*, J/A84 and Mr85).

1. Note that the published papers cite an 83 μsec pulse width.

Papers by E.K. Balcer-Kubiczek and G.H. Harrison

"Search for Neoplastic Transformation *In Vitro* Following Pulsed 2.45 GHz Microwaves" and "Search for Neoplastic Transformation *In Vitro* Following Pulsed Ultrasound," presented at the *11th Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics Society*, Tucson, AZ, June 1989. The ultrasound results have just been published in the *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology, 15*, pp.335-340, 1989.

"Induction of Neoplastic Transformation in C3H/10T½ Cells by 2.45 GHz Microwaves and Phorbol Ester," *Radiation Research, 117*, pp.531-537, 1989.

"Survival and Oncogenic Transformation of C3H/10T½ Cells After Extended X Irradiation," *Radiation Research, 104*, pp.214-223, 1985.

"Evidence for Microwave Carcinogenesis *In Vitro*," *Carcinogenesis, 6*, pp.859-864, 1985.

"Far-Field 2.45 GHz Irradiation System for Cellular Monolayers *In Vitro*," *Journal of Microwave Power, 20*, pp.145-151, 1985.

"Oncogenic Transformation of C3H/10T½ Cells by X-Rays, Fast-Fission Neutrons, and Cyclotron-Produced Neutrons," *International Journal of Radiation and Biology, 44*, pp.377-386, 1983.

Polish Epi Study Continues To Show RF/MW Cancer Link

An ongoing prospective epidemiological study of the Polish military continues to indicate that personnel exposed to radiofrequency and microwave (RF/MW) radiation have a higher than expected incidence of cancer.

In 1985, Dr. Stanislaw Szmigielski of the Center for Radiobiology and Radioprotection in Warsaw, Poland, announced that a major epidemiological study of military personnel showed a statistically significantly higher rate of cancer among servicemen exposed during 1971-1980, as compared to those unexposed (see *MWN*, Mr85). For blood-forming organs and lymphatic tissue, the rates were nearly seven times those expected.

Subsequently, a five-year (1986-1990) prospective epidemiological study was initiated to test the cancer hypothesis. In a recent letter to *Microwave News*, Szmigielski said that an analysis of the data collected after three years—at the end of 1988—"supports our earlier results from retrospective studies, although the differences between the exposed and non-exposed groups are somewhat smaller (although still highly statistically significant) than those found for the decade of 1971-1980." He wrote that the preliminary results indicate a doubling of the incidence of all forms of cancer among those exposed to RF/MW radiation (see also *MWN*, J/F87).

COMMENTARY

America Tunes In, But For How Long?

In June, the electromagnetic field (EMF) health debate went public with the almost simultaneous publication of Paul Brodeur's *New Yorker* series on the "Hazards of Electromagnetic Fields" and the Office of Technology Assessment's (OTA) report on power line EMFs (see p.1). The media, which, in the past, had been only fitfully interested in the EMF issue, suddenly could not get enough of it.

A clear consensus has emerged: We need to know much more about the effects of EMFs. Now the question is whether money will be available for the necessary studies.

Newsweek (July 10) warned, "We're not quite sure what we're up against, and we need urgently to find out." And *Time* (July 17) recommended limiting exposures from electric blankets, electric clocks and TV sets and advised, "Until the verdict is in, the watchword is prudence, not panic." (*U.S. News & World Report*—the third major weekly—ran a similar piece over two years ago—March 30, 1987.)

Television news soon followed. *Good Morning America* aired a segment on August 11 which included an exchange between Drs. David Carpenter, of the New York State Department of Health, and Gilbert Omenn, the chairman of the Electric Power Research Institute's (EPRI) EMF advisory committee. Dick Cavett devoted two of his cable talk shows to interviews with Brodeur.

And there is more to come. Articles are in the works at *USA Today, Family Circle, Discover* and *BusinessWeek*, among many others. The *Sunday Today Show* will air a piece on August 20; other news shows, including the much-hyped *Prime Time Live*, are planning their own EMF segments.

Public awareness certainly has grown. On the negative side, too much attention has been devoted to household appliances. *USA Today*'s short blurb on the OTA report was headlined "Killer Toasters?" (June 19) and the *New York Times* (July 11) ran illustrations of no fewer than eight different appliances—but, nonetheless, managed to omit the two which present the greatest potential risk, electric blankets and video display terminals (VDTs). The *Times* also managed to overstate EPRI's 1989 EMF bioeffects research budget—$5.5 *billion*, instead of $5.5 million.

MICROWAVE NEWS is published bimonthly • ISSN 0275-6595 • PO Box 1799, Grand Central Station, New York, NY 10163 • (212) 517-2800 • Editor and Publisher: Louis Slesin, Ph.D.; Associate Editors: Jennifer Goren, Sarah Verdone; Contributing Editor: Mark A. Pinsky; Copy Editors: Jim Feldman, Ann Hornaday; Intern: Fiona Greaves • Subscriptions: $250.00 per year ($285.00 Canada & Foreign, U.S. funds only); single copies: $50.00 • Copyright © 1989 by Louis Slesin • Reproduction in any form is forbidden without written permission.

By far the most important word came from *Science* magazine. In a July 21 editorial, Deputy Editor Philip Abelson called for "much more" money for EMF health research (see p.6). Abelson based his appeal on the OTA report.

All this media attention has prompted replies from industry. In a letter to utility chief executive officers, EPRI called the OTA report a "balanced review" and sought to correct some of Brodeur's criticisms. EPRI stressed that it is working hard to find answers to power line health questions.

Over at ERI, Dr. Robert Kavet—whose earlier career at EPRI is featured in the *New Yorker* articles—prepared a review of Brodeur's analysis of VDT EMFs for the Center for Office Technology, whose members include IBM and AT&T. After disputing a number of Brodeur's points, Kavet nevertheless agreed that more needs to be done: "Further research and careful critical analysis should continue to address and resolve the questions raised by the science, as well as to address the public health concerns about health effects."

Dr. H.B. Graves and attorney Tom Watson, both at Crowell & Moring, the Washington, DC, law firm which represents utilities across the country, have released a "Fact Sheet" to try to put their own spin on the *New Yorker* series. They devote a number of pages to the Marcy-South lawsuit and to the team of experts which they assembled for the New York Power Authority and other utility clients. But they are silent about the serious charges that some of these experts violated National Institutes of Health rules on outside income in testifying as paid witnesses in these cases. Power companies are distributing the fact sheet far and wide.

The IEEE's Committee on Man and Radiation, known as COMAR, is preparing its own response.

What has been missing so far is the government's response. Informed sources have told *Microwave News* that high-level reviews of the state of research and current funding levels are under way at a number of federal agencies. Yet no one has come forward to make funding available for more research.

Members of Congress have been equally silent. Representative George Miller (D-CA) held a one-day hearing on power line health risks two years ago (see *MWN*, S/O87). But not a word has been heard since then from Miller or from anyone else on Capitol Hill. All legislative and regulatory activity remains at state and local levels.

The public is clamoring for answers and there is a national consensus for more health research. What more will it take for the federal health establishment to take its head out of the sand and start making EMFs a national priority?

CONFERENCES

New Listings

September 14-15: **Measurement of Power System Magnetic Fields,** Lenox, MA. Contact: Hazel Mazza, General Electric Co., High-Voltage Transmission Research Center, East New Lenox Rd., PO Box 796, Lenox, MA 01240, (413) 494-4359 (see p.12).

September 25-26: **1st Annual Transmission Symposium,** Washington, DC. Contact: The Management Exchange, 123 East 54th St., New York, NY 10022, (212) 371-8320 (see p.6).

October 27-29: **Electromagnetic Fields and Neurobiology: A Current Perspective,** Hilton of Santa Fe, Santa Fe, NM. Contact: D. Hjeresen, M-899, Los Alamos National Lab, Los Alamos, NM 87545, (505) 667-3839.

November 2-5: **Meeting of the Society of Telecommunications Consultants (STC),** ***Telecommunications Consulting in the 1990s,*** Sheraton Hotel and Towers, Seattle, WA. Contact: Effie Cooper, STC, 1841 Broadway, Suite 1203, New York, NY 10023, (212) 582-3909.

December 11-14: **5th International Conference on Mobile Radio and Personal Communications,** University of Warwick, U.K. Contact: Institute of Electrical Engineers, Savoy Place, London WC2R 0BL, U.K., (01) 240-1871, ext. 222.

1990

March 25-28: **1st International Congress on Therapeutic Electromedicine and Lasers,** Hyatt Regency, Jerusalem, Israel. Contact: Dr. Zion Singer, Secretary, Scientific Committee, Open University of Israel, PO Box 39328, Tel Aviv, 61392 Israel.

March 30-April 3: **44th Annual Broadcast Engineering Conference and 68th Annual Convention of the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB),** Georgia World Congress Center, Atlanta, GA. Contact: Engineering Conference Committee, Science and Technology Department, NAB, 1771 N St., NW, Washington, DC 20036, (202) 429-5346.

April 4-5: **26th Annual Meeting of the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP),** Mayflower Hotel, Washington, DC. Contact: NCRP, 7910 Woodmont Ave., #800, Bethesda, MD 20814, (301) 657-2652.

April 17-20: **International Magnetics Conference (INTERMAG '90),** Metropole Hotel, Brighton, U.K. Contact: Davina Houseago, INTERMAG '90, c/o ITEL, Brighton Polytechnic, Brighton BN2 4GJ, U.K., (273) 670400.

May 1-3: **6th International Ionospheric Effects Symposium,** Washington, DC. Contact: Ionospheric Effects Symposium Coordinator, Code 4180, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375 (see p.12).

May 6-11: **12th World Congress on Occupational Safety and Health,** Congress Centrum Hamburg, Hamburg, F.R.G. Contact: World Congress on Occupational Safety and Health, Hamburg Messe und Congress GmbH, Postfach 30 24 80, D-2000 Hamburg 36, F.R.G., (040) 35 69 22 42.

May 7-11: **IEEE AP-S International Symposium & URSI Radio Science Meeting,** Dallas Convention Center, Dallas, TX. Contact: Dr. Oren Kesler, IEEE AP-S/URSI Symposium, PO Box 860130, Plano, TX 75086, (214) 952-3772.

CLASSIFIEDS

VDT NEWS

"Recognized for its authority on health and safety issues"
— *Fortune* Magazine

[ ] 1-year subscription (6 bimonthly issues) for $87.00 ($97.00 Canada & Foreign).

[ ] ____ back issues, 1984-1988, $45.00 per year ($50.00 Canada & Foreign).

[U.S. Funds Please]

Order from: *VDT News,* PO Box 1799, Grand Central Station, New York, NY 10163, (212) 517-2802.

Name_____
Institution_____
Address_____
City_____
State_____ Zip_____

Order Microwave News

[ ] 1-year subscription (6 bimonthly issues) for $250.00 ($285.00 Canada & Foreign).

[ ] 6-month trial (3 bimonthly issues) for $130.00 ($150.00 Canada & Foreign).

[ ] ____ back issues, 1981-1988, $95.00 per year ($100.00 Canada & Foreign).

[U.S. Funds Please]

Order from: *Microwave News,* PO Box 1799, Grand Central Station, New York, NY 10163, (212) 517-2800.

Name_____
Institution_____
Address_____
City_____
State_____ Zip_____

MICRO WAVE NEWS

Vol. X No. 4 A Report on Non-Ionizing Radiation July/August 1990

INSIDE...

ELF NEWS pp.2-5

Power Line Talk:
Canadian Report Finally Out • Grandmother Writes to the White House • EPRI Advice at APPA Meeting • IBM Pays To Bury Line • U.K. Line Rejected
"Microwave News" in "Time"
Mother of Leukemia Victim Sues Utility
Prudent Avoidance—Swedish Style
New Jersey Drafts 200 mG Standard
Table of Magnetic Field Exposure Limits
EPA To Test Gaussmeters
Virginia City Council Moves To Lower EMFs
PSE&G Makes a Promise
A Tie to Female Breast Cancer? p.9
Is the Pineal the Key to the Mechanism? p.9
Breast Cancer Bibliography p.10
Congress Pursues Case of NCI Experts p.12

HIGHLIGHTS pp.5-8

Impaired Immunity of Aluminum Workers
Swiss Endorse IRPA RF/MW Limits
RF Link to NY Cancer Cluster Studied
IEEE Committee on NIER Under Fire
ELF-Shielded VDTs Expected in 1991

FROM THE FIELD pp.11-12

EPA's Mantiply on Sitting on Transformers
EPA on Guy Chronic MW Exposure Study

UPDATES pp.13-15

TENS Doesn't Work • Protecting Avionics from EMI • EEC on VDT Radiation • X-Rays from Broadcast Transmissions • Witness List for EMP-Leukemia Trial • People in the News • RF Menstruation Treatment • "Issues" on EMFs • Satellites Interfere with Astronomers • ANSI Actions • Proceedings of VDT Meeting • FDA Report on PEMFs • BRAGS Proceedings Offer • and more...

Male Breast Cancer Again Tied to Occupational EMF Exposures

Support for the Johns Hopkins Study

Epidemiologists at the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center in Seattle, WA, have uncovered new evidence for an association between occupational exposures to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) and the development of male breast cancer. The study supports the preliminary findings of a Johns Hopkins University (JHU) study, reported late last year, showing an increased risk of male breast cancer among young New York telephone workers (see *MWN*, N/D89).

Paul Demers, working with Dr. David Thomas's research group at the Hutchinson center, has found that telephone linemen, electricians and electric power workers have six times the expected rate of male breast cancer—a statistically significant increase. For radio and communications workers, the risk was almost tripled. Overall, there was almost a doubling of the cancer risk for all EMF-exposed workers.

Special Report on EMFs and Breast Cancer: Are Women at Risk? Is the Pineal Gland Responsible? pp.9-10

"Our results support the theory that EMFs are related to male breast cancer and raise the possibility of a tie to breast cancer among women," Demers told *Microwave News*. Indeed, Demers's findings are leading experts to take the possible link to female breast cancer more seriously (see story on p.9).

"It's a very important study that seriously raises the suspicion that

(continued on p.8)

Prospects for Federal EMF Research Funding Improve

The outlook for an expanded federal research program on the biological effects of electromagnetic fields (EMFs) has greatly improved. At a congressional hearing on July 25, industry and government representatives endorsed a research budget of $34 million over the next five years. The bill, H.R.4801, which was introduced by Rep. Frank Pallone (D-NJ), is now likely to advance in the House of Representatives.

Rep. James Scheuer (D-NY), chairman of the House Committee on Science, Space and Technology's Subcommittee on Natural Resources, Agriculture Research and Environment, convened the hearing to consider H.R.4801, saying, "Much more must be done before we can be complacent

(continued on p.12)

ELF NEWS

« Power Line Talk »

Three years after beginning its study and two years after its last meeting, a working group on ELF fields set up in 1987 by **Health and Welfare Canada** has issued its report, *Electric and Magnetic Fields and Your Health*. Members of the working group were recruited from governmental agencies, academia, labor unions and utilities (see *MWN*, M/J87). One member, **Gary Cwitco** of the Communications and Electrical Workers of Canada, is unhappy not only for the delay in publication, but because the working group's real report—including its recommendations and conclusions—is buried in an appendix at the back of the published pamphlet. In an April 19 letter to Canada's Minister of National Health and Welfare, Perrin Beatty, Cwitco charged that the report had taken so long to be published that it is considerably weaker than if it had been written today. In addition, he expressed his surprise and frustration that the minister had not yet acted on one of the two recommendations made by the working group—to set up a committee to advise on future bioeffects research. Cwitco said that he had been "misled" by Health and Welfare Canada and that his concerns were "so great" that he would "seriously consider abstaining from any further work" with the department. At last word, Cwitco had not heard back from Beatty.

«« »»

A "very concerned grandmother" has gone directly to the White House to express her concern over cancer risks from **electric blankets**. In a May 27 letter, she asked **Barbara Bush** to "do everything in your power to increase public awareness of this grave, potential danger." Her suspicions were aroused two years ago, when her 2½-year-old granddaughter was diagnosed with a neuroblastoma. After seeing a TV report on EMFs and electric blankets, the woman questioned her daughter, who contacted five other mothers of children with neuroblastomas and discovered that the six of them had all used electric blankets during their pregnancies. "It is a heartbreak to think that possibly a lot of these cancers might never have happened had the public been warned," she wrote. The grandmother, who lives near New York City, asked that her name not be published.

"Microwave News" in "Time"

The recent explosion in media coverage of nonionizing radiation health risks reached new heights with the July 30 issue of *Time* magazine, in which *Microwave News* was profiled in a three-column article by Philip Elmer-DeWitt titled "Hidden Hazards of the Airwaves: An Obscure Newsletter Uncovers the Perils of the Information Age."

«« »»

"Open, frank and honest communication..." is essential if the electric power industry is to head off a stampede toward restrictive public policy on power line construction, the Electric Power Research Institute's (**EPRI**) Director of Corporate Communications **Richard Claeys** told the American Public Power Association (APPA). In a June 11 speech at the APPA's annual meeting in Denver, CO, Claeys described a rising tide of public concern over EMF hazards. An EPRI poll of 100 utility CEOs last December found that customer inquiries and press calls about EMFs have tripled and that employee concerns are up 50 percent. "I exhort you, as industry leaders and communications professionals, to plan now...prepare yourselves for the possibility of positive research evidence or—and maybe worse—conflicting or inconclusive findings in an atmosphere of rising public anxiety," Claeys said. He advised his audience not to dismiss fears out of hand, but rather to acknowledge their legitimacy and support further scientific research: "You have to help in giving this issue the attention and the sincere concern that it deserves."

«« »»

IBM in Boulder, CO, has agreed to pay $680,000 to bury a planned 230 kV line that nearby residents feared would create higher EMF levels and reduce the value of their homes. IBM's contribution represents the difference in cost between building a double-circuit overhead line (reportedly $2.3 million) and a single-circuit underground line ($3 million). The line, which will be approximately two miles long, is intended to serve IBM's needs exclusively. An IBM spokesman told us that following an agreement with the local utility, Public Service Co., the company now has "happy neighbors." Construction is expected to begin in the spring of 1991.

«« »»

After hearing testimony on the potential health hazards of power line EMFs, the **Great Yarmouth Borough Council** in the **U.K.** has rejected a new 132 kV overhead transmission line proposed by Eastern Electricity, according to the July 22 issue of *The Observer*, a U.K. weekly newspaper. The council is asking Eastern to bury the line, a move which would add £4 million ($7 million) to the £120 million ($216 million) project. This is the first time a power line project has been derailed on health grounds in the U.K., *The Observer* noted. Power line EMFs have been the subject of sharp debate in England since *Electronics World+Wireless World*, a monthly trade magazine, ran a cover story on the "Killing Fields" in its February 1990 issue (see *MWN*, M/A90).

Mother of Leukemia Victim Sues Washington State Utility

In June, the mother of a teenage girl who died of leukemia in 1987 filed a "wrongful death" suit against the Public Utilities District (PUD) of Clark County, WA. The suit alleges that exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from power lines at home and at school and from a substation near her home caused the cancer.

Between 1979 and 1983, Ariana Hightower attended Crestline Elementary School, which has a 12.5 kV distribution line and a 115 kV transmission line running adjacent to school property. There were seven cases of cancer reported among Crestline students between 1982 and 1989.

In the suit, Ariana's mother, Sheila Hightower Anderson, contends that the utility "has a common law duty to prevent harm to the public" and was negligent in that it failed to warn citizens in Clark County of potential EMF hazards, according to the July 6 issue of *Clearing Up*, a Pacific Northwest energy industry weekly. Anderson's complaint was filed shortly before the statute of limitations deadline.

"We don't feel the utility is to blame," said Clark PUD spokesman Bruce Bosch. In response to community concerns, the utility measured EMF levels at Crestline and other schools in the Vancouver, WA, area earlier this year and found "very low levels of both electric and magnetic fields that were caused by the fluorescent lighting...."

Michael Hicks, the Vancouver attorney who is representing Mrs. Anderson, would not comment on whether suits from other Crestline parents would be forthcoming.

The apparent cancer cluster has prompted the Southwest Washington Health District to initiate an investigation into possible causes, including EMFs. Dr. Karen Steingart, the chief investigator on the case, told *Microwave News* that, compared with other schools in the area, Crestline does not have significantly elevated EMF levels. She also noted that there were four different types of cancer among the seven children, and four of the seven had lived in the area for less than one year prior to diagnosis. A final report has not yet been issued.

In December 1987, a Texas family sued Houston Lighting & Power, alleging that EMFs from a 345 kV power line caused Michael Scott to develop an astrocytic brain tumor at age 26 (see *MWN*, J/F88). John McDowell of Bankston & McDowell in Houston, TX, the attorney representing the Scott family, told *Microwave News* in late July that the suit is still pending and that he expects a trial date in early 1991.

Correction

In the M/J90 issue, the stated increase in brain tumors observed by Dr. David Savitz among children whose mothers used electric blankets during the first trimester of pregnancy was incorrect. It should have read 300%. See *American Journal of Epidemiology, 131*, pp.763-773, 1990.

Prudent Avoidance —Swedish Style

The Swedish National Energy Administration (NEA) has advised that new schools, day-care centers and playgrounds not be located near power lines, "pending further research."

In a February 1 letter that has been widely distributed among utility officials and health researchers, Jaak Nõu, the head of NEA's department of electrical safety, recommended that magnetic fields in those areas not exceed 2-3 mG—the threshold for increased childhood cancer risks indicated by the Wertheimer-Leeper and Savitz studies. The letter was addressed to a homeowner living next to a power line which the State Power Board is planning to upgrade from 220 kV to 400 kV.

Nõu also urged a "strategy of caution" for residential construction over the next two years, recommending that areas furthest away from power lines be developed first, with additional work contingent on the results of ongoing studies.

Several epidemiological studies on the link between power line magnetic fields and cancer are now under way in Sweden (see *MWN*, M/J87 and N/D89).

New Jersey Drafts 200 mG Power Line ROW Limits

The New Jersey Commission on Radiation Protection is considering the adoption of standards to limit power line electromagnetic fields (EMFs) and to prohibit the siting of new playgrounds in their right-of-ways (ROWs).

"My goal is to get the power companies to take health concerns seriously when they put up new power lines," Dr. Fred Sterzer told *Microwave News*. Sterzer, of MMTC, Inc. in Princeton, NJ, is the chairman of the commission's Non-Ionizing Radiation Advisory Committee, which is drafting the standards. He advocates making power line EMFs "as low as is reasonably achievable," a strategy better known by its acronym, ALARA. Sterzer does research on biomedical applications of non-ionizing radiation.

Dr. Max Weiss, the chairman of the commission and a former staffer at AT&T Bell Labs, told *Microwave News* that the commission is "not far away from adopting some rules." Action could come at the commission's next meeting on September 19, or, more likely, at its October 17 session. The commission can accept or reject the advisory committee's recommendations.

If adopted, the standards would require that EMFs at the edge of a new power line's ROW not exceed 200 mG. The magnetic field strength of existing power lines would be

Public Exposure Limits for ELF Magnetic Fields

Regulatory Body	Magnetic Field Limit (mG)	MWN References
International Radiation Protection Association (1989)	1,000	M/J89
New York (1990) Public Service Commission	200*	M/A90
New Jersey (1990) Commission on Radiation Protection	200* (draft)	See story below
Florida (1989) Department of Environmental Regulation	150-250*	M/A89

* Along power line right-of-ways

(The Wertheimer-Leeper and Savitz studies indicated an increased cancer risk in children at 2-3 mG.)

limited to "the maximum current rating." The draft standards are similar to those in Florida and to one proposed in New York state (see table above).

Electric field strength would be limited to 3 kV/m along the ROWs of all power lines.

John Karcher of Jersey Central Power & Light (JCP&L) in Morristown, a member of the committee, said that he is in basic agreement with the 200 mG limit.

The proposed standards have already drawn fire from citizens who point to epidemiological studies which have found an increased risk of cancer at levels one hundred times weaker than the proposed limit. "The power companies couldn't ask for anything more," said Melvin Greenberg, a lawyer for Middletown Township, which is opposing a new JCP&L 230 kV power line through the town (see *MWN*, J/A89).

Mary Cashen Purcell, one of the founders of Residents Against Giant Electric (RAGE), a group opposing the new line, criticized the composition of the drafting committee. "The foxes are guarding the chickens," she said. She characterized the controversy as a struggle of "industry against citizens, profits over common sense."

One of the options being considered for the new rules would prohibit the construction of new playgrounds in ROWs. Weiss said that there is "general agreement" on this provision.

How the state will treat those playgrounds already in ROWs is not clear. Sterzer said that there are playgrounds under power lines all over the state and that he favors their removal, but he acknowledged that this is probably not politically feasible.

Sterzer stressed that in keeping with the ALARA policy, power companies would have to show that they have taken "reasonable measures to minimize the fields" from new power lines. The proposed standards would require that new or upgraded power lines be built so that they would generate lower EMFs:

> The new line must be constructed using the following methods: (1) underground burial in pipe-type cable; (2) transmission of power via direct current; (3) high phase order; (4) if the line is a double circuit, use compact configuration, reverse phasing and raise the conductors ten feet higher than standard construction; or (5) if the line is a single circuit, vertically configure the conductors and raise the conductors 10 feet higher than standard construction.

Before they could be adopted, the standards would be reviewed by lawyers from the state Department of Environmental Protection and a public hearing would be held. According to Weiss, the process could take from six months to a year.

EPA To Test Gaussmeters

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will evaluate ELF gaussmeters. Edwin Mantiply of EPA's Office of Radiation Programs (ORP) in Las Vegas, NV, who is leading the project, is limiting the tests to relatively inexpensive, non-recording meters.

In June, Mantiply asked more than 20 manufacturers to loan EPA their meters. The tests will include measurements of accuracy, sensitivity, frequency response and susceptibility to radiofrequency interference. The results, which are due by the end of the year, will be made available to the public.

Until now, no government agency has tested the growing number of ELF gaussmeters on the market. For a list of available meters, see *MWN*, J/F90 and M/J90.

For more information, contact: Edwin Mantiply, EPA, ORP, PO Box 98517, Las Vegas, NV 89193, (702) 798-2476.

Alexandria, Virginia, Moves To Reduce Power Line EMFs

The city council of Alexandria, VA, has voted to endorse a plan to reduce power line electromagnetic field (EMF) levels on several blocks in the densely populated Old Town section of the city. The plan, proposed by a task force made up of representatives from the city council, citizens groups and Virginia Power, would require that the lines be either buried, relocated or upgraded from 4 kV to 34.5 kV.

Distribution lines crisscross Old Town, running very close to buildings on the narrow streets. Magnetic fields of up to 45 mG have been measured inside some of the houses.

Though the council's endorsement is not a formal approval of the plan, Thomas O'Kane, Alexandria's director of transportation and environmental services, told *Microwave News* that the city is presently looking into underground routes for the lines. Estimates of the cost of burial run as high as $3 million.

Negotiations with Virginia Power over funding for the project are still under way. Coincidentally, Virginia Power's 30-year franchise agreement with the city runs out this year, and the utility is in the process of negotiating to maintain its exclusive rights to supply Alexandria with electricity. Though the city previously paid for burying lines in Old Town, John Oyenart, Virginia Power's customer service manager, told *Microwave News* that Alexandria's mayor, Jim Moran, has proposed that the utility pay for the project as a condition of the franchise agreement.

Although Oyenart refused to endorse or to reject the task force's proposal, he was skeptical about the merits of the plan. "The jury is still out as far as any possible EMF health risks," he said, adding that he "is pretty sure that undergrounding won't get rid of the fields."

Since the utility does not acknowledge any EMF health risks, the project may be billed as "historic undergrounding," intended to preserve the aesthetic beauty of Old Town, O'Kane said.

PSE&G Makes a Promise

"You have a right to know the facts and we pledge our best efforts in getting them to you," Public Service Electric and Gas (PSE&G), New Jersey's largest electric utility, promises in an ad running in 15 daily New Jersey newspapers. The ad is part of a $70,000 publicity campaign on EMFs which began in June and which will conclude in August.

The ads—titled "What you should know about EMF"; "What is being done about EMF"; and "A promise about EMF"—stress PSE&G's commitment to the Electric Power Research Institute's (EPRI) research program on bioeffects and to keeping customers informed.

The ads do not acknowledge EMF health hazards: "It is PSE&G's belief, based on the latest...evidence, that EMF does not represent a threat to the health and safety of its customers and employees." EMF health effects are termed "rare, difficult to detect and quite subtle, if they exist at all," though the utility admits that there are "valid concerns."

According to Neil Brown, a company spokesman, PSE&G had received 100 requests for more information by mid-July.

HIGHLIGHTS

Abnormal Immune Systems Among Aluminum Workers

Aluminum workers who were routinely exposed to very high magnetic fields and toxic chemicals had abnormal immune systems, according to a new study by Drs. Robert Davis and Samuel Milham Jr. of the Washington state Department of Health in Olympia.

In their pilot study, Davis and Milham selected 23 apparently healthy volunteers, aged 35-54, from a group of 350 workers and found that 15 of the 20 who worked in the "potrooms" had elevated levels of T8 suppressor lymphocytes (white blood cells) compared to the three who worked in other parts of the plant. The large number of T8 cells lowered the ratio of T4 to T8 lymphocytes—an indicator of abnormal immune function. Two of the three non-potroom workers also had low T4/T8 ratios, though these were due to low T4 counts.

"These workers definitely had abnormal immune profiles," Milham told *Microwave News* in a telephone interview. "Now we must find out whether their immune functions are impaired." Potroom workers were employed on an average of 18 years at the plant—13 years in the potrooms.

Those suffering from AIDS also have low T4/T8 ratios, making them very susceptible to pathogens which the immune system could otherwise fight off. In AIDS patients, however, the ratio is low because of an abnormally low number of T4 helper lymphocytes.

Potroom workers are exposed to very high magnetic fields, intense heat and a host of toxic chemicals, including benzene and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Aluminum ions are reduced into the metal in large steel pots.

Although Davis and Milham did not measure the electromagnetic fields (EMFs) in the potrooms, they cited a study by Dr. Tom Tenforde—now at the Battelle Pacific Northwest

Lab in Richland, WA—indicating that magnetic fields greater than 100 G can be generated in the electrolytic reduction of aluminum. Whether the magnetic fields were static or time-varying was not specified.

The new study was prompted by Milham's observation of a cluster of five cases of B-cell lymphoma among aluminum plant workers between 1978 and 1985. Previous studies of occupational mortality among Washington state aluminum workers had also shown higher-than-expected rates of lymphoma. Although Davis and Milham point out that the observed immune system changes may have no bearing on the increased lymphoma rate, they note that immunodeficiency is a "known risk factor" for B-cell lymphoma.

The researchers were also careful to point out that, "The cause of these findings is unknown." Nevertheless, they cited experiments by Dr. Dan Lyle, working with Dr. Ross Adey at the VA Hospital in Loma Linda, CA, showing that EMFs can alter the immune response of exposed cells (see *MWN*, My83).

In addition, Dr. Jerry Phillips, who recently joined Adey's lab, has reported that human cancer cells exposed to EMFs are less susceptible to attack by lymphocytes (see *MWN*, J/A86).

Milham is the author of a number of landmark studies on the link between EMFs and cancer (see *MWN*, J/A82, My85, J/A85, M/A86, N/D87 and J/F89).

Davis and Milham's paper, "Altered Immune Status in Aluminum Reduction Plant Workers," appears in the *American Journal of Industrial Medicine, 18*, pp.79-85, July 1990.

Swiss Advisory Group Endorses IRPA's RF/MW Limits

An advisory committee to the Swiss Federal Office for Environment, Forests and Landscape (known by its German acronym, BUWAL) has endorsed the International Radiation Protection Association's (IRPA) standards for human exposure to radiofrequency and microwave radiation in the 100 kHz–300 GHz frequency band.

The IRPA standards, adopted in 1984 and revised in 1988, are based on a specific absorption rate (SAR) of 0.08 W/Kg for the general public—equivalent to 200 $\mu W/cm^2$ at 10-400 MHz (see *MWN*, Mr84 and J/F88).

The BUWAL will use the committee's recommendations to set federal safety standards.

In its June 1990 report, the advisory group, chaired by Dr. H. Krueger, a professor at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, concludes that the threshold for ill effects, based on animal studies, is in the range of 2-4 W/Kg and recommends the application of a safety factor of 50. It also notes that, at frequencies below 50 MHz, shock and burn hazards occur at SARs "well below 2 W/Kg."

Though Krueger's committee indicates that modulated fields and specific frequencies may be responsible for non-thermal effects, it decided that, without knowing the responsible mechanisms of interaction, it was impossible to set standards for non-thermal effects.

A future report will address health risks from exposures to frequencies below 100 kHz.

For a copy of *Biological Effects of Non-Ionizing Electromagnetic Radiation on Human Beings and the Environment—Part 1: Frequency Band 100 kHz to 300 GHz*, Environmental Protection Document No. 121, contact: Dokumentationsdienst, Bundesamt für Umwelt, Wald und Landschaft (BUWAL), Hallwylstrasse 4, 3003 Bern, Switzerland, (031) 61-69-64.

The title of the document in German is: *Biologische Auswirkungen Nichtionisierender Elektromagnetischer Strahlung auf den Menschen und seine Umwelt—1. Teil: Frequenzbereich 100 kHz bis 300 GHz*, Schriftenreihe Umweltschutz Nr.121.

RF Link to New York Cancer Cluster Under Study

An investigation is under way of a cluster of non-Hodgkin's lymphomas (NHL)—a rare cancer of the lymph system—among workers at the University of Rochester, NY. Since 1980, there have been at least ten—some say eleven—cases reported among those who now work, or used to work, at the school's Lattimore Hall, according to the Rochester *Times-Union*. No cause for the cluster has been identified, though some have pointed to the campus's 1,000-watt FM radio (WRUR) antenna, located 100 feet from Lattimore Hall.

"It's a striking cluster," Dr. James Melius, director of the division of occupational health and environmental epidemiology at the state Department of Health (DOH), told *Microwave News*. Melius, who is following the university's investigation for the DOH, added that radiofrequency (RF) radiation is a "legitimate concern."

There are, however, opposing views on whether RF radiation may be a factor in the cluster. In an interview, Dr. Clifford Reifler of the University of Rochester's Medical Center said that RF radiation has been dismissed as a possible cause, along with asbestos, radon, ionizing radiation, water contamination and building materials. "It doesn't appear to be a problem with the environment," he added. Reifler is coordinating the university's investigation with the DOH.

A June 9 RF radiation survey of Lattimore Hall and nearby buildings by Galson Technical Services, Inc., a Rochester-based consulting firm, found no detectable levels. The report, commissioned by the university, concluded that the RF levels are not hazardous because they are far lower than the guidelines specified by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH).

Galson's failure to detect RF radiation may be due to the meter used: the HI-3002 manufactured by Holaday Industries in Eden Prairie, MN. Holaday's Dave Baron told *Microwave News* that, "The low end of the sensitivity range of the HI-

3002 is 250 μW/cm². To detect levels in the microwatt range one should use one of our more sensitive meters."

Dr. Robert Becker, a consultant based in Lowville, NY, who has been following the cluster investigation, doubts that the ANSI and ACGIH standards are appropriate for assessing cancer risks: "These 'safe' levels have little justification in reality, they are rather arbitrary and have been questioned for some time," he said. "The RF survey in no way proves that there is no relation between the cancer cluster and the radio signals."

The university was first alerted to the Lattimore Hall cluster in 1986 when three women in their 30s developed cancer: two were diagnosed with NHL, one with breast cancer. Since then, nine more cases of NHL have been identified. One of these cases is not officially considered part of the cluster, since it involves a student who was at the university for only 18 months before the cancer was diagnosed.

"Our plan is to follow up and see if there are any more cases [of NHL]," Melius told *Microwave News*. The DOH is now going over health records of current and former university employees to see if some cases have been overlooked. Mary Ellin Arch, who is covering the cluster for the *Times-Union*, said that she has been inundated with calls from people who say that they are part of the cluster but haven't been counted.

There have been additional cases of breast cancer since the first report, though the investigators are not considering these, because there is "no suggestion that breast cancer has ever been caused by environmental factors," Reifler said.

Lattimore Hall housed chemistry labs until it was renovated in 1974, prompting some to question whether some leftover toxic substance might be responsible for the cluster. Reifler dismisses this, however: "Anything that was in the building before 1974 is gone," he said.

Makeup of IEEE Committee on NIER Under Fire

The membership of the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers' (IEEE) committee developing standards on non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation (NIER) is being criticized because of its lack of biological and medical expertise and because the majority of its members represent the U.S. military.

The dispute threatens to delay the revision of the 1982 American National Standards Institute (ANSI) safety guidelines for radiofrequency and microwave (RF/MW) radiation and to hinder the committee from developing exposure standards for extremely low frequency (ELF) electromagnetic fields (EMFs). IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 28 (SCC28), the new incarnation of ANSI Committee C95, has been working on revising the RF/MW standard since 1983 (see *MWN*, J/A83).

Fletcher Buckley, a member of the IEEE Standards Board, who works for General Electric (GE) in Moorestown, NJ, raised the issue of the IEEE's lack of expertise in bioelectromagnetics in a May 16 memorandum to Marco Migliaro of Impell Corp. in Melville, NY, the chairman of the IEEE Standards Board. "It is not clear that the IEEE by itself can come to an authoritative conclusion on the long-term dangers of cancer from long-term exposure to low-level [EMFs]," Buckley wrote.

"Where is the competence to determine low-level effects? We at the IEEE are not in the field nor do we publish epidemiological studies or other literature on cancer," he told *Microwave News* in a telephone interview. Buckley explained that he is concerned about the IEEE's expertise in both ELF EMFs and RF/MW biological effects. He also made clear that he was not speaking on behalf of GE.

Buckley's memo touched off a letter-writing campaign in support of the committee's work by a number of its members, including: Dr. Jay Brandinger of SRI International on behalf of the Electromagnetic Energy Policy Alliance, a trade group based in Washington, DC; Dr. Bill Guy of the University of Washington in Seattle; Dr. Don Justesen of the VA Medical Center in Kansas City, MO; John Mitchell of the Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine in San Antonio, TX; Dr. Elliot Postow of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in Bethesda, MD; and Dr. Sol Michaelson of the University of Rochester in Rochester, NY.

Indeed, in his letter to Migliaro, Justesen raised "the question of the appropriateness of [Buckley's] membership on the

ELF-Shielded VDTs Expected in 1991

Sigma Designs, Inc. will market high-resolution video display terminals (VDTs) that are shielded to reduce ELF fields in the first quarter of 1991—the first U.S. company to make such a commitment for cathode ray tube monitors.

Only monochrome and gray-scale VDTs with reduced ELF emissions will be available, according to Sigma's Charlie Waters. In a telephone interview, he told *Microwave News* that all color monitors sold in the U.S. are manufactured overseas. "We are dependent on the Japanese for a color solution," he said. Sigma's color terminals are made by Sony Corp.

Following the lead of IBM (see *MWN*, N/D89), Sigma announced in late July that it would immediately start selling VDTs shielded to meet Swedish guidelines for very low frequency (VLF) fields. Sigma has been selling low VLF computer terminals in Sweden since 1988.

Sigma, which is based in Fremont, CA, did not specify the emission levels of its ELF-shielded VDTs, saying only that it would meet the Swedish ELF guidelines expected later this year.

Sigma is a major supplier of VDTs and other hardware for IBM, Macintosh and compatible systems. Last year, its sales were over $75 million. The company sells tens of thousands of VDTs each year, according to Waters.

Standards Board." Migliaro could not be reached for comment.

At the last meeting of SCC28, held in San Antonio, TX, on June 9, NIH's Postow expressed concern over the predominance of military interests on the committee, pointing out that 17 of the 31 members are associated with the Department of Defense (DOD), as are 8 of 13 liaison members. The DOD's role in the redrafting of the standard was also raised at last year's SCC28 meeting in Tucson, AZ (see *MWN*, S/O89).

"I am not happy with the process," Postow said in an interview. Similarly, Dr. Om Gandhi of the University of Utah in Salt Lake City, the cochairman of the subcommittee that is revising the 1982 ANSI standard, told *Microwave News* that the SCC28 committee "is not going to sit well with the public." Gandhi said that the committee needs new members, adding that, "I think it should be a balanced committee."

SRI's Brandinger, the chairman of SCC28's membership subcommittee, has been asked to investigate how to achieve balance. In an interview, he predicted that two of the military members would step down from the committee.

At the June meeting, the members of SCC28 voted to set up a new subcommittee to study the possibility of issuing a standard for exposures to ELF EMFs. The only dissenting votes came from Dr. Dave Erwin of the Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine and Lt. Col. H.F. Kerschner of the U.S. Naval Medical Command in Washington, DC. SCC28 Chairman Dr. Tom Budinger of the Lawrence Berkeley Lab in Berkeley, CA, deferred selecting the members of the new subcommittee.

"The IEEE will probably investigate ELF frequencies," John Woods, the institute's staff liaison with SCC28, told *Microwave News*, but he pointed out that a number of other IEEE groups have an interest in the subject.

Interestingly, both issues—the committee's membership and the desirability of setting ELF standards—have been raised before. In 1984, Dr. Nicholas Steneck, a professor of history at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, charged that the committee did not represent the public interest (see *MWN*, O84), and in 1986, a subcommittee issued a report on the question of ELF standards—but the idea was never pursued (see *MWN*, J/F86).

It is not clear how long the resolution of these issues will delay the approval of the revised RF/MW standard and whether the IEEE will allow SCC28 to address ELF EMFs. While a clear majority of the members of the subcommittee that revised the 1982 standard favor the proposed draft, the questions of membership expertise and balance will have to be resolved before the standard can be adopted.

Male Breast Cancer Again Tied to EMFs *(continued from p.1)*

exposure to EMFs increases the risk of breast cancer in men, and perhaps, in women," Dr. Richard Stevens of the Battelle Pacific Northwest Lab in Richland, WA, said in a telephone interview. Three years ago, Stevens published a paper suggesting that the higher incidence of breast cancer among women in industrialized countries is related to increased EMF exposures and/or increased "light-at-night" (see *MWN*, J/F87).

Demers's case-control study was based on 227 cases of male breast cancer occurring over a three-year period and 300 controls. Exposures were characterized by occupational history.

Demers pointed out that his results are "consistent" with the few available details on the JHU study. Last November, Drs. Genevieve Matanoski, Patrick Breysse and Elizabeth Elliott reported that they had found at least two cases of breast cancer among male central office technicians where none were expected. Furthermore, there were three or four more cases which did not fit the study's case definition, according to Breysse (see *MWN*, N/D89).

Matanoski told *Microwave News* that after analyzing her data, she contacted the Hutchinson researchers—who were already investigating male breast cancer risk factors—and suggested they take a look at the potential EMF connection.

The JHU team has suspended work on the New York study pending the completion of their nationwide case-control study of telephone workers (see *MWN*, N/D88 and M/A90).

Like the JHU researchers, who focused on active—hence, younger—workers, Demers observed a higher risk among men who were first exposed before they turned 30. Workers who were first exposed 30 or more years prior to diagnosis made up a second high risk group, Demers said: "If we had limited our study to ten-year exposures, we may not have seen anything." He noted that there was a great deal of overlap between the two groups. Duration of exposure, for the most part, was not related to risk, however.

Demers announced his findings at the *23rd Annual Meeting of the Society for Epidemiologic Research*, held June 12-15 at the Snowbird Resort near Salt Lake City, UT. He expects to submit a paper for publication in the near future. Demers's study is part of the larger investigation of male breast cancer by Thomas and coworkers being conducted at the Hutchinson center.

A second study of male breast cancer, which was also presented at the Utah meeting, concluded that there was no association with on-the-job EMF exposures. Paula Rosenbaum, who works with Dr. John Vena at the State University of New

MICROWAVE NEWS is published bimonthly • ISSN 0275-6595 • PO Box 1799, Grand Central Station, New York, NY 10163 • (212) 517-2800; FAX (212) 734-0316 • Editor and Publisher: Louis Slesin, PhD; Senior Editor: Jennifer Goren; Assistant Editors: Matthew Connelly, Barton Biggs; Contributing Editor: Mark A. Pinsky; Copy Editors: Jim Feldman, Peter Pullman • Subscriptions: $250.00 per year ($285.00 Canada & Foreign, U.S. funds only); single copies: $50.00 • Copyright © 1990 by Louis Slesin • Reproduction in any form is forbidden without written permission.

York in Buffalo, declined to discuss her findings with *Microwave News*. Vena was unavailable for comment.

The male breast cancer cases in the JHU study were among "central office technicians" who were exposed to complex EMFs with transient pulses generated by the on-off switching of equipment, JHU's Breysse told *Microwave News* last November. At that time, he suggested that the on-off pattern may be more significant for biological activity than constant 60 Hz field exposures. Those with the highest risk in the Demers study—electricians, telephone linemen and electric power workers—may also have been exposed to complex EMF waveforms.

Are EMFs Risk Factors for Breast Cancer in Women?

As the link between electromagnetic fields (EMFs) and male breast cancer grows stronger, researchers are asking whether EMFs may also play a role in the development of breast cancer among women.

Dr. Richard Stevens of the Battelle Pacific Northwest Lab has long maintained that the link between EMFs and female breast cancer requires a full-scale investigation (see p.1).

Actually, while there have been no studies of EMFs and female breast cancer, many experts say that male and female breast cancers are essentially the same disease.

"There are many more similarities than there are differences among male and female breast cancer," Dr. David Blask of the University of Arizona College of Medicine in Tucson told *Microwave News*.

Similarly, Dr. David Thomas of the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center in Seattle, WA, said that male and female breast cancers "look the same histologically under the microscope."

When asked about the EMF-female breast cancer connection, Blask replied, "I don't know if there is enough to sound the alarm, but it's something worthy of study." Thomas

Is the Pineal the Key to the Mechanism of Interaction?

Dr. Russel Reiter of the University of Texas Health Science Center in San Antonio, a pioneer researcher on the effects of light and ELF fields on the pineal gland, may have an explanation for how magnetic fields might lead to cancer.

It has long been known that the pineal's production of the hormone melatonin—which inhibits the growth of certain cancers—can be suppressed by EMFs, as well as by light (see *MWN*, M/J88). Now Reiter suggests that fast magnetic field pulses are responsible for this EMF effect.

By examining the nocturnal pineal rhythms of rats exposed to a 400 mG static magnetic field which was periodically inverted, Reiter and coworkers have narrowed down the cause of the effect to abrupt changes in the field. They propose that the electric currents induced by these changes create magnetophosphenes in the retina, which are interpreted as light by the brain. This in turn causes melatonin levels, which are elevated at night, to drop.

The ability of ELF fields to trigger magnetophosphenes has long been known, but the effect has generally been considered benign.

Reiter emphasizes that relatively steady magnetic fields do not affect pineal rhythms. "A short, quick pulse is what clearly induces the change by inducing the electrical currents necessary to create visual impressions of light," Reiter told *Microwave News*. Shifting position while sleeping, for example, wouldn't interrupt the pineal-melatonin cycle, but turning on a hair dryer might, he said.

In a recent paper published in *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, Reiter and colleagues conclude that the observed pineal effects are most likely explained by "induced currents in the nervous system caused by the on- and off-transients of the...magnetic field...."

What is not clear is whether magnetic field exposures during the day—when melatonin levels are normally low—affect nighttime levels. Reiter's team is currently working on this question.

"Clearly, there may be some adverse effect of electric and magnetic field exposure," Reiter said, but added, "We don't know if these exposures change melatonin levels enough to cause cancer."

Dr. David Blask of the University of Arizona College of Medicine in Tucson and Dr. Steven Hill of the Abilene Christian University in Abilene, TX, reported in 1988 that melatonin concentrations similar to levels present in the bloodstream caused a 60-78% inhibition of *in vitro* human breast cancer cell proliferation, but that lower and higher levels had no effect. More recently, Blask has been working with researchers at the Battelle Pacific Northwest Lab in Richland, WA, who have done trailblazing work on ELF field effects on pineal rhythms and cancer.

Much more work is needed to fill in all the pieces of the puzzle. Indeed, the Environmental Protection Agency's current draft report on EMFs and cancer (see *MWN*, M/J90 and p.11) calls for more research on the EMF-pineal-breast cancer link: "The suppressive effects of ELF on pineal melatonin production and the general oncostatic properties of melatonin in several endocrine-stimulated tumors provide indirect evidence for the hypothesis that ELF exposure may be a risk factor in the growth of these tumors. Studies which incorporate all three parameters, ELF exposure, melatonin production and breast cancer induction, are needed for further evaluation of this hypothesis."

said that until more results on EMF effects were in, he would not be willing to "make the jump" from male to female breast cancer.

The results of his ongoing study of risk factors for male breast cancer may provide leads for further study of breast cancer in women, Thomas concluded in a paper presented at the *Annual Meeting of the American Epidemiological Society*, March 22-23, 1990, in Baltimore, MD.

Due to the prevalence of the disease, the impact of an EMF-female breast cancer link, if it exists, would be considerable. The American Cancer Society estimates that in 1990 breast cancer will account for 29% of all cancers—and 18% of all cancer deaths—among women.

Men account for about 1 of every 150 cases of breast cancer per year in the U.S., according to the National Cancer Institute (NCI). In 1988, there were only about 900 cases among men, compared with 143,000 among women. Between 1982 and 1986, there was a 15% increase in the incidence of female breast cancer; during this period, male breast cancer decreased 10%; similarly, breast cancer deaths increased among women and decreased among men.

From 1960 to 1985, breast cancer incidence among women in Portland, OR, rose 45%, according to a new analysis in the *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. Kaiser Permanente's Dr. Andrew Glass and NCI's Dr. Robert Hoover note that the incidence of the disease "has been rising steadily in the United States for at least 50 years."

Some of the risk factors for men observed by Thomas include a history of breast cancer among close family relations (male or female), exposure to ionizing radiation and testicular pathology and dysfunction. The first two are also risk factors for women. Other risk factors for women include early menarche, advanced age at birth of the first child and late menopause.

Approximately 60% of female breast tumors and 80% of male breast tumors are estrogen receptor-positive, according to Blask, who added that melatonin can block the stimulatory effect of estrogen on these tumors. And Glass and Hoover recently reported that between the mid-1970s and -1980s, the incidence of estrogen receptor-positive tumors among women increased an average of 131%—compared to a 22-27% increase for estrogen-receptor negative tumors—"perhaps implicating hormonal factors in the rising incidence of breast cancer." Blask pointed out that, "No one is really certain about hormonal changes in men with breast cancer. It hasn't been studied very much at all."

Breast Cancer and EMFs: References

L. Bernstein et al., "Urinary Estrogens and Male-to-Female Breast Cancer Ratios," Letter to the Editor, *International Journal of Cancer, 44*, pp.954-956, 1989.

P. Demers, D. Thomas et al., "Occupational Exposure to Electromagnetic Radiation and Breast Cancer in Males" (abstract), presented at the *23rd Annual Meeting of the Society for Epidemiologic Research*, Snowbird Ski and Summer Resort, UT, June 12-15, 1990.

Environmental Protection Agency, *Evaluation of the Potential Carcinogenicity of Electromagnetic Fields*, Workshop Review Draft, No. EPA/600/6-90/005A, Washington, DC, June 1990.

Andrew Glass and Robert Hoover, "Rising Incidence of Breast Cancer: Relationship to Stage and Receptor Status," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 82*, pp.693-696, 1990.

Derek Gupta, Andrea Attanasio and Russel Reiter, editors, *The Pineal Gland and Cancer*, London, U.K.: Brain Research Promotion, 389 pp., 1988.

Steven Hill and David Blask, "Effects of the Pineal Hormone Melatonin on the Proliferation and Morphological Characteristics of Human Breast Cancer Cells (MCF-7) in Culture," *Cancer Research, 48*, pp.6121-6126, November 1, 1988.

A. Lerchl, K.O. Nonaka, K.-A. Stokkan and R.J. Reiter, "Marked Rapid Alterations in Nocturnal Pineal Serotonin Metabolism in Mice and Rats Exposed to Weak Intermittent Magnetic Fields," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 169*, pp.102-108, 1990.

F.C. Leung et al., "Effects of Electric Fields on Rat Mammary Tumor Development Induced by 7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene" (abstract), presented at the *10th Annual Bioelectromagnetics Society Meeting*, Stamford, CT, June 19-23, 1988.

Genevieve Matanoski, Elizabeth Elliott and Patrick Breysse, "Cancer Incidence in New York Telephone Workers" (poster), presented at the *Annual Department of Energy-Electric Power Research Institute Contractors Review*, Portland, OR, November 15, 1989.

National Cancer Institute, *Cancer Statistics Review, 1973-1986*, Bethesda, MD, 1989.

P. Rosenbaum et al., "Risk Factors for Male Breast Cancer in Western New York" (abstract), presented at the *23rd Annual Meeting of the Society for Epidemiologic Research*, Snowbird Ski and Summer Resort, UT, June 12-15, 1990.

Edwin Silverberg, Catherine Boring and Teresa Squires, "Cancer Statistics, 1990," *Cancer—A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 40*, pp.9-26, January/February 1990.

Richard Stevens, "Electric Power Use and Breast Cancer: A Hypothesis," *American Journal of Epidemiology, 125*, pp.556-561, 1987.

D. Thomas et al., "Risk Factors for Breast Cancer in Men" (abstract), presented at the *Annual Meeting of the American Epidemiological Society*, Baltimore, MD, March 22-23, 1990.

Bary Wilson et al., "Chronic Exposure to 60 Hz Electric Fields: Effects on Pineal Function in the Rat," *Bioelectromagnetics, 2*, pp.371-380, 1981.

Bary Wilson, Richard Stevens and Larry Anderson, "Neuroendocrine Mediated Effects of Electromagnetic Field Exposure: Possible Role of the Pineal Gland," *Life Sciences, 45*, pp.1319-1332, 1989.

Bary Wilson, Richard Stevens and Larry Anderson, editors, *Extremely Low Frequency Electromagnetic Fields: The Question of Cancer*, Columbus, OH: Battelle Press, 382 pp., 1990.

H.Y. Yap et al., "Male Breast Cancer: A Natural History Study," *Cancer, 44*, pp.748-754, August 1979.

Milton Zaret, "Letter to the Editor," *Journal of the American Medical Association, 259*, pp.3129-3130, June 3, 1988.

FROM THE FIELD

Sitting on Transformers

To the Editor:

Based on observations and a few measurements, I would like to add a piece to the 60 Hz magnetic field exposure puzzle. At least in the Las Vegas area, ground-mounted transformers are used in residential areas as part of the underground power distribution system. These transformers are housed in hinged steel boxes on concrete pads adjacent to sidewalks. The boxes have horizontal dimensions of about 0.9 by 0.9 meter and are about 0.6 meter high.

The magnetic field (or more properly flux density) near these boxes decreases rapidly with distance; the field is typically less than 0.1 μT or 1 mG at horizontal distances of more than 1 meter from the transformer. However, the apparent common use of the transformers for seating by the public requires us to consider field strengths near and at the surface of the steel container of the transformer.

During periods of high power consumption (which occur here in the summer), I have measured maximum 60 Hz magnetic fields at the surface of the transformer boxes of approximately 40 μT or 400 mG. This measurement was made at the side of a box. Surface field values vary at different locations on the surface and change in time as the power load changes. The maximum field on the upper horizontal (seating) surface of the transformer box is usually found near the center of the box or along the hinge. These values varied from about 10 to 30 μT or 100 to 300 mG.

Measurements during the fall when power consumption is low indicated the fields were decreased by about a factor of three. Some data were acquired on the field strength variation with height above a transformer box. For example, at the surface the field was 7.3 μT, at 10 cm above the box the field decreased to 3.1 μT and at 20 cm the field was 1.1 μT.

The use of ground-mounted transformers as seating would seem to be an easily avoidable source of exposure.

Sincerely,
Edwin D. Mantiply,
Office of Radiation Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Las Vegas, NV

EPA on Guy Chronic MW Exposure Study

In its new report on the potential carcinogenicity of electromagnetic fields[1] (EMFs), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) analyzes the results of Dr. Bill Guy's long-term exposure study[2] at the University of Washington in Seattle, in which rats were exposed to modulated 2450 MHz microwave (MW) radiation over their lifetimes. Reprinted below is an excerpt from EPA's assessment of the Guy study, which appears on pp.4-40–4-42 of the report. Note that EPA refers to 2450 MHz as radiofrequency (RF) radiation.

The University of Washington study, done at a power level carefully calibrated to simulate human exposure at the maximum continuous level allowed by the [American National Standards Institute] standard, showed the induction of benign adrenal pheochromocytomas in the exposed group and no statistically significant elevation at any other site. There is a slight elevation of carcinomas in each of several other glandular organs (pituitary, thyroid, adrenal cortex, pancreas, testes and liver), which is statistically significant if they are all considered as a single group. This apparent induction of malignant tumors occurs without an increase in benign tumors of those sites. There is also statistically significant elevation in the incidence of carcinomas, but not sarcomas, at all sites.

Combining tumors across these glandular organs is justifiable on the generalized hypothesis that [EMFs] affect all tissues in the body and that these glands, being specialized to respond to small amounts of specific circulating hormones, have cell membrane-bound receptors whose function could be affected by ion currents induced by the external fields. Although this concept is consistent with recent research on the mechanism of tissue interaction with low frequency fields, there are at least two reasons why the combining of glandular organ tumors of all sites may not be appropriate. These are:

a. The proposed mechanism is based on low frequency field phenomena, whereas RF radiation accounts for most of the energy in the applied field.

b. This proposed mechanism, though plausible, has not been empirically established.

The combining of carcinomas of all sites is a different issue than the combining of carcinomas of the glandular organs alone. The former can be justified on the basis that all organs are more or less equally exposed to the same fields and induced currents without specifying the nature of the biological response to the fields, whereas the latter requires an additional specific hypothesis of how biological tissue responds to these fields. Combining of carcinomas at all sites is considered to be more valid than combining of glandular organ carcinomas alone because the additional incompletely tested hypothesis is not necessary.

The clear positive findings of Szmigielski et al.[3] show that RF fields without low frequency components stimulate the growth of tumors and indicate that they may act as a tumor promoter, or a modifying factor in the development of tumors. The role of tissue heating as a mechanism for this effect is not clear.

In summary, the University of Washington long-term animal study provides evidence that pulsed [EMFs], in the absence of an appreciable tissue heating effect, induce carcinomas generally across all tissues of the body without being localized to any single site. The same generalized carcinoma response also appears in the glandular organs as a group. The exposure also induces benign adrenal medulla tumors without any evidence of adrenal hormone imbalance. This lesion is typically of a low degree of malignancy.

Under the plausible but unproven hypothesis that either all tissues or the endocrine glands in particular interact with the fields by way of a common carcinoma-induction mechanism, the University of Washington study can be said to demonstrate that pulsed RF fields are carcinogenic. It is not known whether the RF or the low frequency components or both acting together are responsible for the effects. The finding in the Szmigielski et al. study that unmodulated 2450 MHz RF radiation accelerates the development of both spontaneous and chemically-induced tumors indicates that the RF component has carcinogenic activity, but the possibility of whole-body heating as a contributory factor in their study cannot be ruled out.

If, in fact, only the RF component produces the effect, then the rationale for considering the glandular organs as a target disappears, since it is based on the idea of an EMF-activated plasma membrane phenomenon in the glandular tissue. However, in this case, all rat tissues are still exposed more or less equally to the radiation, so there

is still a valid reason for combining carcinomas at all sites.

Therefore, regardless of which range of frequency components is biologically effective, there is a valid rationale for combining the tumor sites as we have done, and the University of Washington study can be said to have demonstrated the carcinogenic action of this type of pulsed RF radiation.

1. EPA, *Evaluation of the Potential Carcinogenicity of Electromagnetic Fields*, Workshop Review Draft, No. EPA/600/6-90/005A, June 1990. Contact: Dr. Robert McGaughy, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment (RD-689), EPA, 401 M St., SW, Washington, DC 20460, (202) 382-5898. See *MWN*, M/J90.

2. A.W. Guy et al., *Effects of Long-Term Low-Level Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure on Rats*, Nine Volumes: Report Nos. USAFSAM-TR-83-17, 83-18, 83-19, 83-42, 83-50, 84-2, 84-31, 85-11 and 85-64; issued between September 1983 and August 1985. See, especially Volume 8 (TR-85-11): "Evaluation of Longevity, Cause of Death and Histopathological Findings," April 1985. For more information, contact: Dr. David Erwin, [RZP], USAF School of Aerospace Medicine, Aerospace Medical Division (AFSC), Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235, (512) 536-2576; Dr. Bill Guy, Bioelectromagnetics Research Lab, RJ-30, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, (206) 543-1071. See *MWN*, J/A84, Mr85 and N/D86.

3. S. Szmigielski et al., "Accelerated Development of Spontaneous and Benzopyrene-Induced Skin Cancer in Mice Exposed to 2450 MHz Microwave Radiation," *Bioelectromagnetics*, 3, pp.179-191, 1982. See also *MWN*, My81.

Congressional Support for EMF Research Funding (continued from p.1)

about our uninterrupted level of exposure to EMFs." Scheuer and ranking minority subcommittee member Rep. Claudine Schneider (R-RI) endorsed the Pallone measure, almost assuring its passage out of committee.

The subcommittee may act on the bill in the remaining few weeks of the current congressional session or may hold further hearings to prepare for action in 1991, according to a top subcommittee aide. She noted that it is still possible that Scheuer will offer a funding amendment on the floor of the House in the current session if a Senate cosponsor can be found.

In contrast to past congressional hearings on EMFs, which have been poorly attended by congressmen (see *MWN*, N/D87 & M/A90), Scheuer's hearing drew nine members of congress. A standing-room-only audience packed the committee room.

The changed perception of the EMF issue was further evidenced by the virtually unanimous backing for the Pallone legislation. Both Democrats and Republicans on the subcommittee expressed support for the bill, as did the Edison Electric Institute (EEI), the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) and the American Public Power Association (APPA).

Indeed, some witnesses asked for more than the $3 million Pallone recommended for fiscal 1991. Dr. Robert San Martin, deputy assistant secretary for utility technologies at the Department of Energy (DOE), said that $6 million would be preferable—$5 million for research and $1 million for public information. APPA recommended an annual funding level of $12 million.

James Cunningham, representing the New York Power Authority (NYPA) and the Large Public Power Council (LPPC), restated the LPPC's proposal for an industry-funded, government-coordinated $15 million research effort. He first announced the proposal at a congressional hearing in March (see *MWN*, M/A90).

The most reluctant endorsement of Pallone's funding proposal came from Dr. William Farland, director of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Health and Environmental Assessment. As Farland read from his prepared text, Scheuer interrupted abruptly, charging that Farland was not "addressing the question" of whether the EPA supports Pallone's bill. Farland attempted to explain EPA's past work on EMFs, but Scheuer interrupted again. Under Scheuer's prodding, Farland conceded the agency's support. "We support the draft as it was presented to us. We think there are ways to improve it," he said.

Dr. David Nagel, vice president of Apple Computer's Ad-

Scheuer To Pursue Case of NCI Scientists

Rep. James Scheuer plans to investigate the National Cancer Institute's (NCI) response to alleged misconduct by three NCI scientists who testified on behalf of electric utilities concerning EMF health risks.

His subcommittee will seek an explanation as to why NCI officials allowed the scientists to serve as expert witnesses dismissing EMF risks when the institute was embarking on a multimillion-dollar cancer study, a senior Scheuer aide told *Microwave News*. Altogether, the three received nearly $125,000 for appearing for the New York Power Authority at the Marcy-South transmission line trial (see *MWN*, N/D88 and J/F89).

At the July 25 congressional hearing, Scheuer interrupted Dr. Ross Adey as he was reading excerpts from his prepared testimony. "Wait a minute," Scheuer said. "There is a very important part of your testimony that you didn't read. Do you want to read it or should I?"

Adey then read the following:

> It is a matter for public outrage that scientists employed by the [NCI]... received large personal fees for court appearances in behalf of electric power companies by declaring in an issue far beyond their individual or collective competence that there are no mechanisms by which [EMFs] could play a role in cancer. And this they did in the very month that NCI put forth a national request for proposals to study the epidemiology of childhood leukemia in relation to [EMF] exposures. What should the public's expectation be for the credibility of such a study?

Scheuer responded that, "It looks to me like a clear dereliction of duty on behalf of NCI leadership and scientists" in not preventing such a conflict of interest from occurring.

vanced Technology Group, also said that $3 million is insufficient. Apple recently joined the public debate on EMF health risks after *MacWorld* ran a cover story in July titled "Could Your Computer Be Killing You?" The magazine reported that its laboratory tests showed that an Apple color display monitor had produced extremely low frequency (ELF) EMFs as high as 15 mG 12 inches from its right side.

Earlier this year, the committee approved an amendment allocating $1 million for fiscal 1991 to EPA for EMF research. Under the amendment, which was sponsored by subcommittee member Rep. George Brown (D-CA), the funding would increase to $2 million annually for fiscal years 1992 and 1993 (see *MWN*, M/J90).

If Pallone's legislation is enacted, the DOE will maintain its leading role in EMF research, with EPA and the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) playing roles in setting EMF research priorities (see *MWN*, M/J90).

At the hearing, several witnesses emphasized the need for uninterrupted funding. Dr. Ross Adey of the VA Hospital in Loma Linda, CA, told the subcommittee that, "The pace of our acquisition of needed knowledge has been far from optimal."

Adey countered longstanding concerns that researchers are unable to explain how EMFs might cause ill effects: "Studies at the cell and molecular level have built, and continue to build, a series of critically important bridges between laboratory science and human epidemiology; so that it is no longer possible to say that mechanisms mediating interactions of electromagnetic fields with biomolecular systems remain unknown with respect to potential health problems."

The DOE's endorsement of H.R.4801 was an about-face from its stand at the March congressional hearing, when San Martin defended the agency's research program as "rational and reasonably satisfactory at this time" and rejected suggestions that the DOE might do more.

This reversal is consistent with a widespread shift in attitudes about EMF research, which observers attribute to the June release of EPA's cancer review (see *MWN*, M/J90), Paul Brodeur's widely publicized article on cancer clusters near power lines and electrical substations in the July 9 *New Yorker* and Brodeur's article on video display terminal EMFs in the July *MacWorld*.

Mitigation Controls?

Scheuer and ranking minority member Schneider both emphasized the need for study of how to mitigate EMF exposures. They both criticized the DOE for opposing the provision in Pallone's bill which would authorize such work.

"Since no health effects arising from EMF exposure have been established," San Martin said, "we believe that extensive concurrent work on mitigation is not appropriate."

Schneider suggested that a possible step for controlling exposures would be to set federal limits on field levels at the edge of transmission line right-of-ways (ROWs). James Cunningham of the NYPA noted that several states are attempting to do so but cautioned against federal rules. "What is needed now is not regulation, but research," he said.

EPA's Farland also told the subcommittee that, "At this time, the agency is not making any recommendations to the general public in the report or as a direct consequence of the report. There are many uncertainties which remain about the degree or extent of any hazard posed by exposure to EMFs."

Most of the witnesses supported a federal information program as called for in H.R.4801. Testifying on behalf of EPRI's Dr. Leonard Sagan, Dr. Stanley Sussman said that, "There is an important need for a federal EMF information center, as well as for an EMF mitigation research effort."

UPDATES

COMMUNICATIONS

AM Broadcast X-Rays... Certain types of AM broadcast transmitters may produce X-radiation at much higher levels than previously believed, according to Bruce Hunter of the Voice of America. Hunter found that transmitters using efficient pulse-width modulators require 20-30 kilovolts, "which greatly enhances the production of ionizing radiation in the form of x-rays." He recommends greater worker awareness of ionizing radiation risks and closer monitoring of x-radiation levels in his paper, "X-Ray Emission from Broadcast Transmitters," which appeared in *IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, 36*, pp.14-23, March 1990.

COMPATIBILITY & INTERFERENCE

Protecting Avionics... The FAA's specifications for protecting electronic flight systems against high-power RF/MW radiation are "excessive" and do not "represent the actual threat levels," according to the General Aviation Manufacturers Association (GAMA), a group based in Washington, DC. In an April 30 position paper, GAMA asked the FAA to reduce its current shielding specifications for critical functions from 100 V/m to 20 V/m. Previously, the FAA's shielding requirement had been pegged to 200 V/m in the 10 kHz-18 GHz band (see *MWN*, N/D89). The FAA is most concerned about 1-400 MHz signals, GAMA noted. "There is no documented evidence of incidents or accidents involving civil airplanes caused by exposure" to high-energy RF/MW radiation, GAMA maintained. GAMA is running its own tests and plans to issue a report. For its part, the FAA expects to issue proposed rules to protect against the RF/MW threat this October. The agency is also identifying "unique high-power transmitters on flight charts so that aircraft operating in the vicinity of these transmitters can avoid the specified locations," according to a May 7 RF/MW program plan.

Satellite Signals Muscle Out Astronomy... The growing

number of military radionavigational satellites is taking a toll on radioastronomy research. In a letter to *Nature* (June 7, 1990), John Galt of the Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics in British Columbia, Canada, states that the U.S. Navstar global positioning system and the parallel Soviet system, GLONASS, emit "sidebands" at 1660-1670 MHz that interfere with signals from hydroxy (OH) radicals. Galt judges that this satellite "pollution" has already "seriously curtailed radio studies of important classes of stars." He urges that, in the future, satellites be designed to be compatible with radioastronomy and that existing systems that cause interference be phased out.

EMP LITIGATION

Expert Witnesses in Strom Suit... Depositions are being taken prior to the *Robert Strom and Barbara Strom v. The Boeing Co.; Boeing Medical Services Co.; The Lovelace Biomedical and Environmental Research Institute; and EG&G, Inc.* trial (see *MWN*, J/A88). Robert Strom, a former Boeing electronics technician, alleges that his leukemia is a result of extensive exposure to electromagnetic pulse (EMP) radiation during his work at Boeing, and that the company was aware that EMP radiation was a potential hazard but continued to expose him while monitoring his health. Lists of expert witnesses have been filed with the court by both sides. On behalf of the ***Stroms***, the experts are: Dr. Frank Barnes, University of Colorado, Boulder; Dr. Robert Becker, Becker Biomagnetics, Lowville, NY; Dr. Ralph Coates, Emory University, Decatur, GA; Dr. John Huff, The Mason Clinic, Seattle, WA; Dr. Robert Levine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT; Dr. Abraham Liboff, Oakland University, Rochester, MI; Dr. Samuel Milham, Department of Health, State of Washington, Olympia; Dr. Richard Parks, Seattle, WA; Dr. Peter Wright, Polyclinic, Seattle, WA. On behalf of ***Boeing***, the experts are: Dr. Robert Adair, Yale University, New Haven, CT; Dr. Philip Cole, University of Alabama, Birmingham; Frank Cranny (affiliation unknown); Col. John Cutting, Department of Defense, Washington, DC; Dr. Bruce Dickerson, Environmental Research Information, Inc., New York, NY; Roy Ellis (affiliation unknown); Dr. David Erwin, School of Aerospace Medicine, Brooks AFB, TX; Dr. William Godden, Blanco, TX; Dr. Arthur Guy, University of Washington, Seattle; Dr. Mark Israel, University of California, San Francisco; B.K. Kwon, National BioSystems, Inc., Rockville, MD; Gordon McHenry (affiliation unknown); John Mitchell, School of Aerospace Medicine, Brooks AFB, TX; Dr. Gilbert Omenn, University of Washington, Seattle; Dr. John Pickering, Albuquerque, NM; Dr. David Savitz, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. On June 11, Boeing's attorneys advised the court that Omenn and Savitz "may not be available to testify at the trial." The expert witnesses for ***Lovelace*** are: Dr. Albert Jonsen, University of Washington, Seattle; Dr. Don Justesen, VA Medical Center, Kansas City, MO; Barbara Mishkin (tentative), Hogan & Hartson, Washington, DC; Dr. Paul Mossman, Albuquerque, NM. The attorneys representing the Stroms are Michael Withey, J. Murray Kleist and Leonard Schroeter, of Schroeter, Goldmark & Bender in Seattle, WA; Arthur Bryant of Trial Lawyers for Public Justice in Washington, DC; and Herbert Newberg of Philadelphia, PA. Representing Boeing are John Dillow and V.L. Woolston, of Perkins Coie in Seattle, WA. Representing Lovelace are William Squires III and Stuart Dunwoody, of Davis Wright Tremaine in Seattle, WA.

MEDICAL APPLICATIONS

Placebo as Good as TENS... Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) is ineffective in treating lower back pain, according to a study in the June 7, 1990 issue of the *New England Journal of Medicine* (*322*, pp.1627-1634). Dr. Richard Deyo of the Seattle, WA, Veterans Affairs Medical Center and colleagues found no statistical difference between the reported improvements in back pain from one group of 36 patients using EMPI Corp.'s EPIX 982 TENS unit and from another group of 36 patients using a sham unit. In contrast, one month of exercise led to a statistically significant improvement. The research team notes that the use of TENS to treat lower back pain is based on the theories that high frequency TENS counterstimulates the nervous system to modify the perception of pain and that low frequency, high-amplitude TENS raises endorphin levels in the spinal fluid. In an interview with the *Wall Street Journal* (June 7), Michael Connoy, the president of EMPI Corp. of St. Paul, MN, criticized the one-month treatment period used by the researchers as too short and the intensity of the pulses as too weak. According to Deyo, the VA spent nearly $2 million on TENS units in 1986.

Electrical Repair... The FDA has issued an *Overview of the Literature on Electrical Bone Repair and Growth Stimulation* (FDA 90-8277). Clinical, animal and in vitro studies on both PEMF and DC treatments are addressed. The report, which was edited by Dr. Mays Swicord, is available for $17.00 from: NTIS, Springfield, VA 22161, (703) 487-4650. Order No. PB90-167255/AS. Though dated July 1989, it was only recently released. See *MWN*, M/A89 for the AMA's assessment of PEMF therapy.

RF and Menstruation...A team of U.K. researchers has found that 27 MHz RF energy can be useful for the treatment of excessive menstruation (menorrhagia). Three different doses were tried: 330, 445 and 660 kJ—the best results were obtained with the highest dose. The researchers note that the "procedure is easy and quick to do" and that it is inherently safe "since the electromagnetic radiation is unable to penetrate deeply...." Their report appears in *The Lancet, 335*, pp.374-376, February 17, 1990.

MEETINGS

VDT Proceedings... Papers from the National Institute of Child

Health and Human Development (NICHD) November 4, 1988 workshop on *Reproductive Effects of VDT Use* (see *MWN*, N/D88) have been published in a special issue of *Reproductive Toxicology*. Among them is a comprehensive survey of research on PMFs and reproductive risks by EPA's Dr. Ezra Berman, who concludes that the weight of evidence supports the contention that PMFs have detrimental effects on the embryonic development of animals. Dr. Michele Marcus emphasizes the need for a prospective epidemiological study with accurate measures of exposure to risk factors such as stress and radiation. After the workshop, NICHD approved funding for the Mount Sinai study Marcus is now leading (see *MWN*, S/O89). NIOSH's Dr. Teresa Schnorr outlines the protocol of her ongoing epidemiological study (see *MWN*, S/O87). And Dr. Marilyn Goldhaber of Kaiser Permanente rebuts some of the criticisms of her 1988 study which showed that women who used VDTs more than 20 hours a week had more than twice as many miscarriages as other office workers (see *MWN*, M/J88). Goldhaber dismisses the possibility that confounders such as pesticide spraying or poor drinking water quality significantly affected her findings. A copy of *Reproductive Toxicology, 4*, No.1, 1990, is available for $48.00 plus $2.00 for postage and handling from: Pergamon Press, Inc., Maxwell House, Fairview Park, Elmsford, NY 10523, (914) 592-7700.

BRAGS Proceedings Offer... In anticipation of its tenth anniversary, the Bioelectrical Repair and Growth Society (BRAGS) is offering a set of the transactions of its first nine annual meetings for $100.00 plus postage. In recent years each volume has cost $45.00. All orders must be prepaid. Contact: Ethel Pollack, BRAGS, PO Box 64-K, Dresher, PA 19025, (215) 659-5180.

PEOPLE

John Villforth, the director of the FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH), is retiring in August after 29 years in public health service. Villforth will join the Food and Drug Law Institute in Washington, DC, as executive director. ...**Howard Bassen**, the associate chief for engineering in the Department of Microwave Research at the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, is returning to CDRH after a four-year absence. Bassen will be joining the center's electrophysics branch, but will continue to work for the Army one day a week on EMP measurement and modeling....Dr. **John Dennis**, the assistant director for physical sciences at the U.K.'s National Radiological Protection Board (NRPB), has retired. It is not yet clear who will take over Dennis's work on NIER....**Paul Gailey**, a former EPA engineer more recently with the EC Corp. in Knoxville, TN, has gone back to school. He has joined the doctoral program at the University of Utah's Department of Electrical Engineering, where he will be a student of Professor **Om Gandhi's**. Gailey plans to continue to do free-lance consulting....**Jerry Hellmuth**, the neighborhood organizer and director of the Bucklin Hill School for mentally handicapped children on Bainbridge Island, WA, died on May 10 at the age of 79. In the early 1980s, Hellmuth led a citizens group that blocked a proposed RCA satellite station on the island (see *MWN*, O81 and J/A82).

RESOURCES

Issues in EMFs... Dr. Andrew Bassett, professor emeritus of orthopedic surgery at Columbia University in New York City and a pioneer developer of PEMF therapies, is promoting a major federal research effort on the biological effects of EMFs—with an annual budget on the order of $100 million a year. "Before we start regulating, we need better information on which to base policy," he wrote in the Spring 1990 *Issues in Science and Technology*, in an article titled "Premature Alarm Over Electromagnetic Fields." The editors of the quarterly magazine, which is published by the National Academy of Sciences, asked a number of those participating in the EMF debate to respond to Bassett's article. The Summer 1990 issue features replies from: Dr. Carl Blackman of EPA, Paul Brodeur of *The New Yorker*, Dr. Granger Morgan of Carnegie Mellon University, Congressman Frank Pallone (D-NJ), Dr. Robert Park of the American Physical Society, Dr. Louis Slesin of *Microwave News*, Dr. Thomas Tenforde of the Battelle Pacific Northwest Lab and Morton Winston of NoRad Corp.

STANDARDS

ANSI and CISPR Actions...ANSI recently published an ISM standard on *Recommended Practice for the Measurement of [RF] Emission from Industrial, Scientific and Medical (ISM) Equipment Installed on User's Premises*, ANSI/IEEE 139-1988. Copies are available for $45.00 each from: Sales Department, ANSI, 1430 Broadway, New York, NY 10018....CISPR has issued an IEC draft international standard on *Radio Interference Characteristics of Overhead Power Lines and High Voltage Equipment—Methods for Derivation of Limits for HVDC Lines*, CISPR/C(Central Office)35, September 15, 1990. Copies are available for $18.00 from: ANSI (see above).

VDTs

EEC Regulates VDT Radiation... The Council of the European Communities, which regulates the 12 member states of the European Economic Community (EEC), adopted a directive on May 29 setting minimum health and safety requirements for work with VDTs. The directive specifies that, "All radiation with the exception of the visible part of the electromagnetic spectrum shall be reduced to negligible levels from the point of view of the protection of workers' safety and health." New workstations will not have to comply with the directive until December 31, 1992, and workstations designed before this date will have an additional four years to meet the new standards. Council Directive 90/270/EEC appears in the *Official Journal of the European Communities, 33*, L 156, pp.14-18, June 21, 1990.

CLASSIFIEDS

News About *Microwave News*

Bound Editions


The first five years of *Microwave News* (1981-1985) will soon be available as a bound volume for $450.00. Look for the second volume (1986-1990) in early 1991. For more information, contact: Jennifer Goren at (212) 517-2800.

Ten-Year Index

We are currently planning a ten-year index of *Microwave News*. We are trying to gauge interest in this effort. If your company or agency is interested in sponsoring the index, please call Louis Slesin at the number above.

Safe VDTs with 0.0 milliGauss (magnetic radiation) as well as 0.0 Volts/meter (electric radiation) at 60 Hz and at VLF frequencies. Used by U.S. Congress, U.S. EPA, NY State & Yale Medical School. Magnetic radiation milliGauss meters to measure power lines, VDTs, TVs. Call George Lechter at (800) 222-3003 or (617) 444-7778, or write for literature to Safe Computing, Inc., 368 Hillside Ave., Needham, MA 02194. The Safe Monitor has been featured on CNN, NBC and CBS.

ELF MAGNETIC FIELD METER
MFG. by COMBINOVA AB, SWEDEN



- Portable, rugged, weather resistant.
- Locates sources 5 to 1000 Hz.
- Meets Swedish requirements for accuracy.
- Programmable, stores 4000 measurements.

ERGONOMICS, INC.

P.O. BOX 964 • SOUTHAMPTON, PA 18966 • (215) 357-5124 / FAX (215) 364-7582

EMF Papers

Microwave News introduces *EMF Papers*—a biweekly clipping service on non-ionizing radiation. It's the perfect complement to your subscription to *Microwave News*. Only $100 per month. For more information, contact: Louis Slesin at (212) 517-2800.

VDT NEWS

The VDT Health and Safety Report

"Recognized for its authority on health and safety issues"
— *Fortune* magazine

[ ] 1-year subscription (6 bimonthly issues) for $87.00 ($97.00 Canada & Foreign).

[ ] ____ back issues, 1984-1989, $45.00 per year ($50.00 Canada & Foreign).

[U.S. Funds Please]

Order from: *VDT News*, PO Box 1799, Grand Central Station, New York, NY 10163, (212) 517-2802.

Name_____
Institution_____
Address_____
City_____
State_____ Zip _____

Order *Microwave News*

[ ] 1-year subscription (6 bimonthly issues) for $250.00 ($285.00 Canada & Foreign).

[ ] 6-month trial (3 bimonthly issues) for $130.00 ($150.00 Canada & Foreign).

[ ] ____ back issues, 1981-1989, $95.00 per year ($100.00 Canada & Foreign).

[U.S. Funds Please]

Order from: *Microwave News*, PO Box 1799, Grand Central Station, New York, NY 10163, (212) 517-2800.

Name_____
Institution_____
Address_____
City_____
State_____ Zip _____

MICRO WAVE NEWS

Vol. XI No. 4 | A Report on Non-Ionizing Radiation | July/August 1991

INSIDE...

ELF NEWS pp. 2-7

Power Line Talk:
CIRRPC's Secret Panel • Childhood Cancer Rates Up • Rep. Wolpe's Hearing in Michigan • NARUC Endorses the NERP • Advice to Architects • NYC Mayor Shows Concern • EMFs & Peanut Butter

Commentary:
Who's in Charge of EMF Research?

Matanoski Now Finds Leukemia Risk Among Telephone Workers

NERP Steering Committee Established

HEI Plans EMF Research Program

HEI and the Press

Utility Sued Over Child's Kidney Cancer

$750 Million Suit in Alabama

Australia & U.K. Plan Childhood Epi Studies

State and Local Actions:
Connecticut • Oregon • Rhode Island

HIGHLIGHTS pp.7-10

SAB Panel Seeks Rewrite of EPA Report

SAB Panel Conclusions

Brain Tumor Cluster in West Virginia

EPA Research Agenda Criticized by SAB

New Canadian RF/MW Exposure Standard

FROM THE FIELD p.11

Medical Microwaves Conference in Belgrade, Yugoslavia

UPDATES pp.13-15

Waterbed Litigation • Radar and Road Safety • MM Waves Meeting in Moscow • Warning to Radiation Control Directors • People in the News • More on Police Radar • EBEA To Hold First Congress • Symposium To Honor Guy • EMFs Everywhere • and more...

CLASSIFIEDS pp.15-16

New Support for EMF–Chemical Synergy in Cancer Promotion

Animal and Cellular Data Agree

Extremely low frequency (ELF) magnetic fields can act in concert with toxic chemicals to promote the development of cancer in both live animals and cell cultures, according to new experimental data.

At the *Annual Meeting of the Bioelectromagnetics Society* (BEMS), held in Salt Lake City, UT, June 23-27, Drs. Jack McLean and Maria Stuchly of Health and Welfare Canada in Ottawa, Ontario, announced that 60 Hz magnetic fields and TPA, a known tumor promoter, act synergistically to accelerate the development of skin tumors in mice.

At the same meeting, Dr. Chris Cain of the VA Hospital in Loma Linda, CA, reported that ELF magnetic fields can enhance the action of TPA in cancer cells grown in culture. "It is fascinating that the fields have the same effect in vivo and in vitro," Cain told *Microwave News*. "This may lead us to an understanding of mechanisms of interaction."

The Canadian researchers urged that their findings be interpreted with caution. "These are preliminary results," stressed McLean in an interview. Nevertheless, their data are the first to link ELF magnetic fields to the development of cancer in live animals and thus have already attracted a great deal of interest. The absence of animal data has long undermined the EMF–

(continued on p.12)

Electromagnetic Interference Implicated in Apnea Monitor Deaths

The lifesaving function of apnea monitors—electronic alarms that sound during respiratory failure—can be thwarted by electromagnetic interference (EMI). Low levels of radiofrequency (RF) radiation common in many residential areas and power frequency electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from household appliances can disrupt the monitors.

The failure of apnea monitors has been implicated in scores of fatalities—one model has been linked to at least 70 deaths—and has been the subject of Congressional, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and General Accounting Office (GAO) investigations.

"It is evident that these monitors need to be redesigned to withstand the ambient field strengths existing in major cities," according to researchers at the FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH). The agency has issued a warning to the roughly 50,000 users of apnea monitors in the U.S. but will not finalize EMI standards for more than a year.

At least one EMI incident has already led to litigation. In 1989, Michael

(continued on p.13)

« Power Line Talk »

While **EPA**'s Science Advisory Board (**SAB**) concludes its review of the draft report on EMFs and cancer (see p.7), a parallel review by the Committee on Interagency Radiation Research and Policy Coordination (**CIRRPC**) hasn't even begun. White House Science Advisor Dr. **Allan Bromley** ordered the CIRRPC review late last year after persuading EPA to make it a companion to the cancer report (see *MWN*, N/D90). Initially, CIRRPC appointed an outside panel headed by Dr. **Charles Susskind** of the University of California, Berkeley—who is also a member of the SAB panel—but he was soon removed. Now a committee of experts assembled by the Oak Ridge Associated Universities (**ORAU**) has taken over. "This way they can keep the whole business secret," an informed source told *Microwave News*. Diane Flack at ORAU's Washington, DC, office contends that there "is nothing secretive" about the review. Nevertheless, she said that the panel's first meeting in early September will be closed to outsiders. Flack said that she could not tell us who is on the committee but that she would ask the panel's chairman—whom she would only identify as being based in Oak Ridge, TN—to call us. We haven't heard from either of them since. Flack said that the panel hopes to have a draft report within six months. A 1985 CIRRPC review of NIER bioeffects by Dr. **Ross Adey** of the VA Hospital in Loma Linda, CA, was never made public (see *MWN*, N/D85, N/D87 and N/D90).

«« »»

There's more bad news on cancer rates: The National Cancer Institute (**NCI**) reports in its *Cancer Statistics Review, 1973-1988*, that the overall incidence of childhood cancer rose by 4.5% over the 16-year period. The increase was greatest for brain and nervous system cancers among white children, which rose by more than 30%. Leukemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma rates were also sharply higher. In an interview with the *New York Times* (June 26), NCI Director of Epidemiology and Biostatistics Dr. **Joseph Fraumeni** said that he thought that "people are less dismissive than they used to be" about the possible relationship between cancer and EMFs. Fraumeni is currently working on a study of childhood leukemia that will include EMFs as a possible risk factor (see *MWN*, J/F89 and S/O89). Previously we reported that the rates of brain cancer for both the elderly and people under 45 have been on the rise (see *MWN*, J/F91).

«« »»

Michigan Rep. **Howard Wolpe** (D) is taking his subcommittee to his home state to learn more about EMFs. A hearing, scheduled for August 6 in Battle Creek, on *EMF and High-Voltage Power Lines: A Case Study in Michigan* is the first of its kind to be held outside of Washington, DC. It will focus on the dispute over a proposed 115-mile, 345 kV transmission line from Battle Creek to Akron, IN (see *MWN*, M/J91). Invited witnesses include Dr. **Leonard Sagan** of EPRI, Dr. **Abe Liboff** of Oakland University in Rochester, MI, **Cathy Smith** of Michigan RAGE, based in Battle Creek, and Dr. **William Farland** of EPA, as well as officials from Consumers Power and local utilities and state representatives. Wolpe, who is chairman of the House Science, Space and Technology Committee's Subcommittee on Investigations and Oversight, wrote to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (**FERC**) earlier this year in support of a request by Michigan RAGE that FERC block the line (see *MWN*, M/J91). FERC has since approved the project.

«« »»

The National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (**NARUC**) has endorsed the National EMF Research Program (**NERP**) (see pp.3 and 4). In a resolution adopted July 24, NARUC's executive committee urged member utility commissioners to "encourage public education" about EMFs and to support the NERP. Two of the four members of the NERP steering committee—Chairman **John Coughlin** of Wisconsin and **Michael Wilson** of Florida—are members of NARUC's Committee on Electricity, which initiated the resolution.

«« »»

Architecture magazine's Alex Wilson has some practical advice for designing low EMF homes and offices. In the July issue, he writes that, when possible, structures should be located at least 500 feet from high voltage transmission lines. Wilson also suggests that all incoming conductors be placed close together and that major electrical equipment, such as elevator and HVAC motors, be far from occupied spaces.

«« »»

New York City Mayor **David Dinkins** is concerned about EMFs. In a March 6 letter to EPA Administrator **William Reilly**, Dinkins called on EPA to lead a strong research program, to standardize EMF testing procedures, to formulate exposure standards and to sponsor a meeting for the public. In reply, EPA has scheduled a workshop on measurements and bioeffects for August 14-15 in Albany and a public meeting for October 9 in New York City....New Yorkers are especially concerned over the threat posed by EMFs from **substations**. The City Council held a hearing on June 18 and has promised further action.

«« »»

"How risky are EMFs?" was the question posed to Dr. **Gilbert Omenn**, dean of the school of public health at the University of Washington, Seattle, and the chair of **EPRI**'s EMF scientific advisory panel, at an electric industry seminar. "I have to rank [EMFs] with eating peanut butter" on the spectrum of public health risks, Omenn replied, according to Cyrus Noë, writing in *Clearing Up* (July 3, 1991), a Pacific Northwest utility newsletter. Omenn was referring to aflatoxin, a carcinogenic fungus sometimes found in peanuts.