

20-1025 (Lead); 20-1138 (Consolidated)

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH TRUST; CONSUMERS FOR SAFE CELL
PHONES; ELIZABETH BARRIS; THEODORA SCARATO

CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE; MICHELE HERTZ; PETRA BROKKEN;
DR. DAVID O. CARPENTER; DR. PAUL DART; DR. TORIL H. JELTER; DR.
ANN LEE; VIRGINIA FARVER, JENNIFER BARAN; PAUL STANLEY, M.Ed.

Petitioners

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION;
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Respondents

Petition for Review of Order Issued by the
Federal Communications Commission

DEFERRED JOINT APPENDIX**VOLUME 17**

Edward B. Myers
Law Office of Edward B. Myers
14613 Dehaven Court
North Potomac, MD 20878
Phone: 717-752-2032
edwardbmyers@yahoo.com

Counsel for Petitioners 20-1025

Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.
Children's Health Defense
1227 North Peachtree Pkwy #202
Peachtree City, GA 30269
Phone: 845-377-0211
rfk.fcc@childrenshealthdefense.org

W. Scott McCollough
McCollough Law Firm, P.C.
2290 Gatlin Creek Rd.
Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Phone: 512-888-1112
wsmc@dotlaw.biz

Counsel for Petitioners 20-1138

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

Tab No.	JA Page Nos.	Date	Filer/Author	Filing/Attachment Description
VOLUME 1 – Tabs 1-2				
COMMISSION ORDER AND NOTICE OF INQUIRY				
1	1-160	Dec. 4, 2019	FCC	<i>Resolution of Notice of Inquiry Order</i>
2	161-363	Mar. 29, 2013	FCC	<i>Notice of Inquiry</i>
VOLUME 2 – Tabs 3 – 7 Part 1				
COMMENTS AND OTHER FILINGS				
3	364-428	Sep. 3, 2013	CTIA-The Wireless Association	FCC; Comments of the CTIA - The Wireless Association, ET Docket No. 13-84
4	429-467	Nov 18, 2013	CTIA-The Wireless Association	FCC; Reply Comments of the CTIA - The Wireless Association, ET Docket No. 13-84
5	468-572	Sep. 3, 2013	Mobile Manufacturers Forum	FCC; Mobile Manufacturers Forum Comments, ET Docket No. 13-84
6	573-588	Nov. 18, 2013	Mobile Manufacturers Forum	FCC; Mobile Manufacturers Forum Reply Comments, ET Docket No. 13-84

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

Tab No.	JA Page Nos.	Date	Filer/Author	Filing/Attachment Description
7 Part 1	589-764	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD	Research Compilation; Abstracts of over 2,100 studies published between 1990 - 2017; Prof. Henry Lai. (Tab 7 Part 1)
VOLUME 3 – Tab 7 Part 2				
7 Part 2	765-1164	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD	Research Compilation; Abstracts of over 2,100 studies published between 1990 - 2017; Prof. Henry Lai.(Tab 7 Part 2)
VOLUME 4 – Tab 7 Part 3				
7 Part 3	1165-1564	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD	Research Compilation; Abstracts of over 2,100 studies published between 1990 - 2017; Prof. Henry Lai.(Tab 7 Part 3)
VOLUME 5 – Tabs 7 Part 4 – 8 Part 1				
7 Part 4	1565-1602	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD	Research Compilation; Abstracts of over 2,100 studies published between 1990 - 2017; Prof. Henry Lai.(Tab 7 Part 4)
8 Part 1	1603-1964	Sep. 13, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD	Research Compilation; Abstracts of Over 600 Studies Published Between August 2016- August 2019, Dr. Joel Moskowitz; 2019 (Tab 8 Part 1)

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

VOLUME 6 – Tabs 8 Part 2 - 10				
8 Part 2	1965-2130	Sep. 13, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD	Research Compilation; Abstracts of Over 600 Studies Published Between August 2016- August 2019, Dr. Joel Moskowitz; 2019 (Tab 8 Part 2)
9	2131-2142	Sep. 28, 2016	Gary C. Vesperman	Research Compilation; Abstracts of 15 New Studies, Dr. Joel Moskowitz PhD, 2016
10	2143-2378	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Research Compilation; Studies and Documents; City of Pinole, CA
VOLUME 7 – Tabs 11 – 13 Part 1				
11	2379-2389	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	US Exposures Limits - A History of Their Creation, Comments and Explanations; Eng. Lloyd Morgan
12	2390-2439	Aug. 26, 2016	Heidi M. Lumpkin	Biosystem & Ecosystem; Birds, Bees and Mankind: Destroying Nature by ‘Electrosmog’: Effects of Mobile Radio and Wireless Communication. Dr. Ulrich Warnke, Ph.D., 2007
13 Part 1	2440-2778	Jul. 13, 2016	Parents for Safe Technology	Cancer; IARC Monograph: Non-Ionizing Radiation Part 2: RF EMFs, 2013 (Tab 13 Part 1)
VOLUME 8 – Tabs 13 Part 2 - 23				
13 Part 2	2779-2920	Jul. 13, 2016	Parents for Safe Technology	Cancer; IARC Monograph: Non-Ionizing Radiation Part 2: RF EMFs, 2013 (Tab 13 Part 2)

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

14	2921-2927	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Cancer; IARC Press Release: IARC Classifies RF EMFs As Possibly Carcinogenic to Humans, 2011
15	2928-3002	Jul. 11, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	NTP; Report of Partial Findings from the National Toxicology Program Carcinogenesis Studies of Cell Phone Radiofrequency Radiation in Hsd: Sprague Dawley® SD rats (Whole Body Exposures); Draft 5-19-2016
16	3003-3009	Oct. 1, 2018	Environmental Health Trust	NTP; Commentary on the utility of the National Toxicology Program study on cell phone radiofrequency radiation data for assessing human health risks despite unfounded criticisms aimed at minimizing the findings of adverse health effects. Environmental Research. Dr. Ron Melnick; 2019
17	3010-3036	Apr. 16, 2018	Theodora Scarato	NTP; Dr. Hardell and Dr. Carlsberg letter to the NTP, NIH, DHHS, NTP Technical Report On The Toxicology And Carcinogenesis Studies; Mar. 12, 2018
18	3037-3048	Oct. 1, 2018	Environmental Health Trust	Cancer-NTP; Cancer epidemiology update, following the 2011 IARC evaluation of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields; (Miller et al); 2018
19	3049-3055	Oct. 18, 2018	Joel M. Moskowitz, Ph.D.	Cancer-NTP; The Significance of Primary Tumors in the NTP Study of Chronic Rat Exposure to Cell Phone Radiation. IEEE Microwave Magazine. Prof. James C. Lin; 2019

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

20	3056-3065	Aug. 27, 2013	Cindy Sage and David O. Carpenter	BioInitiative Comments
21	3066-3080	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	BioInitiative; 2012 Conclusions
22	3081-3126	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	BioInitiative; Section 24: Key Scientific Evidence and Public Health Policy Recommendations; 2012
23	3127-3146	Jul. 11, 2016	Cecelia Doucette	BioInitiative; Section 1: Summary for the Public (2014 Supplement)
VOLUME 9 – Tabs 24-27				
24	3147-3218	Sep. 30, 2016	Catherine Kleiber	BioInitiative-Modulation; Section 15: Evidence for Disruption by Modulation Role of Physical and Biological Variables in Bioeffects of Non-Thermal Microwaves for Reproducibility, Cancer Risk and Safety Standards, (2012 Supplement)
25	3219-3319	Sep. 3, 2013	Kevin Mottus	BioInitiative; Section 20, Findings in Autism, Consistent with Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) and Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR); 2012
26	3320-3321	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel Moskowitz PhD.	BioInitiative-Neurological; Percent Comparison, Effect vs No Effect in Neurological Effect Studies; 2019
27	3322-3559	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel Moskowitz PhD.	BioInitiative-Neurological; Research Summaries, RFR Neurological Effects (Section 8), 2007-2017; 2017

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

VOLUME 10 – Tabs 28-41				
28	3560-3561	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD.	BioInitiative-Mechanisms of Harm; Percent Comparison Showing Effect vs No Effect, DNA (Comet Assay), 2017 and Free Radical (Oxidative Stress), 2019
29	3562-3602	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD.	BioInitiative-Mechanisms of Harm; Research Summaries, DNA (Comet Assay) Studies; 76 Studies, 2017
30	3603-3721	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD.	BioInitiative-Mechanisms of Harm; Research Summaries, Free Radicals (Oxidative Stress Effects), 225 studies, 2019
31	3722-3749	Apr. 11, 2014	Cindy Sage, MA	BioInitiative Working Group; Preliminary Opinion on Potential Health Effects of Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields (EMF); 2014
32	3750-3755	Sep. 16, 2019	Bioinitiative Working Group	BioInitiative Working Group; Consistent Failure to Identify the Potential for Health Effects (Exhibit A); 2014
33	3756-3766	Sep. 14, 2019	Bioinitiative Working Group	BioInitiative Working Group; Reference List for Important Fertility and Reproduction Papers (Exhibit C); 2014
34	3767-3771	Apr. 14, 2019	Cindy Sage	BioInitiative Working Group; Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Disruption of Electrophysiology (Exhibit G); 2014

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

35	3772-3779	Apr. 14, 2019	Cindy Sage, MA	BioInitiative Working Group; Epidemiological Studies, RF fields epidemiology, Comments by Drs. Lennart Hardell, Fredrik Soderqvist PhD. and Michael Carlberg, MSc. Section 3.5.1.1 Epidemiological Studies (Exhibit B); 2014
36	3780-3874	Apr 11, 2014	Cindy Sage, MA	BioInitiative Working Group; An Update on the Genetic Effects of Nonionizing Electromagnetic Fields by Prof. Henry Lai PhD; (Exhibit E); 2014
37	3875-3896	Apr. 11, 2014	Cindy Sage, MA	BioInitiative Working Group; An Update on Physical and Biological Variables, Cancer and Safety Standards by Prof. Igor Belyaev Dr. Sc., (Exhibit F); 2014
38	3897-3904	Sep. 30, 2016	Maria Powell	BioInitiative Co-Editor; Human Health Effects of EMFs: The Cost of Doing Nothing. IOPScience. (Prof. David Carpenter MD.); 2010
39	3905-3919	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	BioInitiative Author; Statement of Prof. Martin Blank PhD., PhD.; 2016
40	3920-3945	Aug 27, 2013	Sage Hardell Herbert	BioInitiative Authors; Prof. Lennart Hardell MD. PhD., Prof. Martha Herbert MD. PhD. and Cindy Sage Comments
41	3946-3984	Aug. 26, 2013	B. Blake Levitt & Henry Lai	BioInitiative Author; Prof. Henry Lai PhD, and Blake Levitt Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

VOLUME 11 – Tabs 42-59				
42	3985-4072	Sep. 3, 2013	Paul Dart MD	Dr. Paul Dart MD. (Petitioner) Comments
43	4073-4102	Feb. 4, 2013	Dr. Andrew Goldsworthy	The Biological Effects of Weak Electromagnetic Fields, Problems and Solutions, Prof. Andrew Goldsworthy; 2012
44	4103-4106	Sep. 4, 2013	Richard Meltzer	Dr. Richard Meltzer Comments, Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure: A Cautionary Tale
45	4107-4112	Feb. 6, 2013	Donald R. Maisch	Dr. Donald R. Maisch PhD. Comments
46	4113-4129	Nov. 18, 2013	Catherine Kleiber	Biological Effects from RF Radiation at Low-Intensity Exposure, based on the BioInitiative 2012 Report, and the Implications for Smart Meters and Smart Appliances; Dr. Ron M. Powell, PhD.; 2013
47	4130-4137	Aug. 20, 2013	Lawrence James Gust	Eng. Lawrence James Gust Comments
48	4138-4146	Feb. 25, 2013	Michael Schwaebe	Eng. Michael Schwaebe Comments
49	4147-4178	Mar. 18, 2015	Environmental Working Group	Organizations; Environmental Working Group Reply Comments
50	4179-4195	Nov. 18, 2013	Nina Beety	Nina Beety Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

51	4196-4206	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel Moskowitz PhD.	Organizations; EMF Scientist Appeal, International Scientists' Appeal to the United Nations; 2015
52	4207-4217	Apr. 5, 2018	NancyD	Organizations; 5G Appeal, Scientist Appeal to the EU, Scientists Warn of Potential Serious Health Effects of 5G; 2017
53	4218-4240	Jun. 7, 2017	Environmental Health Trust	Organizations; Medical Doctors and Public Health Organizations: Consensus Statements and Doctors' Recommendations on Cell Phones/Wireless; 2017
54	4241-4244	Sep. 27, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Organizations; Council of Europe, Résolution 1815, The Potential Dangers of Electromagnetic Fields and Their Effect on the Environment; 2011
55	4245-4257	Feb. 5, 2013	Gilda Oman	Organizations; Council of Europe, Parliamentary Assembly Report: The potential dangers of electromagnetic fields and their effect on the environment; 2011
56	4258-4293	Jul. 11, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Organizations - Radiation Sickness; European Academy for Environmental Medicine, EUROPAEM EMF Guideline 2015 for the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of EMF-related health problems and illnesses; 2015

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

57	4294-4305	Feb. 5, 2013	David Mark Morrison	Organizations; Scientific Panel on Electromagnetic Field Health Risks: Consensus Points, Recommendations, and Rationales, Scientific Meeting: Seletun, Norway. Reviews on Environmental Health; (Fragopoulou, Grigoriev et al); 2010
58	4306-4361	Aug. 30, 2013	EMF Safety Network	Organizations; EMF Safety Network Comments
59	4362-4374	Jul 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Organizations - Russian Government; Electromagnetic Fields From Mobile Phones: Health Effect On Children And Teenagers Resolution Of Russian National Committee On Nonionizing Radiation Protection April 2011, Moscow
VOLUME 12 – Tabs 60 – 68 Part 1				
60	4375-4482	Jul 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Organizations - Cyprus Government; Neurological and behavior effects of Non-Ionizing Radiation emitted from mobile devices on children: Steps to be taken ASAP for the protection of children and future generations. Presentation Slides; 2016
61	4483-4531	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Organizations; Austrian Medical Association, Environmental Medicine Evaluation of Electromagnetic Fields; Dr. Jerd Oberfeld MD.; 2007
62	4532-4534	Jul. 11, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Organizations; The American Academy of Pediatrics, Letter to the FCC; 2013

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

63	4535-4540	Sep. 29, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Organizations; California Medical Association, House of Delegates Resolution Wireless Standards (Resolution 107 - 14); 2014
64	4541-4543	Sep. 3, 2013	Grassroots Environmental Education, Inc. o/b/o American Academy of Environmental	Organizations; American Academy of Environmental Medicine, Letter to the Federal Communications Commission; 2013
65	4544-4561	Sep. 29, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Organizations - Radiation Sickness; Austrian Medical Association, Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of EMF Related Health Problems and Illnesses (EMF Syndrome); 2011
66	4562-4590	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Organizations; International Association of Fire Fighters, Position on the Health Effects from Radio Frequency/Microwave Radiation in Fire Department Facilities from Base Stations for Antennas and Towers; 2004
67	4591-4599	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Organizations; Cities of Boston and Philadelphia Reply Comments
68 Part 1	4600-4800	Sep. 3, 2013	Environmental Working Group	Organizations; Appeal to the FCC Signed by 26,000 People and Organized by the Environmental Working Group, 2013 (Tab 68 Part 1)

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

VOLUME 13 – Tabs 68 Part 2 - 76				
68 Part 2	4801- 5171	Sep. 3, 2013	Environmental Working Group	Organizations; Appeal to the FCC Signed by 26,000 People and Organized by the Environmental Working Group, 2013 (Tab 68 Part 2)
69	5172- 5186	Aug. 25, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Organizations; Freiburger Appeal - Doctors Appeal; 2002
70	5187- 5191	Sep. 3, 2013	Grassroots Environmental Education, Inc.	Organizations; Benevento Resolution, The International Commission for Electromagnetic Safety (ICEMS), 2006
71	5192- 5197	Jul. 18, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Organizations; The Porto Alegre Resolution; 2009
72	5198- 5204	Feb. 6, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Organizations; Kaiser Permanente, Letter from Dr. De-Kun Li, Division of Research
73	5205- 5210	Sep. 3, 2013	American Association For Justice	Organizations; American Association for Justice, Comments
74	5211- 5219	Feb. 6, 2013	Jonathan Libber	Organizations; Maryland Smart Meter Awareness, Comments (filed by Jonathan Libber)
75	5220- 5228	Feb. 6, 2013	Electromagnetic Safety Alliance	Organizations; Electromagnetic Safety Alliance, Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

76	5229-5241	Sep. 29, 2016	Ed Friedman	Organizations; Wildlife and Habitat Conservation Solutions; What We Know, Can Infer, and Don't Yet Know about Impacts from Thermal and Non-thermal Non-ionizing Radiation to Birds and Other Wildlife. Dr. Albert M. Manville, PhD.; 2016
VOLUME 14 – Tabs 77-96				
77	5242-5258	Sep. 30, 2016	Catherine Kleiber	Mechanisms of Harm; Meta-Analysis, Oxidative mechanisms of biological activity of low-intensity radiofrequency radiation. Electromagn Biol Med (Yakymenko et al); 2016
78	5259-5269	Sep 3, 2013	Monnie Ramsell	Mechanisms of Harm; Blood Brain Barrier; Increased Blood–Brain Barrier Permeability in Mammalian Brain 7 Days after Exposure to the Radiation from a GSM-900 Mobile Phone. Pathophysiology (Nittby, Salford et al); 2009
79	5270-5286	Sep. 3, 2013	Paul Dart MD.	Mechanisms of Harm; DNA Damage; Microwave RF Interacts with Molecular Structures; Dr. Paul Dart MD.; 2013
80	5287-5303	Sep. 3, 2013	The EMR Policy Institute	Medical Treatments & Modulation; Treatment of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with very low levels of amplitude-modulated electromagnetic fields. British Journal of Cancer. (Costa et al); 2011

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

81	5304-5306	Sep. 3, 2013	The EMR Policy Institute	Medical Treatments & Modulation; Treating cancer with amplitude-modulated electromagnetic fields: a potential paradigm shift, again? British Journal of Cancer. (Dr. Carl Blackman); 2012
82	5307-5309	Feb. 8, 2013	Alan Frey	Modulation; Dr. Alan Frey PhD., Comments, Feb. 7, 2013
83	5310-5319	Jul. 11, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Modulation; Real Versus Simulated Mobile Phone Exposures in Experimental Studies. Biomed Res Int. (Prof. Panagopoulos et al); 2015
84	5320-5368	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz, PhD	Neurological; Book Chapter, A Summary of Recent Literature (2007-2017) on Neurological Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Prof. Lai; 2018 Referenced 122 Studies.
85	5369-5412	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Neurological - Report; Evidence of Neurological effects of Electromagnetic Radiation: Implications for degenerative disease and brain tumour from residential, occupational, cell site and cell phone exposures. Prof. Neil Cherry; 225 scientific references. 2002
86	5413-5415	Sep 3, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Neurological; The effects of mobile-phone electromagnetic fields on brain electrical activity: a critical analysis of the literature. Electromagn Biol Med. (Marino et al) (Abstract); 2009

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

87	5416-5435	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Autism and EMF? Plausibility of a pathophysiological link. Pathophysiology, Part I. (Herbert et al); 2013
88	5436-5460	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Autism and EMF? Plausibility of a pathophysiological link. Pathophysiology, Part II. (Herbert et al); 2013
89	5461-5486	Sep. 3, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Fertility; Research Abstracts, List of References Reporting Fertility and/or Reproduction Effects from Electromagnetic Fields and/or Radiofrequency Radiation (66 references)
90	5487-5499	Sep. 3, 2013	Paul Dart MD	Fertility; Effects of Microwave RF Exposure on Fertility, Dr. Paul Dart MD. (Petitioner); 2013
91	5500-5506	Sep. 3, 2013	Paul Dart MD	Hormonal; RF and Hormones, Alterations in Hormone Physiology; Dr. Paul Dart MD. (Petitioner); 2013
92	5507-5514	Feb. 7, 2013	Toni Stein	Prenatal & Children; Fetal Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure From 800-1900 Mhz-Rated Cellular Telephones Affects Neurodevelopment and Behavior in Mice. Scientific Reports. (Aldad, Taylor et al); 2012
93	5515-5518	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Prenatal & Children; Fetal Exposures and Cell Phones. Studies List. Prof. Hugh Taylor MD.; 2015

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

94	5519-5553	Jul. 13, 2016	Parents for Safe Technology	Prenatal and Children; Fetal Cell Phone Exposure: How Experimental Studies Guide Clinical Practice, Hugh S. Taylor MD. PhD., Chair of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, Yale School of Medicine
95	5554-5559	Sep. 3, 2013	Dr. Suleyman Kaplan	Prenatal & Children; Dr. Suleyman Kaplan Comments
96	5560-5614	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Prenatal & Children; Amended Declaration of Dr. David O. Carpenter MD. (Dec. 20, 2011); <i>Morrison et al v. Portland Schools</i> , No. 3:11-cv-00739-MO (U.S.D.C. Oregon, Portland Div.)
VOLUME 15 – Tabs 97-101				
97	5615-5712	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Prenatal & Children; Doctors and Scientists Letters on Wi-Fi in Schools
98	5713-5895	Jul. 11, 2017	Environmental Health Trust	Dr. Devra Davis PhD., President of Environmental Health Trust (Petitioner) Comments
99	5896-5993	Jun. 7, 2017	Environmental Health Trust	Children; Letter to Montgomery County Schools, Prof. Martha Herbert MD., PhD.; 2015
100	5994-6007	Apr. 29, 2019	Environmental Health Trust	Neurological - Children; A Prospective Cohort Study of Adolescents' Memory Performance and Individual Brain Dose of Microwave Radiation from Wireless Communication. Environ Health Perspect. (Foerster et al); 2018

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

101	6008-6014	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Prenatal & Children; Cell phone use and behavioral problems in young children. J Epidemiol Community Health. (Divan et al); 2012
VOLUME 16 - Tabs 102-126				
102	6015-6026	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Prenatal & Children; “Cell Phones & WiFi – Are Children, Fetuses and Fertility at Risk?”; 2013
103	6027-6060	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Prenatal & Children; Safe Schools 2012, Medical and Scientific Experts Call for Safe Technologies in Schools
104	6061-6067	Sep. 3, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Prenatal & Children - Stem Cells; Microwaves from Mobile Phones Inhibit 53BP1 Focus Formation in Human Stem Cells More Strongly Than in Differentiated Cells: Possible Mechanistic Link to Cancer Risk. Environmental Health Perspectives (Markova, Belyaev et al); 2010
105	6068-6069	Sep. 26, 2016	Angela Tsaing	Radiation Sickness - Children; Angela Tsiang Comments
106	6070-6071	Mar. 5, 2013	Abigail DeSesa	Radiation Sickness - Children; Abigail DeSesa Comments
107	6072-6111	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Cell Towers - Research Abstract Compilation; 78 Studies Showing Health Effects from Cell Tower Radio Frequency Radiation; 2016
108	6112-6122	Sep. 3, 2013	Paul Dart MD	Cell Towers; Consequences of Chronic Microwave RF Exposure, Dr. Paul Dart MD. (Petitioner)

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

109	6123-6132	Jul. 11, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Cell Towers - Cancer; Meta-Analysis, Long-Term Exposure To Microwave Radiation Provokes Cancer Growth: Evidences From Radars And Mobile Communication Systems. (Yakymenko et al); 2011
110	6133-6148	Sep. 3, 2013	Monnie Ramsell	Cell Towers - Neurological; Changes of Clinically Important Neurotransmitters under the Influence of Modulated RF Fields, A Long-term Study under Real-life Conditions; Umwelt-Medizin-Gesellschaft; (Buchner & Eger); 2011
111	6148-6160	Dec. 10, 2018	Environmental Health Trust	Cell Towers - DNA; Impact of radiofrequency radiation on DNA damage and antioxidants in peripheral blood lymphocytes of humans residing in the vicinity of mobile phone base stations. Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine. (Zothansiana et al); 2017
112	6161-6169	Dec. 10, 2018	Environmental Health Trust	Cell Towers - Cancer; Environmental radiofrequency radiation at the Järntorget Square in Stockholm Old Town, Sweden in May, 2018 compared with results on brain and heart tumour risks in rats exposed to 1.8 GHz base station environmental emissions, World Academy of Sciences Journal. (Hardell et al); 2018

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

113	6170-6258	Sep. 30, 2016	Catherine Kleiber	Cell Towers; Indian Government, Ministry of Environment and Forest, Report on Possible Impacts of Communication Towers on Wildlife Including Birds and Bees. 919 studies reviewed; 2011
114	6259-6260	Sep. 3, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Cell Towers; Epidemiological evidence for a health risk from mobile phone base stations, Int J Occup Environ Health. (Hardell et al); 2010
115	6261-6289	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel Moskowitz, PhD	Cell Towers; Biological Effects From Exposure to Electromagnetic Radiation Emitted By Cell Tower Base Stations and Other Antenna Arrays. Environ. Rev. (Lai & Levitt); 2010
116	6290-6301	Jul. 11, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Cell Towers; Research Summaries of Cell Tower Radiation Studies
117	6302-6311	Sep. 30, 2016	Catherine Kleiber	Cell Towers-Wildlife; Electromagnetic Pollution From Phone Masts. Effects on Wildlife; Pathophysiology. (Dr. Alfonso Balmori); 2009
118	6312-6324	Jul. 18, 2106	Environmental Health Trust	Cell Towers - Wildlife; Testimony of Dr. Albert M. Manville, II, PhD., C.W.B, Before the City of Eugene City Planning Department in Opposition to AT&T/Crossfire's Application for a "Stealth" Cellular Communications Tower; May 6, 2015

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

119	6325-6341	Sep. 30, 2016	Catherine Kleiber	Cell Towers - Plants; Radiofrequency Radiation Injures Trees Around Mobile Phone Base Stations. Science of the Total Environment. (Waldmann-Selsam et al); 2016
120	6342-6349	Apr. 8, 2014	M.K. Hickcox	Biosystem & Ecosystem; The Dangers of Electromagnetic Smog, Prof. Andrew Goldsworthy, PhD.; 2007
121	6350-6366	Sep. 3, 2013	The EMR Policy Institute	Biosystem and Ecosystem; Impacts of radio-frequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) from cell phone towers and wireless devices on biosystem and ecosystem – a review. Biology and Medicine (Sivani et al.); 2012
122	6367-6379	Oct. 1, 2018	Environmental Health Trust	5G; 5G wireless telecommunications expansion: Public health and environmental implications, Environmental Research. (Dr. Cindy Russell MD.); 2018
123	6380-6383	Oct. 18, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD	5G; We Have No Reason to Believe 5G is Safe, Dr. Joel Moskowitz PhD., Scientific American; 2019
124	6384-6392	Jul. 11, 2017	Environmental Health Trust	5G - Millimeter Waves; Nonthermal Effects of Extremely High-Frequency Microwaves on Chromatin Conformation in Cells in vitro—Dependence on Physical, Physiological, and Genetic Factors. IEEEExplore. (Belyaev et al); 2000

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

125	6393-6408	Oct. 1, 2018	Environmental Health Trust	5G; What You Need To Know About 5G Wireless And “Small” Cells Top 20 Facts About 5G; Environmental Health Trust
126	6409-6429	Jan. 13, 2015	NYU Wireless	5G; Millimeter-Wave Cellular Wireless Networks: Potentials and Challenges, IEEE; (2014)
VOLUME 17 – Tabs 127 – 142 Part 1				
127	6430-6436	Jul. 13, 2016	Priscilla King	5G; FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler ‘The Future of Wireless: A Vision for U.S. Leadership in a 5G World’; 2016
128	6437-6447	Jul. 14, 2016	Angela Tsaing	5G; Letter to House Subcommittee on Communications and Technology; Angela Tsiang; 2016
129	6448-6453	Jan. 8, 2019	LeRoy Swicegood	5G; Ask Congress to Vote No, We Are The Evidence Fact Sheet; 2016
130	6454-6510	Jul. 13, 2016	Parents For Safe Technology	5G; 5G Spectrum Frontiers -The Next Great Unknown Experiment On Our Children, Compilation of Letters to Congress; 2016
131	6511-6513	Apr. 16, 2018	Theodora Scarato	5G;What You Need To Know About 5G Wireless and “Small” Cells
132	6514-6587	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Wi-Fi; 136 Studies Showing Health Effects from Wi-Fi Radio Frequency Radiation

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

133	6588-6603	Jul. 13, 2016	Parents For Safe Technology	Wi-Fi; 2.45-GHz Microwave Irradiation Adversely Affects Reproductive Function in Male Mouse, <i>Mus Musculus</i> by Inducing Oxidative and Nitrosative Stress. Free Radical Research (Shahin et al); 2014
134	6604-6611	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Wi-Fi - Fertility; Immunohistopathologic demonstration of deleterious effects on growing rat testes of radiofrequency waves emitted from conventional Wi-Fi devices. Journal of Pediatric Neurology. (Atasoy et al); 2013
135	6612-6620	Apr. 8, 2014	MK Hickox	Smart Meters: Correcting the Gross Misinformation, Letter by 54 Scientists and MDs; 2012
136	6621-6622	Nov. 18, 2013	Catherine Kleiber	Smart Meters - Radiation Sickness; American Academy of Environmental Medicine, Smart Meter Case Series; 2013
137	6623-6692	Sep. 3, 2013	Rachel Cooper	Smart Meters; Assessment of Radiofrequency Microwave Radiation Emissions from Smart Meters; Sage Associates, Environmental Consultants; 2011
138	6693-6699	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Smart Meters; FCC Maximum Permissible Exposure Limits for Electromagnetic Radiation, as Applicable to Smart Meters. Dr. Ron Powell PhD.; 2013

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

139	6700-6705	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Smart Meters - Radiation Sickness; Symptoms after Exposure to Smart Meter Radiation. Dr. Ron Powell PhD.; 2015
140	6706-6735	Sep. 3, 2013	Kit Weaver	Kit Weaver, Comments
141	6736-6740	Feb. 6, 2013	Joshua Hart	Organizations - Radiation Sickness; StopSmartMeters, Comments
142 Part 1	6741-6850	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Cell Phones; Research Abstracts of Over 700 Studies Showing Health Effects from Cell Phone Radio Frequency Radiation; Prof. Henri Lai (Tab 142 Part 1)
VOLUME 18 – Tabs 142 Part 2 - 153				
142 Part 2	6851-7088	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Cell Phones; Research Abstracts of Over 700 Studies Showing Health Effects from Cell Phone Radio Frequency Radiation; Prof. Henri Lai (Tab 142 Part 2)
143	7089-7099	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Cancer - Brain Tumors; Using the Hill viewpoints from 1965 for evaluating strengths of evidence of the risk for brain tumors associated with the use of mobile and cordless phones. Rev Environ Health. (Hardell and Caarlsberg); 2013

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

144	7100-7121	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Cancer-Brain Tumors; Mobile phone use and brain tumour risk: early warnings, early actions? (Gee, Hardell Carlsberg) (Chapter 21 of Report: “Late lessons from early warnings: science, precaution”); 2013
145	7122-7134	Sep. 12, 2019	Environmental Health Trust	Cell Phones; Real-world cell phone radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposures. Environmental Research. (Wall et al); 2019
146	7135-7142	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Cancer -Brain Tumors; Meta-analysis of long-term mobile phone use and the association with brain tumours, Prof. Lennart Hardell MD. PhD. 2008
147	7143-7156	Jul. 11, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Cancer - Brain Tumors; Case-control study of the association between malignant brain tumours diagnosed between 2007 and 2009 and mobile and cordless phone use. International Journal of Oncology.(Hardell et al); 2013
148	7157-7183	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Cancer - Brain Tumors; Use of mobile phones and cordless phones is associated with increased risk for glioma and acoustic neuroma. Pathophysiology. (Hardell et al); 2012

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

149	7184-7193	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Cancer - Brain Tumors; Pooled Analysis of Two Swedish Case-Control Studies on the Use of Mobile and Cordless Telephones and the Risk of Brain Tumours Diagnosed During 1997-2003. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics (Mild, Hardell, Carlsberg); 2007
150	7194-7210	Dec. 10, 2018	Environmental Health Trust	Thermal and non-thermal health effects of low intensity non-ionizing radiation: An international perspective. Environmental Pollution. (Belpomme et al); 2018
151	7211-7224	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Cancer - Brain Tumors; Mobile phones, cordless phones and the risk for brain tumours. International Journal of Oncology (Prof. Lennart Hardell MD., PhD.); 2009
152	7225-7251	Sep. 3, 2013	Paul Dart MD	Cancer - Cell Phones; Cell Phones and Risk of Brain Tumor, Dr. Paul Dart MD. (Petitioner); 2013
153	7252-7255	Jan 31, 2019	Julian Gehman	Jullian Gehman Esq. Comments
VOLUME 19 – Tabs 154-168				
154	7256-7371	Nov. 5, 2013	Joel M. Moskowitz Ph.D.	Dr. Joel Moskowitz PhD. Reply Comments, Why the FCC Must Strengthen Radiofrequency Radiation Limits in the U.S.

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

155	7372-7414	Jun. 17, 2014	Environmental Working Group	Cancer - Children; Cell Phone Radiation: Science Review on Cancer Risks and Children's Health; Environmental Working Group; 2009
156	7415-7417	Sep. 30, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Cell Phones - Plants; Review: Weak Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure From Mobile Phone Radiation on Plants. Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine (Malka N. Halgamuge); 2016
157	7418-7421	Apr. 29, 2019	Environmental Health Trust	Testing; Microwave Emissions From Cell Phones Exceed Safety Limits in Europe and the US When Touching the Body. IEEE Access. Prof. Om P. Gandhi PhD.; 2019
158	7422-7426	Sep. 12, 2019	Environmental Health Trust	Testing - Children; Absorption of wireless radiation in the child versus adult brain and eye from cell phone conversation or virtual reality. Environmental Research. (C. Fernandez et al); 2018
159	7427-7431	Jul. 11, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Yes the Children Are More Exposed to Radiofrequency Energy From Mobile Telephones Than Adults. IEEE Access (Prof. Om Ghandi PhD); 2015
160	7432-7441	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Testing - Children; Children Absorb Higher Doses of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Radiation From Mobile Phones Than Adults. IEEE Access (Robert D. Morris et al); 2015

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

161	7442-7445	Apr. 29, 2019	Environmental Health Trust	Testing – Children; Exposure Limits: The underestimation of absorbed cell phone radiation, especially in children. Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine (Gandhi et al); 2011
162	7446-7504	Nov. 17, 2013	Pong Research Corporation	Testing; Pong Research Corporation Reply Comments
163	7505-7514	Aug. 19, 2012	Pong Research Corporation	Testing; Pong Research Corporation, Letter to the FCC
164	7515-7602	Nov. 17, 2013	L. Lloyd Morgan	Environmental Health Trust, Reply Comments (Erroneous Comments Submitted to the FCC on Proposed Cellphone Radiation Standards and Testing by CTIA – September 3, 2013)
165	7603-7614	Sep. 3, 2013	Dr. Joel M. Moskowitz PhD	“Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84” GAO Report “Exposure and Testing Requirements for Mobile Phones Should Be Reassessed.” Dr. Joel Moskowitz PhD.; 2012
166	7615-7628	Sep. 2, 2013	Consumers for Safe Cell Phones	Organizations; Consumers for Safe Cell Phones Comments (Petitioner)
167	7629-7640	Nov. 17, 2013	Consumers for Safe Cell Phones	Consumers for Safe Cell Phone Comments (Reply to CTIA Comments from Sep. 13, 2013)
168	7641-7672	Nov. 17, 2013	Environmental Working Group	Organizations; Environmental Working Group, Reply Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

VOLUME 20 - Tabs 169 – 172 Part 1				
169	7673-7682	Dec. 10, 2018	Environmental Health Trust	Industry Influence; World Health Organization, Radiofrequency Radiation and Health - a Hard Nut to Crack (Review). International Journal of Oncology. Prof. Lennart Hardell MD. PhD.; 2017
170	7683-7716	Nov. 18, 2013	Richard H. Conrad PhD	Industry Influence; Business Bias As Usual: The Case Of Electromagnetic Pollution. Prof. Levis, Prof. Gennaro, Prof. Garbisa
171	7717-7719	Sep. 3, 2013	The EMR Policy Institute	Industry Influence; Prof. Martha Herbert MD PhD., Harvard Pediatric Neurologist Letter to Los Angeles Unified School District; 2013
172 Part 1	7720-8073	Feb. 6, 2013	Dr. Donald R. Maisch PhD	Industry Influence; The Procrustean Approach: Setting Exposure Standards for Telecommunications Frequency Electromagnetic Radiation, Dr. Donald Maisch PhD.; 2009 (Tab 172 Part 1)
VOLUME 21 – Tabs 172 Part 2 - 185				
172 Part 2	8074-8158	Feb. 6, 2013	Dr. Donald R. Maisch PhD	Industry Influence; The Procrustean Approach: Setting Exposure Standards for Telecommunications Frequency Electromagnetic Radiation, Dr. Donald Maisch PhD.; 2009 (Tab 172 Part 2)
173	8159-8167	Sep. 29, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Industry Influence; Illusion and Escape: The Cell Phone Disease Quagmire. Dr. George L. Carlo PhD., JD.; 2008

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

174	8168-8169	Nov. 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Industry Influence; Quote of Prof. Henry Lai PhD from NY Times Article about Percent of Negative Studies Funded By Industry; 2013
175	8170-8177	Nov 18, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Industry Influence; Warning: Your Cell Phone May Be Hazardous to Your Health. Christopher Ketcham, GQ; 2010
176	8178-8182	Sep. 3, 2013	Monnie Ramsell	Industry Influence; Radiation Protection in Conflict With Science; Dr. Franz Adlkofer PhD.; 2011
177	8183-8184	Mar. 21, 2019	Office of Engineering and Technology	US Agencies; Letter from the FCC's OET Dept. to Dr. Shuren of the FDA
178	8185-8188	Apr. 30, 2019	Center for Devices and Radiological Health	US Agencies; Letter from Dr. Shuren of the FDA to the FCC's OET Dept.
179	8189-8279	Sep. 24, 2013	Grassroots Environmental Education, Inc.	US Agencies - Radiation Sickness; US Access Board Acknowledgement of Radiation Sickness (Electromagnetic Sensitivities); 2002
180	8280-8377	Sep. 24, 2013	Grassroots Environmental Education, Inc.	US Agencies - Radiation Sickness; National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS), IEQ Indoor Environmental Quality; Recommendations for Accommodation for Electromagnetic Sensitivity; 2005

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

181	8378-8386	Sep. 29, 2016	Kevin Mottus	US Agencies; US Department of Interior, Letter of the Director of Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance; 2014
182	8387-8407	Mar. 4, 2013	Susan Brinchman, CEP	US Agencies; Department of the Army, Confidential Legal Correspondence, Dec. 13, 2006
183	8408-8411	Sep. 2, 2013	Kevin Mottus	US Agencies; US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Letter to EMR Network; Jul. 6, 2002
184	8412-8424	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	US Agencies; EPA Letter to the FCC, Comments on FCC 93-142 Environmental Effects of RF; 1993
185 Part 1	8425-8505	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	US Agencies; US Naval Medical Research Institute. Bibliography of Reported Biological Phenomena (“Effects”) and Clinical Manifestations Attributed to Microwave and Radio-frequency Radiation; 1971 (Tab 185 Part 1)
VOLUME 22 – Tabs 185 Part 2 - 238				
185 Part 2	8506-8531	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	US Agencies; US Naval Medical Research Institute. Bibliography of Reported Biological Phenomena (“Effects”) and Clinical Manifestations Attributed to Microwave and Radio-frequency Radiation; 1971 (Tab 185 Part 2)
186	8532-8636	Jul. 12, 2015	U.S. Department of Labor	US Agencies; US Department of Labor Comment

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

187	8537-8539	Sep. 29, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Radiation Sickness; Exemption for Fire stations, California Assembly Bill No. 57 (2015), codified at Cal. Gov. Code 65964.1
188	8540-8546	Sep. 3, 2013	Susan D. Foster, MSW	Radiation Sickness - Firefighters; Susan Foster Comments
189	8547-8626	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Radiation Sickness; Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity, Dr. Erica Mallery-Blythe; 2014
190	8627-8628	Sep. 16, 2019	Joel M. Moskowitz PhD.	Radiation Sickness; Reliable disease biomarkers characterizing and identifying electrohypersensitivity and multiple chemical sensitivity as two etiopathogenic aspects of a unique pathological disorder. Rev Environ Health. (Prof. Belpomme et al); 2015
191	8629-8637	Sep.3, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Radiation Sickness; Electromagnetic hypersensitivity: evidence for a novel neurological syndrome. Int J Neurosci. (McCarty et al); 2011
192	8638-8641	Nov. 18, 2013	Toril H. Jelter MD	Radiation Sickness - Children; Dr. Torill Jelter MD. (Petitioner) Comments
193	8642-8659	Jul. 13, 2016	Deborah Kopald	Radiation Sickness, Deborah Kopald Comments
194	8660-8662	Sep. 30, 2016	Ann Lee MD	Radiation Sickness - Children; Dr. Ann Lee MD. (Petitioner) Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

195	8663-8681	Sep. 3, 2013	Paul Dart MD.	Radiation Sickness; Health Effects of Microwave Radio Exposures. Dr. Paul Dart MD.(Petitioner) Comments
196	8682-8683	Sep. 4, 2013	Erica M. Elliott	Radiation Sickness; Dr. Erica Elliott MD. Comments
197	8684-8734	Sep. 16, 2019	Dr. Joel M. Moskowitz PhD.	Radiation Sickness; Electrohypersensitivity Abstracts; 2017
198	8735-8747	Jul. 11, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Radiation Sickness; Could Myelin Damage from Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Field Exposure Help Explain the Functional Impairment Electrohypersensitivity? A Review of the Evidence. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health. (Redmayne and Johansson); 2014
199	8748-8773	Jul. 11, 2016	Kate Kheel	Radiation Sickness; No Safe Place - shattered lives, healthcare set to crash – you can't fix this fast enough; Letter to a Mayor, Olga Sheean, Jun. 15, 2016
200	8774-8778	Aug. 26, 2013	Sarah Jane Berd	Radiation Sickness; Sarah Jane Berd Comments
201	8779-8782	Feb. 4, 2013	Cynthia S Larson	Radiation Sickness; Cynthia S. Larson Comments
202	8783-8784	Oct. 3, 2016	Josh Fisher	Radiation Sickness; Josh Fisher Comments
203	8785-8787	Oct. 3, 2016	Paul Stanley	Radiation Sickness; Paul Stanley (Petitioner) Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

204	8788-8789	Nov. 25, 2013	Lynnell Rosser	Radiation Sickness; Lynnell Rosser Letter
205	8790-8796	Sep.12, 2013	Charyl Zehfus	Radiation Sickness; Charyl Zehfus Reply Comments
206	8797-8800	Sep. 4, 2013	Annie Starr	Radiation Sickness; Annie Starr Comments
207	8801-8802	Sep. 3, 2013	Rob Bland	Radiation Sickness; Rob Bland Comments
208	8803-8805	Sep. 3, 2013	Nancy Rose Gerler	Radiation Sickness; Nancy Rose Gerler Comments
209	8806-8811	Feb. 5, 2013	Monnie Ramsell	Radiation Sickness; Monnie Ramsell Comments
210	8812-8815	Sep. 3 2013	Miriam D. Weber	Radiation Sickness; Miriam D. Weber Comments
211	8816-8818	Sep. 3 2013	Junghie Elky	Radiation Sickness; Junghie Elky Comments
212	8819-8832	Aug. 30, 2013	Catherine Kleiber	Radiation Sickness; ADA/FHA Catherine Kleiber Comments
213	8833-8837	Sep. 3, 2013	Amanda & Ryan Rose	Radiation Sickness; Amanda & Ryan Rose Comments
214	8838-8842	Sep. 3, 2013	Cindy Bowman	Radiation Sickness; Cindy Bowman Comments
215	8843-8844	Sep. 3, 2013	Sue Martin	Radiation Sickness; Sue Martin Comments
216	8845-8846	Sep. 3, 2013	Richard Gaul	Radiation Sickness; Richard Gaul Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

217	8847-8848	Sep. 4 2013	Karen Strode	Radiation Sickness; Karen Strode Comments
218	8849-8850	Sep. 3, 2013	Jaime Schunkewitz	Radiation Sickness; Jaime Schunkewitz Comments
219	8851-8854	Aug. 13, 2013	Linda Bruce	Radiation Sickness; Linda Bruce Comments
220	8855-8858	Feb. 19, 2013	Louise Kiehl Stanphill	Radiation Sickness; Louise Kiehl Stanphill Reply Comments
221	8859-8862	Feb. 7, 2013	Diana LeRoss	Radiation Sickness; Diana LeRoss Comments, Feb. 7, 2013
222	8863-8866	Jun. 17, 2013	Marc Sanzotta	Radiation Sickness; Marc Sanzotta Comments
223	8867-8868	Aug. 11, 2016	Barbara A. Savoie	Radiation Sickness; Barbara A. Savoie Comments
224	8869-8885	Jul. 13, 2016	R. Kay Clark	Radiation Sickness; R. Kay Clark Comments
225	8886-8887	Sep. 3, 2013	Steve & Juleen Ross	Radiation Sickness; Steve & Juleen Ross Comments
226	8888-8892	Sep. 3, 2013	Kathy Ging	Radiation Sickness; Kathy Ging Comments
227	8893-8895	Sep. 3, 2013	Jeraldine Peterson-Mark	Radiation Sickness; Jeraldine Peterson-Mark Comments
228	8896-8900	Sep. 3, 2013	Edward G.	Radiation Sickness; Edward G. Comments
229	8901-8903	Sep. 4, 2013	D. Yourovski	Radiation Sickness; D. Yourovski Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

230	8904-8907	Sep. 3, 2013	Ellen K. Marks	Radiation Sickness; Ellen K. Marks Comments
231	8908-8911	Sep. 3, 2013	Melody Graves	Radiation Sickness; Melody Graves Comments
232	8912-8913	Sep. 3, 2013	Bernadette Johnston	Radiation Sickness; Bernadette Johnston Comments
233	8914-8916	Sep. 3, 2013	Shane Gregory	Radiation Sickness; Shane Gregory Comments
234	8917-8918	Sep. 3, 2013	Layna Berman	Radiation Sickness; Layna Berman Comments
235	8919-8922	Sep. 3, 2013	Linda Giannoni	Radiation Sickness; Linda Giannoni Comments
236	8923-8925	Sep. 3, 2013	Jennifer Page	Radiation Sickness; Jennifer Page Comments
237	8926-8928	Sep. 3, 2013	Jackie Seward	Radiation Sickness; Jackie Seward Comments
238	8929-8931	Sep. 3, 2013	Elizabeth Feudale	Radiation Sickness; Elizabeth Feudale Comments
VOLUME 23 – Tabs 239-315				
239	8932-8933	Sep. 3, 2013	Brent Dalton	Radiation Sickness; Brent Dalton Comments
240	8934-8937	Sep. 3, 2013	Elizabeth Barris	Radiation Sickness; Elizabeth Barris (Petitioner) Comments
241	8938-8940	Sep. 3, 2013	Olemara	Radiation Sickness; Olemara Comments
242	8941-8943	Aug. 14, 2013	Melissa White	Radiation Sickness; Melissa White Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

243	8944-8946	Jun. 4, 2013	Carol Moore	Radiation Sickness; Carol Moore Comments
244	8947-8952	Mar. 7, 2013	Michele Hertz	Radiation Sickness; Michele Hertz (Petitioner) Comments
245	8953-8955	Mar. 4, 2013	B.J. Arvin	Radiation Sickness; B.J. Arvin Reply Comments
246	8956-8959	Feb. 12, 2013	Suzanne D. Morris	Radiation Sickness; Suzanne D. Morris Comments
247	8960-8962	Feb. 7, 2013	Tom Creed	Radiation Sickness; Tom Creed Comments
248	8963-8967	Feb. 6, 2013	Julie Ostoich	Radiation Sickness; Julie Ostoich Comments
249	8968-8981	Feb. 6, 2013	Kathleen M. Sanchez	Radiation Sickness; Kathleen M. Sanchez Comments
250	8982-8985	Feb. 6, 2013	John Edward Davie	Radiation Sickness; John Edward Davie Comments
251	8986-8989	Feb. 6, 2013	Alison L. Denning	Radiation Sickness; Alison L. Denning Comments
252	8990-9012	Feb. 6, 2013	Susan Brinchman, CEP	Radiation Sickness; Susan Brinchman Comments
253	9013-9016	Feb. 6, 2013	Terilynn Langsev	Radiation Sickness; Terilynn Langsev Comments
254	9017-9020	Feb. 6, 2013	Beth Ann Tomek	Radiation Sickness; Beth Ann Tomek Comments
255	9021-9025	Feb. 5, 2013	Sandra Storwick	Radiation Sickness; Sandra Storwick Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

256	9026-9029	Feb. 5, 2013	Odessa Rae	Radiation Sickness; Odessa Rae Comments
257	9030-9033	Feb. 5, 2013	Kenneth Linoski	Radiation Sickness; Kenneth Linoski Comments
258	9034-9039	Feb. 6, 2013	Elissa Michaud	Radiation Sickness; Elissa Michaud Comments
259	9040-9043	Feb. 5, 2013	Ella Elman	Radiation Sickness; Ella Elman Comments
260	9044-9047	Feb. 5, 2013	Andrew Swerling	Radiation Sickness; Andrew Swerling Comments
261	9048-9051	Feb. 5, 2013	Natalie Smith	Radiation Sickness; Natalie Smith Comments
262	9052-9055	Feb. 4, 2013	Mana Iluna	Radiation Sickness; Mana Iluna Comments
263	9056-9059	Feb. 4, 2013	Jayne G. Cagle	Radiation Sickness; Jayne G. Cagle Comments
264	9060-9063	Feb. 4, 2013	Mark Summerlin	Radiation Sickness; Mark Summerlin Comments
265	9064-9067	Feb. 4, 2013	Lashanda Summerlin	Radiation Sickness; Lashanda Summerlin Comments
266	9068-9071	Feb. 4, 2013	Kath Mason	Radiation Sickness; Kath Mason Comments
267	9072-9084	Nov. 1, 2013	Daniel Kleiber	Radiation Sickness; Daniel Kleiber Reply Comments
268	9085-9086	Sep.3, 2013	Susan MacKay	Radiation Sickness; Susan MacKay Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

269	9087-9091	Mar. 4, 2013	Theresa McCarthy	Radiation Sickness; Theresa McCarthy Reply Comments
270	9092-9093	Jul. 11, 2016	L S Murphy	Radiation Sickness; L S Murphy Comments
271	9094-9096	Aug. 30, 2013	Patricia B. Fiskén	Radiation Sickness; Patricia B. Fiskén Comments
272	9097-9098	Sep. 3, 2013	Linda Hart	Radiation Sickness; Linda Hart Comments
273	9099-9101	Aug. 19, 2013	E Renaud	Radiation Sickness; E Renaud Comments
274	9102-9108	Aug. 13, 2013	Nicole Nevin	Radiation Sickness; Nicole Nevin Comments
275	9109-9110	Sep. 30, 2016	Robert VanEchaute	Radiation Sickness; Robert VanEchaute Comments
276	9111-9112	Sep. 6, 2016	Daniel Berman	Radiation Sickness; Daniel Berman Comments
277	9113-9116	Sep. 3, 2013	Edna Willadsen	Radiation Sickness; Edna Willadsen Comments
278	9117-9118	Aug. 30, 2013	Susan Molloy	Radiation Sickness; Susan Molloy Comments
279	9119-9120	Sep. 3, 2013	Kathleen Christofferson	Radiation Sickness; Kathleen Christofferson Comments
280	9121-9122	Sep. 3, 2013	Juli Johnson	Radiation Sickness; Juli Johnson Comments
281	9123-9124	Sep. 3, 2013	Annalee Lake	Radiation Sickness; Annalee Lake Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

282	9125-9126	Aug. 22, 2013	Alan Marks	Radiation Sickness; Alan Marks Comments
283	9127-9128	Jun. 10, 2013	Peggy McDonald	Radiation Sickness; Peggy McDonald Comments
284	9129-9131	Feb. 26, 2013	Mark Zehfus	Radiation Sickness; Mark Zehfus Reply Comments
285	9132-9137	Feb. 6, 2013	Jennifer Zmarzlik	Radiation Sickness; Jennifer Zmarzlik Comments
286	9138-9142	Feb. 6, 2013	Catherine E. Ryan	Radiation Sickness; Catherine E. Ryan Comments
287	9143-9148	Feb. 6, 2013	L. Meade	Radiation Sickness; L. Meade Comments
288	9149-9150	Sep. 3, 2013	Arthur Firstenberg	Radiation Sickness; Arthur Firstenberg Comments
289	9151-9152	Mar. 5, 2013	Jeromy Johnson	Radiation Sickness; Jeromy Johnson Reply Comments
290	9153-9154	Sep. 26, 2016	Jeanne Insenstein	Radiation Sickness; Jeanne Insenstein Comments
291	9155-9159	Nov. 18, 2013	Angela Flynn	Radiation Sickness; Angela Flynn Reply Comments
292	9160-9162	Sep. 4, 2013	Kathryn K. Wesson	Radiation Sickness; Kathryn K. Wesson Comments
293	9163-9165	Sep. 3, 2013	Diane St. James	Radiation Sickness; Diane St. James Comments
294	9166-9168	Sep. 3, 2013	Christine Hoch	Radiation Sickness; Christine Hoch Comments
295	9169-9180	Sep. 3, 2013	Arlene Ring	Radiation Sickness; Arlene Ring Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

296	9181-9182	Sep. 3, 2013	Victoria Jewett	Radiation Sickness; Victoria Jewett Comments
297	9183-9185	Sep. 3, 2013	Michael J. Hazard	Radiation Sickness; Michael J. Hazard Comments
298	9186-9187	Aug. 30, 2013	Melinda Wilson	Radiation Sickness; Melinda Wilson Comments
299	9188-9191	Aug. 30, 2013	Maggi Garloff	Radiation Sickness; Maggi Garloff Comments
300	9192-9199	Sep. 3, 2013	Holly Manion	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Holly Manion Comments
301	9200-9203	Aug. 22, 2013	James Baker	Radiation Sickness; James Baker Comments
302	9204-9254	Jul. 19, 2013	Deborah Cooney	Radiation Sickness; Deborah Cooney, Verified Complaint, <i>Cooney v. California Public Utilities Commission et al</i> , No. 12-cv-06466-CW, U.S.D.C. N.D. Cal. (Dec 17, 2012)
303	9255-9258	Jun. 13, 2013	Mardel DeBuhr	Radiation Sickness; Mardel DeBuhr Comments
304	9259-9260	Jun. 10, 2013	Richard Wolfson	Radiation Sickness; Richard Wolfson Comments
305	9261-9264	Mar. 7, 2013	James E. Peden	Radiation Sickness; James E. Peden Reply Comments
306	9265-9266	Mar. 5, 2013	Carl Hilliard	Radiation Sickness; Carl Hilliard Comments
307	9267-9268	Mar. 4, 2013	Lisa Horn	Radiation Sickness; Lisa Horn Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

308	9269-9274	Feb. 27, 2013	Alexandra Ansell	Radiation Sickness; Alexandra Ansell Reply Comments
309	9275-9278	Feb. 25, 2013	Patricia A. Ormsby	Radiation Sickness; Patricia A. Ormsby Reply Comments
310	9279-9282	Feb. 14, 2013	Annette Jewell-Ceder	Radiation Sickness; Annette Jewell-Ceder Reply Comments
311	9283-9286	Feb. 6, 2013	Max Feingold	Radiation Sickness; Max Feingold Comments
312	9287-9300	Feb. 6, 2013	Annallys Goodwin-Landher	Radiation Sickness; Annallys Goodwin-Landher Comments
313	9301-9316	Feb. 4, 2013	Rebecca Morr	Radiation Sickness; Rebecca Morr Comments
314	9317-9320	Feb. 5, 2013	Josh Finley	Radiation Sickness; Alexandra Ansell Reply Comments
315	9321-9331	Feb. 5, 2013	Donna L. Bervinchak	Radiation Sickness; Donna L. Bervinchak Comments
VOLUME 24 – Tabs 316-377				
316	9332-9334	Feb. 5, 2013	Catherine Morgan	Radiation Sickness; Catherine Morgan Comments
317	9335-9338	Feb. 5, 2013	Angelica Rose	Radiation Sickness; Angelica Rose Comments
318	9339-9341	Feb. 5, 2013	Brian J. Bender	Radiation Sickness; Brian J. Bender Comments
319	9342-9343	Jul. 11, 2016	Maggie Connolly	Radiation Sickness; Maggie Connolly Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

320	9344-9345	Sep. 3, 2013	Gregory Temmer	Radiation Sickness; Gregory Temmer Comments
321	9346-9347	Sep. 3, 2013	Bernice Nathanson	Radiation Sickness; Bernice Nathanson Comments
322	9348-9350	Sep. 3, 2013	Terry Losansky	Radiation Sickness; Terry Losansky Comments
323	9351-9352	Sep. 3, 2013	Ronald Jorstad	Radiation Sickness; Ronald Jorstad Comments
324	9353-9354	Jul. 8, 2013	Liz Menkes	Radiation Sickness; Liz Menkes Comments
325	9355-9356	Sep. 3, 2013	Katie Mickey	Radiation Sickness; Katie Mickey Comments
326	9357-9360	Sep. 3, 2013	Karen Nold	Radiation Sickness; Karen Nold Comments
327	9361-9362	Jul. 8, 2013	David DeBus, PhD.	Radiation Sickness; David DeBus, Ph.D. Comments
328	9363-9365	Jun. 20, 2013	Jamie Lehman	Radiation Sickness; Jamie Lehman Comments
329	9366-9367	Jun. 12, 2013	Jane van Tamelen	Radiation Sickness; Jane van Tamelen Comments
330	9368-9379	Jun. 10, 2013	Sebastian Sanzotta	Radiation Sickness; Sebastian Sanzotta Comments
331	9380-9383	Mar. 7, 2013	Taale Laafi Rosellini	Radiation Sickness; Taale Laafi Rosellini Reply Comments
332	9384-9387	Mar. 7, 2013	Robert E. Peden	Radiation Sickness; Robert E. Peden Reply Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

333	9388-9391	Mar. 7, 2013	Marilyn L. Peden	Radiation Sickness; Marilyn L. Peden Reply Comments
334	9392-9393	Mar. 5, 2013	Doreen Almeida	Radiation Sickness; Doreen Almeida Reply Comments
335	9394-9395	Mar. 5, 2013	Oriannah Paul	Radiation Sickness; Oriannah Paul Comments
336	9396-9397	Sep. 3, 2013	Heather Lane	Radiation Sickness; Heather Lane Comments
337	9398-9399	Aug. 15, 2013	John Grieco	Radiation Sickness; John Grieco Comments
338	9400-9401	Sep. 29, 2016	Linda Kurtz	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Linda Kurtz Comments
339	9402-9406	Feb. 5, 2013	Lisa Drodtt-Hemmele	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Lisa Drodtt-Hemmele Comments
340	9407-9409	Aug. 26, 2013	Robert S Weinhold	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Robert S Weinhold Comments
341	9410-9411	Jul. 12, 2016	Dianne Black	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Dianne Black Comments
342	9412-9415	Jul. 13, 2016	Derek C. Bishop	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Derek C. Bishop Comments
343	9416-9435	Aug. 21, 2013	Steven Magee	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Steven Magee Comments
344	9436-9437	Sep. 3, 2013	Melissa Chalmers	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Melissa Chalmers Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

345	9438-9440	Aug. 30, 2013	Garril Page	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Garril Page Comments
346	9441-9444	Sep. 5, 2013	Laddie W. Lawings	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Laddie W. Lawings Comments
347	9445-9446	Sep. 4, 2018	Fern Damour	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Fern Damour Comments
348	9447-9449	Aug. 28, 2013	Rebecca Rundquist	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Rebecca Rundquist Comments
349	9450-9451	Sep. 3, 2013	JoAnn Gladson	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; JoAnn Gladson Comments
350	9452-9453	Jul. 13, 2016	Jonathan Mirin	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Jonathan Mirin Comments
351	9454-9455	Jul. 12, 2016	Mary Adkins	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Mary Adkins Comments
352	9456-9458	Sep. 3, 2013	Ian Greenberg	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Ian Greenberg Comments
353	9459-9462	Sep. 3, 2013	Helen Sears	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Helen Sears Comments
354	9463-9464	Mar. 4, 2013	Janet Johnson	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Janet Johnson Comments
355	9465-9467	Aug. 20, 2013	Mr. and Mrs. Gammone	Radiation Sickness & ADA/FHA; Mr. and Mrs. Gammone Comments
356	9468-9475	Sep. 10, 2013	Shelley Masters	Radiation Sickness - Disability; Shelley Masters Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

357	9476-9479	Sep. 12, 2016	Tara Schell & Kathleen Bowman	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Tara Schell & Kathleen Bowman Comments
358	9480-9481	Feb. 6, 2013	Patricia Burke	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Patricia Burke Comments
359	9482-9484	Aug. 19, 2013	Deirdre Mazzetto	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Deirdre Mazzetto Comments
360	9485-9486	Mar. 5, 2013	Jim and Jana May	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Jim and Jana May Comments
361	9487-9488	Jun. 10, 2013	Lisa M. Stakes	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Lisa M. Stakes Comments
362	9489-9490	Sep. 3, 2013	Veronica Zrnchik	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Veronica Zrnchik Comments
363	9491-9493	Sep. 12, 2013	J.A. Wood	Radiation Sickness; Disability; J.A. Wood Comments
364	9494-9495	Jul. 3, 2016	Sherry Lamb	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Sherry Lamb Comments
365	9496-9500	Aug. 28, 2013	April Rundquist	Radiation Sickness; Disability; April Rundquist Comments
366	9501-9502	Jul. 21, 2016	Charlene Bontrager	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Charlene Bontrager Comments
367	9503-9506	Jun. 19, 2013	Michelle Miller	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Michelle Miller Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

368	9507-9514	Sep. 3, 2013	James C. Barton	Radiation Sickness; Disability; James C. Barton Comments
369	9515-9526	Sep. 3, 2013	Diane Schou	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Diane Schou Comments
370	9527-9532	Jun. 24, 2013	Alison Price	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Alison Price Comments
371	9533-9535	Sep. 10, 2013	Shari Anker	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Shari Anker Comments
372	9536-9538	Aug. 30, 2013	Paul Vonharnish	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Paul Vonharnish Comments
373	9539-9548	Aug. 26, 2013	Heidi Lumpkin	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Heidi F. Lumpkin, Comments
374	9549-9550	Sep. 3, 2013	Kaitlin Losansky	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Kaitlin Losansky Comments
376	9551-9556	Nov. 12, 2012	Monise Sheehan	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Monise Sheehan Testimonial
376	9557-9558	Mar. 1, 2013	Ruthie Glavinich	Radiation Sickness; Disability; Ruthie Glavinich Comments
377	9559-9682	Sep. 3, 2013	Ed Friedman	Radiation Sickness; Testimonials of Nine People; 2013
VOLUME 25 – Tabs 378-404				
378	9683-9771	Sep. 3, 2013	Ed Friedman	Radiation Sickness; Testimonials of Twelve People; 2013
379	9772-9854	Sep. 3, 2013	Ed Friedman	Radiation Sickness; Testimonials of Nine People; 2013

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

380	9855-9936	Sep. 28, 2016	Kevin Mottus	Radiation Sickness; Testimonials of Twenty People, Collected by StopSmartMeters; 2013
381	9937-9938	Sep. 3, 2013	Amanda & Ryan Rose	Radiation Sickness: Doctor's Diagnosis Letter for Peter Rose; 2010
382	9939-9940	Jun. 10, 2013	Steven Magee	Radiation Sickness; Doctor's Diagnosis Letter for Steven Magee
383	9941-9964	Sep. 30, 2016	Patricia Burke	European Manifesto in support of a European Citizens' Initiative (ECI)
384	9965-10012	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	ADA/FHA; Verified Complaint, <i>G v. Fay Sch., Inc.</i> , No. 15-CV-40116-TSH (U.S.D.C. Mass. Aug. 12, 2015)
385	10013-10015	Aug. 13, 2013	John Puccetti	ADA/FHA; Organizations; American Academy of Environmental Medicine, Letter to the FCC
386	10016-10018	Feb. 5, 2013	Rachel Nummer	ADA/FHA; Rachel Nummer Comments
387	10019-10023	Feb. 5, 2013	Barbara Schnier	ADA/FHA; Southern Californians for a Wired Solution to Smart Meters Comments
388	10024-10057-	Feb. 5, 2013	Barbara Schnier	ADA/FHA; Opening Brief of Southern Californians for Wired Solutions to Smart Meters, Application 11-03-014 (July 19, 2012)
389	10058-10066	Sep. 2, 2013	Barbara Li Santi	ADA/FHA; Barbara Li Santi Comments
390	10067-10077	Oct. 22, 2013	Kit T. Weaver	ADA/FHA; Kit T. Weaver, Reply Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

391	10078-10086	Mar. 3, 2013	Sandra Schmidt	ADA/FHA; Sandra Schmidt Reply Comments
392	10087-10099	Feb. 11, 2013	Antoinette Stein	ADA/FHA; Antoinette Stein Comments
393	10100-10103	Feb. 5, 2013	David Morrison	ADA/FHA; David Morrison Comments
394	10104-10107	Apr. 16, 2014	MK Hickox	MK Hickox Reply Comments
395	10108-10009	Sep. 3, 2013	Annemarie Weibel	ADA/FHA; Annemarie Weibel Comments
396	10110 - 10117	Sep. 3, 2013	Omer Abid, MD, MPH	Individual Rights; Dr. Omer Abid MD. MPH Comments
397	10118-10120	Sep. 2, 2013	John A. Holeton	Individual Rights; John & Pauline Holeton Comments
398	10121-10129	Sep. 2, 2013	Grassroots Environmental Education, Inc. o/b/o Nancy Naylor	Individual Rights; Nancy Naylor Comments
399	10130-10143	Sep. 2, 2013	Deborah M. Rubin	Individual Rights; Deborah M. Rubin Comments
400	10,144-10149	Sep. 2, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Individual Rights; Kevin Mottus Comments
401	10150 - 10157	Aug. 30, 2013	Alexandra Ansell	Individual Rights; Alexandra Ansell Comments
402	10158-10161	Aug. 25, 2013	Steen Hviid	Individual Rights; Steen Hviid Comments
403	10162-10165	Aug. 21, 2013	Molly Hauck	Individual Rights; Molly Hauck Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

404	10166-10171	Feb. 5, 2013	Olle Johansson	Individual Rights; Prof. Olle Johansson PhD., Comments
VOLUME 26 – Tabs 405-443				
405	10172-10174	Mar. 4, 2013	R.Paul and Kathleen Sundmark	Individual Rights; R. Paul and Kathleen Sundmark Reply Comments
406	10175-10180	Feb. 5, 2013	Cynthia Edwards	Individual Rights & ADA; Cynthia Edwards Comments
407	10181-10185	Feb. 4, 2013	Diana Ostermann	Individual Rights; Diana Ostermann Comments
408	10186-10193	Jul. 13, 2016	Chris Nubbe	Individual Rights; Chris Nubbe Comments
409	10194-10201	Nov. 17, 2013	Katie Singer	Individual Rights & ADA; Katie Singer Comments
410	10202-10203	Aug. 21, 2013	John Puccetti	Individual Rights; BC Human Rights Tribunal approves smart meter class action, Citizens for Safe Technology
411	10204-10207	Sep. 30, 2016	Catherine Kleiber	Individual Rights; Wireless Technology Violates Human Rights, Catherine Kleiber
412	10208-10212	Oct. 28, 2013	Kate Reese Hurd	Individual Rights; Kate Reese Hurd Comments
413	10213-10214	Sep. 30, 2016	Patricia Burke	Individual Rights; Wireless ““Revolution” Must Be Supported by Scientific Proof of Safety for Human Health and the Environment, Patricia Burke

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

414	10215-10216	Sep. 3, 2013	Ed Friedman	Individual Rights; Transcript of Hearing, Vol. 10, Application 11-03-014, Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of Modifications to its SmartMeter™ Program and Increased Revenue Requirements to Recover the Costs of the Modifications, California Public Utilities Commission; Dec. 20, 2012
415	10235-10248	Dec. 1, 2013	Julienne Battalia	Individual Rights; Letter of Complaint and Appeal, and Notice of Liability Regarding ‘Smart Meter’ and Wireless Networks, Julienne Battalia, Washington State
416	10249-10270	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Precautionary Principle; Mobile Phone Infrastructure Regulation in Europe: Scientific Challenges and Human Rights Protection, Professor Susan Perry, (international human rights law) Professor Claudia Roda (Impacts of digital technology on human behavior and social structure)
417	10271-10275	Jul. 11, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Precautionary Principle; Wi-Fi - Children; Saying Good-Bye to WiFi A Waldorf School Takes a Precautionary Step, Dr. Ronald E. Koetzsch PhD.

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

418	10276-10290	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Precautionary Principle; Wireless Devices, Standards, and Microwave Radiation in the Education Environment, Dr. Gary Brown, Ed.D. (Instructional Technologies and Distance Education)
419	10291-10294	Nov. 18, 2013	Richard H. Conrad, Ph.D.	Precautionary Principle; Dr. Richard H. Conrad Reply Comments
420	10295-10304	Sep. 3, 2013	Holly Manion	Precautionary Principle; Smart Meters-Firefighters; Letter from Susan Foster to San Diego Gas & Electric, California Public Utilities Commission; Nov. 8, 2011
421	10305-10348	Jul. 7, 2016	Environmental Health Trust	Precautionary Principle; Letter to the Montgomery County Board of Education Members, Theodora Scarato
422	10349-10352	Oct. 30, 2013	Diane Hickey	Precautionary Principle; Diane Hickey Comments
423	10353-10356	Sep. 3, 2013	Monnie Ramsell	Precautionary Principle; Monnie Ramsell Comments
424	10357-10409	Aug. 29, 2013	Kevin Kunze	Precautionary Principle; Kevin Kunze Comments
425	10410-10429	Feb. 6, 2013	Clara De La Torre	Precautionary Principle; Clara de La Torre Comments
426	10430-10431	Sep. 30, 2016	Center for Safer Wireless	Precautionary Principle; Center for Safer Wireless Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

427	10432-10440	Sep. 27, 2016	Gary C. Vesperman	Precautionary Principle; Possible Hazards of Cell Phones and Towers, Wi-Fi, Smart Meters, and Wireless Computers, Printers, Laptops, Mice, Keyboards, and Routers Book Three, Gary Vesperman Comments
428	10441-10443	Jul. 11, 2016	Cecelia Doucette	Precautionary Principle; Cecelia Doucette Comments
429	10444-10446	Aug. 31, 2016	Chuck Matzker	Precautionary Principle; Chuck Matzker Comments
430	10447-10460	Sep. 3, 2013	Diane Schou	Precautionary Principle; Dr. Diane Schou PhD, Dr. Bert Schou, PhD., Comments (letter sent to FCC's OET)
431	10461-10465	Sep. 3, 2013	Evelyn Savarin	Precautionary Principle; Evelyn Savarin Comments
432	10466-10468	Jun. 19, 2013	Jamie Lehman	Precautionary Principle; Jamie Lehman, Comments
433	10469-10470	Mar. 7, 2013	Marlene Brenhouse	Precautionary Principle; Marlene Brenhouse, Comments
434	10471-10474	Jul. 11, 2016	Lynn Beiber	Precautionary Principle; Lynn Beiber Comments
435	10475-10489	Sep. 2, 2013	Kevin Mottus	Precautionary Principle; Kevin Mottus Comments
436	10490-10491	Jul.13, 2016	Mary Paul	Precautionary Principle; Mary Paul, Comments
437	10492-10493	Jul. 11, 2016	Stephanie McCarter	Precautionary Principle; Stephanie McCarter Comments

INDEX TO DEFERRED APPENDIX

438	10494-10496	Feb. 4, 2013	Rebecca Morr	Precautionary Principle; Rebecca Morr Comments
439	10497-10505	Feb. 3, 2013	Nancy Baer	Precautionary Principle; Nancy Baer Comments
440	10506-10507	Sep. 2, 2013	Holly LeGros	Precautionary Principle; Holly LeGros Comments
441	10508-10509	Aug. 18, 2013	Loe Griffith	Precautionary Principle; Loe Griffith Comments
442	10510-10555	Nov. 18, 2013	EMR Policy Institute	EMR Policy Institute Reply Comments
443	10566-10572	Sep. 3, 2013	Leslee Cooper	Leslee Cooper Comments

5G; FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler ‘The Future of Wireless: A Vision for U.S. Leadership in a 5G World’; 2016

**Prepared Remarks of FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler
‘The Future of Wireless: A Vision for U.S. Leadership in a 5G World’
National Press Club
Washington, D.C.
June 20, 2016**

A few months ago, I found myself in a situation I never would have imagined when I became FCC Chairman. I was in Dallas, Texas; I was at the helm of an excavator; and I was using a piece of heavy machinery to dig up dirt. For those of you trying to picture this scene, yes, I was wearing a suit. I was also wearing a pair of virtual reality goggles, and I hadn't left the FCC.

While I may have been in DC physically, I am telling you that I was “AT” the site. I sat in the mock-up of an excavator, and, I had complete control sensitivity, equivalent to being there. I could be transported to a site in Texas 1,400 miles away without physically moving an inch.

Granted, remotely digging dirt in Dallas probably isn't high on the list of transformational advancements that will define the 21st century. But what if you replace the heavy machinery with a scalpel so a world-class surgeon can move from hospital to hospital without leaving her own surgery suite? Or how about students sitting in a classroom taking a virtual tour inside the human body?

Now, you've heard of such amazing things before, but making these kinds of activities possible without fat cables leading to the VR headset could not be accomplished because of three limiting factors:

1. Speed of the wireless connections: We all know the difference in the performance of a direct fiber connection compared to a wireless connection. The next generation of wireless must be like mobile fiber – and that means speeds 10 to 100 times faster than today.
2. Responsiveness: Ten thousandths of a second is an eternity in computer-to-computer connections. The surgeon's scalpel needs to be immediately responsive, not a blink later. The technical folks call this latency. It currently averages about 10 milliseconds or about one hundredth of a second. That may sound pretty fast but it's forever in computing. Latency needs to be less than one millisecond – or less than one thousandth of a second – to provide for real-time interactions.
3. Spectrum capacity: High-speed wireless broadband requires digital information racing down broad spectrum pathways. To accomplish speed and latency requires large swaths of spectrum – multiples of what is available today.

To seize the opportunities before us, we need the next generation of wireless connectivity – a fifth generation, or 5G. And if the United States is going to continue to be a world leader in wireless, we need to speed the deployment of 5G, here, on our shores.

The virtual reality example is but one sample of the effects of high-speed, low-latency connectivity and why American leadership in 5G must be a national priority. The driving force

of the 21st century will be powerful processing centralized in the cloud and wirelessly connected to thin clients.

Autonomous vehicles will be controlled in the cloud. Smart-city energy grids, transportation networks, and water systems will be controlled in the cloud. Immersive education and entertainment will come from the cloud. Such futures, however, won't come to pass unless the pathway to the cloud is low-latency, ultra-fast, and secure.

If we've learned anything in the generational march through wireless connectivity, it is that we have always underestimated the innovation that would result from new generations of wireless networks.

First-generation wireless – 1G – was voice. In the early 1980s, McKinsey told AT&T there would be 900,000 cell phone subscribers by the turn of the century. Turns out there were 109 million. So they were ONLY off by a factor of roughly 100.

Second-generation – 2G – allowed both talk and text. But no one understood the power of text. From shifting the way an entire group – teenagers – communicate, to a developing-world tool for banking the unbanked, innovators seized on the new capability in unimagined ways.

Third-generation – 3G – married wireless and digital networks to open the door to connecting with another new technological development called the Internet, yet still at a stripped-down level.

Today's technology – 4G – completed the digital migration, enabling higher speeds for sophisticated applications including video. Again, greater capability led to unanticipated innovation: without 4G, there could be no WAZE ... or Uber ... or Snapchat ... or Instagram.

Now, I've listed some examples of what 5G makes possible, but if anyone tells you they know the details of what 5G will deliver, walk the other way.

Yes, 5G will connect the Internet of Everything. If something can be connected, it will be connected in a 5G world. But with predictions of hundreds of billions of microchip-enabled products from pill bottles to plant waterers, you can be sure of only one thing: the biggest IoT has yet to be imagined.

Yes, 5G will connect the unconnected and compete with the uncompetitive. Millions of Americans can't access high-speed connectivity because it's too costly to run fiber to the home. Verizon CEO Lowell McAdam has been speaking lately about using 5G connectivity to expand high-speed broadband service to rural areas. Fiber-fast wireless connectivity will deliver that long-sought goal of competitive high-speed Internet access for consumers.

But, let's stop the imagining. Here's the key – the interconnected world we live in today is the result of decisions we made a decade ago. The interconnected world of the future will be the result of decisions we must make today. That is why 5G is a national priority, and why, this Thursday, I am circulating to my colleagues proposed new rules that will identify and open up

vast amounts of spectrum for 5G applications. We call it the Spectrum Frontiers proceeding, and we will vote on it July 14th.

Our 5G proposal is the final piece in the spectrum trifecta of low-band, mid-band, and high-band airwaves that will open up unprecedented amounts of spectrum, speed the rollout of next-generation wireless networks, and re-define network connectivity for years to come. I'm confident these actions will lead to a cornucopia of unanticipated innovative uses, and generate tens of billions of dollars in economic activity.

Let's revisit that spectrum strategy for a moment. Rule number one is that the technology should drive the policy rather than the policy drive the technology. And technology for 5G is not one thing, it is many things. The marriage of Moore's Law and wireless connectivity involves smart antenna systems, new more-efficient transmission formats, low-energy systems, network virtualization, and much more. And on the spectrum side, these technologies require new access to spectrum in multiple bands – the wireless future will not be a one-size-fits-all future.

So, our spectrum trifecta begins with low-band spectrum that is optimal for wide-area coverage applications. At this very moment, we are in the midst of the world's first incentive auction to make greenfield low-band spectrum available. The broadcasters have stepped up to bring their spectrum to market; shortly, the wireless industry will have the opportunity to fulfill their repeated requests for more spectrum with beachfront allocations.

Mid-band spectrum often seems the Jan Brady of the spectrum world - - the overlooked middle child. But its characteristics enable an order of magnitude increase in spectrum efficiency. The Commission's recent AWS-3 and new Citizens Broadband Radio service in the 3.5 GHz band were landmarks in using new sharing tools to open up new mid-band spectrum, and we need to continue looking for other mid-band opportunities.

And high-band spectrum will be the focus of our decision next month. These bands offer huge swaths of spectrum for super-fast data rates with low latency, and are now becoming unlocked because of technological advances in computing and antennas.

If the Commission approves my proposal next month, the United States will be the first country in the world to open up high-band spectrum for 5G networks and applications. And that's damn important because it means U.S. companies will be first out of the gate.

We will be repeating the proven formula that made the United States the world leader in 4G. It's a simple formula: Lead the world in spectrum availability, encourage and protect innovation-driving competition, and stay out of the way of technological development.

Unlike some countries, we do not believe we should spend the next couple of years studying what 5G should be, how it should operate, and how to allocate spectrum, based on those assumptions. Like the examples I gave earlier, the future has a way of inventing itself. Turning innovators loose is far preferable to expecting committees and regulators to define the future. We won't wait for the standards to be first developed in the sometimes arduous standards-setting process or in a government-led activity. Instead, we will make ample spectrum available and

then rely on a private sector-led process for producing technical standards best suited for those frequencies and use cases.

Leadership in networks leads to leadership in uses, which quickly moves across borders.

A result of this national leadership is the creation of a home-field advantage, similar to what we experienced with 4G.

The main value of 5G will not be found in workshare or intellectual property. The main value of 5G by far will be in consumption rather than production. It will be in material gains and improvements in quality of life and economic opportunity.

I would also emphasize that the development of 5G is not anything like an international zero-sum game. Rather, it is a contest in which everyone can win. Our success and that of others, redounds to the benefit – literally – of everyone in the world.

We are already seeing industry gearing up to seize this opportunity. I have seen 5G hardware and firmware; the technology is here. It is also important, however, to recognize that 5G technology will be in constant evolution. It would be a mistake to think 5G can be frozen in a snapshot; it is more like a video with many new scenes, all building on each other. The systems and standards of 5G will be continually improving and evolving.

On the network side, Verizon and AT&T tell us they will begin deploying 5G trials in 2017. These efforts will, of course, help inform the standards process by putting stakes in the ground. And the first commercial deployments at scale are expected in 2020.

This timeline requires that we act to pave the way today. With the new rules I am proposing in our Spectrum Frontiers order, we take our most significant step yet down the path to our 5G future.

The big game-changer is that 5G will use much higher-frequency bands than previously thought viable for mobile broadband and other applications. Such millimeter wave signals have physical properties that are both a limitation and a strength: they tend to travel best in narrow and straight lines, and do not go through physical obstacles very well. This means that very narrow signals in an urban environment tend to bounce around buildings and other obstacles making it difficult to connect to a moving point. But it also means that the spectrum can be reused over and over again.

Brilliant engineers have developed new antennas that can aim and amplify signals, coupled with sophisticated processing, allowing a moving device to pick up all of the signals bouncing around and create one coherent connection. To make this work, 5G buildout is going to be very infrastructure intensive, requiring a massive deployment of small cells. But it also opens up unprecedented opportunities for frequency reuse and denser, more localized, networks.

The ability to use this high-frequency spectrum opens much bigger chunks of spectrum. Current blocks of licensed low-band spectrum are usually 5 to 10 MHz in width. With 5G, however, we

are looking at blocks of at least 200 MHz in width. This will allow networks to carry much more traffic per user – gigabits of throughput instead of megabits. This is an order of magnitude growth in the channel capacity available to a provider, supporting, for example, simultaneously high-speed connections to mobile end users as well as "backhaul on demand" via immediate, dynamic provisioning of fixed wireless transport to the nearest fiber interconnection point, allowing faster and more flexible deployment of small cells.

The key point here is that by opening up these higher-frequency bands, we are making available more licensed spectrum for mobile than in the cumulative history of mobile spectrum allocation. And we're not done. As a part of our July 14 action, we also plan to ask for comments on opening up other high-frequency bands.

And, what we'll be considering on July 14 is not just licensed spectrum. Unlicensed will continue to play a critical role in future 5G networks. Our plan proposes making a massive 14 gigahertz unlicensed band. Consider that – 14,000 megahertz of unlicensed spectrum, with the same flexible-use rules that has allowed unlicensed to become a breeding ground for innovation.

Opening up spectrum and offering flexibility to operators and innovators is the most important thing we can do to enable the 5G revolution, but it's not the only thing.

We also need to work our way through spectrum sharing issues. Sharing is essential for the future of spectrum utilization. Many of the high-frequency bands we will make available for 5G currently have some satellite users, and some federal users, or at least the possibility of future satellite and federal users.

This means sharing will be required between satellite and terrestrial wireless; an issue that is especially relevant in the 28 GHz band. It is also a consideration in the additional bands we will identify for future exploration. We will strike a balance that offers flexibility for satellite users to expand, while providing terrestrial licensees with predictability about the areas in which satellite will locate.

However, we must reject any notion that the 5G future will be the sole province of urban areas. The 5G revolution will touch all corners of this country.

Three months ago, I indicated as directly as I am capable that it would be advantageous for the satellite and mobile industries to come together to propose realistic ideas for their coexistence in the upper bands -- and to do so quickly. Satellite and terrestrial stakeholders have suggested a range of sharing options, and the draft Spectrum Frontiers Order seeks to provide a balanced solution that addresses the needs of both parties.

I am confident we will adopt rules that will enable satellite, terrestrial, and federal operations to co-exist and thrive.

To make sure we have this connectivity with high-band spectrum will require a lot more small cells, which means a lot more antenna siting decisions by local governments. That's why it's important that the Commission has streamlined our environmental and historic preservation

rules, and tightened our ‘shot clock’ for siting application reviews. America’s local governments will play an important role in determining how we fulfill this national priority.

In addition, all these small cell sites will need to be connected, so we’ll need a lot more backhaul. That’s a challenge we’re going to address through our proceeding on Business Data Services, the kind of dedicated access that wireless providers need to connect cell towers and antennae to their networks. These backhaul connections can be as much as 30 percent of the cost of operating a wireless network. And with the additional sites required to support use of the millimeter wave spectrum, that percentage is likely to increase, to as much as 50 percent.

In many areas, however, competition in the supply of backhaul remains limited, and that can translate into higher prices for wireless networks and then higher prices for consumers. Lack of competition doesn’t just hurt the deployment of wireless networks today, it threatens as well to delay the buildout of 5G networks with its demand for many, many more backhaul connections to many, many more antennae. Before the end of this year the Commission will take up a reform proposal – supported by the nation’s leading wireless carriers, save one – that will encourage innovation and investment in Business Data Services while ensuring that lack of competition in some places cannot be used to hold 5G hostage.

As we build the next-generation network, a lesson learned from our previous experience is that it must be secure. New platforms, systems, software and technologies will mean new vulnerabilities. Cybersecurity issues must be addressed during the design phase for the entire 5G ecosystem, including devices. This will place a premium on collaboration among all stakeholders. We continue to prefer an approach that emphasizes that industry develop cybersecurity standards just as we have done in wired networks.

In the spirit of the election season, I thought I’d close these remarks by referencing a campaign speech from the 60s. For a change, I don’t mean the 1860s.

On July 15, 1960, John F. Kennedy strode to podium at the Los Angeles Coliseum to accept the Democratic nomination for President, and famously challenged the American people to be pioneers of a New Frontier. He spoke of harnessing the power of the technological revolution and exploring uncharted areas of science and space. JFK’s vision charted a path that took us to the moon and laid the foundation for the Internet.

This July 14, the FCC will have the opportunity to take an historic step to open up yet another frontier that promises to propel our nation – and the world – forward. Once again, we are looking to the sky to unlock new discoveries and unleash American ingenuity. We are the pioneers of a new spectrum frontier. Working together, we can write the next chapter in the mobile revolution that has already transformed our lives and society. Working together, we can unleash new waves of innovation and discovery that we are yet to imagine.

5G; Letter to the House Subcommittee on Communications
and Technology; Angela Tsiang; 2016

Dear House Subcommittee on Communication & Technology,

I am a mother of 2 boys, ages 8 and 11, and also a chemical engineer and Six Sigma Black Belt. I am writing today to let you know of my opposition to the FCC's plan to speed 5G to market which would require a "massive" increase in infrastructure in FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler's own words, which will be voted on July 14, 2016. **Currently, there is more than enough infrastructure to provide cellular services to everyone in the US** - as of December 2015, there are 308,000 cell antenna facilities for 378 million wireless subscribers (current US population is 321 million), which is 116% market penetration. We exceeded 100% market penetration in 2013 - per the CTIA, the number of cell towers in the US increased from 66,000 in 1998 to 300,000 in 2013, providing cell service to more than 335 million cell phones in 2013 (104% market penetration). This exceeds 1 cell phone per person in this country <http://www.ctia.org/your-wireless-life/h...>

Now the push for cell antennas is for 5G, which is fast data transmission (i.e. pictures, videos, etc) will require **"massive infrastructure"** of small cell antenna sites beyond the 308,000 cell antenna facilities already in place. <https://www.fcc.gov/document/remarks-cha...>

Because the frequency used in 5G will be much higher than what has been used for 2G, 3G and 4G, transmission distances will be much shorter and the number of small cell sites required will be SEVERAL TIMES greater than the 308,000 macro cell towers already in place across the country.

This proposal is similar to what was proposed by CA Assembly Bill 2788 in June, in which CA Assemblyman Mike Gatto gutted a natural gas storage bill intended to help the Porter Ranch residents and turned it into a bill to install small cell antenna facilities (for 5G) **on every public school, government building (except fire stations), and light and telephone poles without approval by local government.** http://celltowersites.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/20150AB2788_97.pdf Californians were outraged by this proposal which forced Gatto to withdraw the bill fortunately.

FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler is pushing for 5G in the name of “national priority.” **How is the ability to watch videos and download pictures at fast speeds a “national priority?”** While the ability to do this wirelessly is a huge convenience, I disagree with this being a “national priority.”

“National priority” is addressing the astounding increases in autism, ADHD, neurological disorders in children and adults, autoimmune disease and dementia/Alzheimer’s which has been occurring in the last couple decades which coincided with the massive deployment of cell antenna facilities, which began with the 1996 Telecommunications Act. We went from 66,000 cell towers in 1998 to 300,000 in 2013 per the CTIA as mentioned above. **Is someone close to you afflicted with autism, ADHD, neurological diseases, autoimmune disease, dementia or Alzheimer’s?**

- Feb. 24, 2016: [the American Brain Tumor Association has found that brain cancer is the highest cause of cancer deaths in ages 15-39 and the most common cancer among 15-19 year olds.](#) There's also been an [increase in Malignant Brain and Central Nervous System Tumors in American children.](#) For ages 0-14 between 2000-2010, it has increased annually 0.6%/yr. In ages 15-19, between 2000-2008, it has increased annually 1.0%/yr.
- October 2010: [Autism has increased 600% in prevalence over the last two decades.](#)
- [ADHD has increased 53% in the US over the last decade](#) per the CDC. For ages 14 to 17, 19 % of boys and 10% of girls now have ADHD.
- August 2015: Washington Post reports people are developing dementia a decade earlier compared to 20 years ago (2010 vs. 1990) The disease is now regularly diagnosed in people in their late 40s and death rates from early onset dementia are soaring. The study found that deaths caused by neurological disease had risen significantly in adults aged 55 to 74 and more than doubled in the over-75 population overall. **The problem was particularly acute in the United States, where neurological deaths in men aged over 75 have nearly tripled and in women increased more than fivefold.** *“The rate of increase in such a short time suggested a silent or even a hidden epidemic, in which environmental factors must play a major part, not just aging.”* The environmental factors cited included chemical pollution and increased background

electromagnetic fields (which includes wireless radiation) https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/people-are-developing-dementia-earlier-and-dying-of-it-more-a-study-shows/2015/08/06/599b16b8-3c0a-11e5-8e98-115a3cf7d7ae_story.html?wprss=rss_world .

- October 2013: **The NIMH (National Institute of Mental Health) reported 25-30% of kids between ages 13-18 will experience an anxiety disorder. This is an increase of 20 fold (i.e. 1900%) over the last 30 years** <http://health.usnews.com/health-news/health-wellness/articles/2013/10/10/how-to-cope-when-school-anxiety-lingers>
- November 2013: Time Magazine reports that the **rate of reported anxiety disorders among U.S. troops jumped 327% between 2000 and 2012.** <http://swampland.time.com/2013/11/05/anxiety-disorders-on-the-rise-in-the-ranks/>
- **September 2007: The number of American children and adolescents treated for bipolar disorder increased 40-fold [that's 3900%!] from 1994 to 2003** The senior author of the study, Dr. Mark Olfson of the New York State Psychiatric Institute at the Columbia University Medical Center, said, ***"I have been studying trends in mental health services for some time, and this finding really stands out as one of the most striking increases in this short a time."*** The increase makes bipolar disorder more common among children than clinical depression, the authors said. http://www.nytimes.com/2007/09/04/health/04psych.html?_r=1
- **Severe, disabling mental illness has dramatically increased in the United States.** "The tally of those who are so disabled by mental disorders that they qualify for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) or Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) increased nearly two and a half times between 1987 and 2007 ***For children, the rise is even more startling — a thirty-five-fold [i.e. 3400%!]*** increase in the same two decades," as Marcia Angell **summarizes**
- In 2011, the **U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)** reported that **antidepressant use in the United States has increased nearly 400 percent in the last two decades, making antidepressants the most frequently used class of medications by Americans ages 18-44 years.** http://www.salon.com/2013/08/26/how_our_society_breeds_anxiety_depression_and_dysfunction_partner/
- In May 2013, **CDC** reported in **"Mental Health Surveillance Among Children—United States, 2005–2011,"** the following: ***"A total of 13%–20% of children living in the United States experience a mental disorder in a given year, and surveillance during 1994–2011 has shown the prevalence of these conditions to be increasing."***

There are many independent research studies that have shown an effect on nervous and immune systems from RF radiation. Here are just a few <http://ehtrust.org/science/cell-towers-and-cell-antennae/>

I, like most people, was unaware of the research around cell phones and cell towers. I had assumed, like most people, that our government (FCC) standards were adequate to protect us and the proper research had been done by our government in setting these standards before releasing these products to the public. However, I was shocked to learn the following **FACTS**:

1) Our government standards for cell phone radiation is NOT based on protection against all harmful effects but only based on thermal limits, i.e., if there is not enough microwave radiation coming from a device or cell tower to cause your tissues to warm up (i.e. cook you), then FCC standards considers it to be a "safe" level. For cell towers, that limit is 1000uW/cm² for 30 minutes for frequencies 1.5GHz and above <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2002-ti...> This was not intended to be a chronic exposure limit, but a short-term one intended to protect people from burns. For cell phones and personal devices, the amount allowable is a SAR of 4.0 W/kg to the hands, feet, ears and 1.6W/kg to the head and any other part of the body.

2) Areas within the immediate range of a cell tower (i.e. less than 1000ft) are about 1000 times higher than background levels of RF radiation. Ambient (background) levels of microwave radiation, i.e. levels not immediately close to a cell tower, is around 0.0003uW/cm² (cell phone calls can be made at this level and reception is around 4 bars). However, within the immediate range of a cell tower, i.e. within the first 1000 ft, it can be as high as 0.1-1.0uW/cm². While both these levels are lower than 1000uW/cm², realize that this means that the levels within the immediate vicinity of a cell tower is around 1000 times higher than areas not next to a cell tower. So for people living close to a cell tower or kids attending school next to a cell tower, this exposure which is about 1000 times higher than ambient levels is for many hours every day for years, not just 30 minutes. If you don't believe this, please purchase a meter and measure for yourself. The Cornet ED78S is a very basic meter that you can purchase for ~\$140, less than cost of your smart phone

Health effects at 0.1uW/cm2 include neurological problems (headaches, insomnia, feelings of nervousness) **and immune problems** (allergies, cancer). You can see the list here for levels below and above 0.1uW/cm2 per the 2012 Bioinitiative Report, which is a compendium of 3800 peer reviewed studies from around the world. <http://www.bioinitiative.org/rf-color-ch...>

Firefighters union IAFF succeeded in 2004 in stopping more cell towers from being erected on firestations for health reasons, citing neurological and immune system effects. <http://www.iaff.org/hs/facts/CellTowerFi...>

3) **FDA exempted cell phones from product testing in 1984** on the presumption that if there is not enough RF radiation emitted to cause tissue heating, then it was safe. However, there has been a lot of research showing that even levels of radiation below thermal thresholds have negative health effects. Some even done by our own military

NASA Report, 1981

A NASA report published in April 1981, titled "Electromagnetic Field Interactions with the Human Body: Observed Effects and Theories," discussed EMF and microwave RF radiation caused to humans. Effects of microwave radiation reported: headaches, sleep problems, neurological symptoms, cardiac symptoms, memory problems, increased cholesterol, gastritis, ulcers, increased fasting blood glucose, irritability, inability to concentrate, apprehension, and cataracts (clouding of posterior part of lens in those caused by microwave radiation instead of anterior clouding as seen with regular types). Information for the NASA report was collected from over 1,000 written sources that "included journals, conference proceedings, technical reports, books, abstracts, and news items," <http://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.n...>

Navy Report, 1971

On October 4, 1971, the Naval Medical Research Institute published a research report, "Bibliography of Reported Biological Phenomena ('Effects') and Clinical Manifestations Attributed to Microwave and Radio-Frequency Radiation," which was a compilation of over 2000 references on the biological responses to RF microwave radiation. It lists well over 100 negative biological effects caused by RF microwave radiation - here's a partial list: corneal damage, tubular degeneration of testicles, brain heating, alteration of the diameter of blood

vessels, liver enlargement, altered sex ratio of births, decreased fertility, sterility, altered fetal development, decreased lactation in nursing mothers, altered penal function, death, cranial nerve disorders, seizures, convulsions, depression, insomnia, hand tremors, chest pain, thrombosis, alteration in the rate of cellular division, anorexia, constipation, altered adrenal cortex activity, chromosome aberrations, tumors, altered orientation of animals, birds and fish, loss of hair, and sparking between dental fillings. <http://www.magdahavas.com/wordpress/wp-C...>

Air Force Report, 1994

A June 1994 US Air Force document, titled, "Radiofrequency/Microwave Radiation Biological Effects and Safety Standards: A Review," **acknowledges the non-thermal health effects.** Stated in its abstract, ***"It is known that electromagnetic radiation has a biological effect on human tissue."*** The introduction of the report states that ***"researchers have discovered a number of biological dysfunctions that can occur in living organisms"*** and that ***"exposure of the human body to RF/MW [radio frequency/microwave] radiation has many biological implications"*** that range from "innocuous sensation of warmth to serious physiological damage to the eye," and added that ***"there is also evidence that RF/MW radiation can cause cancer."*** Biological impacts: ***"damage to major organs, disruption of important biological processes, and the potential risk of cancer,"*** among many others which include ***"mutagenic effects," "cardiovascular effects,"*** negative effects on chromosomes, and notes that "Soviet investigators claim that exposure to low-level radiation can induce serious CNS [central nervous system] dysfunctions." <http://www.emfacts.com/2014/09/us-air-fo...https://electroplague.files.wordpress.co...>

4) FCC's priority is faster speeds, not human health and safety.

The 1996 Telecommunications Act was passed by Congress despite these reports from US government agencies that document negative health effects from microwave RF radiation that were all published before 1996. Section 704 of the TCA disallowed siting decisions for cell tower facilities based on health considerations as long as they don't exceed FCC's (thermal) limits. Aesthetics is the only valid reason for rejection, so many cell towers are camouflaged and

disguised. This is how cell towers have ended up on school property and next to residential areas without people's awareness.

Even though doctors, scientists, and various groups have made about 1000 submissions asking the FCC to revisit limits since 2012, little has been done by the FCC. (See Proceeding Number 13-84 on the FCC web site. It is hard to navigate, but you can see the ~1000 submissions here, http://www.saferemr.com/2015_08_01_archi...)

FCC changed the Telecom Act to encompass wireless internet in March 2015 (wireless internet was not included in the 1996 TCA prior to that). There have been only a handful of incidents where the FCC has fined wireless companies for exceeding FCC's thermal limits even though **1 in 10 cell antenna sites exceeded FCC's thermal limits** per Wall Street Journal report in 2014 <http://www.wsj.com/articles/cellphone-boom-spurs-antenna-safety-worries-1412293055> , and **the fines are paltry (\$50,000) for exceeding FCC's thermal limits.** <http://www.journalinquirer.com/connectic...>

Yet if speeds are slow, FCC will fine millions. FCC fined AT&T a record \$100 million in June 2015 for not providing speeds as fast as advertised <http://finance.yahoo.com/news/fcc-seeks-...> **Clearly FCC's priorities are NOT human health and safety, and they have not been considered in 5G's implementation.**

Exceeding FCC's thermal limits (which causes bodily harm) only received a \$50,000 fine?

But slow download speeds received a \$100 MILLION fine? AM Best, an insurance rating company, said this about the risks of wireless radiation. ***"what is known is the risk that cell tower workers face. Thermal effects include eye damage, sterility, and cognitive impairments."*** <http://www.ambest.com/directories/bestco...>

5) The only study of effects of chronic exposure to cell phone radiation by our government was reported in May by the NTP (**National Toxicology Program**), which showed a statistically significant increase in brain and heart cancer in rats. Cancer showed up in a small but statistically significant portion of the rats who were exposed to below thermal levels of cell phone radiation at 1.5W/kg for 2 years (below FCC SAR limit of 1.6W/kg – iphone 6 plus


has a SAR rating of 1.59W/kg with all antennas on

<https://www.sarchecker.com/apple-iphone-6-sar-rating-level-edges-extremely-close-to-legal-limits/>)

The significance of this study is that it is proof (from a US government study) that RF levels below thermal thresholds cannot be assumed to be "safe".

In medicine, non-thermal levels of microwave radiation have been used for therapeutic uses to treat pain and edema, heal bones, and chronic wounds https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pulsed_radiofrequency – this fact in itself also disproves the claim that there are “no biological effects” from non-thermal levels of microwave radiation. Anything that has a therapeutic effect can also have a negative effect in a different dose or under different conditions.

6) **Other countries** – China, Russia, France, India, Switzerland, Italy, Luxemborg, Bulgaria – **have stricter RF exposure limits than the US** (see chart below) In January 2015, France forbade Wi-Fi in preschools and ordered it be turned off in elementary schools when not in use. In April 2016, Haifa, Israel has also removed Wi-Fi in schools. You can read more here on Schools and teacher unions around the world taking action on wireless <http://ehtrust.org/policy/schools-unions-and-pta-actions/>



In summary, there are many reasons not to approve the “massive infrastructure” required for 5G at this time. The reasons:

1) NTP studies demonstrating a statistical increase in brain and heart cancer in rats following chronic exposure at $1.5\text{W}/\text{kg}$ (which is below the FCC SAR limit of $1.6\text{W}/\text{kg}$) ;

2) reports by our own military and other branches of the US government demonstrating biological effects from RF radiation at below thermal levels;

3) the fact that non-thermal levels of microwave radiation are used for medical purposes because there is a biological effect;

4) the near 1000 submissions by doctors, scientists, and others asking the FCC to revise their limits in FCC proceeding 13-84;

5) the fact that the higher millimeter frequencies to be used in 5G (as well as 2G, 3G, 4G), have NEVER been studied for its long-term effect on humans by our government; and

6) the fact that there are enough cell tower facilities ALREADY in existence to service more than one cell phone to everyone in this country

Cell phones are important for safety - their original intention was to provide emergency help. However, since then, they have morphed beyond uses for safety. They are now used more for entertainment by adults and children alike.

Now is the time for prudent caution on this issue and slow down any "massive deployment" of cellular infrastructure.

If you don't believe these facts, please do the research for yourself, your families, your constituents, and for everyone in this country. It was not until my children became ill from two cell towers next to their school that I researched this issue. I have physician diagnosis and medical records to corroborate.

FCC's job is to regulate the telecom industry for the protection of this nation's citizens, not pushing a program for private industry's gain in the name of national priority when there are serious health risks and unknowns to consider.

Sincerely,

Angela Tsiang

5G; Ask Congress to Vote No, We Are The Evidence Fact Sheet; 2016



We Are The Evidence
Wireless Technology Injured Advocacy Group

"We Are The Evidence", Those Injured by Wireless

Ask Congress:

PLEASE PROTECT US & HELP US PROTECT the PUBLIC's HEALTH

Say "STOP" to the FCC and Wheeler in 5G vote

Who Are We?

Unlike what the public has been told, wireless technology harms are scientifically PROVEN, not potential but EXISTING, and in an EPIDEMIC scale. **"We Are The Evidence"**, is an advocacy group representing the many people who have been injured by wireless technology; our members have conditions such as electro-sensitivity/intolerance and cancer (among others). We purport to expose this epidemic to the public, elevate the voice of the injured and defend their rights through education, lobbying and litigation.

What Are We Asking For?

Despite the EVIDENCE OF WIDESPREAD SICKNESS from wireless technology radiation and the CLEAR SCIENCE (including a RECENTLY published \$25 million GOVERNMENT STUDY which establishes the already proven harms of wireless technology including brain and heart cancer, DNA damage and neurological effects), rather than acting prudently, warn the public, reduce radiation levels and encourage industry to develop safer technologies, the FCC continues to ignore the harms, use an obsolete "safety" standard, mislead the public and Congress and now, facilitate a rapid increase in radiation levels with 5G and the use of higher (and more harmful) frequencies.

We are asking Congress to take action to stop the FCC rush Vote on 7/14, which fast-tracks the release of the more harmful 5G infrastructure.

We are asking Congress to conduct a hearing to investigate the FCC actions/inactions and their disregard of public health; and to include testimony of those who have been injured by wireless in this hearing.

This is a public health and human rights crisis that must not be ignored. Ignoring a problem does not change the facts; it merely increases its scale and we already have an epidemic-scale problem.

The Facts About 5G & the FCC

Fact: 5G is Even More Harmful than Existing Wireless Infrastructure

5G will be making use of higher frequencies, known as "millimeter waves," which are more harmful to humans, without examination or consideration of the hazards. 5G frequencies are easily disrupted by physical interference; therefore, to overcome this limitation, a massive number of antennas will have to be positioned on almost every electric pole in the country, all utilizing higher frequencies. This deployment will significantly increase the radiation levels in the environment, making it impossible for anyone to escape this radiation, especially those who are already injured and cannot tolerate the radiation.


Fact: FCC Ignores Recent Government \$25 Million Study Proving Harms

The link between wireless and cancer / other harms was proven in a \$25 Million study by the National Toxicology Program; ***the scientists who conducted the research called to immediately warn the Public***. The FCC is ignoring the study and instead, is trying to fast-track 5G. This study repeats what many previous research studies proved and supports the existing human evidence. More information about the study can be found on ***MicrowaveNews.com*** and the ***Wall Street Journal***.

Fact: FCC Ignores NIH Study Proving Wireless Causes Changes in Brain



The study led by Dr. Nora Walkow, ***Director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse***, "Effects of Cell Phone Radiofrequency Signal Exposure on Brain Glucose", offers conclusive evidence that the FCC's claim that there are no biological effects of wireless technology is false.



The brain image (above) shows the levels of glucose in the brain (yellow) before (image on the right) and after (image on the left) cell phone use. The glucose levels shown in the left image are significantly higher and found to be so especially in the areas closer to the antennas of the cell phone. This study reinforces previous studies that used other experimental approaches, and have found that

microwave radiation alters cellular signaling pathways and brain activity. **As glucose is the sole energy source of the brain, the study reinforces previous studies that have found that microwave radiation alters cellular signaling pathways and brain activity.**

Fact: The FCC Cannot Be Trusted

Would you have wanted the head of the Tobacco Companies' Association to head the Agency that regulates it? Then why allow Tom Wheeler, who headed the CTIA, the wireless industry association, to head the FCC, the very same agency that regulates them?

Harvard University Center for Ethics wrote a report about the corruption of the FCC called: **"Captured Agency: How the FCC is Dominated by the Industries It Presumably Regulates."** This 5G vote is a clear proof...

FCC's 2013 declaration that it is going to review its "Safety" Standard, seems to be a sham. For more than 20 years, the FCC hasn't reviewed its "safety" Standards. In 2013, it announced a review of its "Safety" Standards and asked the public to submit comment. Approximately 1000 comments were submitted by scientists, and public health officials, as well as doctors' associations who represent the physicians who see the epidemic of sickness in their offices and clinics. The Attorney Generals of Boston and Philadelphia submitted a comment, calling the FCC to take immediate action to protect the injured and tell the public the truth. But, as the **Wall Street Journal** wrote in an article on 7/6, **"The FCC opened a proceeding to study the current standards in 2013, but hasn't taken any action."**

Fact: FCC Safety Testing is Ridiculous, Assuming Our Brains Are Water

The FCC "Safety" Standards are based on an assumption that was disproved decades ago, that non-ionizing radiation, like that emitted by wireless technology, is harmful only if it causes change in the temperature of the cells. Since wireless technology allegedly does not use levels that cause thermal change, the FCC claims it is not harmful, despite the fact that many thousands of studies, including the Government studies, have proven that it causes severe effects including the breakage of the DNA.

The FCC testing of cell phone safety is ridiculous. It assumes that the human brain is just liquid and tests for thermal change. This test was invented by engineers without biomedical understanding, and ignores the fact that our brain has nerves, blood vessels, chemical reactions, etc. Our brain is an electric system and therefore it is clear that it will be affected by electro-magnetic fields such as those emitted by wireless technology radiation, which is trillions of times higher than the levels to which our body evolved.

Facts about the Epidemic of Sickness

Fact: Already 10% of the Population Have Developed Electro-Sensitivity

Are you or your children are having pain in your head/ear and/or tingling in your hands when using a cell phone? If yes, then you have developed Electro-Sensitivity. According to numerous surveys done by leading institutions up to 2006, at least 10% of people already suffer from symptoms of electromagnetic sensitivity/intolerance, a condition that develops with accumulated exposure to wireless radiation. Other symptoms include sleep disturbances, heart palpitations, nausea, exhaustion, tinnitus, cognitive problems, et al. These symptoms indicate severe biological harms. Research on 700 people who developed ES showed damage to their Blood Brain Barrier, immune and nervous systems and neurodegeneration.

The people and children who developed ES are ignored and their lives are a living hell.



There is no place without radiation. Those people who have ES have no refuge and no place to live; many become refugees and live in inhuman conditions, in their cars and in the woods. One year ago, Jenny Fry, a 15 year old girl hanged herself from a tree because she could not tolerate the pain from the Wi-Fi in her school. This is a growing epidemic and a human rights crisis and everyone is looking the other way. ***In the picture: Jenny Fry, 15,***

Committed Suicide, she could not tolerate the pain of the Wi-Fi in school.



The most famous person who has developed ES is Gro Harlem Brundtland, the former ***Head of the World Health Organizations, former Prime Minister of Norway*** and a medical doctor in her profession.

Other people who developed ES: Matti Niemelä, **Nokia's Chief Technology Officer** Jeromy Johnson, **a Silicon Valley Engineer**; Prof. James McCaughan, **A Physics Professor**; Per Segerbäck, **Ericsson Cell Phones Engineer**; Dr. David McDonald, CSIRO (wireless company) scientist; Elizabeth Evans, an MD.

Fact: Wireless Causes Brain Tumors Epidemic

The Government NTP study proved that wireless radiation causes brain tumors; as well as confirming the results of previous studies, but this study supports the findings of other



studies, including epidemiological studies. Data up to 2006 show that the rates of brain cancers associated with cell phone use doubled for adults, and now, ten years later, is probably higher. A study shows 780% increase in brain tumors in children; brain tumors are the # 1 killer of children and adolescents. ***In the picture: Jimmy Gonzales, Attorney, Died at 42, from 3 tumors from his cell phone.***

Fact: Wireless causes Autism



In the past 10 years, the rate of Autism has increased exponentially from 1/1000 children to 1 in 65. Harvard Professor, Martha Herbert, a Pediatric Neurologist and Neuroscientist's paper "Autism and EMF? Plausibility of a pathophysiological link", establishes a correlation to wireless. Prof. Herbert said "I set up to write a paper with a modest length...and ended up producing a 60 page paper with 550 citations."

Fact: Wireless causes ADHD



In the past 10 years the number of children who suffer from ADHD doubled! **Yale University Professor** & Chair of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, Prof. Hugh Taylor, **proved in his research that fetus exposure to wireless radiation affects Neurodevelopment and Behavior and cause ADHD.**

Fact: Wireless Harms the Sperm and Reproductive Organs

In the past 10 years there has been a 40% reduction in sperm quality of males in all age groups all around the world, and 25% of couples are in fertility treatments. There are many dozens of studies including on humans that prove beyond doubt that wireless severely harms the sperm and reproductive organs.

5G; 5G Spectrum Frontiers - The Next Great
Unknown Experiment On Our Children,
Compilation of Letters to Congress; 2016

Spectrum Frontiers is a Boon to Industry and Devastation to Public Health

Please see the following letters from people emailed to the FCC and Congressional staff calling for a halt to Spectrum Frontiers

The 5G rollout plan is a dangerous, public health and safety threat. The FCC and industry has IGNORED the thousands of studies that confirm the problems, cancers and deaths of many from wifi-radiation cellular/smart meter technology. The 5G rollout is a telecom industry free-for-all - with NO GOVERNMENT OVERSIGHT. THE FCC and INDUSTRY WILL BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE - it's only a matter of time. Do not allow this dangerous 5G rollout.

1) 34 Scientific Studies Showing Adverse Health Effects From Wi-Fi:

<http://wifiinschools.org.uk/30.html>

2) Several thousand studies that indicate a biological effect and/or harm:

<http://www.powerwatch.org.uk/science/studies.asp>

<http://www.justproveit.net/studies>

<http://www.emf-portal.de>

<http://stopsmartmeters.org.uk/resources/resources-scientific-studies-into-the-health-effects-of-emr/>

3) Radiofrequency science charts to visually compare studies, radiation intensities and biological effects:

<http://www.bioinitiative.org/rf-color-charts/>

4) Apple manual states to keep your iPhone away from your body at all times:

<http://www.newsweek.com/iphone-6-bendgate-apple-says-your-iphone-shouldnt-go-your-pocket-avoid-273313>

5) Study: Mobile phones are cooking men's sperm:

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/health/news/12167957/Mobile-phones-are-cooking-mens-sperm.html>

6) Brain surgeon Dr Charlie Teo warns against mobiles, wireless home appliances:

<http://www.news.com.au/technology/brain-surgeon-dr-charlie-teo-warns-against-mobiles-home-appliances/story-e6frfo0-1225791947213>

7) American Academy of Pediatrics warns: Limit children's exposure to cellphones:

<http://www.today.com/video/pediatricians-warn-limit-childrens-exposure-to-cellphones-559871555807>

8) More than 60 international warnings on Wi-Fi and microwave radiation:

<http://www.safeinschool.org/2011/01/international-warnings-on-wi-fi.html>

9) A List of Teacher Unions and Parent Teacher Organizations Taking Action On Wi-Fi (USA, Canada, UK, etc):

<http://safetechforschoolsmaryland.blogspot.com/2016/02/teacher-unions-and-parent-teacher.html>

10) TED Talk from a former Environmental Engineer in Silicon Valley:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F0NEaPTu9oI>

11) Insurance giant Swiss RE has given electromagnetic frequencies the HIGHEST possible long term risk rating:

<https://takebackyourpower.net/major-insurance-firm-swiss-re-warns-of-large-losses-from-unforeseen-consequences-of-wireless-technologies/>

12) Another insurance giant, Lloyd's of London, will not insure anything wireless:

<http://www.naturalhealth365.com/wi-fi-radiation-electromagnetic-fields-lloyds-of-london-1356.html>

13) Risk Management Magazine - The Invisible Threat: Radiofrequency Radiation Risk

<http://www.rmmagazine.com/2010/08/01/the-invisible-threat-radiofrequency-radiation-risk/>

14) US CDC retracts cellphone radiation warning following pressure from industry lobbyists:

<http://microwavenews.com/news-center/caution-vs-precaution>

15) WHO involved in suppression of additional science showing harm, since 2011:

<https://takebackyourpower.net/tag/who/>

16) Study Uncovers How Electromagnetic Fields Amplify Pain in Amputees

www.utdallas.edu/news/2016/2/3-31891_Study-Uncovers-How-Electromagnetic-Fields-Amplify-story-wide.html

17) CEO of 1 Billion-dollar U.K. company speaks out on microwave sickness:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g4DKNs0G2kU>

18) Dozens of specific scientific abstracts that all show harm:

<http://emfsafetynetwork.org/shortcut-to-science/>

19) Solutions: Reducing Wireless Radiation and EMF

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YWck7RYGGS4>

20) Solutions: Reducing Your EMF Exposure

<http://www.emfanalysis.com/solutions-interview/>

21) Cell Phone Radiation Boosts Cancer Rates in Animals; \$25 Million NTP Study Finds Brain Tumors

<http://microwavenews.com/news-center/ntp-cancer-results>

Dear Decision Maker,

The following chart provides more than ample evidence why the stated lack of increase in brain cancer is a CELL PHONE industry promoted non-truth.

Thanks for listening,

Dave

Dear Decision Maker,

Although the Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding is being represented as an unqualified good, there has been no NEPA review of the health impacts of 5G networks. In our rush to be the first to roll out 5G and reap the claimed economic benefits, let's not forget that ignoring health risks 5G networks may pose could be a huge economic liability.

Recent findings from the NTP (National Toxicology Program) Study linking cellphone radiation to cancer suggest caution in the use of wireless technologies. The American Cancer Society's Chief Medical Officer, Otis Brawley, said the NTP study results mark a "paradigm shift in our understanding of radiation and cancer risk." He called the NTP report "good science."

Surely this is not the time to ramp up radiofrequency exposure to the general population. Governmental agencies throughout the world have issued cautionary advice regarding wireless exposures, including governments of Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Israel, Switzerland, and Sweden.

Please note links to recent articles about the NTP study by Devra Davis, PhD. and 'Scientific American' which you may find useful in determining your position.

<http://blog.oup.com/2016/06/cancer-from-cell-phone-radiation-ntp-report/>

<http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/major-cell-phone-radiation-study-reignites-cancer-questions/>

Thank you for your attention and concern.

To Whom it May Concern,

I am not just asking but BEGGING you to halt the roll out of 5G technology now! 2 years ago I developed a disability known as 'Electro Hyper Sensitivity' or 'EHS' Suddenly I began to have SEVERE debilitating reactions to all things wireless, including my own 'smart' phone and the neighbor's wifi. I developed reactions like migraine headaches, chest pains, nausea, insomnia, memory and concentration issues and feelings of electrocution in my hands and arms when holding a cell phone. I had to get rid of the phone, and eventually move to a very rural area 3,000 miles from my home in order to find a place without ubiquitous radio frequencies to find some kind of reprieve.

I lost my job, friends, family, home. If not for a supportive partner I would likely have lost my life. Unfortunately my story is not unique and an increasing percentage of the world's population is becoming similarly effected as their bodies reach threshold levels of EMF radiation exposure and become sensitized to various sources of EMFs, but in most cases the stronger sources, especially on the high frequency spectrum cause the most pain.

There are stories every day of people committing suicide because they feel so helpless and unable to find safe places to live as the wireless industry pushes further products and with those products the supporting networks on our population, when they are fully aware of the biological effects, health and safety hazards of microwave radiation used for their products. Tom Wheeler is now chair of the FCC? How is that possible? He was the head of the wireless industry's main lobby group for several years and rejected sound scientific data from his own hired research group in the 1990s who found that cell phone radiation can indeed cause brain tumors and other dangerous health effects. He is clearly biased toward the wireless industry and should therefore not be head of the FCC.

There are literally thousands of peer reviewed scientific studies proving the hazards of wireless radiation. There are NO studies PROVING the safety of such products.

We are already saturating our planet with EMF pollution that is affecting the health of not just the human population but animals and plants everywhere. 5G is a stronger higher frequency that will no doubt increase the suffering of people and other life forms in a devastating irreversible way. This technology is hardly NEEDED by anyone.

To ignore the evidence in re. to the dangers of this technology is extremely foolhardy and short sighted.

Everyone will be affected with the rise of diseases like Autism, Hypertension, Diabetes, ADHD, Alzheimer's, Dementia (and esp. early onset Dementia), Arthritis, Cancers and tumors of all kinds and other chronic illnesses like migraine headaches, insomnia, fibromyalgia, etc. There is conclusive evidence that microwave radiation significantly contributes the rise in the incidences of these diseases and in many cases has been proven as the only cause.

The industry presents us with NO evidence that their products are safe. Instead they rely solely on efforts to dismiss and refute sound scientific evidence to the contrary and 'plausible deniability'.

People who can no longer tolerate exposure to radio frequencies have almost nowhere left to go. This is forcing exposure of microwave radiation on the entire population, something even the World Health Organization classifies as a possible carcinogen. What if one wishes to avoid possible and known carcinogens? (Because in spite of the WHO's classification as 'possibly' carcinogenic as they too are under a lot of pressure from the wireless industry to NOT label it as what it actually is, a KNOWN CARCINOGEN) What if one wishes to NOT use a cell phone or be exposed to WIFI and

WIMAX and Cell Towers and wishes to exercise the precautionary principle? Increasingly they are unable to make that choice, this has been forced on all of us. It is worse than second hand cigarette smoke as it penetrates buildings and is really impossible, especially in any practical sense, to shield from.

The roll out of 5G will make it in fact impossible for anyone to get away from this radiation. It will go down in history books as a genocide once the truth is uncovered.

This may sound dramatic to some of you or 'alarmist' but if you feel that way it only shows your ignorance to the facts or simply your level of corruption.

I have EHS and have had to isolate myself to a rural area, unable to go into any town without extreme pain. My life has been severely disrupted. I can no longer pursue the things I was pursuing and want to pursue. I have lost my constitutional rights, I have lost my freedom. There are millions of others like me being denied their rights.

Sweden was the first country back in 2000, already 16 years ago to declare EHS a disability. Any country in the UN legally is supposed to do the same and make accommodations for us. So far I don't see that happening. I not only am unable to collect any disability but I cannot go into any store (as they all seem to have Wifi in them now) or public building.

If you don't know about the scientific proof in re. to the dangers of wireless radiation, please see -

<http://www.bioinitiative.org>

Thank you for your time, and I do hope, maybe beyond hope, that at least one of you will not only read this letter but take it seriously and take to heart these concerns to the point of educating yourself on this matter and more importantly halting the rollout of 5G.

Thank you,

Shannon

I am writing to strongly encourage you to reconsider the "5G" experiment in human health, especially because of our children. There is significant scientific evidence that this type of radiation (such as smart meter radiation) damages human health on multiple levels. This isn't rational progress and is creating a huge liability for all of you when the damage is further revealed through this experiment. **All will be held accountable and liable for their actions** because of the warnings science has accumulated, and because of these legal NOTICES of the same. There can be no excuse for progressing into this, especially when there is now technology that eliminates this wireless radiation form.

We are tired of corporate corruption and manipulation for profit... and we are acting.

Please listen to the US Government's own NTP - whose cancer study concluded that cellular radiation DOES increase cancer. Protect your own health and those of your constituents and vote NO to 5G!

Thank you.

As a parent of special needs children, I have seen first hand how devastating the increase in wireless technology is for our health.

Please put public safety as your priority before financial gains for only a few. Now is the time for you to be on the forefront and be a leader for public interest. The science is very clear, and I beg you to make the decision you know is best- your health and the health of your loved ones.

If you would like further proof on this topic, please see the links below. Thank you for your dedication to making smart decisions that affect each one of us!

1) 34 Scientific Studies Showing Adverse Health Effects From Wi-Fi:

<http://wifiinschools.org.uk/30.html>

2) Several thousand studies that indicate a biological effect and/or harm:

<http://www.powerwatch.org.uk/science/studies.asp>

<http://www.justproveit.net/studies>

<http://www.emf-portal.de>

<http://stopsmartmeters.org.uk/resources/resources-scientific-studies-into-the-health-effects-of-emr/>

3) Radiofrequency science charts to visually compare studies, radiation intensities and biological effects:

<http://www.bioinitiative.org/rf-color-charts/>

4) Apple manual states to keep your iPhone away from your body at all times:

<http://www.newsweek.com/iphone-6-bendgate-apple-says-your-iphone-shouldnt-go-your-pocket-avoid-273313>

5) Study: Mobile phones are cooking men's sperm:

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/health/news/12167957/Mobile-phones-are-cooking-mens-sperm.html>

6) Brain surgeon Dr Charlie Teo warns against mobiles, wireless home appliances:

<http://www.news.com.au/technology/brain-surgeon-dr-charlie-teo-warns-against-mobiles-home-appliances/story-e6frfro0-1225791947213>

7) American Academy of Pediatrics warns: Limit children's exposure to cellphones:

<http://www.today.com/video/pediatricians-warn-limit-childrens-exposure-to-cellphones-559871555807>

8) More than 60 international warnings on Wi-Fi and microwave radiation:

<http://www.safeinschool.org/2011/01/international-warnings-on-wi-fi.html>

9) A List of Teacher Unions and Parent Teacher Organizations Taking Action On Wi-Fi (USA, Canada, UK, etc):

<http://safetechforschoolsmaryland.blogspot.com/2016/02/teacher-unions-and-parent-teacher.html>

10) TED Talk from a former Environmental Engineer in Silicon Valley:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F0NEaPTu9oI>

11) Insurance giant Swiss RE has given electromagnetic frequencies the HIGHEST possible long term risk rating:

<https://takebackyourpower.net/major-insurance-firm-swiss-re-warns-of-large-losses-from-unforeseen-consequences-of-wireless-technologies/>

12) Another insurance giant, Lloyd's of London, will not insure anything wireless:

<http://www.naturalhealth365.com/wi-fi-radiation-electromagnetic-fields-lloyds-of-london-1356.html>

13) Risk Management Magazine - The Invisible Threat: Radiofrequency Radiation Risk

<http://www.rmmagazine.com/2010/08/01/the-invisible-threat-radiofrequency-radiation-risk/>

14) US CDC retracts cellphone radiation warning following pressure from industry lobbyists:

<http://microwavenews.com/news-center/caution-vs-precaution>

15) WHO involved in suppression of additional science showing harm, since 2011:

<https://takebackyourpower.net/tag/who/>

16) Study Uncovers How Electromagnetic Fields Amplify Pain in Amputees

www.utdallas.edu/news/2016/2/3-31891_Study-Uncovers-How-Electromagnetic-Fields-Amplify-_story-wide.html

17) CEO of 1 Billion-dollar U.K. company speaks out on microwave sickness:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g4DKNs0G2kU>

18) Dozens of specific scientific abstracts that all show harm:

<http://emfsafetynetwork.org/shortcut-to-science/>

19) Solutions: Reducing Wireless Radiation and EMF

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YWCk7RYGGS4>

20) Solutions: Reducing Your EMF Exposure

<http://www.emfanalysis.com/solutions-interview/>

21) Cell Phone Radiation Boosts Cancer Rates in Animals; \$25 Million NTP Study Finds Brain Tumors

<http://microwavenews.com/news-center/ntp-cancer-results>

I am a citizen in Pacifica, CA, writing to express opposition to the above proposal, slated to be discussed tomorrow. We are already being blanketed with microwave radiation from cellular and wifi that numerous studies show are harming animal health and causing cancer and we should not add more exposure via 5G.

Gentlemen,

Who speaks for and protects the health of the population of the USA if government officials work in favor of an industry gone rampant? The G5 plan is crazy and will force many US citizens to seek a place to live elsewhere on this globe, simply for health reasons.

Respectfully,

Hello,

There is a large body of biological research studies demonstrating bad effects from radio frequency radiation (RF, RFR). RF is essentially anything that is wireless and includes cell phones, cell towers, utility smart meters, home wireless routers, cordless phones, Wi-Fi hot spots, baby monitors, and more.

The wireless industry has chosen to ignore these - because the ill effects are not immediate - though there are some people who do experience adverse symptoms when exposed to every-day levels of RF. Symptoms include nausea, headaches, disorientation, body aches, etc. Children are particularly susceptible. There should be no RF in elementary schools.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has shirked their responsibility. They have jurisdiction over RF. A recent Harvard research report labeled the FCC as a "captured agency" - captured by the industry it supposedly regulates.

BUT NOW...

We have important new evidence - a two-year, \$25M study from the National Toxicology Program (NTP) of the National Institute of Health (NIH) that demonstrated the link between RF exposure and cancer in laboratory animals!

IN SPITE OF THIS...

The FCC wants to significantly raise our collective exposure by deploying 5G wireless cell phone networks, a massive increase in the number of RF emitting antennae, and connecting all electronic devices via Wi-Fi wireless (i.e, IoT, Internet of Things).

As Harvard said, the FCC is a "captured agency" - and they must be stopped.

And now we have the new NIH study. How does one responsibly ignore that?

Say "NO" to more RF.

Each of you who claim you represent "we the people" have an obligation to listen to our pleas and read our documentation, proving that this technology is NOT safe. The Telecommunications Industry KNOWS this - beyond a doubt. Obama appointed Tom Wheeler as the Director of the FCC intentionally - he is definitely the man for this job and he will do whatever it takes to move forward with this pulsing microwave radiation technology - at ALL COST.

I urge you to consider the lives of children, young adults and future generations. I urge you to please NOT allow this forceful use of technology to move forward. Educate yourselves. If you bother to do so, you will begin to see the truth.

You will be held personally liable moving forward - by "we the people" - if you do not listen now to science and reason. Below you will find links to both.

Please, do your job and think about how you can be a hero and not a zero. Otherwise, if you make unwise decisions, you will be held accountable. Lawsuits are already popping up all over the nation. Be one of the "smart" people and help the rest of the population to stop this madness - NOW!

1) 34 Scientific Studies Showing Adverse Health Effects From Wi-Fi:

<http://wifiinschools.org.uk/30.html>

2) Several thousand studies that indicate a biological effect and/or harm:

<http://www.powerwatch.org.uk/science/studies.asp>

<http://www.justproveit.net/studies>

<http://www.emf-portal.de>

<http://stopsmartmeters.org.uk/resources/resources-scientific-studies-into-the-health-effects-of-emr/>

3) Radiofrequency science charts to visually compare studies, radiation intensities and biological effects:

<http://www.bioinitiative.org/rf-color-charts/>

4) Apple manual states to keep your iPhone away from your body at all times:

<http://www.newsweek.com/iphone-6-bendgate-apple-says-your-iphone-shouldnt-go-your-pocket-avoid-273313>

5) Study: Mobile phones are cooking men's sperm:

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/health/news/12167957/Mobile-phones-are-cooking-mens-sperm.html>

6) Brain surgeon Dr Charlie Teo warns against mobiles, wireless home appliances:

<http://www.news.com.au/technology/brain-surgeon-dr-charlie-teo-warns-against-mobiles-home-appliances/story-e6frfro0-1225791947213>

7) American Academy of Pediatrics warns: Limit children's exposure to cellphones:

<http://www.today.com/video/pediatricians-warn-limit-childrens-exposure-to-cellphones-559871555807>

8) More than 60 international warnings on Wi-Fi and microwave radiation:

<http://www.safeinschool.org/2011/01/international-warnings-on-wi-fi.html>

9) A List of Teacher Unions and Parent Teacher Organizations Taking Action On Wi-Fi (USA, Canada, UK, etc):

<http://safetechforschoolsmaryland.blogspot.com/2016/02/teacher-unions-and-parent-teacher.html>

10) TED Talk from a former Environmental Engineer in Silicon Valley:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F0NEaPTu9oI>

11) Insurance giant Swiss RE has given electromagnetic frequencies the HIGHEST possible long term risk rating:

<https://takebackyourpower.net/major-insurance-firm-swiss-re-warns-of-large-losses-from-unforeseen-consequences-of-wireless-technologies/>

12) Another insurance giant, Lloyd's of London, will not insure anything wireless:

<http://www.naturalhealth365.com/wi-fi-radiation-electromagnetic-fields-lloyds-of-london-1356.html>

13) Risk Management Magazine - The Invisible Threat: Radiofrequency Radiation Risk

<http://www.rmmagazine.com/2010/08/01/the-invisible-threat-radiofrequency-radiation-risk/>

14) US CDC retracts cellphone radiation warning following pressure from industry lobbyists:

<http://microwavenews.com/news-center/caution-vs-precaution>

15) WHO involved in suppression of additional science showing harm, since 2011:

<https://takebackyourpower.net/tag/who/>

16) Study Uncovers How Electromagnetic Fields Amplify Pain in Amputees

www.utdallas.edu/news/2016/2/3-31891_Study-Uncovers-How-Electromagnetic-Fields-Amplify-story-wide.html

17) CEO of 1 Billion-dollar U.K. company speaks out on microwave sickness:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g4DKNs0G2kU>

18) Dozens of specific scientific abstracts that all show harm:

<http://emfsafetynetwork.org/shortcut-to-science/>

19) Solutions: Reducing Wireless Radiation and EMF

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YWck7RYGS4>

20) Solutions: Reducing Your EMF Exposure

<http://www.emfanalysis.com/solutions-interview/>

21) Cell Phone Radiation Boosts Cancer Rates in Animals; \$25 Million NTP Study Finds Brain Tumors

<http://microwavenews.com/news-center/ntp-cancer-results>

Sincerely,

To the NIH, FDA, and CDC:

Please oppose 5G.

In May, the National Toxicology Program released partial results of its study on cell phone radiation and rats.

1 in 12 male rats, 46 out of 540, developed glioma, schwannoma, or pre-cancerous lesions, and at the lowest exposure tested, 1 in 15 male rats, 12 out of 180, developed glioma, schwannoma, or pre-cancerous lesions. This is very serious. The exposure levels were tested to insure they did not raise body temperature, and therefore, these effects are not thermally caused.

This is critical for four reasons:

1. FCC exposure limits are only based on thermal effects, not on biological effects. They are also modeled on a large man.
2. Wireless devices are only tested for compliance with FCC limits; they are not safety tested.
3. The FCC is planning a rapid roll out of a new wave of wireless technology – 5G – which will vastly increase the public's exposure to this radiation. 5G antennas are already being installed in cities such as San Francisco and legislatively pushed in California.
4. The NTP study relates to children's exposure. The rats were tested from the time they were in utero for 2 years. Children are now exposed from conception throughout their lives, with wireless technology installed in schools, and they live and sleep in this radiation all day.

This study shows that current FCC exposure limits are not relevant and not protective of the public. This puts all of us, especially children, at ongoing grave risk. The Department of Interior two years ago said that FCC limits were outdated[i]:

[T]he electromagnetic radiation standards used by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) continue to be based on thermal heating, a criterion now nearly 30 years out of date and inapplicable today.

The American Cancer Society immediately issued a statement congratulating the NTP for the quality of this study and its review, calling it good science. Ken Foster of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) said this study will change the conversation, will cause cautionary statements by officials, will probably cause radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation to be added to the list of carcinogens in California, and will probably increase the WHO designation to a Class 2A probable carcinogen -- high praise from a professional organization that promotes technology.

The public needs a public statement from your agencies on the seriousness of the NTP findings.

Furthermore, a moratorium on further wireless deployment is urgently needed. The public is already impacted by existing wireless exposure. Electrohypersensitivity (EHS) is recognized by the federal Job Accommodation Network, Federal Access Board, and internationally, and its

incidence is rising. There are cancer clusters around cell towers. The NTP research results are at last federal scientific recognition that there is a serious problem.

Please take action now. Halt 5G and the deployment of new wireless technologies while existing impacts are examined. Since current devices are not safety tested, we are in a very, very dangerous situation, having relied on FCC assurances of safety. Those assurances are now proven false, and FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler, former chief lobbyist for the wireless industry, is rushing to deploy more wireless technology before the ax falls on him and his agency from health authorities.

I'd be happy to answer any questions from a public perspective. I have been following the research and actions taken internationally since 2008. I am relieved to see U.S. scientific courage such as that displayed by the National Toxicology Program team.

I have attached Dr. Ronald Melnick's letter to the New York Times, correcting its misinformation about the study, which the NYT has not printed. Also attached is information on the study from Dr. Joel Moskowitz of UC Berkeley, as well as my own article. Also included is the 2002 EPA letter from Norbert Hankin on FCC guidelines in case you haven't seen it.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nina

Please do not let the FCC move forward with a plan to introduce 5G Spectrum technology. We do not need this technology and the precautionary principle must be applied first. You need to protect our health above all else! You were wrong on the effects of Cell Phone radiation - the truth which was suppressed for many years is coming out now, albeit it is being dumbed down. Many of us knew a long time ago that these types of radiation are harmful because of the effects we have felt in our bodies.

Please stop this insane march forward of a technology that is created by spiritually bereft people whose motives are profit and control at any cost.

Louise Hummingbird

I am an educator and social worker, and have researched the effects of current wireless technologies on DNA, the human brain and the functioning of many other species. I stand with Parents for Safe Technology

and the advocacy group, We Are The Evidence in urging the Senate and Congress to sat STOP to the FCC and Wheeler in the 5G vote.

There is ample sound scientific research to back the complaints of the legions of us who say that the escalating levels of wireless radiation to which we are all exposed now daily in our communities, schools, libraries, workplaces and homes is doing serious harm to us. The recent National Toxicology study is only the latest piece of research; a mounting tide of information on the harmful effects of the microwave radiation from cell phones and other wireless devices that we can't afford to ignore.

If you, the members of committees, the senate and congress have not had time to review and digest this information adequately then i also urge you to do the responsible thing and refuse to vote for the 5G rollout; refuse to be pressured into saying "yes" without seeing the information that is causing concern to scientists all over the world.

I thank you in advance for your time and attention.

Elizabeth

Dear Sirs or Madams,

I am a technical translator and rewriter living in Japan, and a former service member of the US Air Force.

I am writing to urge you to oppose Spectrum Frontiers 5G on health grounds. Since 1996 I have been aware of health effects from exposure to radiofrequency radiation at levels that are commonly permitted in our living environment, because they are too low to cause significant heating.

I am pretty sure that you are aware of the controversy, because so many people have already been affected so badly by the rollout of mobile communications technology that they have been forced to leave their homes and have undoubtedly protested to you about the loss of their right to a healthy environment. Furthermore, study after study is published that further demonstrates a causal relationship between radiofrequency radiation and serious health issues, including malignant brain cancer (the recent findings of the National Toxicology Program). Known effects such as depression, insomnia and Alzheimers disease are becoming so common they are presenting a health care crisis that, along with other environment-related illnesses, threatens to bankrupt the US and Japan, as I know, and probably other countries as well.

How could anyone in good conscience promote an exacerbation of already dire circumstances?

You can be sure that we the affected who are aware that the cause of our ongoing health problems is the burgeoning use of radiofrequency radiation in environments where we are forced to spend time will do whatever it takes to redress this issue, including civil and criminal proceedings. This has really gone too far already, and now you are poised, while cognizant of the dangers, to push it to a new extreme.

Please oppose 5G.

Sincerely,

Patricia

Dear Sirs and Madams;

I am writing with my concerns about the proposed 5G Spectrum Frontiers plan as put forth by Tom Wheeler. I am concerned about all of the scientific evidence suggesting that exposure to electromagnetic fields can pose health risks. People have a right to determine what they are exposed to, especially if there is a health risk. Just as it would be a violation of people's rights to put medication in our water supply, it would be a violation of our rights to set up an infrastructure of continuous wireless radiation that we cannot get away from. There are people who are made ill by this radiation, and under 5G the numbers will surely escalate. Please see below the evidence against this proposal. Personally, the idea of our entire infrastructure being controlled by a cloud technology is terrifying. All we need is a terrorist or one of the millions of sociopaths out there being able to hack into the network to bring down everything. It's a bad idea. Please vote against 5G tomorrow.

Sincerely,

Deborah

1) 34 Scientific Studies Showing Adverse Health Effects From Wi-Fi:

<http://wifiinschools.org.uk/30.html>

2) Several thousand studies that indicate a biological effect and/or harm:

<http://www.powerwatch.org.uk/science/studies.asp>

<http://www.justproveit.net/studies>

<http://www.emf-portal.de>

<http://stopsmartmeters.org.uk/resources/resources-scientific-studies-into-the-health-effects-of-emr/>

3) Radiofrequency science charts to visually compare studies, radiation intensities and biological effects:

<http://www.biointitiative.org/rf-color-charts/>

4) Apple manual states to keep your iPhone away from your body at all times:

<http://www.newsweek.com/iphone-6-bendgate-apple-says-your-iphone-shouldnt-go-your-pocket-avoid-273313>

5) Study: Mobile phones are cooking men's sperm:

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/health/news/12167957/Mobile-phones-are-cooking-mens-sperm.html>

- 6) Brain surgeon Dr Charlie Teo warns against mobiles, wireless home appliances:
<http://www.news.com.au/technology/brain-surgeon-dr-charlie-teo-warns-against-mobiles-home-appliances/story-e6frfo0-1225791947213>
- 7) American Academy of Pediatrics warns: Limit children's exposure to cellphones:
<http://www.today.com/video/pediatricians-warn-limit-childrens-exposure-to-cellphones-559871555807>
- 8) More than 60 international warnings on Wi-Fi and microwave radiation:
<http://www.safeinschool.org/2011/01/international-warnings-on-wi-fi.html>
- 9) A List of Teacher Unions and Parent Teacher Organizations Taking Action On Wi-Fi (USA, Canada, UK, etc):
<http://safetechforschoolsmaryland.blogspot.com/2016/02/teacher-unions-and-parent-teachers.html>
- 10) TED Talk from a former Environmental Engineer in Silicon Valley:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F0NEaPTu9oI>
- 11) Insurance giant Swiss RE has given electromagnetic frequencies the HIGHEST possible long term risk rating:
<https://takebackyourpower.net/major-insurance-firm-swiss-re-warns-of-large-losses-from-unforeseen-consequences-of-wireless-technologies/>
- 12) Another insurance giant, Lloyd's of London, will not insure anything wireless:
<http://www.naturalhealth365.com/wi-fi-radiation-electromagnetic-fields-lloyds-of-london-1356.html>
- 13) Risk Management Magazine - The Invisible Threat: Radiofrequency Radiation Risk
<http://www.rmmagazine.com/2010/08/01/the-invisible-threat-radiofrequency-radiation-risk/>
- 14) US CDC retracts cellphone radiation warning following pressure from industry lobbyists:
<http://microwavenews.com/news-center/caution-vs-precaution>
- 15) WHO involved in suppression of additional science showing harm, since 2011:
<https://takebackyourpower.net/tag/who/>
- 16) Study Uncovers How Electromagnetic Fields Amplify Pain in Amputees
www.utdallas.edu/news/2016/2/3-31891_Study-Uncovers-How-Electromagnetic-Fields-Amplify-story-wide.html
- 17) CEO of 1 Billion-dollar U.K. company speaks out on microwave sickness:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g4DKNs0G2kU>
- 18) Dozens of specific scientific abstracts that all show harm:
<http://emfsafetynetwork.org/shortcut-to-science/>
- 19) Solutions: Reducing Wireless Radiation and EMF
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YWCK7RYGGS4>
- 20) Solutions: Reducing Your EMF Exposure

<http://www.emfanalysis.com/solutions-interview/>

21) Cell Phone Radiation Boosts Cancer Rates in Animals; \$25 Million NTP Study Finds Brain Tumors

<http://microwavenews.com/news-center/ntp-cancer-results>

I strongly oppose Federal Communications Commission's untested, dangerous, irresponsible, move to 5G wireless networks. According to growing bodies of evidence around the world, the effects on public health and well-being as a result of such a deployment promise to be devastating.

As you must know, a recent National Toxicology Program (NTP) study revealed initial findings clearly establishing that **2G** cell phone radiation causes DNA damage and carcinogenic effects. Further results on the toxicology and carcinogenesis of RFR will be forthcoming in the next two years. ***The NTP chose to release these preliminary findings now "because of their importance for public health."*** The NTP study follows in the wake of decades of ***independent***, peer-reviewed studies that show adverse health effects from wireless radiation.

While many other countries are currently moving to lower public exposure, FCC Chair Tom Wheeler intends to increase it. Wheeler not only disregards the independent science, but wants to ***fast track*** a move to **5G**.

5G will use higher frequencies than the public has ever been exposed to before; and ***Independent scientists and health experts were not consulted about the effects of these new frequencies or the density of the incumbent infrastructure. Neither were the American people consulted*** about whether we would want to sell our health and well being in exchange for a gain of a few milliseconds in Internet speed, and an intrusive, cumbersome ***"Internet of Everything"*** that would require us to accept health-destroying, cancer causing, radiation-emitting devices on every home or lamppost.

This is insane.

Although for three decades the FCC has maintained that radiofrequency microwave radiation is safe because it does not heat tissue, the overwhelming consensus of ***independent*** science is that ***significant bio-effects occur even in the absence of tissue heating***. The recent and ongoing NTP study specifically uses non-thermal levels of exposure and has already released findings of

harms from such exposures thus proving once again, that ***adverse health effects occur at non-thermal levels.***

To reiterate this very important point, ***the NTP study was conducted using 2G technology, which is orders of magnitude lower than what will be needed for 5G.*** The FCC's Maximum Permissible Standard (MPE) in the USA is ***hundreds, sometimes thousands of times higher than most other countries permit.***

In 1996, at the bidding of industry, Congress "silenced the public" with the passage of the Telecommunications Act, perhaps the most lobbied bill in history. This bill removed the right of state or local municipalities to oppose the installation of a cell tower based on health or environmental concerns. This travesty will necessarily be increased monumentally to accommodate the needed infrastructure for 5G.

And ***the 330-page FCC Notice of Public Rulemaking fails to mention the health issues at all.*** Instead, the document follows the FCC's long established tradition of giving free reign to industry, with little or no governmental oversight and no public choice.

I find it ***outrageous*** and ***irresponsible*** that such a harmful technology is even being considered for deployment in the face of all the evidence of its dangers, and without thorough, well-publicized and balanced public scrutiny, discussion and oversight. I respectfully request that you put a stop to this insanity now.

Sincerely,
Marilyn

Dear Sirs and Madams:

Please reconsider your intention to install 5G antennas throughout our country.

The installation of Smart Meters has affected thousands of people worldwide who were in perfect health up to the day the meter was put on their homes. Since then, many have had to move, many have become homeless, and many are so ill that they cannot enjoy the simple pleasures of life. I am one of those unlucky ones and have lost my occupation as a private tutor, spent untold thousands of dollars on home remediation, doctors, and health supplements, and have had my dreams of visiting public spaces such as museums and theaters and travelling by public transport smashed to smithereens.

The 5G network will affect countless others whose neurological and physiological systems will not be able to withstand the onslaught of microwave radiation thrust into our environment. Many of these people will be innocent children, women, and elderly whose bodies are more sensitive by nature. And it does not stop there. Many thousands of sturdy, healthy males have already been affected adversely by microwave radiation delivered to them via cell phones, cordless phones, cell towers and antennas.

At this point in history, we have no idea how the proliferation of wireless technology will affect future generations. Yet it has already become painfully evident that it is responsible for increased autism, cancer, ADHD, and countless other ailments. Why push the envelope and threaten the lives of your children and grandchildren all for the sake of monetary gain? Does that sound like a reasonable trade-off for a supposedly intelligent species? Do you really want to alter the lives of so many innocent people and the delicate balance of our fragile environment just to have a little more money in the bank?

Will you be able to wake up in the morning, look in the mirror and applaud the reflection of the person responsible for ruining the lives of countless children who trusted you to protect them while becoming addicted to their wireless devices? For your profit margin? How could you do that to them?

Kim

Dear Sirs,

Last May the National Toxicology Program concluded a \$25 million study on the cancer risk of wireless technology. The study determined that ambient radiofrequency radiation (RFR) of the type emitted by cell phones and smart meters produces statistically significant increases in DNA damage and cancer in mice and rats. The study's authors say the results "could have broad implications for public health."

In light of these scientific findings, it is my hope that you will make the health and welfare of the citizens of the United States of America your priority and that you will vote NO on any legislation that promotes or fosters the use of 5G wireless technology in the United States of America.

Sincerely,

David

--

please don't accept this the 5G technology

I know a lot of people around me who are sick already and a lot will be

thousands of science articles proved that is harmful to the humans and all the living (and you know that is true)

don;t lead the humanity and the earth to a catastrophic future

say no

irene

Hello:

I'm writing about Tom Wheeler's psychopathic plan to put 5G 'wireless' technology everywhere, exposing everyone to microwave radiation without their permission.

In 2011 the WHO declared 'wireless' a Class 2B Possible Carcinogen. At least 50% of this decision was based on the work of the Hardell Group in Sweden. Since then, Hardell as completed five more long term studies, all of which showed increases in cancer. Lennart Hardell, who I would consider the top independent scientist (ie not bought and paid for by the wireless industry) in the world is now saying that wireless needs to be moved to Class 1 'Known Human Carcinogen' on an urgent basis.

If you, by commission or omission, allow this 5G program to continue I believe you will be signing the death warrants for millions, quite possibly including yourself and your family. Wireless is not a safe technology... there is no proof of safety and a great deal of evidence of harm. Your smart phone won't amuse you if you are dying of brain cancer.

Please investigate the facts, apart from the corrupting influence of industry, and make your own decision on whether this is a good idea. Massive 5G deployment is foolish in the extreme, suicidal, and likely criminally negligent.

Thank you.

Ron

Dear officials in charge of our health and safety,

I have just learned that Chairman Wheeler of the FCC wants to implement wireless networks throughout the United States, slated for a vote today, July 14, 2016.

I, and many others, cannot tolerate wireless technology anymore. I am EHS, and have serious health issues that have rendered my previously healthy body incapable dealing with the overwhelming onslaught of radiation. I am unable to go into cities or any populated areas where Wifi is present everywhere. I have the same right as any free citizen to travel freely unfortunately I am greatly restricted and I cannot tolerate wireless technology any longer.

To allow total penetration of wireless EMF all over the United States by the FCC under this 5G Proposal, is unconscionable, especially in light of the recent National Toxicology Program's multimillion dollar toxicology study report finding that wireless technology radiation, well below FCC guidelines' limits, but similar to that used in the wireless industry, causes brain and heart muscle Cancers!

Many enlightened countries have wireless standards thousands of times lower than the FCC guidelines, to protect their citizens.

The FCC is NOT protecting us. It is up to our elected officials to protect us by voting against this proposal, and forcing the FCC to lower its acceptable standards of radiation to a level consistent with enlightened countries around the world.

Kind regards,
Maria

Hello, my name is Chris and I'm a resident of the state of Maryland. Mr Wheeler, the FCC commissioner has proposed a new plan to deregulate most of the oversight of antennas and smart meters being installed around the country. I'm asking you ladies and gentlemen that represent us in government to vote against this plan tomorrow. I feel that it has not been examined closely enough in regards to the health and privacy issues that may possibly result due to the lack of oversight. I think the people need to be much more informed on the matter and I don't see that they have been made aware of the proposal to a significant degree. Properly informed, trust the people. I believe we need a vehicle to better inform the people before voting on an issue with such widespread implications which will effect us all. We need strong representatives to help those of us less informed to really understand and debate this issue. Thank you for your time and when you vote please consider the citizens who may not understand the full implications of the plan. Thank you for your consideration.

Please consider that the adverse health hazards of cellphone radiation, 3G and 4G frequencies plus the proven fire hazards of smart meters, have not been thoroughly studied yet! The studies that have been done are ominous: these devices and frequencies appear to cause cancer and reproductive harm. Please stop this further technology until safeguards are in place and proper studies have been done.

Thank You,
Elissa

We are already living with way to much Wireless Radiation which is carcinogenic for all.
A complete public health disaster in the making.
Proven to have many hundreds of negative bio-effects as documented in the BioInitiative
Report: <http://www.bioinitiative.org/conclusions/>

To raise the bar to implementing 5G is unconscionable — so I urge you vot vote **Vote
on FCC 14-177, 15-256, 10-112 and 97-95**

thanks and best, Rob

Dear Legislators,
Below is the letter I sent to the FCC today in regard to their vote this coming Thursday
concerning 5G rollout. Many colleagues and citizens across the nation have done the same. This
is an outrage as it is a significant threat to public health.

I testified to Congress in 2008 on the health effects of cell phones. A lot has happened in the
past 8 years to prove that the citizens of the United States are not being adequately protected
and that wireless radiation is indeed causing cancer and other illnesses. The telecom industry
has been allowed to manipulate the FCC and Congress long enough. Please help put a stop to
this. We need new standards and we need 5G to be pre-market safety tested prior to a rollout.

It is beyond comprehension how the FCC can be this flagrant especially in light of the recent
United States National Toxicology Program results showing 2G caused brain tumors and tumors
in the nerves at the heart. This was a turning point in this "debate". We need another House
Oversight Committee hearing on this issue soon. And perhaps this time the telecom industry will
not refuse to show up! They should be forced to appear. And Tom Wheeler should be forced to
resign.

If you have questions please feel free to email me or call me at [925-285-5437](tel:925-285-5437).

Thank you.
Ellen Marks
California Brain Tumor Association

Dear FCC Commissioners,

I respectfully implore upon you to vote no Thursday on the rollout of 5G wireless technology until there is pre-market safety testing of this technology.

Cellular phones were never pre-market safety tested. We are now witnessing the serious ramifications of that negligence. Now, years later, after daily exposure to nearly every American, independent testing and testing by the United States National Toxicology Program have shown that 2G wireless technology causes brain tumors and tumors in the nerves of the heart (Schwanomas).

There is no longer a "flat line" in brain tumors. Lethal brain tumors have increased in the area of the brain which is closest to where the phone is held. I personally know many who died at young ages "more likely than not" from their cell phone use or are now dying from this exposure. I also know many who suffer from Electro-hypersensitivity (EHS) from exposure to wireless radiation. It is time to recognize this and take it seriously.

For CDMA and GSM (both 2G) we have testing showing negative health ramifications. A Hardell study in 2013 shows greater harm with UMTS (3G). Yet we have no studies on LTE (4G) or 5G. This affects every human being and will be a flagrant abuse of your power if this is allowed without testing prior to a rollout. WiFi is everywhere - in homes, schools, businesses, city parks, etc. These frequencies resonate with our biological processes and are amplified within us.

The US NTP study has validated the 2 studies IARC used in classifying radiofrequency electromagnetic fields a possible human carcinogen. There is talk now that this may be changed to a probable or certain classification. Thus it is shocking that you would even consider this 5G rollout.

We cannot allow this negligence to continue. You have a responsibility to the citizens of the United States to consider their health and welfare in your decision making process. .

The American Academy of Pediatrics has written to you several times regarding their concerns about children's exposure to wireless radiation. You have ignored their concerns. The Department of Interior has written to the Department of Commerce stating that wireless radiation is killing wildlife including the American Eagle. They stated that the FCC standards do not take into consideration non thermal damage from this radiation (in this case cellular towers) and that your standards are decades old, obsolete and not protective of the environment.

You have heard from the GAO that your so called safety standards pertaining to radiofrequency are obsolete and need to be reexamined. You opened a NOI on this many years ago but nothing has happened in regard to this serious issue. In the interim every man, woman and child are being exposed to RF that may damage their nervous system or cause cancer. I mean no disrespect but this harm knows no boundaries and sadly this could happen to you, your children or your grandchildren. I doubt you want that to be your legacy.

Thank you for your time and your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,
Ellen Marks
California Brain Tumor Association
"

PLEASE PROTECT US & HELP US PROTECT the PUBLIC's HEALTH

Say "STOP" to the FCC and Wheeler in 5G vote

Who Are We?

Unlike what the public has been told, wireless technology harms are scientifically PROVEN, not potential but EXISTING, and in an EPIDEMIC scale. "We Are The Evidence", is an advocacy group representing the many people who have been injured by wireless technology; our members have conditions such as electro-sensitivity/intolerance and cancer (among others). We purport to expose this epidemic to the public, elevate the voice of the injured and defend their rights through education, lobbying and litigation.

What Are We Asking For?

Despite the EVIDENCE OF WIDESPREAD SICKNESS from wireless technology radiation and the CLEAR SCIENCE (including a RECENTLY published \$25 million GOVERNMENT STUDY which establishes the already proven harms of wireless technology including brain and heart cancer, DNA damage and neurological effects), rather than acting prudently, warn the public, reduce radiation levels and encourage industry to develop safer technologies, the FCC continues to ignore the harms, use an obsolete "safety" standard, mislead the public and Congress and now, facilitate a rapid increase in radiation levels with 5G and the use of higher (and more harmful) frequencies.

We are asking Congress to take action to stop the FCC rush Vote on 7/14, which fast-tracks the release of the more harmful 5G infrastructure.

We are asking Congress to conduct a hearing to investigate the FCC actions/inactions and their disregard of public health; and to include testimony of those who have been injured by wireless in this hearing.

This is a public health and human rights crisis that must not be ignored. Ignoring a problem does not change the facts; it merely increases its scale and we already have an epidemic- scale problem.

The Facts About 5G & the FCC

Fact: 5G is Even More Harmful than Existing Wireless Infrastructure

5G will be making use of higher frequencies, known as "millimeter waves," which are more harmful to humans, without examination or consideration of the hazards. 5G frequencies are easily disrupted by physical interference; therefore, to overcome this limitation, a massive number of antennas will have to be positioned on almost every electric pole in the country, all utilizing higher frequencies. This deployment will significantly increase the radiation levels in the environment, making it impossible for anyone to escape this radiation, especially those who are already injured and cannot tolerate the radiation.

Fact: FCC Ignores Recent Government \$25 Million Study Proving Harms

The link between wireless and cancer / other harms was proven in a \$25 Million study by the National Toxicology Program; the scientists who conducted the research called to immediately warn the Public. The FCC is ignoring the study and instead, is trying to fast- track 5G. This study repeats what many previous research studies proved and supports the existing human evidence. More information about the study can be found on [MicrowaveNews.com](https://microwavenews.com) and the Wall Street Journal.

Fact: FCC Ignores NIH Study Proving Wireless Causes Changes in Brain

The study led by Dr. Nora Walkow, Director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse, "Effects of Cell Phone Radiofrequency Signal Exposure on Brain Glucose", offers conclusive evidence that the FCC's claim that there are no biological effects of wireless technology is false.

The brain image (above) shows the levels of glucose in the brain (yellow) before (image on the right) and after (image on the left) cell phone use. The glucose levels shown in

the left image are significantly higher and found to be so especially in the areas closer to the antennas of the cell phone. This study reinforces previous studies that used other experimental approaches, and have found that microwave radiation alters cellular signaling pathways and brain activity. As glucose is the sole energy source of the brain, the study reinforces previous studies that have found that microwave radiation alters cellular signaling pathways and brain activity.

Fact: The FCC Cannot Be Trusted

Would you have wanted the head of the Tobacco Companies' Association to head the Agency that regulates it? Then why allow Tom Wheeler, who headed the CTIA, the wireless industry association, to head the FCC, the very same agency that regulates them?

Harvard University Center for Ethics wrote a report about the corruption of the FCC called: "Captured Agency: How the FCC is Dominated by the Industries It Presumably Regulates." This 5G vote is a clear proof...

FCC's 2013 declaration that it is going to review its "Safety" Standard, seems to be a sham. For more than 20 years, the FCC hasn't reviewed its "safety" Standards. In 2013, it announced a review of its "Safety" Standards and asked the public to submit comment. Approximately 1000 comments were submitted by scientists, and public health officials, as well as doctors' associations who represent the physicians who see the epidemic of sickness in their offices and clinics. The Attorney Generals of Boston and Philadelphia submitted a comment, calling the FCC to take immediate action to protect the injured and tell the public the truth. But, as the Wall Street Journal wrote in an article on 7/6, "The FCC opened a proceeding to study the current standards in 2013, but hasn't taken any action."

Fact: FCC Safety Testing is Ridiculous, Assuming Our Brains Are Water

The FCC "Safety" Standards are based on an assumption that was disproved decades ago, that non-ionizing radiation, like that emitted by wireless technology, is harmful only if it causes change in the temperature of the cells. Since wireless technology allegedly does not use levels that cause thermal change, the FCC claims it is not harmful, despite

the fact that many thousands of studies, including the Government studies, have proven that it causes severe effects including the breakage of the DNA.

The FCC testing of cell phone safety is ridiculous. It assumes that the human brain is just liquid and tests for thermal change. This test was invented by engineers without biomedical understanding, and ignores the fact that our brain has nerves, blood vessels, chemical reactions, etc. Our brain is an electric system and therefore it is clear that it will be affected by electro-magnetic fields such as those emitted by wireless technology radiation, which is trillions of times higher than the levels to which our body evolved.

Facts about the Epidemic of Sickness

Fact: Already 10% of the Population Have Developed Electro-Sensitivity

Are you or your children are having pain in your head/ear and/or tingling in your hands when using a cell phone? If yes, then you have developed Electro-Sensitivity. According to numerous surveys done by leading institutions up to 2006, at least 10% of people already suffer from symptoms of electromagnetic sensitivity/intolerance, a condition that develops with accumulated exposure to wireless radiation. Other symptoms include sleep disturbances, heart palpitations, nausea, exhaustion, tinnitus, cognitive problems, et al. These symptoms indicate severe biological harms. Research on 700 people who developed ES showed damage to their Blood Brain Barrier, immune and nervous systems and neurodegeneration.

The people and children who developed ES are ignored and their lives are a living hell. There is no place without radiation. Those people who have ES have no refuge and no place to live; many become refugees and live in inhuman conditions, in their cars and in the woods. One year ago, Jenny Fry, a 15 year old girl hanged herself from a tree because she could not tolerate the pain from the Wi-Fi in her school. This is a growing epidemic and a human rights crisis and everyone is looking the other way. In the picture: Jenny Fry, 15, Committed Suicide, she could not tolerate the pain of the Wi-Fi in school.

The most famous person who has developed ES is Gro Harlem Brundtland, the former Head of the World Health Organizations, former Prime Minister of Norway and a medical doctor in her profession.

Other people who developed ES: Matti Niemelä, Nokia's Chief Technology Officer
Jeromy Johnson, a Silicon Valley Engineer; Prof. James McCaughan, A Physics
Professor; Per Segerbäck, Ericsson Cell Phones Engineer; Dr. David McDonald,
CSIRO (wireless company) scientist; Elizabeth Evans, an MD.

Fact: Wireless Causes Brain Tumors Epidemic

The Government NTP study proved that wireless radiation causes brain tumors; as well as confirming the results of previous studies, but this study supports the findings of other studies, including epidemiological studies. Data up to 2006 show that the rates of brain cancers associated with cell phone use doubled for adults, and now, ten years later, is probably higher. A study shows 780% increase in brain tumors in children; brain tumors are the # 1 killer of children and adolescents. In the picture: Jimmy Gonzales, Attorney, Died at 42, from 3 tumors from his cell phone.

Fact: Wireless causes Autism

In the past 10 years, the rate of Autism has increased exponentially from 1/1000 children to 1 in 65. Harvard Professor, Martha Herbert, a Pediatric Neurologist and Neuroscientist's paper "Autism and EMF? Plausibility of a pathophysiological link", establishes a correlation to wireless. Prof. Herbert said "I set up to write a paper with a modest length...and ended up producing a 60 page paper with 550 citations."

Fact: Wireless causes ADHD

In the past 10 years the number of children who suffer from ADHD doubled! Yale University Professor & Chair of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, Prof. Hugh Taylor, proved in his research that fetus exposure to wireless radiation affects Neurodevelopment and Behavior and cause ADHD.

Fact: Wireless Harms the Sperm and Reproductive Organs

In the past 10 years there has been a 40% reduction in sperm quality of males in all age groups all around the world, and 25% of couples are in fertility treatments. There are many dozens of studies including on humans that prove beyond doubt that wireless severely harms the sperm and reproductive organs.

Sincerely,
Romy

Hello,

My name is Marcus. I am writing you about tomorrow's vote on 5G technology, the Spectrum Frontiers proceeding.

Electromagnetic radiation from wireless devices are harmful to the health of people, plants and animals. Over 4,000 qualified studies have been conducted to show case destruction of DNA, thyroid function, blood cell function, immune impairment.

I would like to draw your attention on the EMF scientist appeal:

<https://emfscientist.org>

I also wish to remind you that the FCC has yet to act on **NOI #13-84**, "Reassessment of Federal Communications Commission Radiofrequency Exposure Limits and Policies," issued in 2013 and a similar NOI issued in 2003. The 2013 NOI has received more than 900 submissions--almost all call for stronger regulation of RF radiation.

Finally, the **General Accountability Office** issued a report entitled, "Exposure and Testing Requirements for Mobile Phones Should Be Reassessed" (GAO-12-771: Published: Jul 24, 2012. Publicly Released: Aug 7, 2012. <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-12-771>). The report made the following recommendations which have yet to be addressed by the FCC:

"FCC should formally reassess and, if appropriate, change its current RF energy exposure limit and mobile phone testing requirements related to likely usage configurations, particularly when phones are held against the body. FCC noted that a draft document currently under consideration by FCC has the potential to address GAO's recommendations."

The FCC's RF standards were adopted 20 years ago. Many scientists believe these standards are obsolete because they do not protect the population from established, non-thermal risks from RF radiation exposure. Thus, to ensure public health and safety, the FCC should commission an independent review of the biologic and health research to determine whether the RF standards should be modified before allowing additional spectrum to be used for new commercial applications.

Please visit safetechnology.org for more information about wireless radiation.

This is a liability and if proper assessment is not done on the Spectrum Frontiers proceeding, you will be held liable for not protecting the public.

The world health organization, american academy of pediatrics, american academy of environmental medicine, american academy of pediatrics, and american society of reproductive medicine have all issued public health alerts of the danger of electromagnetic radiation.

There is an emerging set of the population that has become sensitive to electromagnetic radiation. Electromagnetic hypersensitivity has been recognized as a disability in Sweden.

Best regards, Marcus

The FCC must take action NOW to protect the health of the American people from the known hazards of microwave radiation exposure from wireless devices.

The recent National Toxicology Program (NTP) study found that exposure to the microwave emissions from cell phones causes brain cancer in laboratory animals.

In 2011, the World Health Organization declared that the exposure from cell phones is a possible carcinogen based upon studies showing that 10 years of use at 30 minutes a day increases the risk of malignant brain cancer. Most young adults born after the year 1990 have far surpassed this cumulative exposure; younger children today are exposed to levels many times greater.

FCC guidelines FAIL to take into account this vulnerable population. This gross negligence must be rectified immediately to prevent a possible nation-wide public health crisis in the future.

Engineering (OET) staff officials at the FCC claim that the agency has no jurisdiction over the health effects of wireless devices - that the FDA is responsible for this. However, in spite of decades of research showing the negative health impacts from the microwave exposure from wireless devices, **the FDA has never issued regulations regarding this exposure to protect the health of the American people.**

To make matters worse, FCC regulations are 20 years old, obsolete and inadequate; plans to update the exposure guidelines have been stalled for 2 years with no action being taken. The Government Accountability Office issued a report in 2012 called, "Telecommunications: Exposure and Testing Requirements for Mobile Phones Should Be Reassessed" that found the FCC guidelines fail to test phones in the manner they are typically used: in pockets, waistbands and bras, held directly against the body. Consumers, especially children (whose developing brains and reproductive organs are more susceptible to the health effects from this known hazardous exposure), are being exposed daily to levels of microwave radiation that most likely exceed the federal guidelines.

Cell phone manufacturers fail to adequately inform consumers of the FCC-required safety warnings that caution against use directly against the torso, "hiding" these crucial warnings in the legal fine print buried deep within text on the phones or in user guides few people ever see. The FCC has been aware of this issue for 4 years and has chosen to do nothing.

THIS IS A SERIOUS BREACH OF FEDERAL REGULATORY DUTIES!

The FCC must stop advocating for increasing profits for the telecom industry and take action to protect the American people!

Respectfully submitted,

Cynthia Franklin, President
Consumers for Safe Cell Phones

I am writing to strongly encourage you to reconsider the "5G" experiment in human health, especially because of our children. There is significant scientific evidence that this type of radiation (such as smart meter radiation) damages human health on multiple levels. This isn't rational progress and is creating a huge liability for all of you when the damage is further revealed through this experiment. **All will be held accountable and liable for their actions** because of the warnings science has accumulated, and because of these legal NOTICES of the same. There can be no excuse for progressing into this, especially when there is now technology that eliminates this wireless radiation form.

We are tired of corporate corruption and manipulation for profit... and we are acting.

--

Dear Decision Makers:

The FCC plans to vote tomorrow, July 14th on the Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding regarding 5G networks.

Although this proposal is being represented as an unqualified good, there has been no NEPA review of the health impacts of 5G networks. In our rush to be the first to roll out 5G and reap the claimed economic benefits, let's not forget that ignoring health risks 5G networks may pose could be a huge economic liability.

Recent findings from the NTP (National Toxicology Program) Study linking cellphone radiation to cancer suggest caution in the use of wireless technologies. The American Cancer Society's Chief Medical Officer, Otis Brawley, said the NTP study results mark a "paradigm shift in our understanding of radiation and cancer risk." He called the NTP report "good science."

Surely this is not the time to ramp up radiofrequency exposure to the general population. Governmental agencies throughout the world have issued cautionary advice regarding wireless exposures, including governments of Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Israel, Switzerland, and Sweden.

Please note attachments and links to recent articles about the NTP study by Devra Davis, PhD., Joel Moskowitz, PhD. and 'Scientific American' which you may find useful in determining your position.

<http://blog.oup.com/2016/06/cancer-from-cell-phone-radiation-ntp-report/>

<http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/major-cell-phone-radiation-study-reignites-cancer-questions/>

Thank you for your attention and concern.

Nancy

--

Dear sirs and madams,

I am writing to ask you to stop Tom Wheeler's vision for 5G wireless in the US. Being on the forefront of technology at the expense of the health of US citizens does not make us a leader in the world, it makes us look like the greedy, self-centered country that many already believe us to be.

There are proven health issues related to wireless radiation. Did we learn nothing from the tobacco debacle that killed so many people in the name of business?

Innovation can be a great thing, but it needs to be tempered with prudence. Leaping out to be ahead of the world won't accomplish much if it sickens our population in the process.

PLEASE stop this initiative!!

Thank you,
Jennifer

Dear All,

PLEASE protect public health and the environment and vote NO on 5G networks - Vote NO on FCC 14-177, 15-256, 10-112 and 97-95

This year, the US government, led by the National Toxicology Program linked cancer to cell phone radiation. This study is a game changer for the need to eliminate wireless frequencies (wi-fi, smart meters, cell towers, etc) in our homes and cities. 5G will use 24Ghz frequencies and above (millimeter waves) and will saturate the environment with higher density distribution of antennas on power and light poles.

How will these higher frequencies affect the environment? This is an experiment we don't want.

I thank you for your consideration and hope you'll do what is best for the wellbeing of all.

Thank you,
Tracey

Tomorrow:

Please don't vote to unleash a dangerous environmental pollutant on your friends, family, and, indeed, the whole country.

Vote to protect your family, friends, and the country – vote “no” on Spectrum Frontiers.

Be on the right side of history.

Some of the inescapable reasons:

[Radiation Dangers](#)

Please vote "no" to the Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding.

There has been no NEPA review of the environmental and human health impacts of moving forward with 5G. The FCC has put the cart before the horse in promulgating rules for 5G without first studying the safety of 5G for humans and the environment. There is consensus within the scientific community that the existing FCC limits for wireless radiation do not protect the population from biological effects (www.EMFscientist.org).

Sincerely,

Peter

To whom it may concern:

Please stop the insane plan. 5G now and vote against and speak up against any such plan. More technology is NOT going to fix the problems the world is now facing and the implementation of 5G will simply add to the toxicity so prevalent and so dangerous in our current world environments. The medical literature world-wide provides ample proof of harm caused by the modern telecommunications technologies. You and your colleagues will be held personally responsible and liable for any harm done as a result of the implementation of 5G. Since this is truly a matter of the continued existence of life on earth as we know it, your actions, votes and or lack thereof, as you are in a position of authority and decision-making, will be noted and eventually there will be dire consequences for those in our world governments who have fostered this anti-human, anti-democratic technological movement.

Elize

Vote No on this experimental toxic radiation that is harmful to human health!

This is madness, to subject humans to constant toxic radiation while knowing it causes cancer.

Karen

I urge you to reject any proposals to open the spectrum for 5G

In his position as Chairman of the FCC, his proposal ""to be "first out the

gate.” Wheeler says, “Turning innovators loose is far preferable to expecting committees and regulators to define the future”, to open up the spectrum for 5G will lead to the laxer RF limits the industry desires, resulting in even greater threat to the public health from wireless health hazards.

The FCC has already exhibited industry bias detrimental to the public health by not enforcing its existing inadequate thermally-based RF safety limits. The FCC has no established procedure for filing violations complaints, responding to complaints received in spite of the lack of procedure, for verifying compliance, or meaningful penalties for violations.

Please see <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8oICZOtMwPo> for a short summary of the investigation conducted by The EM Radiation Policy Institute which includes findings of violations up to and in excess of 600%. Mr. Wheeler's past history suggests that enforcing RF radiation limits to protect public health would not be a priority.

These violations are particularly dangerous because the current FCC RF safety limits are only thermally-based, not biologically-based. These RF limits are in need of serious revision. The biological effects people are experiencing can be serious and life-threatening. Please watch this short video about women who got breast cancer from their cellphones <http://www.ktvu.com/videos/news/special-report-keeping-cell-phone-in-bra-may-lead/vhPF8/> this video about cardiac arrhythmia being caused by DECT cordless phones http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p-mw_nCJWs4 and this video about RF health effects on public health <http://www.heartmdinstitute.com/v1/wireless-safety/cordless-phone-use-can-affect-heart>

You can also watch this short video about the radiation in our homes <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aAnrmJ3un1g>

The FCC has opened a docket to examine whether it should revise its RF limits, potentially to relax them as requested by industry. Even in the Notice of Inquiry (NOI), the FCC exhibits bias toward industry and away from protecting people.

From the FCC's docket language:

Given the complexity of the information on research regarding non-thermal biological effects, taking extra precautions in this area may fundamentally be qualitative and may not be well-served by the adoption of lower specific exposure limits without any known, underlying biological mechanism. Additionally, adoption of extra precautionary measures may have the unintended consequence of “opposition to progress and the refusal of innovation, ever greater bureaucracy,... [and] increased anxiety in the population.”

This is a perfect example of why the EPA, a public health agency without statutory obligation to promote the very technology it is supposed to

regulate, should be in charge of setting RF safety limits to protect the public health. There is a glaring conflict of interest inherent in having the FCC promote and regulate this proposal due to the evidence of harm caused by wireless health hazards.

Regards
Adam
usual disclaimers apply

Dear FCC Commissioners,

Please vote "no" to the Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding.

I graduated from the University of Illinois in 2005 with a degree in Electrical Engineering. I studied power transmission and field and wave theory. I didn't ever think I would have to use my engineering knowledge to save my health.

In the past 6 years, I've suffered any number of physical issues. I've seen doctors around the country, specialists, medical professors to no avail - I'm the healthiest sick person you've ever met. After much backbreaking hardship and even more research, I found out that wi-fi was literally killing me and my family.

I cannot be around cell phones or wi-fi. Friends and family have to turn off everything when I'm around. If they don't, within a few minutes my extremities start tingling and then, a few minutes later, numbness kicks in. I lose sensation in my hands and my feet. An MRI I had to ensure that this wasn't a spinal issue sent me out crying due to severe pain in my head. I have two school-age children. I couldn't drive my van anymore...until I pulled the Bluetooth. You can talk to my mechanic. They worked with me on it for weeks. I can feel the amount of radiofrequency radiation change as I drive into the city. The only thing that allows me to function as a normal person is reducing my exposure. And within the spaces I can control, I have.

5G, with it's incredibly high frequency and the saturation it requires to function, will detrimentally affect every single biological being in it's path. It's basically going to kill me. And, at the very least, it will debilitate the nation. The young, the old, and the ill will suffer the most. But the healthy - they'll suffer too. There are studies which you've undoubtedly read, or at least heard of. They're true. You may not having tingling, numbness, or erratic heartbeats. But maybe you can't kick colds the way you used to. Perhaps you've been drinking a lot more coffee to get through your day. Maybe your kids have been driving you nuts, and you're fighting with your spouse on the weekends. Any of this sound familiar? Our bodies are experiencing physical stress that they can't shut out, and our systems are crumbling further every moment.

There are better, smarter, more efficient ways to use the technology we have. There are **safer** ways to use the technology we have. Please, please use the authority invested in you to do what's right.

God Bless America

Sincerely,
Atiya

Dear Sir or Madam, FCC Committee Staffers, Elected Officials of the House and Senate:

I am an American with a Disability. In 1990 I was crippled by mandatory vaccines received at the Abington Memorial Hospital Dixon School of Nursing. Diagnosed with a sensory variant of Guilláin Barré Syndrome, 17 years later I settled with the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.

Currently I am awaiting a decision by the PA Public Utilities Commission regarding my right to maintain an analog electric meter on my house because after 15 months exposure to an AMI meter I learned empirically that I have electro hypersensitivity (EHS). My electricity distributor offers no alternatives to AMI. Due to conflicts of interest (son Grey Godshall worked for PECO, promoted to Supervisor of AMI Deployment Team) Representative Bob Godshall, (R) Chair of the Public Affairs Committee has not allowed 6 Bills (3 House, 3 Senate) for Opt-Out to come to vote in the General Assembly since 2012.

Attached is my Brief and Final Argument as iterated in the publicly accessible PUC Docket No.: C-2015-2469655.

In my experience x20 years reviewing medical records at an Ivy League Institution it is my believe that many cases of EHS are not characterized or treated as such and thus its prevalence is underestimated.

Do not support the 5G plan. What is best for Americans is their health, not their convenience to communicate nor the industry that weakens their health. The future of YOUR progeny is also at stake. See: <http://www.mast-victims.org/resources/docs/WiFi-a-Thalidomide-in-the-making-who-cares.pdf>

Respectfully,

Susan

Dear House Subcommittee on Communication and Technology,

I am in opposition to the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) plan to speed 5G to market which would require a "massive" increase in infrastructure which will be voted on July 14, 2016. This is time for the precautionary principle until the effects are well documented. There is substantial evidence that there is great concern for health and safety from the current "deployment" of this technology.

This issue of Radio Frequency (RF) is new to me and I am astounded by the number of persons who have had their lives damaged as a result of exposure. The FCC should have standards

adequate to protect life and the proper research should be done before "deploying" this technology, this is time for the precautionary principle.

I am shocked to learn the following Facts:

1) Our government standards for cell phone radiation is **not based on protection against all harmful effects** but only based on thermal limits, i.e., if there is not enough microwave radiation coming from a device or cell tower to cause your tissues to warm up (i.e. cook you), then FCC standards considers it to be a "safe" level. For cell towers, that limit is 1000uW/cm² for 30 minutes for frequencies 1.5GHz and above <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2002-ti...> This was not intended to be a chronic exposure limit, but a short-term one intended to protect people from burns. For cell phones and personal devices, the amount allowable is a SAR of 4.0 W/kg to the hands, feet, ears and 1.6W/kg to the head and any other part of the body.

2) Areas within the immediate range of a cell tower (i.e. less than 1000ft) are about 1000 times higher than background levels of RF radiation. Ambient (background) levels of microwave radiation, i.e. levels not immediately close to a cell tower, is around 0.0003uW/cm² (cell phone calls can be made at this level and reception is around 4 bars). However, within the immediate range of a cell tower, i.e. within the first 1000 ft, it can be as high as 0.1-1.0uW/cm². While both these levels are lower than 1000uW/cm², realize that this means that the levels within the immediate vicinity of a cell tower is around 1000 times higher than areas not next to a cell tower. So for people living close to a cell tower or kids attending school next to a cell tower, this exposure which is about 1000 times higher than ambient levels is for many hours every day for years, not just 30 minutes. If you don't believe this, please purchase a meter and measure for yourself. The Cornet ED78S is a very basic meter that you can purchase for ~\$140, less than cost of your smart phone.

Health effects at 0.1uW/cm² include neurological problems (headaches, insomnia, feelings of nervousness) and immune problems (allergies, cancer). You can see the list here for levels below and above 0.1uW/cm² per the 2012 Bioinitiative Report, which is a compendium of 3800 peer reviewed studies from around the world. <http://www.bioinitiative.org>

Firefighters Union IAFF succeeded in 2004 in stopping more cell towers from being erected on fire stations for health reasons, citing neurological and immune system effects. <http://www.iaff.org/hs/facts/CellTowerFi...>

3) **FDA exempted cell phones from product testing in 1984** on the presumption that if there is not enough RF radiation emitted to cause tissue heating, then it was safe. However, there has

been a lot of research showing that even levels of radiation below thermal thresholds have negative health effects. Some even done by our own military

4) NASA Report, 1981

A NASA report published in April 1981, titled “Electromagnetic Field Interactions with the Human Body: Observed Effects and Theories,” discussed EMF and microwave RF radiation caused to humans. Effects of microwave radiation reported: headaches, sleep problems, neurological symptoms, cardiac symptoms, memory problems, increased cholesterol, gastritis, ulcers, increased fasting blood glucose, irritability, inability to concentrate, apprehension, and cataracts (clouding of posterior part of lens in those caused by microwave radiation instead of anterior clouding as seen with regular types). Information for the NASA report was collected from over 1,000 written sources that “included journals, conference proceedings, technical reports, books, abstracts, and news items,” <http://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.n...>

5) Navy Report, 1971

On October 4, 1971, the Naval Medical Research Institute published a research report, “Bibliography of Reported Biological Phenomena (‘Effects’) and Clinical Manifestations Attributed to Microwave and Radio-Frequency Radiation,” which was a compilation of over 2000 references on the biological responses to RF microwave radiation. It lists well over 100 negative biological effects caused by RF microwave radiation - here's a partial list: corneal damage, tubular degeneration of testicles, brain heating, alteration of the diameter of blood vessels, liver enlargement, altered sex ratio of births, decreased fertility, sterility, altered fetal development, decreased lactation in nursing mothers, altered penal function, death, cranial nerve disorders, seizures, convulsions, depression, insomnia, hand tremors, chest pain, thrombosis, alteration in the rate of cellular division, anorexia, constipation, altered adrenal cortex activity, chromosome aberrations, tumors, altered orientation of animals, birds and fish, loss of hair, and sparking between dental fillings. <http://www.magdahavas.com/wordpress/wp-c...>

6) Air Force Report, 1994

A June 1994 US Air Force document, titled, “Radiofrequency/Microwave Radiation Biological Effects and Safety Standards: A Review,” acknowledges the non-thermal health effects. Stated in its abstract, “It is known that electromagnetic radiation has a biological effect on human tissue.” The introduction of the report states that “researchers have discovered a number of biological dysfunctions that can occur in living organisms” and that “exposure of the human body to RF/MW [radio frequency/microwave] radiation has many biological implications” that range from “innocuous sensation of warmth to serious physiological damage to the eye,” and added that “there is also evidence that RF/MW radiation can cause cancer.” Biological impacts: “damage to major organs, disruption of important biological processes, and the potential risk of cancer,” among many others which include “mutagenic effects,” “cardiovascular effects,”

negative effects on chromosomes, and notes that “Soviet investigators claim that exposure to low-level radiation can induce serious CNS [central nervous system] dysfunctions.”

<http://www.emfacts.com/2014/09/us-air-fo...https://electroplague.files.wordpress.co..>

7) The Department of the Army has documented in the "*Bioeffects of Selected Nonlethal Weapons(fn1)*" that this technology has the potential to be both a nonlethal and a lethal weapon.

FCC's priority appears to be on faster speeds and not regarding human health and safety.

The 1996 Telecommunications Act (TCA) was passed by Congress despite the information known and reported by the US government agencies that document negative health effects from microwave RF radiation, because they were published before 1996. Section 704 of the TCA disallowed siting decisions for cell tower facilities based on health considerations as long as they do not exceed FCC's (thermal) limits for the single or multiple transmitter facility. According to this section of the Act Aesthetics are the only valid reason for rejection, this is a violation of free speech and our right to life and liberty.

FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler is pushing for 5G in the name of “national priority.” “National priority” is addressing the astounding increases in autism, ADHD, neurological disorders in children and adults, autoimmune disease and dementia/Alzheimer's which has been occurring in the last couple decades which coincided with the massive deployment of cell antenna facilities, which began with the 1996 Telecommunications Act. We went from 66,000 cell towers in 1998 to 300,000 in 2013 per the CTIA as mentioned above.

1) Feb. 24, 2016: the American Brain Tumor Association has found that brain cancer is the highest cause of cancer deaths in ages 15-39 and the most common cancer among 15-19 year olds. There's also been an increase in Malignant Brain and Central Nervous System Tumors in American children. For ages 0-14 between 2000-2010, it has increased annually 0.6%/yr. In ages 15-19, between 2000-2008, it has increased annually 1.0%/yr.

2) October 2010: Autism has increased 600% in prevalence over the last two decades. ADHD has increased 53% in the US over the last decade per the CDC. For ages 14 to 17, 19 % of boys and 10% of girls now have ADHD.

3) August 2015: Washington Post reports people are developing dementia a decade earlier compared to 20 years ago (2010 vs. 1990) The disease is now regularly diagnosed in people in their late 40s and death rates from early onset dementia are soaring. The study found that deaths caused by neurological disease had risen significantly in adults aged 55 to 74 and more than

doubled in the over-75 population overall. The problem was particularly acute in the United States, where neurological deaths in men aged over 75 have nearly tripled and in women increased more than fivefold. “The rate of increase in such a short time suggested a silent or even a hidden epidemic, in which environmental factors must play a major part, not just aging.” The environmental factors cited included chemical pollution and increased background electromagnetic fields (which includes wireless radiation)

https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/people-are-developing-dementia-earlier-and-dying-of-it-more-a-study-shows/2015/08/06/599b16b8-3c0a-11e5-8e98-115a3cf7d7ae_story.html?wprss=rs_s_world.

4) October 2013: The NIMH (National Institute of Mental Health) reported 25-30% of kids between ages 13-18 will experience an anxiety disorder. This is an increase of 20 fold (i.e. 1900%) over the last 30 years

<http://health.usnews.com/health-news/health-wellness/articles/2013/10/10/how-to-cope-when-school-anxiety-lingers>

5) November 2013: Time Magazine reports that the rate of reported anxiety disorders among U.S. troops jumped 327% between 2000 and 2012.

<http://swampland.time.com/2013/11/05/anxiety-disorders-on-the-rise-in-the-ranks/>

6) September 2007: The number of American children and adolescents treated for bipolar disorder increased 40-fold [that's 3900%!] from 1994 to 2003 The senior author of the study, Dr. Mark Olfson of the New York State Psychiatric Institute at the Columbia University Medical Center, said, “I have been studying trends in mental health services for some time, and this finding really stands out as one of the most striking increases in this short a time.” The increase makes bipolar disorder more common among children than clinical depression, the authors said.

http://www.nytimes.com/2007/09/04/health/04psych.html?_r=1

7) Severe, disabling mental illness has dramatically increased in the United States. “The tally of those who are so disabled by mental disorders that they qualify for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) or Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) increased nearly two and a half times between 1987 and 2007 For children, the rise is even more startling — a thirty-five-fold [i.e. 3400%!] increase in the same two decades,” as Marcia Angell summarizes In 1998, Martin Seligman, then president of the American Psychological Association, spoke to the National Press Club about an American depression epidemic: “We discovered two astonishing things ... The first was there is now between 10 and 20 times as much of it [depression] as there was 50 years ago. And the second is that it has become a young person’s problem. When I first started working in depression 30 years ago ... the average age of which the first onset of depression occurred was 29.5 ... Now the average age is between 14 and 15.”

8) In 2011, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported that antidepressant use in the United States has increased nearly 400 percent in the last two decades, making antidepressants the most frequently used class of medications by Americans ages 18-44 years. http://www.salon.com/2013/08/26/how_our_society_breeds_anxiety_depression_and_dysfunction_partner/

9) In May 2013, CDC reported in “Mental Health Surveillance Among Children—United States, 2005–2011,” the following: “A total of 13%–20% of children living in the United States experience a mental disorder in a given year, and surveillance during 1994–2011 has shown the prevalence of these conditions to be increasing.”

There are many independent research studies that have shown an effect on nervous and immune systems from Radio Frequency Radiation. Here are just a few

<http://ehtrust.org/science/cell-towers-and-cell-antennae/>

Even though doctors, scientists, and various groups have made about 1000 submissions asking the FCC to revisit limits since 2012, little has been done by the FCC. See Proceeding Number 13-84 on the FCC web

site, https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/search/filings?proceedings_name=13-84&sort=date_disseminated_DESC

The only study of effects of chronic exposure to cell phone radiation done by our government was reported in May by the NTP (National Toxicology Program), which showed a statistical increase in brain and heart cancer in rats. Cancer showed up in a small but statistically significant portion of the rats who were exposed to below thermal levels of cell phone radiation at 1.5W/kg for 2 years (below FCC SAR limit of 1.6W/kg – iPhone 6 plus has a SAR rating of 1.6W/kg with all antennas on

<https://www.sarchecker.com/apple-iphone-6-sar-rating-level-edges-extremely-close-to-legal-limits/>)

The significance of this US government study is proof that RF levels below thermal thresholds cannot be assumed to be "safe".

Additionally, in medicine, non-thermal levels of microwave radiation have been used for therapeutic uses to treat pain and edema, heal bones, and chronic wounds

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pulsed_radiofrequency – this fact in itself also disproves the claim that there are “no biological effects” from non-thermal levels of microwave radiation. Anything

that has a therapeutic effect can also have a negative effect in a different dose or under different conditions.

Other countries – China, Russia, France, India, Switzerland, Italy, Luxemborg, Bulgaria – have stricter RF exposure limits than America. In January 2015, France forbade Wi-Fi in preschools and ordered it be turned off in elementary schools when not in use. In April 2016, Haifa, Israel has also removed Wi-Fi in schools. You can read more here on Schools and teacher unions around the world taking action on wireless

<http://ehtrust.org/policy/schools-unions-and-pta-actions/>

In summary, there are many reasons not to approve the “massive infrastructure” required for 5G at this time. The reasons:

- 1) NTP studies demonstrating a statistical increase in brain and heart cancer in rats following chronic exposure at 1.5W/kg (which is below the FCC SAR limit of 1.6W/kg) ;
- 2) reports by our own military and other branches of the US government demonstrate biological effects from RF radiation at below thermal levels;
- 3) the fact that non-thermal levels of microwave radiation are used for medical purposes proves there is a biological effect;
- 4) the near 1,000 submissions by doctors, scientists, and others asking the FCC to revise their limits in FCC proceeding 13-84 (which is herein incorporated by reference) ;
- 5) the fact that the higher millimeter frequencies to be used in 5G (as well as 3G, 3G, 4G), have not been studied for long-term effect on humans; and
- 6) the fact that the cell tower facilities already deployed have RF accumulative effects. **There is not any protection on life from the accumulative effects of all nearby transmitting facilities, the FCC does not monitor for compliance.**
- 7) **now is the time for the precautionary principle** until the risks are well documented on the issue of a “massive deployment” of a cellular infrastructure.

The Federal Communications Commission's job is to regulate the telecommunications industry for the protection of this nation. Instead the FCC is in partnership with private industry profits, and/or in the alternative, it is an all out "deployment" of weapons against

the Nation and American public because there are serious health risks according to the Department of the Army.

Sincerely,

Laura

-

Please consider that the adverse health hazards of cellphone radiation, 3G and 4G frequencies plus the proven fire hazards of smart meters, have not been thoroughly studied yet! The studies that have been done are ominous: these devices and frequencies appear to cause cancer and reproductive harm. Please stop this further technology until safeguards are in place and proper studies have been done.

Thank You,

Elissa

Hello, my name is Chris Hinds and I'm a resident of the state of Maryland. Mr Wheeler, the FCC commissioner has proposed a new plan to deregulate most of the oversight of antennas and smart meters being installed around the country. I'm asking you ladies and gentlemen that represent us in government to vote against this plan tomorrow. I feel that it has not been examined closely enough in regards to the health and privacy issues that may possibly result due to the lack of oversight. I think the people need to be much more informed on the matter and I don't see that they have been made aware of the proposal to a significant degree. Properly informed, trust the people. I believe we need a vehicle to better inform the people before voting on an issue with such widespread implications which will effect us all. We need strong representatives to help those of us less informed to really understand and debate this issue. Thank you for your time and when you vote please consider the citizens who may not understand the full implications of the plan. Thank you for your consideration.

PLEASE PROTECT US & HELP US PROTECT the PUBLIC's HEALTH

Say "STOP" to the FCC and Wheeler in 5G vote

Who Are We?

Unlike what the public has been told, wireless technology harms are scientifically PROVEN, not potential but EXISTING, and in an EPIDEMIC scale. "We Are The Evidence", is an advocacy group representing the many people who have been injured by wireless technology; our members have conditions such as electro-sensitivity/intolerance and cancer (among others). We purport to expose this epidemic to the public, elevate the voice of the injured and defend their rights through education, lobbying and litigation.

What Are We Asking For?

Despite the EVIDENCE OF WIDESPREAD SICKNESS from wireless technology radiation and the CLEAR SCIENCE (including a RECENTLY published \$25 million GOVERNMENT STUDY which establishes the already proven harms of wireless technology including brain and heart cancer, DNA damage and neurological effects), rather than acting prudently, warn the public, reduce radiation levels and encourage industry to develop safer technologies, the FCC continues to ignore the harms, use an obsolete "safety" standard, mislead the public and Congress and now, facilitate a rapid increase in radiation levels with 5G and the use of higher (and more harmful) frequencies.

We are asking Congress to take action to stop the FCC rush Vote on 7/14, which fast- tracks the release of the more harmful 5G infrastructure.

We are asking Congress to conduct a hearing to investigate the FCC actions/inactions and their disregard of public health; and to include testimony of those who have been injured by wireless in this hearing.

This is a public health and human rights crisis that must not be ignored. Ignoring a problem does not change the facts; it merely increases its scale and we already have an epidemic- scale problem.

The Facts About 5G & the FCC

Fact: 5G is Even More Harmful than Existing Wireless Infrastructure

5G will be making use of higher frequencies, known as "millimeter waves," which are more harmful to humans, without examination or consideration of the hazards. 5G frequencies are easily disrupted by physical interference; therefore, to overcome this limitation, a massive number of antennas will have to be positioned on almost every electric pole in the country, all utilizing higher frequencies. This deployment will significantly increase the radiation levels in the environment, making it impossible for anyone to escape this radiation, especially those who are already injured and cannot tolerate the radiation.

Fact: FCC Ignores Recent Government \$25 Million Study Proving Harms

The link between wireless and cancer / other harms was proven in a \$25 Million study by the National Toxicology Program; the scientists who conducted the research called to immediately warn the Public. The FCC is ignoring the study and instead, is trying to fast- track 5G. This study repeats what many previous research studies proved and supports the existing human evidence. More information about the study can be found on [MicrowaveNews.com](https://microwavenews.com) and the Wall Street Journal.

Fact: FCC Ignores NIH Study Proving Wireless Causes Changes in Brain

The study led by Dr. Nora Walkow, Director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse, "Effects of Cell Phone Radiofrequency Signal Exposure on Brain Glucose", offers conclusive evidence that the FCC's claim that there are no biological effects of wireless technology is false.

The brain image (above) shows the levels of glucose in the brain (yellow) before (image on the right) and after (image on the left) cell phone use. The glucose levels shown in the left image are

significantly higher and found to be so especially in the areas closer to the antennas of the cell phone. This study reinforces previous studies that used other experimental approaches, and have found that microwave radiation alters cellular signaling pathways and brain activity. As glucose is the sole energy source of the brain, the study reinforces previous studies that have found that microwave radiation alters cellular signaling pathways and brain activity.

Fact: The FCC Cannot Be Trusted

Would you have wanted the head of the Tobacco Companies' Association to head the Agency that regulates it? Then why allow Tom Wheeler, who headed the CTIA, the wireless industry association, to head the FCC, the very same agency that regulates them?

Harvard University Center for Ethics wrote a report about the corruption of the FCC called: "Captured Agency: How the FCC is Dominated by the Industries It Presumably Regulates." This 5G vote is a clear proof...

FCC's 2013 declaration that it is going to review its "Safety" Standard, seems to be a sham. For more than 20 years, the FCC hasn't reviewed its "safety" Standards. In 2013, it announced a review of its "Safety" Standards and asked the public to submit comment. Approximately 1000 comments were submitted by scientists, and public health officials, as well as doctors' associations who represent the physicians who see the epidemic of sickness in their offices and clinics. The Attorney Generals of Boston and Philadelphia submitted a comment, calling the FCC to take immediate action to protect the injured and tell the public the truth. But, as the Wall Street Journal wrote in an article on 7/6, "The FCC opened a proceeding to study the current standards in 2013, but hasn't taken any action."

Fact: FCC Safety Testing is Ridiculous, Assuming Our Brains Are Water

The FCC "Safety" Standards are based on an assumption that was disproved decades ago, that non-ionizing radiation, like that emitted by wireless technology, is harmful only if it causes change in the temperature of the cells. Since wireless technology allegedly does not use levels that cause thermal change, the FCC claims it is not harmful, despite the fact that many thousands of studies, including the Government studies, have proven that it causes severe effects including the breakage of the DNA.

The FCC testing of cell phone safety is ridiculous. It assumes that the human brain is just liquid and tests for thermal change. This test was invented by engineers without biomedical understanding, and ignores the fact that our brain has nerves, blood vessels, chemical reactions, etc. Our brain is an electric system and therefore it is clear that it will be affected by electro-magnetic fields such as those emitted by wireless technology radiation, which is trillions of times higher than the levels to which our body evolved.

Facts about the Epidemic of Sickness**Fact: Already 10% of the Population Have Developed Electro-Sensitivity**

Are you or your children are having pain in your head/ear and/or tingling in your hands when using a cell phone? If yes, then you have developed Electro-Sensitivity. According to numerous

surveys done by leading institutions up to 2006, at least 10% of people already suffer from symptoms of electromagnetic sensitivity/intolerance, a condition that develops with accumulated exposure to wireless radiation. Other symptoms include sleep disturbances, heart palpitations, nausea, exhaustion, tinnitus, cognitive problems, et al. These symptoms indicate severe biological harms. Research on 700 people who developed ES showed damage to their Blood Brain Barrier, immune and nervous systems and neurodegeneration.

The people and children who developed ES are ignored and their lives are a living hell. There is no place without radiation. Those people who have ES have no refuge and no place to live; many become refugees and live in inhuman conditions, in their cars and in the woods. One year ago, Jenny Fry, a 15 year old girl hanged herself from a tree because she could not tolerate the pain from the Wi-Fi in her school. This is a growing epidemic and a human rights crisis and everyone is looking the other way. In the picture: Jenny Fry, 15, Committed Suicide, she could not tolerate the pain of the Wi-Fi in school.

The most famous person who has developed ES is Gro Harlem Brundtland, the former Head of the World Health Organizations, former Prime Minister of Norway and a medical doctor in her profession.

Other people who developed ES: Matti Niemelä, Nokia's Chief Technology Officer Jeromy Johnson, a Silicon Valley Engineer; Prof. James McCaughan, A Physics Professor; Per Segerbäck, Ericsson Cell Phones Engineer; Dr. David McDonald, CSIRO (wireless company) scientist; Elizabeth Evans, an MD.

Fact: Wireless Causes Brain Tumors Epidemic

The Government NTP study proved that wireless radiation causes brain tumors; as well as confirming the results of previous studies, but this study supports the findings of other studies, including epidemiological studies. Data up to 2006 show that the rates of brain cancers associated with cell phone use doubled for adults, and now, ten years later, is probably higher. A study shows 780% increase in brain tumors in children; brain tumors are the # 1 killer of children and adolescents. In the picture: Jimmy Gonzales, Attorney, Died at 42, from 3 tumors from his cell phone.

Fact: Wireless causes Autism

In the past 10 years, the rate of Autism has increased exponentially from 1/1000 children to 1 in 65. Harvard Professor, Martha Herbert, a Pediatric Neurologist and Neuroscientist's paper "Autism and EMF? Plausibility of a pathophysiological link", establishes a correlation to wireless. Prof. Herbert said "I set up to write a paper with a modest length...and ended up producing a 60 page paper with 550 citations."

Fact: Wireless causes ADHD

In the past 10 years the number of children who suffer from ADHD doubled! Yale University Professor & Chair of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, Prof. Hugh Taylor, proved in his research that fetus exposure to wireless radiation affects Neurodevelopment and Behavior and cause ADHD.

Fact: Wireless Harms the Sperm and Reproductive Organs

In the past 10 years there has been a 40% reduction in sperm quality of males in all age groups all around the world, and 25% of couples are in fertility treatments. There are many dozens of studies including on humans that prove beyond doubt that wireless severely harms the sperm and reproductive organs.

Sincerely,

Romy

Dear FCC Commissioners & House Tech & Comm Subcommittee Members and all, Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding is being represented as an unqualified good, but it is not. It will do great harm to human health and the environment.

Please vote “no” to the Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding. There has been no NEPA review of the environmental and human health impacts of moving forward with 5G. The FCC has put the cart before the horse in promulgating rules for 5G without first studying the safety of 5G for humans and the environment. There is consensus within the scientific community that the existing FCC limits for wireless radiation do not protect the population from biological effects

(<https://www.emfscientist.org>) The National Toxicology Program recently release results showing that radiofrequency radiation (RFR) can indeed both break DNA and cause cancer (<http://www.saferemr.com/2016/05/national-toxicology-progam-finds-cell.html> and <http://microwavenews.com/news-center/ntp-nyt>).

Furthermore, the literature on RFR in the very high frequency bands required for 5G document DNA breakages, serious cellular resonance effects, and other detrimental metabolic effects

(http://www.bioinitiative.org/report/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/sec15_2012_Evidence_Disruption_Modulation.pdf and http://www.stopglobalwifi.org/documents/2001_kositsky_et_al._-_ussr_review.pdf).

Non-industry funded studies have consistently found links between RFR and various negative biological effects (www.bioinitiative.org). They include serious neurological, cardiac, and metabolic effects, as well as DNA breakage which can lead to cancer and genetic defects

(<http://www.mainecoalitiontostopsmartmeters.org/?%20p=1469>). Studies, including the National Toxicology Program studies, have shown wireless to be a dangerous technology and 5G, according to Chairman Wheeler’s own comments, is an infrastructure intensive technology.

So, invest in safe, wired infrastructure instead of spending a lot of money to saturate entire communities with hazardous radiation. The “cool” factor is not worth the peril. Please see “Wireless Technology Violates Human Rights”

(<http://www.electricalpollution.com/documents/WirelessViolatesHumanRights2016.pdf>) for more information about the very serious ways in which wireless technology violates human rights.

Don't vote to unleash a dangerous environmental pollutant on your friends, family, and, indeed, the whole country. Vote to protect your family, friends, and the country – vote "no" on Spectrum Frontiers. Be on the right side of history.

There are as many scientist who say wireless is harmful as those who say it isn't. Now you want to add more?

We went through the same thing with tobacco for decades.

Please err on the side of caution and vote no to 5G.

Just because industry reps for 5G who will benefit from it financially say it is easier, faster and better, does not in reality mean it will be safer, wiser and healthier which it won't! Scientists from all over the world are already speaking out against just 4G, smart meters, cell towers and telephones and more. I am electronically sensitive and will never own a cell phone, no matter how much fun their games and aps can be. I can link hundreds of articles from various individuals, groups, universities re how detrimental it is to all of us. You must vote NO GO on 5G until it has been proven safe, which I am sure it will not be.

Very sincerely!

\

Dear Government Officials:

I must urge you to reject the Proposed 5G Spectrum. It is toxic and dangerous as clearly demonstrated by the recent \$25 MILLION US Government study (National Toxicology Program) study linking wireless radiation and cancer & DNA damage. [See report here.](#)

This additional load of radiation add to the already poisonous soup that we are all exposed to every day including, air/water/food pollution, EMF so-called "smart meters", radiation from various medical testing and countless other sources of radiation and pollution in our environment.

You must re-think this. Just because it will benefit large corporations does not mean it benefits the American people. In truth, it harms us all, including you and your families.

Thank you,

Karen

To Whom it may concern,

I am a resident of New York State and I have been harmed by wireless technologies. Although the telecommunications industry claims that there are no dangers associated with the use of cell phones, wifi, cell towers and smart meters, there is a wealth of studies that confirm that wireless technologies are indeed harmful to life and the environment, particularly, children and especially bees who are dying at alarming rates.

It is reckless for the FCC, which is not a health agency, to push for the introduction of 5G technology without any health or safety oversight. In light of many recent studies of the adverse health effects of cell phone use, it would be grossly irresponsible for 5G to be approved.

(See first link below)

The medical establishment advises us to err on the side of caution. All caution has apparently been thrown to the wind by the recommendation of Tom Wheeler to push forward in approving 5G. Understandably, the telecommunications industry stands to profit greatly by the approval to roll out 5G technology at the expense of humans and the environment. Citizens have a right to not be exposed to a constant stream of wireless radiation in our communities, coming onto our properties and into our homes.

I consider it an outrage for any government representative or agency to consider approving a technology that has never been proven safe and has many studies showing that there is cause for concern.

Thousands have already been injured by wireless devices. We do not want the FCC to approve the release of yet another harmful and even more powerful technology. Please protect the health and safety of citizens and the environment. Do not approve the roll out of 5G technology. Wireless technologies have already been proven to be unsafe.

Thank you,

Toby

Dear FCC Commissioners,

Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding is being represented as an unqualified good, but it is not. It will do great harm to human health and to the environment.

Please vote “no” to the Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding. There has been no NEPA review of the environmental and human health impacts of moving forward with 5G. The FCC has put the cart before the horse in promulgating rules for 5G without first studying the safety of 5G for humans and the environment. There is consensus within the scientific community that the existing FCC limits for wireless radiation do not protect the population from biological effects (www.EMFscientist.org).

The National Toxicology Program recently released results showing that radiofrequency radiation (RFR) can indeed both break DNA and cause cancer

(<http://www.saferemr.com/2016/05/national-toxicology-progam-finds-cell.html> and <http://microwavenews.com/news-center/ntp-nyt>). Furthermore, the literature on RFR in the very high frequency bands required for 5G document DNA breakages, serious cellular resonance effects, and other detrimental metabolic effects

(http://www.bioinitiative.org/report/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/sec15_2012_Evidence_Disruption_Modulation.pdf and

http://www.stopglobalwifi/documents/2001_kositsky_et_al._-_ussr_review.pdf).

Non-industry funded studies have consistently found links between RFR and various negative biological effects (www.bioinitiative.org). They include serious neurological, cardiac, and metabolic effects, as well as DNA breakage which can lead to cancer and genetic defects (<http://www.mainecoalitiontostopsmartmeters.org/?p=1469>).

My family has already experienced harm from the rapidly rising ambient levels of RFR. The rising ambient RFR levels, due to the recent wireless insanity, are already causing my family to experience symptoms of Radiofrequency Sickness. I get cardiac arrhythmias and am functionally impaired by RFR in multiple ways. I experience high blood pressure and increased insulin resistance when I am exposed to RFR, in addition to other symptoms.

The rapidly increasing RFR levels has totally impacted ability to earn a living. I used to have a psychotherapy office in San Francisco and I am no longer able to do so due to the rising ambient RFR levels causing serious neurological symptoms and crippling fatigue. The symptoms disappear when I am in low EMF areas. I have had to close my practice and move to the country on SSI. (The levels outside the home where I rent a room are hundreds to thousands of times lower than the nearby small city. Even so I can tell the improvement in my health when I am inside my shielded home where the levels are a third to a tenth the ambient outside levels and peaks are reduced by over half.)

RFR has been shown to cause oxidative damage

(<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26151230>). If my experience is any indicator, the FCC is directly responsible for those deaths by promoting a dangerous technology in complete disregard of the science and the scientists who are calling for biologically-based population-protective RFR safety limits. This willful disregard of the consequences is a violation of our human rights and the Nuremberg Code of Ethics, please read “Wireless Technology Violates Human Rights,”

attached and at

<http://www.electricalpollution.com/documents/WirelessViolatesHumanRights2016.pdf>.

Studies, including the National Toxicology Program studies, have shown wireless to be a dangerous technology and 5G, according to Chairman Wheeler's own comments, is an infrastructure intensive technology. So, invest in safe, wired infrastructure instead of spending a lot of money to saturate entire communities with hazardous radiation. The "cool" factor is not worth the peril.

Don't vote to unleash a dangerous environmental pollutant on your friends, family, and, indeed, the whole country. Vote to protect your family, friends, and the country – vote "no" on Spectrum Frontiers. Be on the right side of history.

Sincerely,

Jane

No one wants this. Strangers aren't allowed to walk up to me and blow cigarette smoke in my face, so why should I have forced upon me, perpetual exposure to 5G manmade, pulse-modulated wireless radiation, which is a known carcinogen? What the hell are you people thinking? I'm very ill from smart meters, homeless, blacking out, having seizures and 104F fevers every time I go near them. I have to sleep in the woods. Children are at high risk for RF poisoning by universal wireless, smart meters, cell phone towers, etc., b/c their cells divide at a 3x faster rate than those of adults, & b/c their skulls are thinner than those of adults. They absorb 152% more wireless radiation than adults. What the heck are you thinking? It is criminal to even entertain the notion of putting artificial, pulse-modulated radiation ubiquitously. This is the unnecessary for the unwilling, & you will be held accountable. -E. Drew

Hello Decision Maker,

If you are not a researcher into the health impacts of electromagnetic frequencies, then would you please listen to Joel M. Moskowitz, Ph.D., Director, Center for Family and Community Health, School of Public Health, UC Berkeley:

"The FCC should commission an independent review of the biologic and health research to determine whether the RF standards should be modified before allowing additional spectrum to be used for new commercial applications."

These 33 words are all you need to vote no on 5G.

Frankly, research shows that citizens contacting their elected representatives in Congress are wasting their time if lobbyists are pushing the other side.

No doubt you're tired of the ceaseless effort required to be reelected. No more excuses; you have the power to establish public financing of elections!

Meanwhile, think of your grandkids and vote NO on 5G.

We are all in this together.

Lauren

--

I am writing you as a concerned citizen in advance of the vote tomorrow by the House Subcommittee on Communications and Technology on proposed rules related to the new 5G technology. This is an important vote since this new technology will impact us all in a very serious way.

As I understand it, FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler wants virtually everything to be connected via 5G--despite the fact that no testing has been done to determine the effects of the new 5G technology frequencies on human health or the environment.

In view of the fact that 5G's effects on human health and the environment have not been studied or taken into consideration, I strongly urge a "no" vote on the Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding. Please don't advocate rushing "out of the gate" to facilitate the widespread implementation of this new technology until all of its effects are studied.

Thank you.

I am writing with urgency, asking for your help to make sure that the voice of all children, indeed all living beings, is heard when the vote comes up related to Spectrum Frontier and 5G. Please do what you can to halt this disastrous intrusion into the electromagnetic fabric of all life on our planet.

I am sure you have a great deal of scientific data to support a NO vote, so I will not add to verbage. I only ask that you help all lawmakers who would vote on this direction to have the information they need, and the ear to hear informed citizens, including the medical community, who value our children and our planet's future over our amped-up downloads.

Kind regards,

Joy

-

Dear Legislators,

Please grab a cup of coffee or tea, this is extremely important and requires your help:

Three years ago an electrical engineering friend mentioned there could be biological harm from wi-fi and cellular technology. I investigated this extensively, and found thousands of peer-reviewed, published scientific studies from all over the world indicating vast biological harm but the FCC has suppressed this from the public (see [Harvard University's Captured Agency](#) report).

I worked with my public school district, which did its due diligence to understand this issue, and we have become the [first in the nation](#) to put precautionary measures in place to protect our students and staff. I now get calls from parents all over the country looking to do the same after discovering wireless technology in schools, at home and elsewhere has given their children searing headaches, nose and ear bleeds, tinnitus, skin rashes, inability to concentrate, behavior issues, sleep problems and more. When they hard-wire, turn off the wi-fi, or put devices in airplane mode the symptoms have resolved over time for most. These are just the short-term effects of electrohypersensitivity (EHS); long-term exposure to wi-fi is being shown to cause cancer, infertility, heart disease, DNA damage and more.

My hope is you will do what you can to stall the FCC's push to become the first-to-market with 5G -- they're planning to move forward in July and it will put even more wi-fi antennas in our neighborhoods. Yet, just last month the U.S. National Toxicology Program released partial findings from a \$25 million study on cell phone radiation: they confirmed it is carcinogenic. That means all wi-fi is too as it operates off of the same electromagnetic radiation.

The NTP informed the FCC, but Tom Wheeler is still intent on [rolling out 5G](#), which will put even more radiation load on the unwitting public. He suppressed evidence of harm back in the 1990s when the first oncologist declared his patient died from brain tumors that aligned with the antennas in her cell phone, and he remains committed to corporate profit over public health today. [Hear it directly from the scientist](#) who lead the research for Mr. Wheeler back in the 1990s -- Mr. Wheeler went on to discredit Dr. Carlo and never told the public. If you've seen Will Smith's recent movie, "Concussion", the same is happening with wireless technology as the NFL suppressing evidence of harm , trying to silence the scientists, and creating doubt among the public.

If this topic is new to you, the following 33-minute video with my local Board of Health will bring you up to speed. All of the documents I reference are included in the Comments section under the YouTube video. It will take time to absorb all of this if you are unfamiliar with wi-fi operating off of two-way microwave radiation, but I implore you, please do your independent research and stop the FCC from rolling out 5G until technology can be made biologically safe.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9T-4Aj6gb7A>

Former Microsoft Canada President Frank Clegg assures us we can still have technology, but it must and can be made to be safe. See below for a briefing he and top U.S. scientist Dr. Devra Davis gave to the Massachusetts legislature:

<https://vimeo.com/134411701>

We are all guilty of adopting wi-fi without understanding how it works; now that we do, it is our responsibility to ourselves, our children and future generations to act today to put it right. Please do your part as our public servants and make it a priority to come up to speed on this issue. Please reach out if I can provide additional information.

Sincerely,

Cecelia Doucette
31 Fatima Drive
Ashland, MA 01721
508-881-3878

Dear FCC Commissioners,

Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding is being represented as an unqualified good, but it is not. It will do great harm to human health and to the environment.

Please vote “no” to the Spectrum Frontiers Proceeding. There has been no NEPA review of the environmental and human health impacts of moving forward with 5G. The FCC has put the cart before the horse in promulgating rules for 5G without first studying the safety of 5G for humans and the environment. There is consensus within the scientific community that the existing FCC limits for wireless radiation do not protect the population from biological effects

(www.EMFscientist.org).

The National Toxicology Program recently released results showing that radiofrequency radiation (RFR) can indeed both break DNA and cause cancer

(<http://www.saferemr.com/2016/05/national-toxicology-program-finds-cell.html> and <http://microwavenews.com/news-center/ntp-nyt>). Furthermore, the literature on RFR in the very

high frequency bands required for 5G document DNA breakages, serious cellular resonance effects, and other detrimental metabolic effects

(http://www.bioinitiative.org/report/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/sec15_2012_Evidence_Disruption_Modulation.pdf and

http://www.stopglobalwifi/documents/2001_kositsky_et_al_-_ussr_review.pdf).

Non-industry funded studies have consistently found links between RFR and various negative biological effects (www.bioinitiative.org). They include serious neurological, cardiac, and metabolic effects, as well as DNA breakage which can lead to cancer and genetic defects (<http://www.mainecoalitiontostopsmartmeters.org/?p=1469>).

My family has already experienced harm from the rapidly rising ambient levels of RFR. I am attaching the comment that I submitted to FCC 13-39. The rising ambient RFR levels, due to the recent wireless insanity, are already causing my family to experience symptoms of Radiofrequency Sickness. My sons get cardiac arrhythmias. My wife is functionally impaired by RFR in multiple ways. I experience high blood sugar and increased insulin resistance when I am exposed to RFR, in addition to other symptoms.

The rapidly increasing RFR levels is impacting our ability to earn a living. I used to vend at farmers markets in Madison and I am no longer able to do so due to the rising ambient RFR levels causing serious neurological symptoms suggestive of incipient ALS. The symptoms disappeared at the end of market season when I was able to spend time at my shielded home in much lower ambient RFR levels. (The levels outside our home are hundreds to thousands of times lower than ambient Madison levels. Even so I can tell the improvement in my health when I am inside my shielded home where the levels are a third to a tenth the ambient outside levels and peaks are reduced by over half.) ALS is a progressive fatal neurological disorder whose incidence is increasing

(https://wwwn.cdc.gov/als/Download/Neurol%20Clin_ALS_Risk_Factors_2015.pdf). ALS is being linked to factors including oxidative damage (positively linked to smoking which causes oxidative damage), EMF exposure, and military service which would entail high levels of RFR exposure. RFR has been shown to cause oxidative damage

(<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26151230>). If my experience is any indicator, the FCC is directly responsible for those deaths by promoting a dangerous technology in complete disregard of the science and the scientists who are calling for biologically-based population-protective RFR safety limits. This willful disregard of the consequences is a violation of our human rights and the Nuremberg Code of Ethics, please read “Wireless Technology Violates Human Rights,” attached and at

<http://www.electricalpollution.com/documents/WirelessViolatesHumanRights2016.pdf>.

Studies, including the National Toxicology Program studies, have shown wireless to be a dangerous technology and 5G, according to Chairman Wheeler’s own comments, is an infrastructure intensive technology. So, invest in safe, wired infrastructure instead of spending a

lot of money to saturate entire communities with hazardous radiation. The “cool” factor is not worth the peril.

Don’t vote to unleash a dangerous environmental pollutant on your friends, family, and, indeed, the whole country. Vote to protect your family, friends, and the country – vote “no” on Spectrum Frontiers. Be on the right side of history.

Sincerely,

S

Dear Decision Maker,

The NTP animals studies found exposure to CDMA and GSM cellphone modulation resulted in brain cancer (glial cells mutated to glioma) and nerve tumors (Schwann cells mutated to Schwannoma).

This is consistent to finding used

by the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) which declared radio frequency radiation (RFR) a Group 2B (possible) Human Carcinogen in 2011. IARC made this declaration based on two independent case-control studies of two types of cancer that found statistically significant risks for brain cancer and for vestibular Schwannoma (also known as an acoustic neuroma--a tumor on the hearing nerve).

IARC chose the Group 2B (possible) Human Carcinogen because there were insufficient animal studies. The results of the NTP study is a game change. IARC must (according to their well-defined procedures) upgrade its previous RFR declaration to a Group 2A (probable) Human Carcinogen or even to a Group 1 Human Carcinogen. However, this is likely to take several years.

The NTP needs to repeat their animal studies using exposures from UMTS and LTE modulation (3rd and 4th generation cellphones). Because the equipment was destroyed new exposure equipment must be built. The whole process will take 4 years or more. Why must this be done? Because the average radiated power of UMTS phones is 1,000 times lower than GSM phones, yet the risk of brain cancer per 100 hours of UMTS phones is 3.4 times higher than use of GSM phones. The reason for this appears to be that UMTS modulation inhibits DNA repair genes. Thus UMTS is likely to be even more carcinogenic than CDMA or GSM.

5th generation modulation should not be introduced without prior NTP animal studies showing there is no health risk.

Sincerely,
Rosemarie

5G; What You Need To Know About 5G Wireless and “Small” Cells; EHT



What You Need To Know About 5G Wireless and “Small” Cells

“We recommend a moratorium on the roll-out of the fifth generation, 5G, for telecommunication until potential hazards for human health and the environment have been fully investigated by scientists independent from industry...RF-EMF has been proven to be harmful for humans and the environment.”

— 2017 5G Scientific Appeal (signed by more than 180 scientists and doctors from 35 countries)

Nationwide, communities are being told by wireless companies that it is necessary to build “small cell” wireless facilities in neighborhoods on streetlight and utility poles in order to offer 5G, a new technology that will connect the Internet of Things (IoT). At the local, state, and federal level, new legislation and new zoning aim to streamline the installation of these 5G “small cell” antennas in public rights-of-way.

The radiation from small cells is not small: Wireless antennas emit microwaves — non-ionizing radiofrequency radiation — and essentially function as cell towers. Radiation emitted from small cells is expected to typically travel from 10 feet up to several hundred feet.

Millions of small cells to be built in front yards: The Federal Communications Commission estimates that millions of these wireless transmitters will be built in our rights-of-way, directly in front of our homes.

5G will add to — not replace — our current wireless technology: 5G will add in another layer of wireless radiation to our environment. 5G will not only utilize wireless frequencies already in use but also add in higher frequencies — submillimeter and millimeter waves — in order to transmit data at superfast speeds.

Community authority is overruled: Communities are being stripped of their right to make decisions about this new technology. “Streamlining” means almost automatic approval. Public notice and public hearings are being eliminated. Even if every homeowner on the block opposes the antennas on their street, the opposition will be disregarded.

Scientists worldwide are calling for a halt to the 5G Roll-out: In 2017, over 180 scientists and doctors issued a declaration calling for a moratorium on the increase of 5G cell antennas citing human health effects and impacts to wildlife.

[Read the 2017 Scientific Appeal on 5G To the European Commission](#)

[Read the 2015 EMF Scientist Appeal to the United Nations](#)

[Read Letters From Dozens of Scientists on Health Risks of 5G](#)

Cumulative daily radiation exposure poses serious public health risks: Peer reviewed, published science indicates that exposures to wireless radiation can increase cancer risk and alter brain development and damage sperm. Most people are unaware that wireless technology was never tested for long-term safety, that children are more vulnerable and that the accumulated scientific evidence shows harm.

Decreased property values: Studies show property values drop up to 20% on homes near cell towers. Would you buy a home with a mini cell tower in the yard?

[Read Research on Cellular Base Stations Near Homes](#)

Microwave antennas in front yards present several worker and public safety issues: Unions have already filed comments that workers were injured, unaware they were working near transmitting antennas. How will HVAC workers, window washers, and tree cutters be protected? The heavy large equipment cabinets mounted on poles along our sidewalks also present new hazards. Cars run into utility poles, often, what then?

There is a safer alternative: Worldwide, many regions invest in safer and smarter fiber optic cabling all the way to each home, rather than antennas in front yards. Wired fiberoptic connections are safer, faster, more reliable, provide greater capacity, and are more cyber-secure.

Study Finds 5G Frequencies Have A Biological Effect

A newly published study from distinguished Israeli physicists Yuri Feldman, Paul Ben-Ishai and colleagues found that the higher millimeter frequencies intended for use in 5G are preferentially absorbed in the sweat duct, a significant biological effect. [Read the study "The Modeling of the Absorbance of the Sub-THz Radiation by Human Skin."](#) [Watch a lecture](#) by Paul Ben-Ishai, PhD at [2017 IIAS Conference](#).

US National Toxicology Program (NTP) Study Found Wireless Caused Cancer and DNA Damage in Rodents

The National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences NTP [long-term radiofrequency radiation](#) (RFR) studies found male rats developed gliomas (brain cancer) and schwann cell tumors, the same types of tumors increased in long-term human cell phone users. In addition, [DNA damage was found](#) leading the scientists to conclude that, "exposure to RFR has the potential to induce measurable DNA damage under certain exposure conditions."

Cell Tower Radiation is Linked To Damage in Human Blood

A newly published study compared people living close and far from cell antennas and found a significant impact on people living closer to cellular antennas. Damage was found in their blood that predicts cancer development. [Read the study "Impact of radiofrequency radiation on DNA damage and antioxidants in peripheral blood lymphocytes of humans residing in the vicinity of mobile phone base station"](#) (Zothansiam et al, 2017; published in *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*).

Millimeter Waves Impact Bacteria Growth

New research finds that millimeter waves alter bacteria growth, and the combined action of these frequencies with antibiotics had even stronger effects. [Read the study "Millimeter waves or extremely high frequency electromagnetic fields in the environment: what are their effects on bacteria?"](#) (published in *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*).

RESOURCES

[A 5G Wireless Future: Will it give us a smart nation or contribute to an unhealthy one?](#) Santa Clara Medical Association Bulletin, Cindy Russell MD, 2017

[Letters by Scientists in Opposition To 5G Research on Cell Tower Radiation, 2017 Research on Cell Tower Radiation](#)

[Biological Effects from Exposure to Electromagnetic Radiation Emitted by Cell Tower Base Stations and Other Antenna Arrays](#), Levitt and Lai, 2010

[Radiofrequency radiation injures trees around mobile phone base stations](#), Waldmann-Selsam et al., 2016

[Department of Interior Letter on the Impact of Cell Towers on Migratory Birds](#), Willie R. Taylor Director, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, 2014

[Anthropogenic radiofrequency electromagnetic fields as an emerging threat to wildlife orientation](#), Balmori, 2015

[Briefing Memorandum On The Impacts from Thermal and Non-thermal Non-ionizing Radiation to Birds and Other Wildlife](#), Manville, 2016

TAKE ACTION

Contact local, state and federal elected officials in person.

Share this information with your friends, family and community.

Ask for government policy that reduces RFR exposure to the public.

Citizens in all states must organize and take action to halt federal legislation which is moving forward right now.

LEARN MORE

[Federal Legislation To Know](#)

[US States With Streamlining Bills](#)

5G Small Cell Antennas To Be Placed On:

- Street lights
- Trashcans
- Utility poles
- Bus stops
- Sides of buildings

5 Reasons Why Small Cells Are Not Small

- Increased radiation near homes
- Refrigerator-sized equipment cabinet
- Drop in property values
- Taller poles
- Fixtures weigh hundreds of pounds

Crown Castle's 2016 10-K Annual Report says:

"If radio frequency emissions from wireless handsets or equipment on our wireless infrastructure are demonstrated to cause negative health effects, potential future claims could adversely affect our operations, costs or revenues... We currently do not maintain any significant insurance with respect to these matters."

[Read warnings](#) from Crown Castle, Verizon and other wireless companies.

The American Academy of Pediatrics says:

"An Egyptian study confirmed concerns that living nearby mobile phone base stations increased the risk for developing:

- Headaches
- Memory problems
- Dizziness
- Depression
- Sleep problems"

[AAP on Cell Towers & Reducing Cell Phone Radiation](#)

Letter from oncologist Lennart Hardell MD & Colleagues:

"There is a substantial body of evidence that this technology is harmful to humans and the environment. The 5G millimeter wave is known to heat the eyes, skin and testes... Of particular concern are the most vulnerable among us — the unborn, children, the infirm, the elderly and the disabled. It is also expected that populations of bees and birds will drastically decline."

[2017 Scientific Letter](#)

Peer Reviewed Research Studies on Radiofrequency Radiation Have Found:

- Headaches
- Sperm damage
- Altered brain development
- Depression
- Neurological symptoms
- Hormone changes
- Memory problems
- Sleep problems
- Cancer

See also:

[Dr. Moskowitz, University of California at Berkeley](#)
[Dr. Lennart Hardell Örebro University Sweden](#)
[The Baby Safe Project](#)
[Physicians for Safe Technology](#)

Wi-Fi; 136 Studies Showing Health Effects from WiFi Radio Frequency Radiation

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects**Table of Contents****136 Studies Showing Health Effects from WiFi Radio Frequency Radiation**

Health Effects	Pages
Effects on Brain and Neurons	Pages 2-18
Effects on Eyes	Pages 18-24
Effects on Fertility and Reproduction	Pages 24-28
Effects on Pregnancy	Pages 29-32
Cancer	Pages 32-35
Effects on Children	Pages 35-36
Effects on Hormones	Pages 36-37
DNA Damage	Pages 37-40
Effects on the Heart	Pages 40-41
Inflammation	Page 41
Effects on Blood	Pages 41-44
Oxidative Stress	Pages 44-46
Effects on Wellbeing	Pages 47-48
Effects on Whole Body	Page 48
Effects on Bone Marrow	Pages 48-51
Effects on Insulin	Page 52
Cell Effects	Pages 52-57
Effects on Behavior	Pages 57-59
Effects on Immune Function	Page 59-60
Effects on Protein	Page 60
Electromagnetic Hyper-Sensitivity	Pages 60-63
Effects on Critical Organs	Pages 63-65
Effects on Sleep	Page 65
Synergistic Health Effects- RF and Other Agents	Pages 66-68
Effects on the Environment	Page 68-69
Miscellaneous Effects	Pages 69-73

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects**Effects On Brain and Neurons**

Lai, H, Carino, MA, Singh, NP, Naltrexone blocks RFR-induced DNA double strand breaks in rat brain cells. *Wireless Networks* 3:471-476, 1997.

Previous research in our laboratory has shown that various effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation (RFR) exposure on the nervous system are mediated by endogenous opioids in the brain. We have also found that acute exposure to RFR induced DNA strand breaks in brain cells of the rat. The present experiment was carried out to investigate whether endogenous opioids are also involved in RFR-induced DNA strand breaks. Rats were treated with the opioid antagonist naltrexone (1 mg/kg, IP) immediately before and after exposure **to 2450-MHz pulsed** (2 ms pulses, 500 pps) RFR at a power density of 2 mW/cm² (average whole body specific absorption rate of 1.2 W/kg) for 2 hours. DNA double strand breaks were assayed in brain cells at 4 hours after exposure using a microgel electrophoresis assay. Results showed that the RFR exposure significantly increased DNA double strand breaks in brain cells of the rat, and the effect was partially blocked by treatment with naltrexone. Thus, these data indicate that endogenous opioids play a mediating role in RFR-induced DNA strand breaks in brain cells of the rat.

Kesari KK, Behari J, Kumar S. Mutagenic response of 2.45 GHz radiation exposure on rat brain. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 86(4):334-343, 2010.

Purpose: To investigate the effect of **2.45 GHz microwave radiation** on rat brain of male wistar strain. Material and methods: Male rats of wistar strain (35 days old with 130 +/- 10 g body weight) were selected for this study. Animals were divided into two groups: Sham exposed and experimental. Animals were exposed for 2 h a day for 35 days to 2.45 GHz frequency at 0.34 mW/cm² power density. The whole body specific absorption rate (SAR) was estimated to be 0.11 W/Kg. Exposure took place in a ventilated Plexiglas cage and kept in anechoic chamber in a far field configuration from the horn antenna. After the completion of exposure period, rats were sacrificed and the whole brain tissue was dissected and used for study of double strand DNA (Deoxyribonucleic acid) breaks by micro gel electrophoresis and the statistical analysis was carried out using comet assay (IV-2 version software). Thereafter, antioxidant enzymes and histone kinase estimation was also performed. Results: A significant increase was observed in comet head ($P < 0.002$), tail length ($P < 0.0002$) and in tail movement ($P < 0.0001$) in exposed brain cells. An analysis of antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase ($P < 0.005$), and superoxide dismutase ($P < 0.006$) showed a decrease while an increase in catalase ($P < 0.006$) was observed. A significant decrease ($P < 0.023$) in histone kinase was also recorded in the exposed group as compared to the control (sham-exposed) ones. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) method was adopted for statistical analysis. Conclusion: The study concludes that the chronic exposure to these radiations may cause significant damage to brain, which may be an indication of possible tumour promotion (Behari and Paulraj 2007).

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Lai, H, Singh, NP, Melatonin and a spin-trap compound block radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation-induced DNA strand breaks in rat brain cells. Bioelectromagnetics 18(6):446-454, 1997.

Effects of in vivo microwave exposure on DNA strand breaks, a form of DNA damage, were investigated in rat brain cells. In previous research, we have found that acute (2 hours) exposure to pulsed (2 microseconds pulses, 500 pps) **2450-MHz radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation (RFR)** (power density 2 mW/cm², average whole body specific absorption rate 1.2 W/kg) caused an increase in DNA single- and double-strand breaks in brain cells of the rat when assayed 4 hours post exposure using a microgel electrophoresis assay. In the present study, we found that treatment of rats immediately before and after RFR exposure with either melatonin (1 mg/kg/injection, SC) or the spin-trap compound N-tert-butyl-alpha-phenylnitron (PBN) (100 mg/kg/injection, i.p.) blocks this effects of RFR. Since both melatonin and PBN are efficient free radical scavengers it is hypothesized that free radicals are involved in RFR-induced DNA damage in the brain cells of rats. Since cumulated DNA strand breaks in brain cells can lead to neurodegenerative diseases and cancer and an excess of free radicals in cells has been suggested to be the cause of various human diseases, data from this study could have important implications for the health effects of RFR exposure.

Lai H, Singh NP, Interaction of Microwaves and a Temporally Incoherent Magnetic Field on Single and Double DNA Strand Breaks in Rat Brain Cells. Electromag Biol Med 24:23-29, 2005.

The effect of a temporally incoherent magnetic field ('noise') on microwave-induced DNA single and double strand breaks in rat brain cells was investigated. Four treatment groups of rats were studied: microwave-exposure (continuous-wave **2450-MHz microwaves**, power density 1 mW/cm², average whole body specific absorption rate of 0.6 W/kg), 'noise'-exposure (45 mG), 'microwave + noise'-exposure, and sham-exposure. Animals were exposed to these conditions for 2 hrs. DNA single and double strand breaks in brain cells of these animals were assayed 4 hrs later using a microgel electrophoresis assay. Results show that brain cells of microwave-exposed rats had significantly higher levels of DNA single and double strand breaks when compared with sham-exposed animals. Exposure to 'noise' alone did not significantly affect the levels (i.e., they were similar to those of the sham-exposed rats). However, simultaneous 'noise' exposure blocked microwave-induced increases in DNA strand breaks. These data indicate that simultaneous exposure to a temporally incoherent magnetic field could block microwave-induced DNA damage in brain cells of the rat.

Papageorgiou CC, Hountala CD, Maganioti AE, Kyprianou MA, Rabavilas AD, Papadimitriou GN, Capsalis CN. Effects of wi-fi signals on the p300 component of event-related potentials during an auditory hayling task. J Integr Neurosci. 10(2):189-202, 2011.

The P300 component of event-related potentials (ERPs) is believed to index attention and working memory (WM) operation of the brain. The present study focused on the possible gender-related **effects of Wi-Fi** (Wireless Fidelity) electromagnetic fields (EMF)

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

on these processes. Fifteen male and fifteen female subjects, matched for age and education level, were investigated while performing a modified version of the Hayling Sentence Completion test adjusted to induce WM. ERPs were recorded at 30 scalp electrodes, both without and with the exposure to a Wi-Fi signal. P300 amplitude values at 18 electrodes were found to be significantly lower in the response inhibition condition than in the response initiation and baseline conditions. Independent of the above effect, within the response inhibition condition there was also a significant gender X radiation interaction effect manifested at 15 leads by decreased P300 amplitudes of males in comparison to female subjects only at the presence of EMF. In conclusion, the present findings suggest that Wi-Fi exposure may exert gender-related alterations on neural activity associated with the amount of attentional resources engaged during a linguistic test adjusted to induce WM.

Ghazizadeh V, Nazıroğlu M. Electromagnetic radiation (Wi-Fi) and epilepsy induce calcium entry and apoptosis through activation of TRPV1 channel in hippocampus and dorsal root ganglion of rats. *Metab Brain Dis.* 29(3):787-799, 2014.

Incidence rates of epilepsy and use of Wi-Fi worldwide have been increasing. TRPV1 is a Ca^{2+} permeable and non-selective channel, gated by noxious heat, oxidative stress and capsaicin (CAP). The hyperthermia and oxidant effects of Wi-Fi may induce apoptosis and Ca^{2+} entry through activation of TRPV1 channel in epilepsy. Therefore, we tested the effects of Wi-Fi (2.45 GHz) exposure on Ca^{2+} influx, oxidative stress and apoptosis through TRPV1 channel in the murine dorsal root ganglion (DRG) and hippocampus of pentylenetetrazol (PTZ)-induced epileptic rats. Rats in the present study were divided into two groups as controls and PTZ. The PTZ groups were divided into two subgroups namely PTZ + Wi-Fi and PTZ + Wi-Fi + capsazepine (CPZ). The hippocampal and DRG neurons were freshly isolated from the rats. The DRG and hippocampus in PTZ + Wi-Fi and PTZ + Wi-Fi + CPZ groups were exposed to Wi-Fi for 1 hour before CAP stimulation. The cytosolic free Ca^{2+} , reactive oxygen species production, apoptosis, mitochondrial membrane depolarization, caspase-3 and -9 values in hippocampus were higher in the PTZ group than in the control although cell viability values decreased. The Wi-Fi exposure induced additional effects on the cytosolic Ca^{2+} increase. However, pretreatment of the neurons with CPZ, results in a protection against epilepsy-induced Ca^{2+} influx, apoptosis and oxidative damages. In results of whole cell patch-clamp experiments, treatment of DRG with Ca^{2+} channel antagonists [thapsigargin, verapamil + diltiazem, 2-APB, MK-801] indicated that Wi-Fi exposure induced Ca^{2+} influx via the TRPV1 channels. In conclusion, epilepsy and Wi-Fi in our experimental model is involved in Ca^{2+} influx and oxidative stress-induced hippocampal and DRG death through activation of TRPV1 channels, and negative modulation of this channel activity by CPZ pretreatment may account for the neuroprotective activity against oxidative stress.

Deshmukh PS, Megha K, Banerjee BD, Ahmed RS, Chandna S, Abegaonkar MP, Tripathi AK. Detection of Low Level Microwave Radiation Induced Deoxyribonucleic Acid Damage Vis-à-vis Genotoxicity in Brain of Fischer Rats. *Toxicol Int.* 20(1):19-24, 2013.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

BACKGROUND: Non-ionizing radiofrequency radiation has been increasingly used in industry, commerce, medicine and especially in mobile phone technology and has become a matter of serious concern in present time. **OBJECTIVE:** The present study was designed to investigate the possible deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damaging effects of low-level microwave radiation in brain of Fischer rats. **MATERIALS AND METHODS:** Experiments were performed on male Fischer rats exposed to microwave radiation for 30 days at three different frequencies: 900, 1800 and **2450 MHz**. Animals were divided into 4 groups: Group I (Sham exposed): Animals not exposed to microwave radiation but kept under same conditions as that of other groups, Group II: Animals exposed to microwave radiation at frequency 900 MHz at specific absorption rate (SAR) 5.953×10^{-4} W/kg, Group III: Animals exposed to 1800 MHz at SAR 5.835×10^{-4} W/kg and Group IV: Animals exposed to 2450 MHz at SAR 6.672×10^{-4} W/kg. At the end of the exposure period animals were sacrificed immediately and DNA damage in brain tissue was assessed using alkaline comet assay. **RESULTS:** In the present study, we demonstrated DNA damaging effects of low level microwave radiation in brain. **CONCLUSION:** We concluded that low SAR microwave radiation exposure at these frequencies may induce DNA strand breaks in brain tissue.

Lai H, Carino MA, Horita A, Guy AW, Intraseptal microinjection of beta-funaltrexamine blocked a microwave-induced decrease of hippocampal cholinergic activity in the rat. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 53(3):613-616, 1994.

Acute (45 min) exposure to pulsed (2 microseconds pulse width, 500 pulses per second) **2450-MHz microwaves** at a power density of 1 mW/cm² (whole body specific absorption rate 0.6 W/kg) microwaves caused a decrease in cholinergic activity in the hippocampus of the rat as measured by the sodium-dependent high-affinity choline uptake. Microinjection of beta-funaltrexamine (1 microgram) into the septum before microwave exposure blocked this effect. These data indicate that mu-opioid receptors in the septum mediate a microwave-induced decrease in cholinergic activity in the hippocampus and support our hypothesis that microwaves at a whole body SAR of 0.6 W/kg can activate endogenous opioids in the brain.

Lai H, Carino MA, Horita A, Guy AW, Single vs. repeated microwave exposure: effects on benzodiazepine receptors in the brain of the rat. Bioelectromagnetics 13(1):57-66, 1992.

We studied the effects of single (45 min) and repeated (ten daily 45-min sessions) microwave exposures (**2450-MHz**, 1 mW/cm², average whole-body SAR of 0.6 W/kg, pulsed at 500 pps with pulse width of 2 microseconds) on the concentration and affinity of benzodiazepine receptors in the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, and cerebellum of the rat. We used a receptor-binding assay with 3H-flunitrazepam as ligand. Immediately after a single exposure, an increase in the concentration of receptor was observed in the cerebral cortex, but no significant effect was observed in the hippocampus or cerebellum. No significant change in binding affinity of the receptors was observed in any of the brain-regions studied. In rats subjected to repeated exposures, no significant change in receptor concentration was found in the cerebral cortex immediately after the last exposure, which may indicate an adaptation to repeated exposures. Our data also

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

show that handling and exposure procedures in our experiments did not significantly affect benzodiazepine receptors in the brain. Because benzodiazepine receptors in the brain are responsive to anxiety and stress, our data support the hypothesis that low-intensity microwave irradiation can be a source of stress.

Lai H, Carino MA, Horita A, Guy AW, Opioid receptor subtypes that mediate a microwave-induced decrease in central cholinergic activity in the rat. Bioelectromagnetics 13(3):237-246, 1992.

We performed experiments to investigate subtypes of opioid receptors in the brain involved in the effect of acute (45 min) pulsed microwave exposure (**2,450-MHz**, 2-microseconds pulses, 500 pps, average power density 1 mW/cm², peak-power density, 1 W/cm², average whole body SAR 0.6 W/kg) on cholinergic activity in the rat brain. Rats were pretreated by microinjection of specific antagonists of mu, delta, and kappa opioid-receptors into the lateral cerebroventricle before exposure to microwaves. The data showed that all three subtypes of opioid receptors are involved in the microwave-induced decrease in cholinergic activity in the hippocampus. However, the microwave-induced decrease in cholinergic activity in the frontal cortex was not significantly affected by any of the drug treatments, confirming our previous conclusion that the effect of microwaves on the frontal cortex is not mediated by endogenous opioids.

Yang XS, He GL, Hao YT, Xiao Y, Chen CH, Zhang GB, Yu ZP. Exposure to 2.45 GHz electromagnetic fields elicits an HSP-related stress response in rat hippocampus. Brain Res Bull. 88(4):371-378, 2012.

The issue of possible neurobiological effects of the electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure is highly controversial. To determine whether electromagnetic field exposure could act as an environmental stimulus capable of producing stress responses, we employed the hippocampus, a sensitive target of electromagnetic radiation, to assess the changes in its stress-related gene and protein expression after EMF exposure. Adult male Sprague-Dawley rats with body restrained were exposed to a **2.45 GHz EMF** at a specific absorption rate (SAR) of 6 W/kg or sham conditions. cDNA microarray was performed to examine the changes of gene expression involved in the biological effects of electromagnetic radiation. Of 2048 candidate genes, 23 upregulated and 18 downregulated genes were identified. Of these differential expression genes, two heat shock proteins (HSP), HSP27 and HSP70, are notable because expression levels of both proteins are increased in the rat hippocampus. Result from immunocytochemistry revealed that EMF caused intensive staining for HSP27 and HSP70 in the hippocampus, especially in the pyramidal neurons of cornu ammonis 3 (CA3) and granular cells of dentate gyrus (DG). The gene and protein expression profiles of HSP27 and HSP70 were further confirmed by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and Western blot. Our data provide direct evidence that exposure to electromagnetic fields elicits a stress response in the rat hippocampus.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Lai H, Carino MA, Wen YF, Horita A, Guy AW, Naltrexone pretreatment blocks microwave-induced changes in central cholinergic receptors. Bioelectromagnetics 12(1):27-33, 1991.

Repeated exposure of rats to pulsed, circularly polarized microwaves (2,450-MHz, 2-microseconds pulses at 500 pps, power density 1 mW/cm², at an averaged, whole-body SAR of 0.6 W/kg) induced biphasic changes in the concentration of muscarinic cholinergic receptors in the central nervous system. An increase in receptor concentration occurred in the hippocampus of rats subjected to ten 45-min sessions of microwave exposure, whereas a decrease in concentration was observed in the frontal cortex and hippocampus of rats exposed to ten 20-min sessions. These findings, which confirm earlier work in the authors' laboratory, were extended to include pretreatment of rats with the narcotic antagonist naltrexone (1 mg/kg, IP) before each session of exposure. The drug treatment blocked the microwave-induced changes in cholinergic receptors in the brain. These data further support the authors' hypothesis that endogenous opioids play a role in the effects of microwaves on central cholinergic systems.

Lai H, Horita A, Guy AW, Microwave irradiation affects radial-arm maze performance in the rat. Bioelectromagnetics 15(2):95-104, 1994.

After 45 min of exposure to pulsed 2450 MHz microwaves (2 microseconds pulses, 500 pps, 1 mW/cm², average whole body SAR 0.6 W/kg), rats showed retarded learning while performing in the radial-arm maze to obtain food rewards, indicating a deficit in spatial "working memory" function. This behavioral deficit was reversed by pretreatment before exposure with the cholinergic agonist physostigmine or the opiate antagonist naltrexone, whereas pretreatment with the peripheral opiate antagonist naloxone methiodide showed no reversal of effect. These data indicate that both cholinergic and endogenous opioid neurotransmitter systems in the brain are involved in the microwave-induced spatial memory deficit.

Lai H. Interaction of microwaves and a temporally incoherent magnetic field on spatial learning in the rat. Physiol Behav. 82(5):785-789, 2004.

The effect of a temporally incoherent magnetic field ('noise') on microwave-induced spatial learning deficit in the rat was investigated. Rats were trained in six sessions to locate a submerged platform in a circular water maze. Four treatment groups of rats were studied: microwave-exposure (2450-MHz continuous-wave microwaves, power density 2 mW/cm², average whole-body specific absorption rate 1.2 W/kg), 'noise' exposure (60 mG), 'microwave+noise' exposure, and sham exposure. Animals were exposed to these conditions for 1 h immediately before each training session. One hour after the last training session, animals were tested in a 2-min probe trial in the maze during which the platform was removed. The time spent during the 2 min in the quadrant of the maze in which the platform had been located was scored. Results show that microwave-exposed rats had significant deficit in learning to locate the submerged platform when compared with the performance of the sham-exposed animals. Exposure to 'noise' alone did not significantly affect the performance of the animals (i.e., it was similar to that of the sham-exposed rats). However, simultaneous exposure to 'noise'

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

significantly attenuated the microwave-induced spatial learning deficit (i.e. 'microwave+noise'-exposed rats learned significantly better than the microwave-exposed rats). During the probe trial, microwave-exposed animals spent significantly less time in the quadrant where the platform was located. However, response of the 'microwave+noise'-exposed animals was similar to that of the sham-exposed animals during the probe trial. Thus, simultaneous exposure to a temporally incoherent magnetic field blocks microwave-induced spatial learning and memory deficits in the rat.

Kesari KK, Kumar S, Behari J. Pathophysiology of microwave radiation: effect on rat brain. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*. 166(2):379-388, 2012.

The study aims to investigate the effect of **2.45 GHz microwave radiation** on Wistar rats. Rats of 35 days old with 130 ± 10 g body weight were selected for this study. Animals were divided into two groups: sham exposed and experimental (six animals each). Animals were exposed for 2 h a day for 45 days at 2.45 GHz frequency (power density, 0.21 mW/cm²). The whole body specific absorption rate was estimated to be 0.14 W/kg. Exposure took place in a ventilated plexiglas cage and kept in an anechoic chamber under a horn antenna. After completion of the exposure period, rats were killed, and pineal gland and whole brain tissues were isolated for the estimation of melatonin, creatine kinase, caspase 3, and calcium ion concentration. Experiments were performed in a blind manner and repeated. A significant decrease ($P < 0.05$) was recorded in the level of pineal melatonin of exposed group as compared with sham exposed. A significant increase ($P < 0.05$) in creatine kinase, caspase 3, and calcium ion concentration was observed in whole brain of exposed group of animals as compared to sham exposed. One-way analysis of variance method was adopted for statistical analysis. The study concludes that a reduction in melatonin or an increase in caspase-3, creatine kinase, and calcium ion may cause significant damage in brain due to chronic exposure of these radiations. These biomarkers clearly indicate possible health implications of such exposures.

Hao Y, Yang X, Chen C, Yuan-Wang, Wang X, Li M, Yu Z. STAT3 signalling pathway is involved in the activation of microglia induced by 2.45 GHz electromagnetic fields. *Int J Radiat Biol*. 86(1):27-36, 2010.

PURPOSE: Microglia activation plays a pivotal role in the initiation and progression of central nervous system (CNS) insult. The aim of the present work was to investigate the activation of microglia and involvement of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) in microglia activation after 2.45 GHz electromagnetic fields (EMF) exposure. **MATERIALS AND METHODS:** In this study, murine N9 microglial cells were exposed to **2.45 GHz EMF**, the protein expressions of STAT3, Janus Tyrosine kinase 1 and 2 (JAK1 and JAK2), phosphor-(Try705)STAT3 and DNA binding activity of STAT3 were examined by Western blot analysis and electrophoresis mobility shift assay (EMSA). Levels of the nitric oxide (NO) derivative nitrite were determined in the culture medium by the Griess reaction. The mRNA expression of tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF-alpha) and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) were detected by reverse transcription and polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). **RESULTS:** A significant increase of STAT3 DNA-

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

binding ability was noted after exposure. Consistent with this, EMF rapidly induced phosphorylation of STAT3 and activated JAK1 and JAK2. In addition, EMF exposure increased transcription levels of the inflammation-associated genes, iNOS and TNF-alpha, which are reported to contain STAT-binding elements in their promoter region. P6, a JAK inhibitor, reduced induction of iNOS and TNF-alpha, nuclear factor binding activity, and activation of STAT3 in EMF-stimulated microglia. **CONCLUSION:** These results provide evidence that EMF exposure can initiate the activation of microglia cells and STAT3 signalling involves in EMF-induced microglial activation.

Zhang Y, She F, Li L, Chen C, Xu S, Luo X, Li M, He M, Yu Z. p25/CDK5 is partially involved in neuronal injury induced by radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure. Int J Radiat Biol. 2013 Jul 29. [Epub ahead of print]

Purpose: Several studies suggest that radiofrequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) exposure can induce neuronal injury. The aim of the present work was to investigate whether the cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (CDK5) pathway is involved in neuronal injury induced by RF-EMF exposure. Materials and methods: Newborn Sprague-Dawley rats' primary cultured cortical neurons were exposed to pulsed 2.45 GHz RF-EMF for 10 min. The cellular viability was assessed using the 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide assay. The apoptosis was assessed by Hoechst 33342 and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT)-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling co-staining. The protein expressions of CDK5, p35, p25, and phosphorylated tau at Ser⁴⁰⁴ were examined by Western blot analysis. The CDK5 activity was detected using a histone-H1 kinase assay. Results: The cellular viability of neurons was significantly decreased ($p < 0.01$, Partial Eta Squared [η_p^2]: 0.554), and the percentage of apoptotic nuclei ($p < 0.01$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.689$), activity of CDK5 ($p < 0.05$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.589$), ratio of p25 and p35 ($p < 0.05$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.670$), levels of tau phosphorylation at Ser⁴⁰⁴ ($p < 0.01$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.896$) were significantly increased after RF-EMF exposure. No significant change was detected in CDK5 expression after RF-EMF exposure. Pretreatment with Roscovitine (a CDK5 inhibitor) significantly blocked the RF-EMF-induced decrease of cellular viability ($p < 0.05$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.398$) and tau hyperphosphorylation at Ser⁴⁰⁴ ($p < 0.01$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.917$), but did not significantly block the RF-EMF-induced apoptosis ($p > 0.05$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.130$). Conclusions: These results suggest that abnormal activity of p25/CDK5 is partially involved in primary cultured cortical neuron injury induced by RF-EMF exposure.

Eser O, Songur A, Aktas C, Karavelioglu E, Caglar V, Aylak F, Ozguner F, Kanter M. The effect of electromagnetic radiation on the rat brain: an experimental study. Turk Neurosurg. 23(6):707-715, 2013.

AIM: The aim of this study is to determine the structural changes of electromagnetic waves in the frontal cortex, brain stem and cerebellum. MATERIAL and METHODS: 24 Wistar Albino adult male rats were randomly divided into four groups: group I consisted of control rats, and groups II-IV comprised electromagnetically irradiated (EMR) with 900, 1800 and **2450 MHz**. The heads of the rats were exposed to 900, 1800 and 2450 MHz microwaves irradiation for 1h per day for 2 months. RESULTS: While the histopathological changes in the frontal cortex and brain stem were normal in the control group, there were severe degenerative changes,

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

shrunk cytoplasm and extensively dark pyknotic nuclei in the EMR groups. Biochemical analysis demonstrated that the Total Antioxidative Capacity level was significantly decreased in the EMR groups and also Total Oxidative Capacity and Oxidative Stress Index levels were significantly increased in the frontal cortex, brain stem and cerebellum. IL-1 β level was significantly increased in the EMR groups in the brain stem. CONCLUSION: EMR causes to structural changes in the frontal cortex, brain stem and cerebellum and impair the oxidative stress and inflammatory cytokine system. This deterioration can cause to disease including loss of these areas function and cancer development.

Wang, BM, Lai, H, Acute exposure to pulsed 2450-MHz microwaves affects water maze learning in the rat. Bioelectromagnetics 21:52-56, 2000.

Rats were trained in six sessions to locate a submerged platform in a circular water maze. They were exposed to pulsed **2450-MHz** microwaves (pulse width 2 ms, 500 pulses/s, power density 2 mW/cm², average whole body specific absorption rate 1.2 W/kg) for 1 hr in a circular waveguide system immediately before each training session. One hour after the last training session, they were tested in a probe trial during which the platform was removed and the time spent in the quadrant of the maze in which the platform had been located during the 1-min trial was scored. Three groups of animals: microwave-exposed, sham-exposed, and cage control were studied. Data show that microwave-exposed rats were slower than sham-exposed and cage control rats in learning to locate the platform. However, there was no significant difference in swim speed among the three groups of animals, indicating that the difference in learning was not due to a change in motor functions or motivation. During the probe trial, microwave-exposed animals spent significantly less time in the quadrant that had contained the platform, and their swim patterns were different from those of the sham-exposed and cage control animals. The latter observation indicates that microwave-exposed rats used a different strategy in learning the location of the platform. These results show that acute exposure to pulsed microwaves caused a deficit in spatial "reference" memory in the rat.

Neubauer C, Phelan AM, Kues H, Lange DG, Microwave irradiation of rats at 2.45 GHz activates pinocytotic-like uptake of tracer by capillary endothelial cells of cerebral cortex. Bioelectromagnetics 11(4):261-268, 1990.

Far-field exposures of male albino rats to 2.45-GHz microwaves (10-microseconds pulses, 100 pps) at a low average power density (10 mW/cm²; SAR approximately 2 W/kg) and short durations (30-120 min) resulted in increased uptakes of tracer through the blood-brain barrier (BBB). The uptake of systemically administered rhodamine-ferritin complex by capillary endothelial cells (CECs) of the cerebral cortex was dependent on power density and on duration of exposure. At 5 mW/cm², for example, a 15-min exposure had no effect. Near-complete blockade of uptake resulted when rats were treated before exposure to microwaves with a single dose of colchicine, which inhibits microtubular function. A pinocytotic-like mechanism is presumed responsible for the microwave-induced increase in BBB permeability.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Nazıroğlu M, Çelik Ö, Özgül C, Çiğ B, Doğan S, Bal R, Gümral N, Rodríguez AB, Pariente JA. Melatonin modulates wireless (2.45 GHz)-induced oxidative injury through TRPM2 and voltage gated Ca(2+) channels in brain and dorsal root ganglion in rat. *Physiol Behav.* 105(3):683-692, 2012.

We aimed to investigate the protective effects of melatonin and **2.45 GHz electromagnetic radiation (EMR)** on brain and dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neuron antioxidant redox system, Ca(2+) influx, cell viability and electroencephalography (EEG) records in the rat. Thirty two rats were equally divided into four different groups namely group A1: Cage control, group A2: Sham control, group B: 2.45 GHz EMR, group C: 2.45 GHz EMR+melatonin. Groups B and C were exposed to 2.45 GHz EMR during 60 min/day for 30 days. End of the experiments, EEG records and the brain cortex and DRG samples were taken. Lipid peroxidation (LP), cell viability and cytosolic Ca(2+) values in DRG neurons were higher in group B than in groups A1 and A2 although their concentrations were increased by melatonin, 2-aminoethyldiphenyl borinate (2-APB), diltiazem and verapamil supplementation. Spike numbers of EEG records in group C were lower than in group B. Brain cortex vitamin E concentration was higher in group C than in group B. In conclusion, Melatonin supplementation in DRG neurons and brain seems to have protective effects on the 2.45 GHz-induced increase Ca(2+) influx, EEG records and cell viability of the hormone through TRPM2 and voltage gated Ca(2+) channels.

Testylier G, Tonduli L, Malabiau R, Debouzy JC. Effects of exposure to low level radiofrequency fields on acetylcholine release in hippocampus of freely moving rats. *Bioelectromagnetics* 23:249-255, 2002.

Some central cholinergic effects have been reported in animals after acute exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic field at low intensity. We studied acetylcholine (ACh) release in the brain of freely moving rats exposed for 1 h during the day to a **2.45 GHz** continuous wave radiofrequency field (RF) (2 or 4 mW/cm²) or exposed for 1 or 14 h during the night to a 800 MHz field modulated at 32 Hz (AM 200 mW/cm²). Measurements were performed by microdialysis using a membrane implanted through the upper CA1 region of the hippocampus. After irradiation with the 2.45 GHz RF, rats exposed at 2 mW/cm² did not show a significant modification of ACh release, whereas those exposed at 4 mW/cm² showed a significant 40% decrease in mean ACh release from hippocampus. This decrease was maximal at 5 h post exposure. Exposure to the 800 MHz RF for 1 h did not cause any significant effect, but exposure for 14 hrs induced a significant 43% decrease in ACh release during the period 11 p.m.-4 a.m. compared to control rats. In the control group we observed an increase of ACh release at the beginning of the night, which was linked to the waking period of rats. This normal increase was disturbed in rats exposed overnight to the 800 MHz RF. This work indicates that neurochemical modification of the hippocampal cholinergic system can be observed during and after an exposure to low intensity RF.

Lu Y, Xu S, He M, Chen C, Zhang L, Liu C, Chu F, Yu Z, Zhou Z, Zhong M. Glucose administration attenuates spatial memory deficits induced by chronic low-power-density microwave exposure. *Physiol Behav.* 106(5):631-637, 2012.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Extensive evidence indicates that glucose administration attenuates memory deficits in rodents and humans, and cognitive impairment has been associated with reduced glucose metabolism and uptake in certain brain regions including the hippocampus. In the present study, we investigated whether glucose treatment attenuated memory deficits caused by chronic low-power-density microwave (MW) exposure, and the effect of MW exposure on hippocampal glucose uptake. **We exposed Wistar rats to 2.45 GHz pulsed MW** irradiation at a power density of 1 mW/cm² for 3 h/day, for up to 30 days. MW exposure induced spatial learning and memory impairments in rats. Hippocampal glucose uptake was also reduced by MW exposure in the absence or presence of insulin, but the levels of blood glucose and insulin were not affected. However, these spatial memory deficits were reversed by systemic glucose treatment. Our results indicate that glucose administration attenuates the spatial memory deficits induced by chronic low-power-density MW exposure, and reduced hippocampal glucose uptake may be associated with cognitive impairment caused by MW exposure.

Paulraj R, Behari J. Single strand DNA breaks in rat brain cells exposed to microwave radiation. *Mutat Res.* 596:76-80, 2006.

This investigation concerns with the effect of low intensity microwave (2.45 and 16.5GHz, SAR 1.0 and 2.01W/kg, respectively) radiation on developing rat brain. Wistar rats (35 days old, male, six rats in each group) were selected for this study. These animals were exposed for 35 days at the above mentioned frequencies separately in two different exposure systems. After the exposure period, the rats were sacrificed and the whole brain tissue was dissected and used for study of single strand DNA breaks by micro gel electrophoresis (comet assay). Single strand DNA breaks were measured as tail length of comet. Fifty cells from each slide and two slides per animal were observed. One-way ANOVA method was adopted for statistical analysis. This study shows that the chronic exposure to these radiations cause statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) increase in DNA single strand breaks in brain cells of rat.

Paulraj R, Behari J. Protein Kinase C Activity in developing rat brain cells exposed to 2.45 GHz radiation. *Electromag Biol Med* 25(1) 61-70, 2006.

There is growing concern by the public regarding the potential human health hazard due to exposure to microwave frequencies. **2.45 GHz radiation** widespread use in industry, research, and medicine, and leakage into the environment is possible. In order to quantitate this, experiments were performed on developing rat brain. Male Wistar 35-day-old rats ($n = 6$) were used for this study. Animals were exposed to 2.45 GHz radiation for 2 h/day for a period of 35 days at a power density of 0.344 mW/cm² (SAR 0.11 W/kg). The control group was sham irradiated. After 35 days these rats were sacrificed and whole brain tissue was isolated for protein kinase C (PKC) assay. For morphological study the forebrain was isolated from the whole brain and PKC activity was measured using P³² labeled ATP. Our study reveals a statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) decrease in PKC activity in hippocampus as compared to the remaining portion of the whole brain and the control group. A similar experiment conducted on hippocampus and the whole brain gave a similar result. Electron microscopic study shows an increase in the glial cell population in

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

the exposed group as compared to the control group. This present study is indicative of a significant change after exposure to the above-mentioned field intensity. This suggests that chronic exposures may affect brain growth and development.

Kubinyi G, Thuroczy G, Bakos J, Boloni E, Sinay H, Szabo LD, Effect of continuous-wave and amplitude-modulated 2.45 GHz microwave radiation on the liver and brain aminoacyl-transfer RNA synthetases of in utero exposed mice. Bioelectromagnetics 17(6):497-503, 1996.

Investigations have been carried out concerning the effects of **microwave (MW)** exposure on the aminoacyl-transfer ribonucleic acid (tRNA) synthetase of the progeny of females that were exposed during their entire period of gestation (19 days). The changes caused by **continuous-wave (CW)** and amplitude-modulated (AM) MW radiation have been compared. CFLP mice were exposed to MW radiation for 100 min each day in an anechoic room. The MW frequency was **2.45 GHz**, and the amplitude modulation had a 50 Hz rectangular waveform (on/off ratio, 50/50%). The average power density exposure was 3 mW/cm², and the whole body specific absorption rate (SAR) was 4.23 +/- 0.63 W/kg. The weight and mortality of the progeny were followed until postnatal day 24. Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase enzymes and tRNA from the brains and livers of the offspring (461 exposed, 487 control) were isolated. The aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase activities were determined. The postnatal increase of body weight and organ weight was not influenced by the prenatal MW radiation. The activity of enzyme isolated from the brain showed a significant decrease after CW MW exposure, but the changes were not significant after 50 Hz AM MW exposure. The activity of the enzyme isolated from liver increased under CW and 50 Hz modulated MW.

Jorge-Mora T, Folgueiras MA, Leiro-Vidal JM, Jorge-Barreiro FJ, Ares-Pena FJ, López-Martin E. Exposure to 2.45 GHz Microwave Radiation Provokes Cerebral Changes in Induction of Hsp-90 α/β Heat Shock Protein in Rat. Prog Electromagn Res, 100:351-379, 2010.

Physical agents such as non-ionizing continuous-wave **2.45 GHz radiation** may cause damage that alters cellular homeostasis and may trigger activation of the genes that encode heat shock proteins (HSP). We used Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay (ELISA) and immunohistochemistry to analyze the changes in levels of HSP-90 and its distribution in the brain of Sprague-Dawley rats, ninety minutes and twenty-four hours after acute (30min) continuous exposure to 2.45 GHz radiation in a the Gigahertz Transverse Electromagnetic (GTEM cell). In addition, we studied further indicators of neuronal insult: dark neurons, chromatin condensation and nucleus fragmentation, which were observed under optical conventional or fluorescence microscopy after DAPI staining. The cellular distribution of protein HSP-90 in the brain increased with each corresponding SAR (0.034 ± 3.10^{-3} , 0.069 ± 5.10^{-3} , 0.27 ± 21.10^{-3} W/kg), in hypothalamic nuclei, limbic cortex and somatosensorial cortex after exposure to the radiation. At twenty-four hours post-irradiation, levels of HSP-90 protein remained high in all hypothalamic nuclei for all SARs, and in the parietal cortex, except the limbic system, HSP-90 levels were lower than in non-irradiated rats, almost half the levels in rats

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

exposed to the highest power radiation. Non-apoptotic cellular nuclei and a some dark neurons were found ninety minutes and twenty-four hours after maximal SAR exposure. The results suggest that acute exposure to electromagnetic fields triggered an imbalance in anatomical HSP- 90 levels but the anti-apoptotic mechanism is probably sufficient to compensate the non-ionizing stimulus. Further studies are required to determine the regional effects of chronic electromagnetic pollution on heat shock proteins and their involvement in neurological processes and neuronal damage.

Gürler HS, Bilgici B, Akar AK, Tomak L, Bedir A. Increased DNA oxidation (8-OHdG) and protein oxidation (AOPP) by Low level electromagnetic field (2.45 GHz) in rat brain and protective effect of garlic. Int J Radiat Biol. 2014 May 21:1-15. [Epub ahead of print]

Purpose: To investigate the oxidative damage and protective effect of garlic on rats exposed to low level of electromagnetic fields (EMF) at **2.45 GHz Microwave** radiation (MWR). Methods: Thirty six Wistar rats were divided into three groups. Group I was the control group and not exposed to EMF. Group II and III were exposed to low level EMF (3.68 ± 0.36 V/m) at 2.45 GHz MWR for 1 hour/day for 30 consecutive days. Daily 500 mg/kg garlic was given to Group III during the study period. At the end of the study, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP) and 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) levels were investigated in brain tissue and blood samples. Results: Exposure to low level of EMF increased 8-OHdG level in both plasma and brain tissue whereas it increased AOPP level only in plasma. Garlic prevented the increase of 8-OHdG level in brain tissue and plasma AOPP levels. Conclusions: It may be concluded that low level EMF at 2.45 GHz MWR increases the DNA damage in both brain tissues and plasma of the rats whereas it increases protein oxidation only in plasma. It may also be argued that the use of garlic decreases these effects.

Fukui Y, Hoshino K, Inouye M, Kameyama Y, Effects of hyperthermia induced by microwave irradiation on brain development in mice. J Radiat Res (Tokyo) 33(1):1-10, 1992.

Pregnant mice were exposed to **2.45 GHz of microwave** radiation for 15 or 20 min on day 13 of gestation. The highest maternal core temperature during the exposure did not exceed 42.5 degrees C. Pregnant females also were immersed in hot water at 42 degrees C for 15 min to compare thermal effects on brain development. Animals were killed 9 hours after treatment, and the pyknotic cells in the ventricular zone of telencephalon were counted. The respective incidences of these cells in the groups exposed to microwaves for 15 and 20 min were 1.83% and 3.06%. Microwave radiation for 20 min had an effect that was comparable to that of immersion in 42 degrees C hot water for 15 min. In addition, some animals were examined on day 18 of gestation, and some of their offspring were examined at 6 weeks of age in an examination of long-term effects. Brain weight for the group exposed to microwaves for 20 min was significantly lower than for the control group, and the numerical density of the neurons in the cerebrum was higher. We concluded that microwave radiation at the dose tested mainly has a thermal effect.

Nazıroğlu M, Çelik Ö, Özgül C, Çiğ B, Doğan S, Bal R, Gümral N, Rodríguez AB, Pariente JA. Melatonin modulates wireless (2.45 GHz)-induced oxidative injury through TRPM2

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

and voltage gated Ca(2+) channels in brain and dorsal root ganglion in rat. *Physiol Behav.* 105(3):683-692, 2012.

We aimed to investigate the protective effects of melatonin and 2.45 GHz electromagnetic radiation (EMR) on brain and dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neuron antioxidant redox system, Ca(2+) influx, cell viability and electroencephalography (EEG) records in the rat. Thirty two rats were equally divided into four different groups namely group A1: Cage control, group A2: Sham control, group B: 2.45 GHz EMR, group C: 2.45 GHz EMR+melatonin. Groups B and C were exposed to 2.45 GHz EMR during 60 min/day for 30 days. End of the experiments, EEG records and the brain cortex and DRG samples were taken. Lipid peroxidation (LP), cell viability and cytosolic Ca(2+) values in DRG neurons were higher in group B than in groups A1 and A2 although their concentrations were increased by melatonin, 2-aminoethyldiphenyl borinate (2-APB), diltiazem and verapamil supplementation. Spike numbers of EEG records in group C were lower than in group B. Brain cortex vitamin E concentration was higher in group C than in group B. In conclusion, Melatonin supplementation in DRG neurons and brain seems to have protective effects on the 2.45 GHz-induced increase Ca(2+) influx, EEG records and cell viability of the hormone through TRPM2 and voltage gated Ca(2+) channels.

Field AS, Ginsburg K, Lin JC The effect of pulsed microwaves on passive electrical properties and interspike intervals of snail neurons. *Bioelectromagnetics* 14(6):503-520, 1993.

The effects of pulsed microwaves (2.45 GHz, 10 microseconds, 100 pps, SAR: 81.5 kW/kg peak, 81.5 W/kg average) on membrane input resistance and action potential (AP) interval statistics were studied in spontaneously active ganglion neurons of land snails (*Helix aspersa*), at strictly constant temperature (20.8 +/- .07 degrees C worst case). Statistical comparison with sham-irradiated neurons revealed a significant increase in the mean input resistance of neurons exposed to pulsed microwaves ($P < \text{or} = .05$). Pulsed microwaves had no visible effect on mean AP firing rate; this observation was confirmed by analysis of interspike intervals (ISIs). Using an integrator model for spontaneously active neurons, we found the net input current to be more variable in neurons exposed to pulsed microwaves. The mean input current was not affected. The standard deviation of ISIs and the autocorrelation of the input current were marginally affected, but these changes were not consistent across neurons. Although the observed effects were less obvious than those reported in other studies, they represent evidence of a direct interaction between neurons and pulsed microwaves, in the absence of macroscopic temperature changes. The data do not suggest a single, specific mechanism for such interaction.

Ding G, Xie X, Zhang L et al. Changes of nitric oxide synthase in hippocampus and cerebellum of the rat following exposure to electromagnetic pulse. *Chin J Phys Med* 20:81-83, 1998.

Objective: In order to explore the role of nitric oxide in the obstruction of learning and memory of the rat caused by exposing to electromagnetic pulses (EMP), the distribution of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) expression was studied in hippocampus and cerebellum of

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

the rat following exposure to EMP. Methods: SP immunohistochemical staining was employed to detect the distribution of NOS expression. Results: The number of NOS positive neurons and the intensity of positive staining in hippocampus were decreased at 1.5 and 24 h after exposure to EMP. At 48 h, the number of NOS positive neurons reversed to control level but the intensity of positive staining was still low. the expression of NOS in cerebellum had no obvious changes. Conclusion: Decrease of NOS expression in hippocampus relates to the obstruction of learning and memory of the rat after exposure to EMP.

Lange DG, Sedmak J, Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV): potentiation of lethality in mice by microwave radiation. Bioelectromagnetics 12(6):335-348, 1991.

The expression of Japanese Encephalitis Virus (JEV) lethality in mice requires entry of the virus into the central nervous system. This entry is presumably through the capillary endothelial cells (CEC), because entry between CECs is inhibited by bands of circumferential tight-junctions. A viremic stage occurs during the first 4 to 5 days after JEV administration in mice, and both microwave **radiation (2.45-GHz, continuous wave, 10-min exposure)** and hypercarbia were employed to increase CEC permeability to JEV. Exposure to microwaves at power densities of 10-50 mW/cm² resulted in a dose-dependent increase in JEV-induced lethality. Mice did not become tolerant or sensitized to microwave potentiation of JEV-induced mortality because 4 daily exposures at 10 or 50 mW/cm² (SARS, approximately 24-98 W/kg) did not alter the lethality pattern to subsequent microwave radiation of JEV-exposed animals. Similarly, hypercarbia (5, 10, and 20% CO₂) was observed to produce a dose-dependent increase in JEV-induced lethality. Both microwave radiation and hypercarbia are thought to promote pinocytosis in CNS capillary endothelial cells. This may be one mechanism by which they enhance JEV-induced lethality in adult Swiss-Cox mice.

Inaba R, Shishido K, Okada A, Moroji T. Effects of whole body microwave exposure on the rat brain contents of biogenic amines. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. 65(2):124-128, 1992.

The effects of whole body microwave exposure on the central nervous system (CNS) of the rat were investigated. Rats weighing from 250 to 320 g were exposed for 1 h to whole body **microwave with a frequency of 2450 MHz** at power densities of 5 and 10 mW.cm⁻² at an ambient temperature of 21-23 degrees C. The rectal temperatures of the rats were measured just before and after microwave exposure and mono-amines and their metabolites in various discrete brain regions were determined after microwave exposure. Microwave exposure at power densities of 5 and 10 mW.cm⁻² increased the mean rectal temperature by 2.3 degrees C and 3.4 degrees C, respectively. The noradrenaline content in the hypothalamus was significantly reduced after microwave exposure at a power density of 10 mW.cm⁻². There were no differences in the dopamine (DA) content of any region of the brain between microwave exposed rats and control rats. The dihydroxyphenyl acetic acid (DOPAC) content, the main metabolite of DA, was significantly increased in the pons plus medulla oblongata only at a power density of 10 mW.cm⁻². The DA turnover rates, the DOPAC:DA ratio, in the striatum and cerebral

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

cortex were significantly increased only at a power density of 10 mW.cm⁻². The serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT) content in all regions of the brain of microwave exposed rats was not different from that of the control rats. The 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) content in the cerebral cortex of microwave exposed rats was significantly increased at power densities of 5 and 10 mW.cm⁻².

Naziroğlu M, Gümrall N. Modulator effects of L-carnitine and selenium on wireless devices (2.45 GHz)-induced oxidative stress and electroencephalography records in brain of rat. Int J Radiat Biol. 85(8):680-689, 2009.

PURPOSE: Electromagnetic radiation (EMR) from wireless devices may affect biological systems by increasing free radicals. The present study was designed to determine the effects of **2.45 GHz EMR** on the brain antioxidant redox system and electroencephalography (EEG) records in rat. The possible protective effects of selenium and L-carnitine were also tested and compared to untreated controls. **MATERIALS AND METHODS:** Thirty rats were equally divided into five different groups, namely Group A(1): Cage control, Group A(2): Sham control, group B: 2.45 GHz EMR, group C: 2.45 GHz EMR + selenium, group D: 2.45 GHz EMR + L-carnitine. Groups B, C and D were exposed to 2.45 GHz EMR during 60 min/day for 28 days. End of the experiments, EEG records and the brain cortex samples were taken. **RESULTS:** The cortex brain vitamin A (p < 0.05), vitamin C (p < 0.01) and vitamin E (p < 0.05) concentrations values were lower in group B than in group A1 and A2 although their concentrations were increased by selenium and L-carnitine supplementation. Lipid peroxidation, levels were lower in group C (p < 0.05) and D (p < 0.01) than in group B where as reduced glutathione levels were higher in group C (p < 0.05) than in group A1, A2 and B. However, B-carotene levels did not change in the five groups. **CONCLUSIONS:** L-carnitine and selenium seem to have protective effects on the 2.45 GHz-induced decrease of the vitamins by supporting antioxidant redox system. L-carnitine on the vitamin concentrations seems to more protective affect than in selenium.

De Roos AJ, Teschke K, Savitz DA, Poole C, Grufferman S, Pollock BH, Olshan AF. Parental occupational exposures to electromagnetic fields and radiation and the incidence of neuroblastoma in offspring. Epidemiology 12(5):508-517, 2001.

We examined parental occupational exposures to electromagnetic fields and radiation and the incidence of neuroblastoma in offspring. Cases were 538 children diagnosed with neuroblastoma between 1992 and 1994 in the United States or Canada. Age-matched controls were selected by random-digit dialing. Occupational exposures to electrical equipment and radiation sources were classified by an industrial hygienist, and average exposures to extremely low frequency magnetic fields were estimated using a job exposure matrix. Maternal exposure to a broad grouping of sources that produce radiofrequency radiation was associated with an increased incidence of neuroblastoma (odds ratio = 2.8; 95% confidence interval = 0.9-8.7). Paternal exposure to battery-powered forklifts was positively associated with neuroblastoma (odds ratio = 1.6; 95% confidence interval = 0.8-3.2), as were some types of equipment that emit radiofrequency radiation (odds ratios congruent with 2.0); however, the broad groupings

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

of sources that produce ELF fields, radiofrequency radiation, or ionizing radiation were not associated with neuroblastoma. Paternal average extremely low frequency magnetic field exposure >0.4 microTesla was weakly associated with neuroblastoma (odds ratio = 1.6; 95% confidence interval = 0.9-2.8), whereas maternal exposure was not. Overall, there was scant supportive evidence of strong associations between parental exposures in electromagnetic spectrum and neuroblastoma in offspring.

Effects on Eyes

Liu X, Shen H, Shi Y, Chen J, Chen Y, Ji A. The microarray study on the stress gene transcription profile in human retina pigment epithelial cells exposed to microwave radiation. Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi 36(5):291-294, 2002.

OBJECTIVE: To study the difference in stress and apoptosis related genes transcription between hTERT-RPE1 cells exposed to simulated microwave radiation and the cells with heat water bath, and the effects of microwave on gene transcription in cultured human retina pigment epithelial cells. **METHODS:** cDNA microarray technique was used to detect the mRNA isolated from hTERT-RPE1 cells exposed to **2 450 MHz** simulated microwave radiation and with heat water bath, respectively. **RESULTS:** Among the 97 related aim genes, there were seven genes up-regulating its transcription, i.e., M31166 (2.52fold), L24123 (2.66fold), AF039704 (2.22fold), U67156 (2.07fold), AF040958 (2.13fold), NM-001423 (2.63fold) and NM-005346 (3.68fold). But, no notably down-regulating gene in transcription was detected. **CONCLUSIONS:** Microwave could induce up-regulating in multiple stress and apoptosis related genes transcription in cultured human retina pigment epithelial cells, hTERT-RPE1 cells. Microwave radiation has unique effect itself in addition to its heat effect.

Akar A, Karayığit MO, Bolat D, Gültiken ME, Yarım M, Castellani G. Effects of low level electromagnetic field exposure at 2.45 GHz on rat cornea. Int J Radiat Biol. 2012 Dec 3. [Epub ahead of print]

Abstract Purpose: To investigate the effects of low level Electromagnetic Field (low level-EMF) exposure, as frequently encountered in daily life, on the yesmal rat cornea using histological and stereological method. **Methods:** Twenty-two adult male Wistar rats were randomly divided into two groups: study group (n=11) and control group (n=11). Rats in the study group were exposed to **2.45 GHz Microwave (MW) radiation** (11.96 ± 0.89 V/m), 0.25 W/kg specific absorption rate (SAR) for 2 hours each day for 21 days. The corneal thickness and the anterior epithelium corneal thickness were measured using two different methods. **Results:** Using the histological method, the mean corneal thicknesses in the control and study group were 278.9 ± 54.5 μ m, and 272.4 ± 85.6 μ m, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between the groups ($p > 0.05$). The anterior corneal epithelium thickness was 28.1 ± 4.9 μ m in the control group and 31.7 ± 5.5 μ m in the study group. There were statistically differences between the groups with regard to the thickness of anterior epithelium ($p < 0.05$). In the measurement made by the stereological method, the percentage of the cornea occupied by anterior corneal

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

epithelium was 15.94% in the control group and 17.9% in the study group. Despite the fact that there was a relation between increased anterior epithelial area (AEA) and radiation exposure, no statistically significant relationship in area fraction of each compartment was found between the control and study groups. Conclusions: Results of this preliminary study show that exposure to MW radiation might cause alterations in the rat cornea.

Tök L, Nazıroğlu M, Doğan S, Kahya MC, Tök O. Effects of melatonin on Wi-Fi-induced oxidative stress in lens of rats. Indian J Ophthalmol. 62(1):12-15, 2014. doi: 10.4103/0301-4738.126166.

Introduction: Melatonin has been considered a potent antioxidant that detoxifies a variety of reactive oxygen species in many pathophysiological states of eye. The present study was designed to determine the effects of Wi-Fi exposure on the lens oxidant, antioxidant redox systems, as well as the possible protective effects of melatonin on the lens injury induced by electromagnetic radiation (EMR). Materials and Methods: Thirty-two rats were used in the current study and they were randomly divided into four equal groups as follows: First and second groups were cage-control and sham-control rats. Rats in third group were exposed to **Wi-Fi (2.45 GHz)** for duration of 60 min/day for 30 days. As in the third group, the fourth group was treated with melatonin. The one-hour exposure to irradiation in second, third and fourth took place at noon each day. Results: Lipid peroxidation levels in the lens were slightly higher in third (Wi-Fi) group than in cage and sham control groups although their concentrations were significantly ($P < 0.05$) decreased by melatonin supplementation. Glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) activity was significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower in Wi-Fi group than in cage and sham control groups although GSH-Px ($P < 0.01$) and reduced glutathione ($P < 0.05$) values were significantly higher in Wi-Fi + melatonin group than in Wi-Fi group. Conclusions: There are poor oxidative toxic effects of one hour of Wi-Fi exposure on the lens in the animals. However, melatonin supplementation in the lens seems to have protective effects on the oxidant system by modulation of GSH-Px activity.

Pologea-Moraru R, Kovacs E, Iliescu KR, Calota V, Sajin G. The effects of low level microwaves on the fluidity of photoreceptor cell membrane. Bioelectrochemistry 56(1-2):223-225, 2002.

Due to the extensive use of electromagnetic fields in everyday life, more information is required for the detection of mechanisms of interaction and the possible side effects of electromagnetic radiation on the structure and function of the organism. In this paper, we study the effects of low-power microwaves (2.45 GHz) on the membrane fluidity of rod photoreceptor cells. The retina is expected to be very sensitive to microwave irradiation due to the polar character of the photoreceptor cells [Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1273 (1995) 217] as well as to its high water content [Stud. Biophys. 81 (1981) 39].

Yang R, Chen J, Deng Z, Liu X, [Effect of vitamin E on morphological variation of retinal ganglion cells after microwave radiation]. Wei Sheng Yan Jiu 30(1):31-33, 2001. [Article in Chinese]

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

To determine the morphological variation in the primary cultured pig retinal ganglion cells induced by microwave and the protection of VE can supply some experiment foundation for study of effect of microwave and its protection. Retinal ganglion cells of pig were cultured in vitro and added VE of different concentration, Each group was taken after 30 mW/cm² microwave intensity radiated for 1 h in shielded room by **2450 MHz** continuous wave physiotherapy machine. Immediately after radiation, the morphological variation of cells was observed by optics microscope and transmission electronic microscope. The result showed that a portion of cells congregated, with their axon disappeared after radiation. Mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum are detected swelling by transmission electronic microscope. The results showed that A poposis cells can be observed. Cells of VE added groups had not obvious changes with optics microscope, but could be found that mitochondria swelling lightly and integrate mitochondria cristae by transmission electronic microscope. The results showed that microwave induced the morphological damage in primary cultured retinal ganglion cells, VE could reduced the damage of retina ganglion cells by microwave in some extent.

Behrens T, Lynge E, Cree I, Sabroe S, Lutz JM, Afonso N, Eriksson M, Guénel P, Merletti F, Morales-Suarez-Varela M, Stengrevics A, Févotte J, Llopis-González A, Gorini G, Sharkova G, Hardell L, Ahrens W. Occupational exposure to electromagnetic fields and sex-differential risk of uveal melanoma. *Occup Environ Med.*67(11):751-759, 2010

Objectives The association between occupational exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF) and the risk of uveal melanoma was investigated in a case-control study in nine European countries. **Methods** Incident cases of uveal melanoma and population as well as hospital controls were included and frequency matched by country, 5-year birth cohort and sex. Subjects were asked whether they had worked close to high-voltage electrical transmission installations, computer screens and various electrical machines, or in complex electrical environments. Measurements of two Scandinavian job-exposure matrices were applied to estimate lifelong cumulative EMF exposure. Unconditional logistic regression analyses, stratified by sex and eye colour were calculated, adjusting for several potential confounders. **Results** 293 patients with uveal melanoma and 3198 control subjects were interviewed. Women exposed to electrical transmission installations showed elevated risks (OR 5.81, 95% CI 1.72 to 19.66). Positive associations with exposure to control rooms were seen among men and women, but most risk increases were restricted to subjects with dark iris colour. Application of published EMF measurements revealed stronger risk increases among women compared to men. Again, elevated risks were restricted to subjects with dark eye colour. **Conclusion** Although based on a low prevalence of exposure to potential occupational sources of EMF, our data indicate that exposed dark-eyed women may be at particular risk for uveal melanoma.

Yao K, Wang KJ, Sun ZH, Tan J, Xu W, Zhu LJ, Lu de Q. Low power microwave radiation inhibits the proliferation of rabbit lens epithelial cells by upregulating P27Kip1 expression. *Mol Vis.* 10:138-143, 2004.

PURPOSE: The goal of this study was to examine the effects of low power microwave

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

radiation (<10 mW/cm²) on the proliferation of cultured rabbit lens epithelial cells (RLEC). **METHODS:** Cultured RLEC were exposed to continuous microwave radiation at a frequency of **2,450 MHz** and power densities of 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 1.00, and 2.00 mW/cm² for 8 h. Cell morphologic changes were observed under a phase-contrast microscope. Cell viability was measured using the MTT assay and cell cycle analysis was measured using flow cytometry. After exposure to 2.00 mW/cm² microwave radiation for 4, 6, and 8 h, the expression of cell cycle-regulatory proteins, P21WAF1 and P27Kip1, was examined using western blot analysis. Finally, the levels of P21WAF1 and P27Kip1 mRNA were analyzed by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). **RESULTS:** After 8 h of radiation treatment, cells treated with 0.50, 1.00, and 2.00 mW/cm² microwave radiation exhibited decreased cell viability, increased cell condensation and an inhibition of DNA synthesis. RLEC showed significant G0/G1 arrest. No obvious changes could be detected in the 0.10 and 0.25 mW/cm² microwave treatment groups. Protein expression of P27Kip1 was markedly increased after microwave radiation. However, the mRNA levels were unchanged. On the other hand, there were no detectable differences in P21WAF1 protein expression and mRNA levels between microwave treatment and control groups. **CONCLUSIONS:** This study suggests that low power microwave radiation higher than 0.50 mW/cm² can inhibit lens epithelial cell proliferation, and increase the expression of P27Kip1. These effects may account for the decline of lens epithelial proliferation after exposure to microwave radiation.

Kues HA, Monahan JC, D'Anna SA, McLeod DS, Luty GA, Koslov S, Increased sensitivity of the non-human primate eye to microwave radiation following ophthalmic drug pretreatment. *Bioelectromagnetics* 13(5):379-393, 1992.

Previous studies in our laboratory have established that pulsed microwaves at 2.45 GHz and 10 mW/cm² are associated with production of corneal endothelial lesions and with disruption of the blood-aqueous barrier in the non-human primate eye. In the study reported here we examined ocular damage in monkeys (*M. mulatta* and *M. fascicularis*) following topical treatment with one of two ophthalmic drugs (timolol maleate and pilocarpine) that preceded exposure to pulsed microwaves. Anesthetized monkeys were sham exposed or exposed to **pulsed, 2.45 GHz microwaves** (10 microseconds, 100 pps) at average power densities of 0.2, 1, 5, 10, or 15 mW/cm² 4 h a day for 3 consecutive days (respective SARs were 0.052, 0.26, 1.3, 2.6, and 3.9 W/kg). Immediately before microwave exposure, one or both eyes were treated topically with one drop of 0.5% timolol maleate or of 2% pilocarpine. Following administration of a drug, we observed a significant reduction in the power-density threshold (from 10 to 1 mW/cm²) for induction of corneal endothelial lesions and for increased vascular permeability of the iris. Diagnostic procedures (in vivo specular microscopy and fluorescein iris angiography) were performed following each exposure protocol. In addition, increased vascular permeability was confirmed with horseradish peroxidase tracer techniques. Although we did not measure intraocular temperatures in experimental animals, the results suggest that a mechanism other than significant heating of the eye is involved. Our data indicate that pulsed microwaves at an average SAR of 0.26 W/kg, if administered after pretreatment with ophthalmic drugs, can produce significant ocular effects in the

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

anesthetized primate.

Kojima M, Hata I, Wake K, Watanabe S, Yamanaka Y, Kamimura Y, Taki M, Sasaki K. Influence of anesthesia on ocular effects and temperature in rabbit eyes exposed to microwaves. Bioelectromagnetics 25(3):228-233, 2004.

To investigate the effect of systemic anesthesia on ocular effects and temperature in rabbit eyes exposed to microwaves, one eye each of 43 male pigmented rabbits (Dutch, 1.8-2.2 kg) was **exposed at 2.45 GHz** for 60-20 min (300 mW/cm²; 108 W/kg), either under anesthesia (ketamine hydrochloride (5 mg/kg) + xylazine (0.23 mg/kg)) or without anesthesia. Changes in the anterior segment were evaluated by image analysis utilizing a Scheimpflug camera, specular microscopy, and a laser flare cell meter. Temperatures within the eye were measured during microwave exposure by a Fluoroptic thermometer. The exposed eyes showed miosis, conjunctival congestion, corneal edema, and an increase in the light scattering of the anterior shallow cortex in the pupillary area of the lens. The group under systemic anesthesia showed much stronger symptoms than those treated without anesthesia. All of the anterior ocular changes disappeared within a week. The highest temperature during exposure was in the vitreous, followed by the anterior chamber, and the retrobulbar cavity of the orbit. The ocular temperatures of the rabbits under systemic anesthesia were 2-9 degrees C higher than those without anesthesia. Body temperature showed an increase of 1 degrees C during the exposure. Acute high intensity microwave exposure temporarily induced anterior segments inflammation and lens changes. The more pronounced ocular effects in the anesthetized rabbits were associated with the significantly higher ocular temperatures in the anesthetized animals. The influence of systemic anesthesia on ocular changes should be considered.

Yu Y, Yao K. Non-thermal cellular effects of lowpower microwave radiation on the lens and lens epithelial cells. J Int Med Res. 38(3):729-736, 2010.

Because of the increased use of modern radiofrequency devices, public concern about the possible health effects of exposure to microwave radiation has arisen in many countries. It is well established that high-power microwave radiation can induce cataracts via its thermal effects. It remains unclear whether low-power microwave radiation, especially at levels below the current exposure limits, is cataractogenic. This review summarizes studies on the biological effects of low-power microwave radiation on lens and lens epithelial cells (LECs). It has been reported that exposure affects lens transparency, alters cell proliferation and apoptosis, inhibits gap junctional intercellular communication, and induces genetic instability and stress responses in LECs. These results raise the question of whether the ambient microwave environment can induce non-thermal effects in the lens and whether such effects have potential health consequences. Further in vivo studies on the effects on the lens of exposure to low-power microwave radiation are needed.

Balci M, Namuslu M, Devrim E, Durak I. Effects of computer monitor-emitted radiation on oxidant/antioxidant balance in cornea and lens from rats. Mol Vis. 15:2521-2525, 2009.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

PURPOSE: This study aims to investigate the possible effects of computer monitor-emitted radiation on the oxidant/antioxidant balance in corneal and lens tissues and to observe any protective effects of vitamin C (vit C). **METHODS:** Four groups (PC monitor, PC monitor plus vitamin C, vitamin C, and control) each consisting of ten Wistar rats were studied. The study lasted for three weeks. Vitamin C was administered in oral doses of 250 mg/kg/day. The computer and computer plus vitamin C groups were exposed to computer monitors while the other groups were not. Malondialdehyde (MDA) levels and superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), and catalase (CAT) activities were measured in corneal and lens tissues of the rats. **RESULTS:** In corneal tissue, MDA levels and CAT activity were found to increase in the computer group compared with the control group. In the computer plus vitamin C group, MDA level, SOD, and GSH-Px activities were higher and CAT activity lower than those in the computer and control groups. Regarding lens tissue, in the computer group, MDA levels and GSH-Px activity were found to increase, as compared to the control and computer plus vitamin C groups, and SOD activity was higher than that of the control group. In the computer plus vitamin C group, SOD activity was found to be higher and CAT activity to be lower than those in the control group. **CONCLUSION:** The results of this study suggest that computer-monitor radiation leads to oxidative stress in the corneal and lens tissues, and that vitamin C may prevent oxidative effects in the lens.

Lu L, Xu H, Wang X, Guo G. Increased nitric oxide synthase activity is essential for electromagnetic-pulse-induced blood-retinal barrier breakdown in vivo. **Brain Res.** 1264:104-10, 2009.

PURPOSE: To examine whether electromagnetic pulses (EMPs) affected the permeability of the blood-retinal barrier (BRB), gene expression of occludin and activity of nitric oxide synthase (NOS). **METHODS:** Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were used and randomized into EMP and control groups. Retinas were removed immediately, and 2 h or 24 h after EMP radiation. BRB permeability was analyzed by transmission electron microscopy and Evans Blue staining. Retinal NOS activity and concentrations of nitrite and nitrate were measured. Occludin mRNA and protein levels were detected by RT-PCR and Western blotting. **RESULTS:** Exposure of SD rats to EMP resulted in increased BRB permeability, with the greatest decrease in occludin at 24 h. Moreover, this permeability defect was also correlated with significant increases in the formation of NO and induction of NOS activity in SD rats. Furthermore, we found that treatment with NOS inhibitor N-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME) blocked BRB breakdown and prevented the increase in NO formation and induction of NOS activity, as well as the decrease in occluding expression. **CONCLUSION:** Taken together, these results support the view that NOS-dependent NO production is an important factor that contributes to EMP-induced BRB dysfunction, and suggests that NOS induction may play an important role in BRB breakdown.

Wang KJ, Yao K, Lu DQ. [Effects of different dose microwave radiation on protein components of cultured rabbit lens] **Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi.** 25(4):208-210, 2007. [Article in Chinese]

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

OBJECTIVE: To study the effects of different dose microwave radiation on protein components of cultured rabbit lens, and analyze the mechanisms of lens injury caused by microwave radiation. **METHODS:** Cultured rabbit lens were exposed to microwave radiation with frequency of **2450 MHz** and power density of 0.25, 0.50, 1.00, 2.00, 5.00 mW/cm(2) for 8 hours in vitro. The transparency of lens was observed. Changes of protein concentration were detected after different lens protein components were extracted, including water-soluble protein (WSP), urea soluble protein (USP), alkali soluble protein (ASP) and sonicated protein (SP). The influence of microwave radiation on WSP was analyzed using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and coomassie-blue staining. **RESULTS:** Transparency of lens decreased after radiation. There was obvious opacification of lens cortex after 5.00 mW/cm(2) microwave radiation for 8 hours. After 1.00, 2.00 and 5.00 mW/cm(2) radiation, the percentage of WSP decreased while USP increased obviously. There was no change of ASP. The percentage of SP decreased when the power of microwave was 5.00 mW/cm(2). The low molecular weight protein of WSP decreased while high molecular weight protein increased after microwave radiation. **CONCLUSION:** Microwave radiation higher than 1.00 mW/cm(2) can affect the proportion of WSP and USP in cultured rabbit lens, and cause changes of lens transparency and refractive power, which leads to lens opacity.

Effect on Fertility and Reproduction

Atasoy HI, Gunal MY, Atasoy P, Elgun S, Bugdayci G. Immunohistopathologic demonstration of deleterious effects on growing rat testes of radiofrequency waves emitted from conventional Wi-Fi devices. J Pediatr Urol. 9(2):223-229, 2013.

OBJECTIVE: To investigate effects on rat testes of radiofrequency radiation emitted from indoor Wi-Fi Internet access devices using 802.11.g wireless standards. **METHODS:** Ten Wistar albino male rats were divided into experimental and control groups, with five rats per group. Standard wireless gateways communicating at 2.437 GHz were used as radiofrequency wave sources. The experimental group was exposed to radiofrequency energy for 24 h a day for 20 weeks. The rats were sacrificed at the end of the study. Intracardiac blood was sampled for serum 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine levels. Testes were removed and examined histologically and immunohistochemically. Testis tissues were analyzed for malondialdehyde levels and prooxidant-antioxidant enzyme activities. **RESULTS:** We observed significant increases in serum 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine levels and 8-hydroxyguanosine staining in the testes of the experimental group indicating DNA damage due to exposure ($p < 0.05$). We also found decreased levels of catalase and glutathione peroxidase activity in the experimental group, which may have been due to radiofrequency effects on enzyme activity ($p < 0.05$). **CONCLUSIONS:** These findings raise questions about the safety of radiofrequency exposure from Wi-Fi Internet access devices for growing organisms of reproductive age, with a potential effect on both fertility and the integrity of germ cells.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Dasdag S, Taş M, Akdag MZ, Yegin K. Effect of long-term exposure of 2.4 GHz radiofrequency radiation emitted from Wi-Fi equipment on testes functions. *Electromagn Biol Med*. 2014 Jan 24. [Epub ahead of print]

The aim of this study was to investigate long-term effects of radiofrequency radiation (RFR) emitted from a Wireless Fidelity (Wi-Fi) system on testes. The study was carried out on 16 Wistar Albino adult male rats by dividing them into two groups such as sham (n: 8) and exposure (n: 8). Rats in the exposure group were exposed to 2.4 GHz RFR radiation for 24 h/d during 12 months (1 year). The same procedure was applied to the rats in the sham control group except the Wi-Fi system was turned off. Immediately after the last exposure, rats were sacrificed and reproductive organs were removed. Motility (%), concentration ($\times 10^6/\text{mL}$), tail defects (%), head defects (%) and total morphologic defects (%) of sperms and weight of testes (g), left epididymis (g), prostate (g), seminal vesicles (g) were determined. Seminiferous tubules diameter (μm) and tunica albuginea thickness (μm) were also measured. However, the results were evaluated by using Johnsen's score. Head defects increased in the exposure group ($p < 0.05$) while weight of the epididymis and seminal vesicles, seminiferous tubules diameter and tunica albuginea thickness were decreased in the exposure group ($p < 0.01$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.0001$). However, other alterations of other parameters were not found significant ($p > 0.05$). In conclusion, we observed that long-term exposure of 2.4 GHz RF emitted from Wi-Fi (2420 $\mu\text{W/kg}$, 1 g average) affects some of the reproductive parameters of male rats. We suggest Wi-Fi users to avoid long-term exposure of RF emissions from Wi-Fi equipment.

Avendaño C, Mata A, Sanchez Sarmiento CA, Doncel GF. Use of laptop computers connected to internet through Wi-Fi decreases human sperm motility and increases sperm DNA fragmentation. *Fertil Steril*. 97(1):39-45, 2012.

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the effects of laptop computers connected to local area networks wirelessly (Wi-Fi) on human spermatozoa. **DESIGN:** Prospective in vitro study. **SETTING:** Center for reproductive medicine. **PATIENT(S):** Semen samples from 29 healthy donors. **INTERVENTION(S):** Motile sperm were selected by swim up. Each sperm suspension was divided into two aliquots. One sperm aliquot (experimental) from each patient was exposed to an internet-connected laptop by Wi-Fi for 4 hours, whereas the second aliquot (unexposed) was used as control, incubated under identical conditions without being exposed to the laptop. **MAIN OUTCOME MEASURE(S):** Evaluation of sperm motility, viability, and DNA fragmentation. **RESULT(S):** Donor sperm samples, mostly normozoospermic, exposed ex vivo during 4 hours to a wireless internet-connected laptop showed a significant decrease in progressive sperm motility and an increase in sperm DNA fragmentation. Levels of dead sperm showed no significant differences between the two groups. **CONCLUSION(S):** To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the direct impact of laptop use on human spermatozoa. Ex vivo exposure of human spermatozoa to a wireless internet-connected laptop decreased motility and induced DNA fragmentation by a nonthermal effect. We speculate that keeping a laptop connected wirelessly to the internet on the lap near the testes may result in decreased male fertility. Further in vitro and in vivo studies are needed to prove this contention.

Studies that show WiFi and Devices Health Effects

Nazıroğlu M, Yüksel M, Köse SA, Özkaya MO. Recent reports of Wi-Fi and mobile phone-induced radiation on oxidative stress and reproductive signaling pathways in females and males. J Membr Biol. 246(12):869-875, 2013.

Environmental exposure to electromagnetic radiation (EMR) has been increasing with the increasing demand for communication devices. The aim of the study was to discuss the mechanisms and risk factors of EMR changes on reproductive functions and membrane oxidative biology in females and males. It was reported that even chronic exposure to EMR did not increase the risk of reproductive functions such as increased levels of neoantigens abort. However, the results of some studies indicate that EMR induced endometriosis and inflammation and decreased the number of follicles in the ovary or uterus of rats. In studies with male rats, exposure caused degeneration in the seminiferous tubules, reduction in the number of Leydig cells and testosterone production as well as increases in luteinizing hormone levels and apoptotic cells. In some cases of male and female infertility, increased levels of oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation and decreased values of antioxidants such as melatonin, vitamin E and glutathione peroxidase were reported in animals exposed to EMR. In conclusion, the results of current studies indicate that oxidative stress from exposure to Wi-Fi and mobile phone-induced EMR is a significant mechanism affecting female and male reproductive systems. However, there is no evidence to this date to support an increased risk of female and male infertility related to EMR exposure.

Saygin M, Caliskan S, Karahan N, Koyu A, Gumral N, Uguz A. Testicular apoptosis and histopathological changes induced by a 2.45 GHz electromagnetic field. Toxicol Ind Health. 27(5):455-463, 2011.

There is a growing public concern about the potential human health hazard caused by exposure to electromagnetic radiation (EMR). The objective of this study is to investigate the effects of **2450 mhz** electromagnetic field on apoptosis and histopathological changes on rat testis tissue. Twelve-week-old male Wistar Albino rats were used in this study. Eighteen rats equally divided into three different groups which were named group I, II and III. Cage control (group I), sham control (group II) and 2.45 GHz EMR (group III) groups were formed. Group III were exposed to 2.45 GHz EMR, at 3.21 W/kg specific absorption rate for 60 minutes/ day for 28 days. There was no difference among the groups for the diameter of the seminiferous tubules, pyknotic, karyoleptic and karyotic cells. However, the number of Leydig cells of testis tissue of the rats in group III was significantly reduced comparing with the group I ($p < 0.05$). Estimation of spermatogenesis using the Johnsen testicular biopsy score revealed that the difference between groups is statistically significant. The level of TNF- α , Caspase-3 and Bcl-2 were compared, and no significant difference was found between the groups. When Bax apoptosis genes and Caspase-8 apoptosis enzyme were compared, there were significant differences between the groups ($p < 0.05$). Electromagnetic field affects spermatogenesis and causes to apoptosis due to the heat and other stress-related events in testis tissue.

Wang H, Peng R, Zhou H, Wang S, Gao Y, Wang L, Yong Z, Zuo H, Zhao L, Dong J, Xu X, Su Z. Impairment of long-term potentiation induction is essential for the disruption of

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

spatial memory after microwave exposure. Int J Radiat Biol. 2013 Jul 24. [Epub ahead of print]

Purpose: To assess the impact of microwave exposure on learning and memory and to explore the underlying mechanisms. Materials and methods: 100 Wistar rats were exposed to a 2.856 GHz pulsed microwave field at average power densities of 0 mW/cm², 5 mW/cm², 10 mW/cm² and 50 mW/cm² for 6 min. The spatial memory was assessed by the Morris Water Maze (MWM) task. An in vivo study was conducted soon after microwave exposure to evaluate the changes of population spike (PS) amplitudes of long-term potentiation (LTP) in the medial perforant path (MPP)-dentate gyrus (DG) pathway. The structure of the hippocampus was observed by the light microscopy and the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) at 7 d after microwave exposure. Results: Our results showed that the rats exposed in 10 mW/cm² and 50 mW/cm² microwave displayed significant deficits in spatial learning and memory at 6 h, 1 d and 3 d after exposure. Decreased PS amplitudes were also found after 10 mW/cm² and 50 mW/cm² microwave exposure. In addition, varying degrees of degeneration of hippocampal neurons, decreased synaptic vesicles and blurred synaptic clefts were observed in the rats exposed in 10 mW/cm² and 50 mW/cm² microwave. Compared with the sham group, the rats exposed in 5 mW/cm² microwave showed no difference in the above experiments. Conclusions: This study suggested that impairment of LTP induction and the damages of hippocampal structure, especially changes of synapses, might contribute to cognitive impairment after microwave exposure.

Oksay T, Naziroğlu M, Doğan S, Güzel A, Gümrall N, Koşar PA. Protective effects of melatonin against oxidative injury in rat testis induced by wireless (2.45 GHz) devices. Andrologia. 2012 Nov 12. doi: 10.1111/and.12044. [Epub ahead of print]

Wireless devices have become part of everyday life and mostly located near reproductive organs while they are in use. The present study was designed to determine the possible protective effects of melatonin on oxidative stress-dependent testis injury induced by **2.45-GHz electromagnetic radiation (EMR)**. Thirty-two rats were equally divided into four different groups, namely cage control (A1), sham control (A2), 2.45-GHz EMR (B) and 2.45-GHz EMR+melatonin (C). Group B and C were exposed to 2.45-GHz EMR during 60 min day⁻¹ for 30 days. Lipid peroxidation levels were higher in Group B than in Group A1 and A2. Melatonin treatment prevented the increase in the lipid peroxidation induced by EMR. Also reduced glutathione (GSH) and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) levels in Group D were higher than that of exposure group. Vitamin A and E concentrations decreased in exposure group, and melatonin prevented the decrease in vitamin E levels. In conclusion, wireless (2.45 GHz) EMR caused oxidative damage in testis by increasing the levels of lipid peroxidation and decreasing in vitamin A and E levels. Melatonin supplementation prevented oxidative damage induced by EMR and also supported the antioxidant redox system in the testis.

Mortazavi S, Parsanezhad M, Kazempour M, Ghahramani P, Mortazavi A, Davari M. Male reproductive health under threat: Short term exposure to radiofrequency radiations emitted by common mobile jammers. J Hum Reprod Sci. 2013 Apr;6(2):124-

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

8. doi: 10.4103/0974-1208.117178.

BACKGROUND: Modern life prompted man to increasingly generate, transmit and use electricity that leads to exposure to different levels of electromagnetic fields (EMFs). Substantial evidence indicates that exposure to common sources of EMF such as mobile phones, laptops or wireless internet-connected laptops decreases human semen quality. In some countries, mobile jammers are occasionally used in offices, shrines, conference rooms and cinemas to block the signal. **AIMS:** To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the effect of short term exposure of human sperm samples to radiofrequency (RF) radiations emitted by common mobile jammers. **SUBJECTS AND METHODS:** Fresh semen samples were collected by masturbation from 30 healthy donors who had referred to Infertility Treatment Center at the Mother and Child Hospital with their wives. Female problem was diagnosed as the reason for infertility in these couples. **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:** T-test and analysis of variance were used to show statistical significance. **RESULTS:** The motility of sperm samples exposed to jammer RF radiation for 2 or 4 h were significantly lower than those of sham-exposed samples. These findings lead us to the conclusion that mobile jammers may significantly decrease sperm motility and the couples' chances of conception. **CONCLUSION:** Based on these results, it can be suggested that in countries that have not banned mobile jammer use, legislations should be urgently passed to restrict the use of these signal blocking devices in public or private places.

Meena R, Kumari K, Kumar J, Rajamani P, Verma HN, Kesari KK. Therapeutic approaches of melatonin in microwave radiations-induced oxidative stress-mediated toxicity on male fertility pattern of Wistar rats. Electromagn Biol Med. 2013 May 15. [Epub ahead of print]

Abstract Microwave (MW) radiation produced by wireless telecommunications and a number of electrical devices used in household or in healthcare institutions may adversely affects the reproductive pattern. Present study aimed to investigate the protective effects of melatonin (is well known antioxidant that protects DNA, lipids and proteins from free radical damage) against oxidative stress-mediated testicular impairment due to long-term exposure of MWs. For this, 70-day-old male Wistar rats were divided into four groups (n = 6/group): Sham exposed, Melatonin (Mel) treated (2 mg/kg), **2.45 GHz MWs** exposed and MWs + Mel treated. Exposure took place in Plexiglas cages for 2 h a day for 45 days where, power density (0.21 mW/cm²) and specific absorption rate (SAR 0.14 W/Kg) were estimated. After the completion of exposure period, rats were sacrificed and various stress related parameters, that is LDH-X (lactate dehydrogenase isoenzyme) activity, xanthine oxidase (XO), ROS (reactive oxygen species), protein carbonyl content, DNA damage and MDA (malondialdehyde) were performed. Result shows that melatonin prevent oxidative damage biochemically by significant increase (p < 0.001) in the levels of testicular LDH-X, decreased (p < 0.001) levels of MDA and ROS in testis (p < 0.01). Meanwhile, it reversed the effects of MWs on XO, protein carbonyl content, sperm count, testosterone level and DNA fragmentation in testicular cells. These results concluded that the melatonin has strong antioxidative potential against MW induced oxidative stress mediated DNA damage in testicular cells.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects**Effects on Pregnancy**

Col-Araz N. Evaluation of factors affecting birth weight and preterm birth in southern Turkey. J Pak Med Assoc. 2013 Apr;63(4):459-62.

OBJECTIVE: To identify factors affecting birth weight and pre-term birth, and to find associations with electromagnetic devices such as television, computer and mobile phones. **METHODS:** The study was conducted in Turkey at Gazintep University, Faculty of Medicine's Outpatient Clinic at the Paediatric Ward. It comprised 500 patients who presented at the clinic from May to December 2009. All participants were administered a questionnaire regarding their pregnancy history. SPSS 13 was used for statistical analysis. **RESULTS:** In the study, 90 (19%) patients had pre-term birth, and 64 (12.9%) had low birth weight rate Birth weight was positively correlated with maternal age and baseline maternal weight ($r = 0.115$, $p < 0.010$; $r = 0.168$, $p < 0.000$, respectively). Pre-term birth and birth weight less than 2500g were more common in mothers with a history of disease during pregnancy ($p < 0.046$ and $p < 0.008$, respectively). The habit of watching television and using mobile phones and computer by mothers did not demonstrate any relationship with birth weight. Mothers who used mobile phones or computers during pregnancy had more deliveries before 37 weeks ($p < 0.018$, $p < 0.034$; respectively). Similarly, pregnancy duration was shorter in mothers who used either mobile phone or computers during pregnancy ($p < 0.005$, $p < 0.048$, respectively). **CONCLUSION:** Mobile phones and computers may have an effect on pre-term birth.

Cabot E, Christ A, Bühlmann B, Zefferer M, Chavannes N, Bakker JF, van Rhoon GC, Kuster N. Quantification Of RF-exposure of the Fetus Using Anatomical CAD-Models in Three Different Gestational Stages. Health Phys. 107(5):369-381, 2014.

This study analyzes the exposure of pregnant women and their fetuses in three different gestational stages to electromagnetic radiation in the radio frequency range in the near- and the far-field using numerical modeling. For far-field exposure, the power density at which the basic restriction for the whole body SAR is reached is calculated for both the mother and the fetus at whole body resonance and at frequencies between 450 MHz and **2,450 MHz**. The near-field exposure is assessed at 450 MHz, 900 MHz, and 2,450 MHz using half wavelength dipoles as generic sources located at different locations around the abdomen of the mother. For the investigated cases, the exposure of the mother is always below or on the order of magnitude of the basic restriction for exposure at the reference level. When applying the reference levels for the general public, the fetus is sufficiently shielded by the mother. However, the basic restrictions for general public exposure can be exceeded in the fetus when the mother is exposed at reference levels for occupational conditions. For plane wave exposure at occupational levels, the whole body SAR in the fetus can exceed the basic restrictions for the general population by at least 1.8 dB, and in the near-field of professional devices, the 10 g SAR can be non-compliant with the product standard for the general public by > 3.5 dB.

Bellieni CV, Pinto I, Bogi A, Zoppetti N, Andreuccetti D, Buonocore G. Exposure to electromagnetic fields from laptop use of "laptop" computers. Arch Environ Occup

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Health. 67(1):31-36, 2012.

Portable computers are often used at tight contact with the body and therefore are called "laptop." The authors measured electromagnetic fields (EMFs) laptop computers produce and estimated the induced currents in the body, to assess the safety of laptop computers. The authors evaluated 5 commonly used laptop of different brands. They measured EMF exposure produced and, using validated computerized models, the authors exploited the data of one of the laptop computers (LTCs) to estimate the magnetic flux exposure of the user and of the fetus in the womb, when the laptop is used at close contact with the woman's womb. In the LTCs analyzed, EMF values (range 1.8-6 μ T) are within International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation (NIR) Protection (ICNIRP) guidelines, but are considerably higher than the values recommended by 2 recent guidelines for computer monitors magnetic field emissions, MPR II (Swedish Board for Technical Accreditation) and TCO (Swedish Confederation of Professional Employees), and those considered risky for tumor development. When close to the body, the laptop induces currents that are within 34.2% to 49.8% ICNIRP recommendations, but not negligible, to the adult's body and to the fetus (in pregnant women). On the contrary, the power supply induces strong intracorporal electric current densities in the fetus and in the adult subject, which are respectively 182-263% and 71-483% higher than ICNIRP 98 basic restriction recommended to prevent adverse health effects. Laptop is paradoxically an improper site for the use of a LTC, which consequently should be renamed to not induce customers towards an improper use.

Çelik Ö, Kahya MC, Nazıroğlu M. Oxidative stress of brain and liver is increased by Wi-Fi (2.45GHz) exposure of rats during pregnancy and the development of newborns. J Chem Neuroanat. 2015 Oct 28. pii: S0891-0618(15)00074-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jchemneu.2015.10.005. [Epub ahead of print]

An excessive production of reactive oxygen substances (ROS) and reduced antioxidant defence systems resulting from electromagnetic radiation (EMR) exposure may lead to oxidative brain and liver damage and degradation of membranes during pregnancy and development of rat pups. We aimed to investigate the effects of **Wi-Fi-induced EMR** on the brain and liver antioxidant redox systems in the rat during pregnancy and development. Sixteen pregnant rats and their 48 newborns were equally divided into control and EMR groups. The EMR groups were exposed to 2.45GHz EMR (1hour/day for 5 days/week) from pregnancy to 3 weeks of age. Brain cortex and liver samples were taken from the newborns between the first and third weeks. In the EMR groups, lipid peroxidation levels in the brain and liver were increased following EMR exposure; however, the glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) activity, and vitamin A, vitamin E and -carotene concentrations were decreased in the brain and liver. Glutathione (GSH) and vitamin C concentrations in the brain were also lower in the EMR groups than in the controls; however, their concentrations did not change in the liver. In conclusion, Wi-Fi-induced oxidative stress in the brain and liver of developing rats was the result of reduced GSH-Px, GSH and antioxidant vitamin concentrations. Moreover, the brain seemed to be more sensitive to oxidative injury compared to the liver in the development of newborns.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Yoshida Y, Seto T, Ohsu W, Hayashi S, Okazawa T, Nagase H, Yoshida M, Nakamura H, [Endocrine mechanism of placental circulatory disturbances induced by microwave in pregnant rats]. Nippon Sanka Fujinka Gakkai Zasshi 47(2):101-108, 1995. [Article in Japanese]

Effects of microwaves on fetus and female genital organs remain to be elucidated. To demonstrate the placental circulatory disturbances induced by microwaves and to clarify the endocrine pathogenesis, placental blood flow and five endocrine indicators, i.e., corticosterone (CS), estradiol (E2), progesterone (P), prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) and prostaglandin F2 alpha (PGF2 alpha) were measured in rats exposed to whole-body microwaves with an intensity of 10 mW/cm² at a frequency of **2,450 MHz**. The placental blood flow at 45-90 min after exposure was significantly decreased in the rats exposed to the microwaves. Placental blood flow at 15 and 30 min was increased by pretreatment with intraperitoneal administration of angiotensin II (All). In contrast, no significant change in placental blood flow was recognized in the All pretreated rats exposed to the microwaves. An increase in CS and a decrease in E2 were induced by the microwave exposure independent of pretreatment with All. P was increased by microwave exposure in the rats without pretreatment with All. PGE2 was not changed by the microwave exposure in the case of either nonpretreatment or pretreatment with All. PGF2 alpha was increased by the microwave exposure in the rats without pretreatment with All. The present results indicate that excessive exposure to whole-body microwave disorders pregnancy in terms of placental circulatory dysfunction. The data suggest the involvement of endocrine mechanisms in the decrease in placental blood flow which is induced via a detrimental effect of microwaves on PGF2 alpha and on pituitary functions such as general emotional stress.

Cobb BL, Jauchem JR, Mason PA, Dooley MP, Miller SA, Zirix JM, Murphy MR, Neural and behavioral teratological evaluation of rats exposed to ultra-wideband electromagnetic fields. Bioelectromagnetics 21(7):524-537, 2000.

Several investigators have reported teratologic effects of electromagnetic field exposure. The majority of these studies have been performed at levels of exposure that could produce substantial heating of the animals. New and unique sources of ultra-wideband (UWB) electromagnetic fields are currently being developed and tested that are capable of generating nonthermalizing, high-peak-power, microwave(MW) pulses with nanosecond (ns) pulse widths, picosecond (ps) rise times, and an UWB of frequencies. Our study was performed to determine if teratological changes occur in rat pups as a result of (i) daily UWB exposures during gestation days 3-18, or (ii) as a result of both prenatal and postnatal (10 days) exposures. Dams were exposed either to (i) UWB irradiation from a Kentech system that emitted a 55 kV/m-peak E field, 300 ps rise time, and a 1.8 ns pulse width, average whole-body specific absorption rate 45 mW/kg; (ii) sham irradiation; or (iii) a positive control, lead (Pb) acetate solution (2000 mug/ml) continuously available in the drinking water. Offspring were examined for ontogeny (litter size, sex-ratios, weights, coat appearance, tooth-eruption, eye-opening, air-righting, and ultrasonic stress vocalizations). Male pups were tested on various

Studies that show WiFi and Devices Health Effects

performance measures (locomotor, water-maze learning, and fertilization capabilities). The pups postnatally exposed were examined for hippocampal morphology and operant behavior. Behavioral, functional, and morphological effects of UWB exposure were unremarkable with these exceptions: (i) The UWB-exposed pups emitted significantly more stress vocalizations than the sham-exposed pups; (ii) the medial-to-lateral length of the hippocampus was significantly longer in the UWB-exposed pups than in the sham-exposed animals; (iii) male offspring exposed in utero to UWB mated significantly less frequently than sham-exposed males, but when they did mate there was no difference in fertilization and offspring numbers from the sham group. There does not appear to be a unifying physiological or behavioral relationship among the significant differences observed, and our findings could be due to the expected spurious results derived when a large number of statistical comparisons are made. Significant effects found between our positive-controls and other groups on numerous measures indicates that the techniques used were sensitive enough to detect teratological effects.

Cancer

Richter E, Berman T, Ben-Michael E, Laster R, Westin JB, Cancer in Radar Technicians Exposed to Radiofrequency/Microwave Radiation: Sentinel Episodes. Int J Occup Environ Health 6(3):187-193, 2000.

Controversy exists concerning the health risks from exposures to radiofrequency/microwave irradiation (RF/MW). The authors report exposure-effect relationships in sentinel patients and their co-workers, who were technicians with high levels of exposure to RF/MW radiation. Information about exposures of patients with sentinel tumors was obtained from interviews, medical records, and technical sources. One patient was a member of a cohort of 25 workers with six tumors. The authors estimated relative risks for cancer in this group and latency periods for a larger group of self-reported individuals. Index patients with melanoma of the eye, testicular cancer, nasopharyngioma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and breast cancer were in the 20-37-year age group. Information about work conditions suggested prolonged exposures to high levels of RF/MW radiation that produced risks for the entire body. Clusters involved many different types of tumors. Latency periods were extremely brief in index patients and a larger self-reported group. The findings suggest that young persons exposed to high levels of RF/MW radiation for long periods in settings where preventive measures were lax were at increased risk for cancer. Very short latency periods suggest high risks from high-level exposures. Calculations derived from a linear model of dose-response suggest the need to prevent exposures in the range of 10-100 muw/cm(2).

Paulraj R, Behari J. The effect of low level continuous 2.45 GHz waves on enzymes of developing rat brain. Electromag Biol Med 21:221-231, 2002.

The present work describes the effect of low level continuous microwaves (2.45 GHz) on developing rat brain. Some 35-day-old Wistar rats were used for this study. The animals were exposed 2 hr/day for 35 days at a power density of 0.34 mW/cm² [specific

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

absorption rate (SAR), 0.1 W/kg] in a specially made anechoic chamber. After the exposure, the rats were sacrificed and the brain tissue was dissected out and used for various biochemical assays. A significant increase in calcium ion efflux and ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) activity was observed in the exposed group as compared to the control. Correspondingly, a significant decrease in the calcium-dependent protein kinase activity was observed. These results indicate that this type of radiation affects the membrane bound enzymes, which are associated with cell proliferation and differentiation, thereby pointing out its possible role as a tumor promoter.

Lourencini da Silva R , Albano F, Lopes dos Santos LR , Tavares AD, Felzenszwalb I, The effect of electromagnetic field exposure on the formation of DNA lesions. Redox Rep 5(5):299-301, 2000.

In an attempt to determine whether electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure might lead to DNA damage, we exposed SnCl₂-treated pBR322 plasmids to EMF and analysed the resulting conformational changes using agarose gel electrophoresis. An EMF-dependent potentiation of DNA scission (i.e. the appearance of relaxed plasmids) was observed. In confirmation of this, plasmids pre-exposed to EMF also were less capable of transforming *Escherichia coli*. The results indicate that EMF, in the presence of a transition metal, is capable of causing DNA damage. These observations support the idea that EMF, probably through secondary generation of reactive oxygen species, can be clastogenic and provide a possible explanation for the observed correlation between EMF exposure and the frequency of certain types of cancers in humans.

Singh N, Rudra N, Bansal P, Mathur R, Behari J, Nayar U, Poly ADP ribosylation as a possible mechanism of microwave--biointeraction. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 38(3):181-184, 1994.

Electromagnetic fields (EMFs) affect the metabolism of the body including the nervous, endocrine, cardiovascular, hematological as well as the reproductive system. EMFs are environmental pollutants, thus posing a health hazard which can cause steric changes in the molecule located at the cell surface. Microwaves are known to cause chromosomal aberrations and act as tumor promoters. The process involves a stream of signals from cell membrane to nucleus and other organelles. The present investigations aim to understand the mechanism of biological effects of **microwaves (2.45 GHz)**. The effect was studied on poly ADP-ribosylation, which is a post translational modification of chromatin protein catalysed by the enzyme poly ADPR polymerase using NAD⁺ as the substrate. Poly ADP-ribosylation has been shown to be involved in several aspects of chromatin structure and function. Twenty-three days old rats weighing 42-48 gms were exposed at a microwave dose level of 1.0 mW/cm². After exposure for sixty days the animals were sacrificed and an estimation of poly ADPR polymerase activity was undertaken in different organs of these animals. There was an increase of 20% in its activity in liver, 35% in testis, whereas brain showed a 53% decrease in diencephalon and 20% decrease in the cortex in the exposed animals as compared to their respective controls. There was no change in enzyme activity in spleen and kidney. This was accompanied by concomitant changes in NAD⁺ levels. The above results may be cited as important events in carcinogenesis and tumor promotion related to microwave exposure

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

and the signal transduction mechanism involved. The goal is to shed light on complex ecogenetic interactions leading to cancer modulation of gene expression by epigenetic mechanism.

Balcer-Kubiczek EK, Harrison GH. Neoplastic transformation of C3H/10T1/2 cells following exposure to 120-Hz modulated 2.45-GHz microwaves and phorbol ester tumor promoter. Radiat Res 126(1):65-72, 1991.

Some recent epidemiological studies have shown a positive association between cancer incidence and exposure to electromagnetic (EM) fields. Evidence from in vitro studies indicates that this effect could be due to synergistic interaction between EM fields and tumor promoters. However, no dose-response data related directly to carcinogenesis have been published. In this study, actively growing cultures of C3H/10T1/2 cells were exposed for 24 h to **2.45-GHz microwaves pulse-modulated** at 120 Hz. Conditions of EM-field exposure were designed to simulate low-field exposures (specific absorption rate 0.1, 1, or 4.4 W/kg; the corresponding peak amplitudes were electric field 18, 56, or 120 V/m, magnetic field 0.09, 0.27, or 0.56 muT, respectively). In separate experiments, a 24-h EM-field exposure at 4.4 W/kg was preceded or followed by X irradiation at 0.5, 1, or 1.5 Gy. Cells were assayed for cell survival and neoplastic transformation with or without post-treatment administration of 0.1 micrograms/ml of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) for the duration of the assay. The EM fields alone had no effect on cell survival or induction of neoplastic transformation. However, enhancement of transformation due to EM fields plus TPA was highly significant and ranged up to a level equivalent to that produced by 1.5 Gy of X rays. The frequency of neoplastic transformation was dependent on the level of EM exposure and was additive with doses of X rays given as a cocarcinogen.

Zhu W, Zhang W, Li Y, Xu J, Luo J, Jiang Y, Lu X, Lü S. [Inhibitory effect of microwave radiation on proliferation of human pancreatic cancer JF305 cells and its mechanism]. Wei Sheng Yan Jiu. 42(6):1008-1011, 2013.

[Article in Chinese]

OBJECTIVE: To investigate on the proliferation effect of different intensities **2450 MHz microwave radiation on human pancreatic cancer JF305 cells** and its possible mechanism. **METHODS:** JF305 cells were radiated by intensity of 2.5, 5.0, 10.0, 15.0 and 20.0 mW/cm² microwave for 20 min. The proliferation capacity of JF305 was measured by MTT assays, Annexin V-FITC and PI staining was used for detecting cell apoptosis. The activity of Caspase-3 was examined. The expressions of Caspase-3 and HSP 70 protein after the cell treatment with microwave were detected by Western blotting. **RESULTS:** After microwave radiation, the proliferation inhibition rates of JF305 cells were significantly higher compared with control group. Annexin V-FITC and PI staining result showed that microwave radiation could induce cell apoptosis. Caspase-3 increased after radiated by microwave, compared with control group (P < 0.05). Results of Western blotting showed that the expression of Caspase-3 and HSP 70 protein increased significantly in different dosage radiation group. **CONCLUSION:** Microwave radiation can

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

inhibit the proliferation of JF305 cells, the possible mechanism may be related with inducing cell apoptosis by changing of stress level.

Johnson EH, Chima SC, Muirhead DE, A cerebral primitive neuroectodermal tumor in a squirrel monkey (*Saimiri sciureus*). J Med Primatol 28(2):91-96, 1999.

An adult squirrel monkey with a history of long-term exposure to microwave radiation was found at necropsy to have a malignant tumor of the right cerebral cortex. Gross examination revealed a mass with expanding borders in the right frontoparietal cortex with compression of the adjacent lateral ventricle. Microscopy revealed a tumor composed of sheets of moderate-sized cells, resembling an oligodendroglioma, with clear cytoplasm and central nuclei interrupted by delicate vasculature. Malignant features were present in the form of marked nuclear pleomorphism, frequent mitotic figures, and focal necrosis. A neuronal cell origin for this tumor was supported by immunohistochemical analysis, which revealed immunopositivity for neurofilament proteins and neuron-specific enolase. Staining for vimentin and glial fibrillary acid protein was negative, except in reactive astrocytes at the tumor margins and adjacent to intra-tumoral blood vessels. Antibody activity against Ki-67 antigen, a marker of rapidly proliferating tumor cells, and p53 oncoprotein was strongly positive, indicative of the aggressive and malignant nature of this tumor. The tumor was diagnosed as a cerebral primitive neuroectodermal tumor.

Effects on Children

Sangun O, Dundar B, Darici H, Comlekci S, Doguc DK, Celik S. The effects of long-term exposure to a 2450 MHz electromagnetic field on growth and pubertal development in female Wistar rats. Electromagn Biol Med. 2014 Jan 24. [Epub ahead of print]

The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of a **2450 MHz electromagnetic field (EMF) (wireless internet frequency)** on the growth and development of female Wistar rats. The study was conducted on three groups of rats. The prenatal and postnatal groups were exposed to EMF 1 h/day beginning from intrauterine and postnatal periods, respectively. The third group was the sham-exposed group. Growth, nutrition and vaginal opening (VO) were regularly monitored. Serum and tissue specimens were collected at puberty. Histological examinations, total antioxidant status (TAS), total oxidant status (TOS) and oxidative stress index (OSI) measurements in ovary and brain tissues and also immunohistochemical staining of the hypothalamus were performed besides the determination of serum FSH, LH, E2 and IGF-1 values. Birth masses of the groups were similar ($p > 0.05$). Mass gain per day was significantly lower and the puberty was significantly later in the prenatal group. Brain and ovary TOS and OSI values in the prenatal group were significantly increased ($p < 0.05$) compared to the control group. Serum LH levels of the prenatal and postnatal groups were increased, although serum FSH, and E2 values did not differ among the groups ($p > 0.05$). Histological examinations of the specimens revealed no statistically significant difference between the groups

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

($p > 0.05$). Exposure to 2450 MHz EMF, particularly in the prenatal period, resulted in postnatal growth restriction and delayed puberty in female Wistar rats. Increased TOS and OSI values in the brain and ovary tissues can be interpreted as a sign of chronic stress induced by EMF. This is the first longitudinal study which investigates the effects of EMF induced by wireless internet on pubertal development beside growth.

Hormones

Sinha RK. Chronic non-thermal exposure of modulated 2450 MHz microwave radiation alters thyroid hormones and behavior of male rats. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 84(6):505-513, 2008.

Purpose: The purpose of this investigation was to analyze the effects of leakage microwave (**2450 MHz**) irradiation on thyroid hormones and behavior of male rats. **Materials and methods:** Experiments were carried out on two groups of male rats (exposure and control, respectively). Radio-immuno assay (RIA) methods were used for estimation of 3,5,3'-triiodothyronine (T(3)), thyroxine (T(4)) and thyrotrophin or thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH). The assessments of behavioral changes were performed in Open-Field (OF) and Elevated Plus-Maze (EPM) apparatuses. **Results:** Following chronic microwave exposure, rats were found hyperactive and aggressive on the 16th and 21st days. Behavioral changes in OF were analyzed and found to be significantly changed from controls ($p < 0.05$) for immobilization, rearing and ambulation behavior. In EPM, rats showed increased activity with decreased time spent in the open arm and more time spent in the center on the 11th ($p < 0.05$), 16th ($p < 0.05$) and 21st day ($p < 0.01$) after irradiation. Changes in behavioral parameters are also correlated with the trend of changes, compared to control animals, in hormonal blood levels of T3 (decreased on the 16th day, $p < 0.05$ and 21st day, $p < 0.01$) and T4 (increased on the 21st day, $p < 0.05$). **Conclusion:** Low energy microwave irradiation may be harmful as it is sufficient to alter the levels of thyroid hormones as well as the emotional reactivity of the irradiated compared to control animals.

Koveshnikova IV, Antipenko EN, [The participation of thyroid hormones in modifying the mutagenic effect of microwaves]. *Radiobiologiya* 31(1):147-149, 1991. [Article in Russian] The mutagenic effect of microwaves (2,450 or 2,750 MHz, 500 microW/cm², 30 days, 7 h a day) increases with both low and high thyroid hormone content in rats. This indicates that normal functioning of the thyroid gland is an important condition for the stabilization of chromosome integrity under the effect of nonionizing radiation of microwaves.

Eskander EF, Estefan SF, Abd-Rabou AA. How does long term exposure to base stations and mobile phones affect human hormone profiles? *Clin Biochem.* 45(1-2):157-161, 2012

OBJECTIVES: This study is concerned with assessing the role of exposure to radio frequency radiation (RFR) emitted either from mobiles or base stations and its relations

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

with human's hormone profiles. **DESIGN AND METHODS:** All volunteers' samples were collected for hormonal analysis. **RESULTS:** This study showed significant decrease in volunteers' ACTH, cortisol, thyroid hormones, prolactin for young females, and testosterone levels. **CONCLUSION:** The present study revealed that high RFR effects on pituitary-adrenal axis.

DNA Damage

Sarkar S, Ali S, Behari J, Effect of low power microwave on the mouse genome: a direct DNA analysis. Mutat Res 320(1-2):141-147, 1994.

The potential mutagenic effect of low power microwave at the DNA sequence level in the mouse genome was evaluated by direct DNA analysis. Animals were exposed to microwave at a power density of 1 mW/cm² for 2 h/day at a frequency of **2.45 GHz** over a period of 120, 150 and 200 days. HinfI digested DNA samples from testis and brain of control and exposed animals were hybridized with a synthetic oligo probe (OAT 36) comprising nine repeats of 5'-GACA-3'. As compared to control animals, band patterns in exposed animals were found to be distinctly altered in the range of 7-8 kb which was also substantiated by densitometric analysis. Though the mechanism of this rearrangement is not yet clear, the results obtained at the present dose are of significance. This dose, which has been set as the safe limit for general public exposure by the Non-Ionizing Radiation Committee of the International Radiation Protection Association, may imply a need for (re)evaluation of the mutagenic potential of microwaves at the prescribed safe limit for the personnel and people who are being exposed.

Lee S, Johnson D, Dunbar K, Dong H, Ge X, Kim YC, Wing C, Jayathilaka N, Emmanuel N, Zhou CQ, Gerber HL, Tseng CC, Wang SM. 2.45GHz radiofrequency fields alter gene expression in cultured human cells. FEBS Lett. 579(21):4829-4836, 2005.

The biological effect of radiofrequency (RF) fields remains controversial. We address this issue by examining whether RF fields can cause changes in gene expression. We used the pulsed RF fields at a frequency of **2.45GHz** that is commonly used in telecommunication to expose cultured human HL-60 cells. We used the serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) method to measure the RF effect on gene expression at the genome level. We observed that 221 genes altered their expression after a 2-h exposure. The number of affected genes increased to 759 after a 6-h exposure. Functional classification of the affected genes reveals that apoptosis-related genes were among the upregulated ones and the cell cycle genes among the downregulated ones. We observed no significant increase in the expression of heat shock genes. These results indicate that the RF fields at 2.45GHz can alter gene expression in cultured human cells through non-thermal mechanism.

Lai H, Singh NP, Single- and double-strand DNA breaks in rat brain cells after acute exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation. Int J Radiat Biol 69(4):513-521, 1996.

We investigated the effects of acute (2-h) exposure to pulsed (2-micros pulse width, 500

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

pulses s(-1)) and continuous wave **2450-MHz radiofrequency** electromagnetic radiation on DNA strand breaks in brain cells of rat. The spatial averaged power density of the radiation was 2mW/cm², which produced a whole-body average-specific absorption rate of 1.2W/kg. Single- and double-strand DNA breaks in individual brain cells were measured at 4h post-exposure using a microgel electrophoresis assay. An increase in both types of DNA strand breaks was observed after exposure to either the pulsed or continuous-wave radiation, No significant difference was observed between the effects of the two forms of radiation. We speculate that these effects could result from a direct effect of radiofrequency electromagnetic energy on DNA molecules and/or impairment of DNA-damage repair mechanisms in brain cells. Our data further support the results of earlier in vitro and in vivo studies showing effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation on DNA.

Lai H, Singh NP, Acute low-intensity microwave exposure increases DNA single-strand breaks in rat brain cells. Bioelectromagnetics 16(3):207-210, 1995.

Levels of DNA single-strand break were assayed in brain cells from rats acutely exposed to low-intensity **2450 MHz microwaves** using an alkaline microgel electrophoresis method. Immediately after 2 h of exposure to pulsed (2 microseconds width, 500 pulses/s) microwaves, no significant effect was observed, whereas a dose rate-dependent [0.6 and 1.2 W/kg whole body specific absorption rate (SAR)] increase in DNA single-strand breaks was found in brain cells of rats at 4 h postexposure. Furthermore, in rats exposed for 2 h to continuous-wave 2450 MHz microwaves (SAR 1.2 W/kg), increases in brain cell DNA single-strand breaks were observed immediately as well as at 4 h postexposure.

Hatice Ş. Gürler, Birşen Bilgici, Ayşegül K. Akar, Leman Tomak & Abdülkerim Bedir. Increased DNA oxidation (8-OHdG) and protein oxidation (AOPP) by low level electromagnetic field (2.45 GHz) in rat brain and protective effect of garlic. International Journal of Radiation Biology. Posted online on August 4, 2014.

Purpose: To investigate the oxidative damage and protective effect of garlic on rats exposed to low level of electromagnetic fields (EMF) at 2.45 GHz Microwave radiation (MWR). *Methods:* Thirty-six Wistar rats were divided into three groups. Group I was the control group and not exposed to EMF. Group II and III were exposed to low level EMF (3.68 ± 0.36 V/m) at **2.45 GHz MWR** for 1 hour/day for 30 consecutive days. Daily 500 mg/kg garlic was given to Group III during the study period. At the end of the study, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP) and 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) levels were investigated in brain tissue and blood samples. *Results:* Exposure to low level of EMF increased 8-OHdG level in both plasma and brain tissue whereas it increased AOPP level only in plasma. Garlic prevented the increase of 8-OHdG level in brain tissue and plasma AOPP levels. *Conclusions:* It may be concluded that low level EMF at 2.45 GHz MWR increases the DNA damage in both brain tissues and plasma of the rats whereas it increases protein oxidation only in plasma. It may also be argued that the use of garlic decreases these effects.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Maes A, Verschaeve L, Arroyo A, De Wagter C, Vercruyssen L, In vitro cytogenetic effects of 2450 MHz waves on human peripheral blood lymphocytes. Bioelectromagnetics 14(6):495-501, 1993.

Cytogenetic analyses were performed on human peripheral blood lymphocytes exposed to **2450 MHz microwaves** during 30 and 120 min at a constant temperature of 36.1 degrees C (body temperature). The temperature was kept constant by means of a temperature probe put in the blood sample which gives feedback to a microcomputer that controls the microwave supply. We found a marked increase in the frequency of chromosome aberrations (including dicentric chromosomes and acentric fragments) and micronuclei. On the other hand the microwave exposure did not influence the cell kinetics nor the sister chromatid exchange (SCE) frequency.

Lakshmi NK, Tiwari R, BhargavaSC, Ahuja YR Investigations on DNA damage and frequency of micronuclei in occupational exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) emitted from video display terminals (VDTs). Gen Mol Biol 33(1): 154-158, 2010.

The potential effect of electromagnetic fields (EMFs) emitted from video display terminals (VDTs) to elicit biological response is a major concern for the public. The software professionals are subjected to cumulative EMFs in their occupational environments. This study was undertaken to evaluate DNA damage and incidences of micronuclei in such professionals. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first attempt to carry out cytogenetic investigations on assessing bioeffects in personal computer users. The study subjects (n = 138) included software professionals using VDTs for more than 2 years with age, gender, socioeconomic status matched controls (n = 151). DNA damage and frequency of micronuclei were evaluated using alkaline comet assay and cytochalasin blocked micronucleus assay respectively. Overall DNA damage and incidence of micronuclei showed no significant differences between the exposed and control subjects. With exposure characteristics, such as total duration (years) and frequency of use (minutes/day) sub-groups were assessed for such parameters. Although cumulative frequency of use showed no significant changes in the DNA integrity of the classified sub-groups, the long-term users (> 10 years) showed higher induction of DNA damage and increased frequency of micronuclei and micro nucleated cells.

Fucic A, Garaj-Vrhovac V, Skara M, Dimitrovic B, X-rays, microwaves and vinyl chloride monomer: their clastogenic and aneugenic activity, using the micronucleus assay on human lymphocytes. Mutat Res 282(4):265-271, 1992.

Chromosome aberration assays, sister-chromatid exchange techniques and micronucleus assays are commonly used methods for biomonitoring genetic material damaged by chemical or physical agents. On the other hand, their aneugenic activity, which can lead to hypoploidy and may also be associated with carcinogenesis, has not been thoroughly investigated. In our study we chose the micronucleus assay with a new mathematical approach to separate clastogenic from aneugenic activity of three well-known mutagens (vinyl chloride monomer, X-rays and microwaves) on the genome of human somatic cells. The comparison of frequencies of size distribution of micronuclei in the lymphocytes of humans exposed to each of these three mutagens showed that X-rays and microwaves

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

were preferentially clastogens while vinyl chloride monomer showed aneugenic activity as well. Microwaves possess some mutagenic characteristics typical of chemical mutagens.

Effects on the Heart

Türker Y, Nazıroğlu M, Gümral N, Celik O, Saygın M, Cömlekçi S, Flores-Arce M.

Selenium and L-carnitine reduce oxidative stress in the heart of rat induced by 2.45-GHz radiation from wireless devices. Biol Trace Elem Res. 143(3):1640-1650, 2011.

The aim of this study was to investigate the possible protective role of selenium and L-carnitine on oxidative stress induced by **2.45-GHz** radiation in heart of rat. For this purpose, 30 male Wistar Albino rats were equally divided into five groups namely controls, sham controls, radiation-exposed rats, radiation-exposed rats treated with intraperitoneal injections of sodium selenite at a dose of 1.5 mg/kg/day, and radiation-exposed rats treated with intraperitoneal injections of L-carnitine at a dose of 1.5 mg/kg/day. Except for the controls and sham controls, the animals were exposed to 2.45-GHz radiation during 60 min/day for 28 days. The lipid peroxidation (LP) levels were higher in the radiation-exposed groups than in the control and sham control groups. The lipid peroxidation level in the irradiated animals treated with selenium and L-carnitine was lower than in those that were only exposed to 2.45-GHz radiation. The concentrations of vitamins A, C, and E were lower in the irradiated-only group relative to control and sham control groups, but their concentrations were increased in the groups treated with selenium- and L-carnitine. The activity of glutathione peroxidase was higher in the selenium-treated group than in the animals that were irradiated but received no treatment. The erythrocyte-reduced glutathione and β -carotene concentrations did not change in any of the groups. In conclusion, 2.45-GHz electromagnetic radiation caused oxidative stress in the heart of rats. There is an apparent protective effect of selenium and L-carnitine by inhibition of free radical formation and support of the antioxidant redox system.

Seaman RL, DeHaan RL, Inter-beat intervals of cardiac-cell aggregates during exposure to 2.45 GHz CW, pulsed, and square-wave-modulated microwaves. Bioelectromagnetics 14(1):41-55, 1993.

Inter-beat intervals of aggregated cardiac cells from chicken embryos were studied during 190 s exposures to **2.45 GHz microwaves** in an open-ended coaxial device. Averaged specific-absorption rates (SARs) and modulation conditions were 1.2-86.9 W/kg continuous-wave (CW), 1.2-12.2 W/kg pulse modulation (PW, duty cycle approximately 11%), and 12.0-43.5 W/kg square-wave modulation (duty cycle = 50%). The inter-beat interval decreased during microwave exposures at 42.0 W/kg and higher when CW or square-wave modulation was used, which is consistent with established effects of elevated temperatures. However, increases in the inter-beat interval during CW exposures at 1.2-12.2 W/kg, and decreases in the inter-beat interval after PW exposures at 8.4-12.2 W/kg, are not consistent with simple thermal effects. Analysis of variance

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

indicated that SAR, modulation, and the modulation-SAR interaction were all significant factors in altering the inter-beat interval. The latter two factors indicated that the cardiac cells were affected by athermal as well as thermal effects of microwave exposure.

Inflammation

Trosic I. Multinucleated giant cell appearance after whole body microwave irradiation of rats. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 204(2-3):133-138, 2001.

Multinucleated giant cells are common for some chronic inflammatory processes in the lung. These cells are formed by fusion of macrophages, but how the process relates to the kinetics of alveolar macrophage generation is not clear. This study investigated the influence of **2450 MHz microwave irradiation** on alveolar macrophage kinetics and formation of multinucleated giant cells after whole body irradiation of rats. The range of electromagnetic radiation was selected as 2450 MHz microwaves at a power density of 5-15 mW/cm². A group of experimental animals was divided in four subgroups that received 2, 8, 13 and 22 irradiation treatments of two hours each. The animals were killed on experimental days 1, 8, 16, and 30. Free lung cell population was obtained by bronchoalveolar lavage. Cell response to the selected irradiation level was followed quantitatively, qualitatively and morphologically using standard laboratory methods. Total cell number retrieved by lavage slightly decreased in treated animals showing time- and dose-dependence. Cell viability did not significantly change in the irradiated animal group (G2) as compared with the control group (G1). Multinucleated cells significantly increased ($p < 0.01$) in treated animals. The elevation of the number of nuclei per cell was time- and dose-dependent. Macrophages with two nucleoli were more common in animals treated twice or eight times. Polynucleation, that is three and more nucleoli in a single cell, was frequently observed after 13 or 22 treatments. Binucleation and multinucleation of alveolar macrophages were sensitive time- and dose-dependent morphological indicators of pulmonary stress.

Effects on Blood

Cleary SF, Liu LM, Merchant RE, In vitro lymphocyte proliferation induced by radio-frequency electromagnetic radiation under isothermal conditions. Bioelectromagnetics 11(1):47-56, 1990.

Whole human blood was exposed or sham-exposed in vitro for 2 h to 27 or **2,450 MHz radio-frequency electromagnetic (RF) radiation** under isothermal conditions (i.e., 37 +/- 0.2 degrees C). Immediately after exposure, mononuclear cells were separated from blood by Ficoll density-gradient centrifugation and cultured for 3 days at 37 degrees C with or without mitogenic stimulation by phytohemagglutinin (PHA). Lymphocyte proliferation was assayed at the end of the culture period by 6 h of pulse labeling with 3H-thymidine (3H-TdR). Exposure to radiation at either frequency at specific absorption rates (SARs) below 50 W/kg resulted in a dose-dependent, statistically significant

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

increase of 3H-TdR uptake in PHA-activated or unstimulated lymphocytes. Exposure at 50 W/kg or higher suppressed 3H-TdR uptake relative to that of sham-exposed cells. There were no detectable effects of RF radiation on lymphocyte morphology or viability. Notwithstanding the characteristic temperature dependence of lymphocyte activation in vitro, the isothermal exposure conditions of this study warrant the conclusion that the biphasic, dose-dependent effects of the radiation on lymphocyte proliferation were not dependent on heating.

Czerska EM, Elson EC, Davis CC, Swicord ML, Czerski P, Effects of continuous and pulsed 2450-MHz radiation on spontaneous lymphoblastoid transformation of human lymphocytes in vitro. *Bioelectromagnetics* 13(4):247-259, 1992.

Normal human lymphocytes were isolated from the peripheral blood of healthy donors. One-ml samples containing (10⁶) cells in chromosome medium 1A were exposed for 5 days to conventional heating or to **continuous wave (CW)** or **pulsed wave (PW) 2450-MHz radiation** at non-heating (37 degrees C) and various heating levels (temperature increases of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2 degrees C). The pulsed exposures involved 1-microsecond pulses at pulse repetition frequencies from 100 to 1,000 pulses per second at the same average SAR levels as the CW exposures. Actual average SARs ranged to 12.3 W/kg. Following termination of the incubation period, spontaneous lymphoblastoid transformation was determined with an image analysis system. The results were compared among each of the experimental conditions and with sham-exposed cultures. At non-heating levels, CW exposure did not affect transformation. At heating levels both conventional and CW heating enhanced transformation to the same extent and correlate with the increases in incubation temperature. PW exposure enhanced transformation at non-heating levels. This finding is significant (P less than .002). At heating levels PW exposure enhanced transformation to a greater extent than did conventional or CW heating. This finding is significant at the .02 level. We conclude that PW 2450-MHz radiation acts differently on the process of lymphoblastoid transformation in vitro compared with CW 2450-MHz radiation at the same average SARs.

Trosic I, Matausicpisl M, Radalj Z, Prlic I, Animal study on electromagnetic field biological potency. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol* 50(1):5-11, 1999.

This recent basic research study used an animal model protocol to assess specific biomarkers of the effect of non-ionising, non-thermal radiation (**2450 MHz microwave radiation** at 5-15 mW/cm²) on bone marrow, peripheral blood, and bronchoalveolar free cell populations. Of 40 male Wistar rats taken in the study, 20 animals of the experimental group were irradiated for 2 hours a day, 5 days a week, and subsequently killed on days 1, 8, 16, and 30 of the experiment. The remaining 20 rats served as control. All animals were previously intratracheally instilled with biologically inert microspheres to see the influence of irradiation on lung retention kinetics. The cell response to chosen electromagnetic irradiation was followed quantitatively and qualitatively using the standard laboratory methods. The results of peripheral blood cell response suggested a decreasing tendency in total leukocyte count and in relative lymphocyte count in the treated group. A slight increase was also observed in granulocyte count and in the

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

absolute count of peripheral blood erythrocytes over control animals.

Trosic I, Busljeta I, Pavicic I. Blood-forming system in rats after whole-body microwave exposure; reference to the lymphocytes. Toxicol Lett. 154(1-2):125-132, 2004.

The influence of 2.45GHz microwave (RF/MW) irradiation on blood-forming cells after whole-body irradiation of rats was investigated. The exposures were conducted with a field power density of 5-10mW/cm(2), and whole-body average specific absorption rate (SAR) of 1-2W/kg. Four experimental subgroups were created and irradiated 2, 8, 15 or 30 days, for 2h a day, 7 days a week. Concurrent sham-exposed rats were also included in the study. The cell response was assessed by number and type of the bone marrow nuclear cells and peripheral blood white cells using standard laboratory methods. Significant decrease in lymphoblast count was obtained at 15 and 30th experimental day ($P < 0.05$), whereas other examined parameters did not significantly differed in comparison to the sham-exposed controls. The findings point out at stress response in blood-forming system in rats after selected microwave exposure, which could be considered rather as sign of adaptation than malfunction.

Grigoriev YG, Grigoriev OA, Ivanov AA, Lyaginskaya AM, Merkulov AV, Shagina NB, Maltsev VN, L  v  que P, Ulanova AM, Osipov VA, Shafirkin AV. Confirmation studies of Soviet research on immunological effects of microwaves: Russian immunology results. Bioelectromagnetics. 31(8):589-602, 2010.

This paper presents the results of a replication study performed to investigate earlier Soviet studies conducted between 1974 and 1991 that showed immunological and reproductive effects of long-term low-level exposure of rats to radiofrequency (RF) electromagnetic fields. The early studies were used, in part, for developing exposure standards for the USSR population and thus it was necessary to confirm the Russian findings. In the present study, the conditions of RF exposure were made as similar as possible to those in the earlier experiments: Wistar rats were exposed in the far field to **2450 MHz** continuous wave RF fields with an incident power density in the cages of 5 W/m² for 7 h/day, 5 days/week for a total of 30 days, resulting in a whole-body SAR of 0.16 W/kg. Effects of the exposure on immunological parameters in the brain and liver of rats were evaluated using the complement fixation test (CFT), as in the original studies, and an additional test, the more modern ELISA test. Our results, using CFT and ELISA, partly confirmed the findings of the early studies and indicated possible effects from non-thermal RF exposure on autoimmune processes. The RF exposure resulted in minor increases in formation of antibodies in brain tissue extract and the exposure did not appear to be pathological. In addition, a study was conducted to replicate a previous Soviet study on effects from the injection of blood serum from RF-exposed rats on pregnancy and foetal and offspring development of rats, using a similar animal model and protocol. Our results showed the same general trends as the earlier study, suggesting possible adverse effects of the blood serum from exposed rats on pregnancy and foetal development of intact rats, however, application of these results in developing exposure standards is limited.

Studies that show WiFi and Devices Health Effects

Zotti-Martelli L, Peccatori M, Scarpato R, Migliore L, Induction of micronuclei in human lymphocytes exposed in vitro to microwave radiation. *Mutat Res* 472(1-2):51-58, 2000.

Increasing applications of electromagnetic fields are of great concern with regard to public health. Several in vitro studies have been conducted to detect effects of microwave exposure on the genetic material leading to negative or questionable results. The micronucleus (MN) assay which is proved to be a useful tool for the detection of radiation exposure-induced cytogenetic damage was used in the present study to investigate the genotoxic effect of microwaves in human peripheral blood lymphocytes in vitro exposed in G(0) to electromagnetic fields with different frequencies (2.45 and 7.7GHz) and power density (10, 20 and 30mW/cm²) for three times (15, 30 and 60min). The results showed for both radiation frequencies an induction of micronuclei as compared to the control cultures at a power density of 30mW/cm² and after an exposure of 30 and 60min. Our study would indicate that microwaves are able to cause cytogenetic damage in human lymphocytes mainly for both high power density and long exposure time.

Oxidative Stress

Nazıroğlu M, Cığ B, Doğan S, Uğuz AC, Dilek S, Faouzi D. 2.45-Gz wireless devices induce oxidative stress and proliferation through cytosolic Ca²⁺ influx in human leukemia cancer cells. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 88(6):449-456, 2012.

PURPOSE: Electromagnetic radiation from wireless devices may affect biological systems by increasing free radicals. The present study was designed to determine the effects of 2.45 GHz radiation on the antioxidant redox system, calcium ion signaling, cell count and viability in human leukemia 60 cells. MATERIALS AND METHODS: Twelve cell cultures were equally divided into two main groups as controls (n = 6) and irradiated (n = 6) and then subdivided into four different subgroups depending on the duration of exposure, namely 1, 2, 12 and 24 hours. The samples were analyzed immediately after the experimental period. RESULTS: The extent of lipid peroxidation, cytosolic free Ca²⁺ and cell numbers were higher in 2.45 GHz groups than in the controls. The increase of cytosolic free Ca²⁺ concentrations was radiation time-dependent and was highest at 24-h exposure. The reduced glutathione, glutathione peroxidase, vitamin C and cell viability values did not show any changes in any of the experimental groups. 2-aminoethyl diphenylborinate inhibits Ca²⁺ ions influx by blockage of the transient receptor potential melastatin 2. CONCLUSIONS: 2.45 GHz electromagnetic radiation appears to induce proliferative effects through oxidative stress and Ca²⁺ influx although blocking of transient receptor potential melastatin 2 channels by 2-aminoethyl diphenylborinate seems to counteract the effects on Ca²⁺ ions influx.

Kim MJ, Rhee SJ. Green tea catechins protect rats from microwave-induced oxidative damage to heart tissue. *J Med Food.* 7(3):299-304, 2004.

We investigated the effects of greentea catechin on oxidative damage in microwave-exposed rats. The microwave-exposed rats received one of three diets: catechin-free

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

(MW-0C), 0.25% catechin (MW-0.25C), or 0.5% catechin (MW-0.5C). Rats were sacrificed 6 days after microwave irradiation (2.45 GHz, 15 minutes). Cytochrome P(450) levels in the MW-0C group was increased by 85% compared with normal, but was 11% and 14% lower in the MW-0.25C and MW-0.5C groups than in the MW-0C group. NADPH-cytochrome P(450) reductase activity in the MW-0C group was increased by 29%, compared with the normal group, but was significantly less in the MW-0.25C and MW-0.5C groups. Superoxide dismutase activity in the MW-0C group was decreased by 34%, compared with the normal group, but in the MW-0.25C and MW-0.5C groups was 19% and 25% higher. The activity of glutathione peroxidase in the MW-0C group was decreased by 28% but remained near normal with catechin supplements. Superoxide radical concentrations in the MW-0C group were increased by 35%, compared with the normal group. However, superoxide radicals in the MW-0.25C and MW-0.5C groups were 11% and 12% lower, respectively, compared with the MW-0C group. Microwave irradiation significantly increased levels of thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances, carbonyl values, and lipofuscin contents, but **greentea** catechin partially overcame the effects of the microwave irradiation. In conclusion, the mixed function oxidase system was activated, the formation of superoxide radical, lipid peroxide, oxidized protein, and lipofuscin was increased, and the antioxidative defense system was weakened in heart tissue of microwave-exposed rats, but the oxidative damage was significantly reduced by catechin supplementation.

Aweda MA, Gbenebitse S, Meidinyo RO. Effects of 2.45 GHz microwave exposures on the peroxidation status in Wistar rats. Niger Postgrad Med J. 10(4):243-246, 2003.

One of the consequences of exposures to microwave (MW) radiations is the enhanced production of free O₂, free radicals, peroxides and superoxides. The effects on the **lipid peroxidation status (LPS)** of whole body irradiation of 120 Wistar rats with **2.45 GHz MW** at a power density of 6mWcm⁻² have been studied using the MW generator model ER6660E from Toshiba UK Ltd. The LPS in the rats was monitored for a period of 8 weeks post irradiation using thiobarbituric acid (TRA) method. The MW exposures caused an increase in the LPS from the mean control value of 4.18 x 10⁻⁶g 1⁻¹ to a maximum of 6.50 x 10⁻⁶ g 1⁻¹ within the first 24 hrs, and then gradually reduced to control value after about a week. 1mg kg⁻¹ of ascorbic acid administered before irradiation caused a decrease in the LPS from the control value to a minimum of 2.86 x 10⁻⁶g 1⁻¹ within the first week. The value then gradually rose to a maximum of 3.96 x 10⁻⁶g 1⁻¹ within the monitoring period. 1 mg kg⁻¹ of α-tocopherol also administered before irradiation also caused a decrease in the LPS from the control value to a minimum of 2.10 x 10⁻⁶ g 1⁻¹ within the first week. The value then gradually rose to a maximum of 3.94 x 10⁻⁶ g 1⁻¹ within the monitoring period. The results obtained from this study demonstrate that MW exposures cause significant increase in the LPS and there are protective effects of the anti-oxidants ascorbic acid and alpha-tocopherol.

Aynali G, Nazıroğlu M, Celik O, Doğan M, Yarıktaş M, Yasan H. Modulation of wireless (2.45 GHz)-induced oxidative toxicity in laryngotracheal mucosa of rat by melatonin. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 270(5):1695-1700, 2013.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

It is well known that oxidative stress induces larynx cancer, although antioxidants induce modulator role on etiology of the cancer. It is well known that electromagnetic radiation (EMR) induces oxidative stress in different cell systems. The aim of this study was to investigate the possible protective role of melatonin on oxidative stress induced by **Wi-Fi (2.45 GHz)** EMR in laryngotracheal mucosa of rat. For this purpose, 32 male rats were equally categorized into four groups, namely controls, sham controls, EMR-exposed rats, EMR-exposed rats treated with melatonin at a dose of 10 mg/kg/day. Except for the controls and sham controls, the animals were exposed to 2.45 GHz radiation during 60 min/day for 28 days. The lipid peroxidation levels were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher in the radiation-exposed groups than in the control and sham control groups. The lipid peroxidation level in the irradiated animals treated with melatonin was significantly ($p < 0.01$) lower than in those that were only exposed to Wi-Fi radiation. The activity of glutathione peroxidase was lower in the irradiated-only group relative to control and sham control groups but its activity was significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased in the groups treated with melatonin. The reduced glutathione levels in the mucosa of rat did not change in the four groups. There is an apparent protective effect of melatonin on the Wi-Fi-induced oxidative stress in the laryngotracheal mucosa of rats by inhibition of free radical formation and support of the glutathione peroxidase antioxidant system.

Ceyhan AM, Akkaya VB, Güleçol ŞC, Ceyhan BM, Özgüner F, Chen W. Protective effects of β -glucan against oxidative injury induced by 2.45-GHz electromagnetic radiation in the skin tissue of rats. Arch Dermatol Res. 304(7):521-527, 2012.

In recent times, there is widespread use of 2.45-GHz irradiation-emitting devices in industrial, medical, military and domestic application. The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of **2.45-GHz** electromagnetic radiation (EMR) on the oxidant and antioxidant status of skin and to examine the possible protective effects of β -glucans against the oxidative injury. Thirty-two male Wistar albino rats were randomly divided into four equal groups: control; sham exposed; EMR; and EMR + β -glucan. A 2.45-GHz EMR emitted device from the experimental exposure was applied to the EMR group and EMR + β -glucan group for 60 min daily, respectively, for 4 weeks. β -glucan was administered via gavage at a dose of 50 mg/kg/day before each exposure to radiation in the treatment group. The activities of antioxidant enzymes, superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) and catalase (CAT), as well as the concentration of malondialdehyde (MDA) were measured in tissue homogenates of the skin. Exposure to 2.45-GHz EMR caused a significant increase in MDA levels and CAT activity, while the activities of SOD and GSH-Px decreased in skin tissues. Systemic β -glucan significantly reversed the elevation of MDA levels and the reduction of SOD activities. β -glucan treatment also slightly enhanced the activity of CAT and prevented the depletion of GSH-Px activity caused by EMR, but not statistically significantly. The present study demonstrated the role of oxidative mechanisms in EMR-induced skin tissue damages and that β -glucan could ameliorate oxidative skin injury via its antioxidant properties.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects**Wellbeing**

Kowall B, Breckenkamp J, Heyer K, Berg-Beckhoff G. German wide cross sectional survey on health impacts of electromagnetic fields in the view of general practitioners. Int J Public Health.55(5):507-512, 2010.

OBJECTIVES: The proportion of **general practitioners (GPs)** in Germany who assume health impacts of electromagnetic fields (EMF) is assessed. Moreover, factors associated with this risk perception are examined. **METHODS:** A 7% random sample was drawn from online lists of all the GPs working in Germany. 1,867 doctors received a long version of a self-administered postal questionnaire about EMF and health (response rate 23.3%), 928 doctors received a short version (response rate 49.1%). **RESULTS:** 37.3% of responders to the short and 57.5% of responders to the long questionnaire agreed "that there are persons whose health complaints are caused by EMF when legal limit values are met". A late responder analysis for the survey with the short questionnaire led to a still lower estimate of 29% for GPs believing in health-relevant effects of EMF. **CONCLUSION:** About a third of German GPs associate EMF with health complaints and thus deviate considerably from current scientific knowledge. To avoid a strong selection bias in the surveys of the perception of EMF risks, use of short questionnaires and late responder analysis are recommended.

Kato Y, Johansson O. Reported functional impairments of electrohypersensitive Japanese: A questionnaire survey. Pathophysiology.19(2) 95-100, 2012.

An increasing number of people worldwide complain that they have become **electromagnetic hypersensitive (EHS)**. We conducted a questionnaire survey of EHS persons in Japan. The aim was to identify electromagnetic fields (EMF) and plausible EMF sources that caused their symptoms. Postal questionnaires were distributed via a self-help group, and 75 participants (95% women) responded. Reported major complaints were "fatigue/tiredness" (85%), "headache", "concentration, memory, and thinking" difficulty (81%, respectively). Seventy-two per cent used some form of complementary/alternative therapy. The most plausible trigger of EHS onset was a mobile phone base station or personal handy-phone system (37%). Sixty-five percent experienced health problems to be due to the radiation from other passengers' mobile phones in trains or buses, and 12% reported that they could not use public transportation at all. Fifty-three percent had a job before the onset, but most had lost their work and/or experienced a decrease in income. Moreover, 85.3% had to take measures to protect themselves from EMF, such as moving to low EMF areas, or buying low EMF electric appliances. EHS persons were suffering not only from their symptoms, but also from economical and social problems.

Bergdahl J, Tillberg A, Stenman E. Odontologic survey of referred patients with symptoms allegedly caused by electricity or visual display units. Acta Odontol Scand. 56(5):303-307, 1998.

Twenty-eight consecutive patients with symptoms allegedly caused by electricity or

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

visual display units were odontologically investigated according to a specially designed registration form including an anamnestic interview and a clinical protocol. The most common oral and general symptoms reported were burning mouth, craniomandibular dysfunction symptoms, skin complaints, and fatigue. Oral symptoms such as craniomandibular dysfunction and general symptoms such as eye complaints and dizziness scored highest on a visual analog scale regarding mean symptom intensity. The patients reported various numbers of medical diagnoses, such as allergic rhinitis or asthma and hypothyroidism. Various dental diseases were found; the most common were temporomandibular joint and masticatory muscle dysfunctions, lesions in the oral mucosa, and periodontal diseases. Urinary-Hg (U-Hg) analysis showed a mean U-Hg concentration of 8.5 nmol Hg/L urine, and none of the patients exceeded the limit of 50 nmol Hg/L urine. The U-Hg concentration was positively correlated with the number of amalgam fillings ($P < 0.01$) and craniomandibular disorders ($P < 0.05$). No or low secretion of the minor mucous glands was found in 43% of the patients. One patient showed hypersensitivity to gold and cobalt. The present study showed that various odontologic factors might be involved in some of these patients' suffering. Thus, it is important that professionals from other disciplines collaborate with dentistry if these patients are to be properly investigated.

Whole Body

Kühn S, Jennings W, Christ A, Kuster N. Assessment of induced radio-frequency electromagnetic fields in various anatomical human body models. *Phys Med Biol.* 54(4):875-890, 2009.

The reference levels for testing compliance of human exposure with radio-frequency (RF) safety limits have been derived from very simplified models of the human. In order to validate these findings for anatomical models, we investigated the absorption characteristics for various anatomies ranging from 6 year old child to large adult male by numerical modeling. We address the exposure to plane-waves incident from all major six sides of the humans with two orthogonal polarizations each. Worst-case scattered field exposure scenarios have been constructed in order to test the implemented procedures of current in situ compliance measurement standards (spatial averaging versus peak search). Our findings suggest that the reference levels of current electromagnetic (EM) safety guidelines for demonstrating compliance as well as some of the current measurement standards are not consistent with the basic restrictions and need to be revised.

Bone Marrow

Vijayalaxmi, Frei, MR, Dusch, SJ, Guel, V, Meltz, ML, Jauchem, JR, Frequency of micronuclei in the peripheral blood and bone marrow of cancer-prone mice chronically exposed to 2450 MHz radiofrequency radiation. *Radiat Res* 147(4):495-500, 1997.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

C3H/HeJ mice, which are prone to mammary tumors, were exposed for 20 h/day, 7 days/week, over 18 months to continuous-wave **2450 MHz radiofrequency** (RF) radiation in circularly polarized wave guides at a whole-body average specific absorption rate of 1.0 W/kg. Sham-exposed mice were used as controls. The positive controls were the sentinel mice treated with mitomycin C during the last 24 h before necropsy. At the end of the 18 months, all mice were necropsied. Peripheral blood and bone marrow smears were examined for the extent of genotoxicity as indicated by the presence of micronuclei in polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs). The results indicate that the incidence of micronuclei/1,000 PCEs was not significantly different between groups exposed to RF radiation (62 mice) and sham-exposed groups (58 mice), and the mean frequencies were 4.5 +/- 1.23 and 4.0 +/- 1.12 in peripheral blood and 6.1 +/- 1.78 and 5.7 +/- 1.60 in bone marrow, respectively. In contrast, the positive controls (7 mice) showed a significantly elevated incidence of micronuclei/1,000 PCEs in peripheral blood and bone marrow, and the mean frequencies were 50.9 +/- 6.18 and 55.2 +/- 4.65, respectively. When the animals with mammary tumors were considered separately, there were no significant differences in the incidence of micronuclei/1,000 PCEs between the group exposed to RF radiation (12 mice) and the sham-exposed group (8 mice), and the mean frequencies were 4.6 +/- 1.03 and 4.1 +/- 0.89 in peripheral blood and 6.1 +/- 1.76 and 5.5 +/- 1.51 in bone marrow, respectively. Thus there was no evidence for genotoxicity in mice prone to mammary tumors that were exposed chronically to 2450 MHz RF radiation compared with sham-exposed controls. **A correction was published in a subsequent issue of the journal, stating that there was actually a significant increase in micronucleus formation in peripheral blood and bone marrow cells after chronic exposure to the radiofrequency radiation. "Vijayalaxmi, Frei ,MR, Dusch, SJ, Guel, V, Meltz, ML, Jauchem, JR, Correction of an error in calculation in the article "Frequency of micronuclei in the peripheral blood and bone marrow of cancer-prone mice chronically exposed to 2450 MHz radiofrequency radiation" (Radiat. Res. 147, 495-500, 1997). Radiat Res 149(3):308, 1998 "**

Busljeta I, Trosic I, Milkovic-Kraus S. Erythropoietic changes in rats after 2.45 GJz nonthermal irradiation. Int J Hyg Environ Health.207(6):549-554, 2004.

The purpose of this study was to observe the erythropoietic changes in rats subchronically exposed to radiofrequency microwave (RF/MW) irradiation at nonthermal level. Adult male Wistar rats (N=40) were exposed to **2.45 GHz** continuous RF/MW fields for 2 hours daily, 7 days a week, at 5-10 mW/cm². Exposed animals were divided into four subgroups (n=10 animals in each subgroup) in order to be irradiated for 2, 8, 15 and 30 days. Animals were sacrificed on the final irradiation day of each treated subgroup. Unexposed rats were used as control (N=24). Six animals were included into the each control subgroup. Bone marrow smears were examined to determine absolute counts of anuclear cells and erythropoietic precursor cells. The absolute erythrocyte count, haemoglobin and haematocrit values were observed in the peripheral blood by an automatic cell counter. The bone marrow cytogenetic analysis was accomplished by micronucleus (MN) tests. In the exposed animals erythrocyte count, haemoglobin and haematocrit were increased in peripheral blood on irradiation days 8 and 15.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Concurrently, anuclear cells and erythropoietic precursor cells were significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$) in the bone marrow on day 15, but micronucleated cells' frequency was increased. In the applied experimental condition, RF/MW radiation might cause disturbance in red cell maturation and proliferation, and induce micronucleus formation in erythropoietic cells.

Trosic I, Busljeta I, Kasuba V, Rozgaj R. Micronucleus induction after whole-body microwave irradiation of rats. *Mutat Res* 521(1-2):73-79, 2002.

Adult male Wistar rats were exposed for 2h a day, 7 days a week for up to 30 days to continuous **2450MHz radiofrequency microwave** (rf/MW) radiation at a power density of 5-10mW/cm(2). Sham-exposed rats were used as controls. After ether anesthesia, experimental animals were euthanized on the final irradiation day for each treated group. Peripheral blood smears were examined for the extent of genotoxicity, as indicated by the presence of micronuclei in polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs). The results for the time-course of PCEs indicated significant differences ($P < 0.05$) for the 2nd, the 8th and the 15th day between control and treated subgroups of animals. Increased influx of immature erythrocytes into the peripheral circulation at the beginning of the experiment revealed that the proliferation and maturation of nucleated erythropoietic cells were affected by exposure to the 2450MHz radiofrequency radiation. Such findings are indicators of radiation effects on bone-marrow erythropoiesis and their subsequent effects in circulating red cells. The incidence of micronuclei/1000 PCEs in peripheral blood was significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) in the subgroup exposed to rf/MW radiation after eight irradiation treatments of 2h each in comparison with the sham-exposed control group. It is likely that an adaptive mechanism, both in erythrocytopoiesis and genotoxicity appeared in the rat experimental model during the subchronic irradiation treatment.

Trosic I, Busljeta I, Modlic B. Investigation of the genotoxic effect of microwave irradiation in rat bone marrow cells: in vivo exposure. *Mutagenesis*. 19(5):361-364, 2004.

An in vivo mammalian cytogenetic test (the erythrocyte micronucleus assay) was used to investigate the extent of genetic damage in bone marrow red cells of rats exposed to radiofrequency/microwave (RF/MW) radiation. Wistar rats ($n = 40$) were exposed to a **2.45 GHz** continuous RF/MW field for 2 h daily, 7 days a week, at a power density of 5-10 mW/cm(2). The whole body average specific absorption rate (SARs) was calculated to be 1.25 ± 0.36 (SE) W/kg. Four subgroups were irradiated for 4, 16, 30 and 60 h. Sham-exposed controls ($n = 24$) were included in the study. The animals of each treated subgroup were killed on the final day of irradiation. Bone marrow smears were examined to determine the extent of genotoxicity after particular treatment times. The results were statistically evaluated using non-parametric Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests. In comparison with the sham-exposed subgroups, the findings of polychromatic erythrocytes (PCE) revealed significant differences ($P < 0.05$) for experimental days 8 and 15. The frequency of micronucleated PCEs was also significantly increased on experimental day 15 ($P < 0.05$). Pair-wise comparison of data obtained after 2, 8 and 30

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

irradiation treatments did not reveal statistically significant differences between sham-exposed and treated subgroups. Under the applied experimental conditions the findings revealed a transient effect on proliferation and maturation of erythropoietic cells in the rat bone marrow and the sporadic appearance of micronucleated immature bone marrow red cells.

Trosic I, Busljeta I. Frequency of micronucleated erythrocytes in rat bone marrow exposure to 2.45 GHz radiation. Physica Scripta T118: 168-170, 2005.

Wistar rats were exposed to **2.45GHz** continuous, radiofrequency microwave (RF/MW) field 2 hours daily, 7 days weekly, at power density 5–10mW/cm². Four subgroups were created in order to be irradiated 4, 16, 30 and 60 hours. Sham exposed controls were included in the study. Animals were euthanized on the final irradiation day of each treated subgroup. Bone marrow smears were examined to determine the extent of genotoxicity after the particular treatment time. Mann-Whitney test was used for statistical evaluation of data. In comparison to the sham exposed subgroups, the findings of polychromatic erythrocytes revealed significant differences for the 8th and 15th experimental day. Bone marrow erythrocyte maturation and/or proliferation initiated by subthermogenic RF/MW irradiation showed temporary disturbance. Thereafter, the frequency of micronucleated bone marrow red cells was significantly increased after 15 irradiation treatments. Comparison of micronucleus frequency data obtained after 2, 8 and 30 irradiation treatments did not reveal statistically significant differences between sham and treated subgroups. Under the applied experimental conditions, RF/MW irradiation initiates transitory cytogenetic effect manifested with micronucleus formation in erythropoietic cells.

Trosic I, Busljeta I. Erythropoietic dynamic equilibrium in rats maintained after microwave irradiation. Exp Toxicol Pathol. 57(3):247-251, 2006.

The aim of study was to define influence of radiofrequency microwave (RF/MW) radiation on erythropoiesis in rats. The kinetics of polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs) and micronucleated (MN) PCEs in the bone marrow (BM) and peripheral blood (PB) of rats during the intermittent subchronic experiment was followed. Rats were exposed 2h/day, 7 days/week to RF/MW of **2.45GHz** and whole-body specific absorption rate (SAR) of 1.25+/-0.36W/kg. Control animals were included in the study. Each exposed and control group was killed on the final day of irradiation. Acridine-orange stained BM and blood smears were examined by fluorescence microscope. PCEs were obtained by inspection of 2000BM and 1000PB erythrocytes/slides. BMMNs and PBMNs frequency was obtained by observation of 1000PCEs/slides. BMPCEs were increased on day 8 and 15, and PBPCes were elevated on days 2 and 8 (p<0.05). The BMMN frequency was increased on experimental day 15, and MNPCEs in the PB was increased on day 8 (p<0.05). Findings of BM and PBPCes or MNPCEs declined nearly to the control values until the end of the experiment. Such findings are considered to be indicators of radiation effects on BM erythropoiesis consequently reflected in the PB. Rehabilitated dynamic haemopoietic equilibrium in rats by the end of experiment indicates possibility of activation adaptation process in rats to the selected experimental conditions of subchronic RF/MW exposure.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Effects on Insulin

Salah MB, Abdelmelek H, Abderraba M. Effects of olive leave extract on metabolic disorders and oxidative stress induced by 2.45 GHz WIFI signals. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. 36(3):826-834, 2013.

We investigated the effect of olive leaves extract administration on glucose metabolism and oxidative response in liver and kidneys of rats exposed to radio frequency (RF). **The exposure of rats to RF (2.45 GHz, 1h/day during 21 consecutive days)** induced a diabetes-like status. Moreover, RF decreased the activities of glutathione peroxidase (GPx, -33.33% and -49.40%) catalase (CAT, -43.39% and -39.62%) and the superoxide dismutase (SOD, -59.29% and -68.53%) and groups thiol amount (-62.68% and -34.85%), respectively in liver and kidneys. Indeed, exposure to RF increased the malondialdehyde (MDA, 29.69% and 51.35%) concentration respectively in liver and kidneys. Olive leaves extract administration (100 mg/kg, ip) in RF-exposed rats prevented glucose metabolism disruption and restored the activities of GPx, CAT and SOD and thiol group amount in liver and kidneys. Moreover, olive leave extract administration was able to bring down the elevated levels of MDA in liver but not in kidneys. Our investigations suggested that RF exposure induced a diabetes-like status through alteration of oxidative response. Olive leaves extract was able to correct glucose metabolism disorder by minimizing oxidative stress induced by RF in rat tissues.

Cell Effects

Phelan AM, Lange DG, Kues HA, Luty GA, Modification of membrane fluidity in melanin-containing cells by low-level microwave radiation. Bioelectromagnetics13(2):131-146, 1992.

The treatment of a B16 melanoma cell line with **2.45-GHz pulsed microwaves** (10 mW/cm², 10-microseconds pulses at 100 pps, 1-h exposure; SAR, 0.2 W/kg) resulted in changes of membrane ordering as measured by EPR (electron paramagnetic resonance) reporter techniques. The changes reflected a shift from a more fluid-like phase to a more solid (ordered) state of the cell membrane. Exposure of artificially prepared liposomes that were reconstituted with melanin produced similar results. In contrast, neither B16 melanoma cells treated with 5-Bromo-2-Deoxyuridine (3 micrograms/day x 7 days) to render them amelanotic, nor liposomes prepared without melanin, exhibited the microwave-facilitated increase of ordering. Inhibition of the ordering was achieved by the use of superoxide dismutase (SOD), which strongly implicates oxygen radicals as a cause of the membrane changes. The data indicate that a significant, specific alteration of cell-membrane ordering followed microwave exposure. This alteration was unique to melanotic membranes and was due, at least in part, to the generation of oxygen radicals.

Misa Agustiño MJ, Leiro JM, Jorge Mora MT, Rodríguez-González JA, Jorge Barreiro FJ, Ares-Pena FJ, López-Martín E. Electromagnetic fields at 2.45 GHz trigger changes in

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

heat shock proteins 90 and 70 without altering apoptotic activity in rat thyroid gland. Biol Open. 1(9):831-838, 2012.

Non-ionizing radiation at 2.45 GHz may modify the expression of genes that codify heat shock proteins (HSP) in the thyroid gland. Using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique, we studied levels of HSP-90 and HSP-70. We also used hematoxylin eosin to look for evidence of lesions in the gland and applied the DAPI technique of fluorescence to search for evidence of chromatin condensation and nuclear fragmentation in the thyroid cells of adult female Sprague-Dawley rats. Fifty-four rats were individually exposed for 30 min to 2.45 GHz radiation in a Gigahertz transverse electromagnetic (GTEM) cell at different levels of non-thermal specific absorption rate (SAR), which was calculated using the finite difference time domain (FDTD) technique. Ninety minutes after radiation, HSP-90 and HSP-70 had decreased significantly ($P<0.01$) after applying a SAR of 0.046 ± 1.10 W/Kg or $0.104\pm5.10(-3)$ W/Kg. Twenty-four hours after radiation, HSP-90 had partially recovered and HSP-70 had recovered completely. There were few indications of lesions in the glandular structure and signs of apoptosis were negative in all radiated animals. The results suggest that acute sub-thermal radiation at 2.45 GHz may alter levels of cellular stress in rat thyroid gland without initially altering their anti-apoptotic capacity.

Misa Agustiño MJ, Leiro JM, Jorge Mora MT, Rodríguez-González JA, Jorge Barreiro FJ, Ares-Pena FJ, López-Martín E. Electromagnetic fields at 2.45 GHz trigger changes in heat shock proteins 90 and 70 without altering apoptotic activity in rat thyroid gland. Biol Open. 1(9):831-838, 2012.

The use of smartphones is expanding rapidly around the world, thus raising the concern of possible harmful effects of radiofrequency generated by smartphones. We hypothesized that Wi-Fi signals from smartphones may have harmful influence on adipose-derived stem cells (ASCs). An in vitro study was performed to assess the influence of Wi-Fi signals from smartphones. The ASCs were incubated under a smartphone connected to a **Wi-Fi network**, which was uploading files at a speed of 4.8 Mbps for 10 hours a day, for a total of 5 days. We constructed 2 kinds of control cells, one grown in 37°C and the other grown in 39°C. After 5 days of Wi-Fi exposure from the smartphone, the cells underwent cell proliferation assay, apoptosis assay, and flow cytometry analysis. Three growth factors, vascular endothelial growth factor, hepatocyte growth factor, and transforming growth factor- β , were measured from ASC-conditioned media. Cell proliferation rate was higher in Wi-Fi-exposed cells and 39°C control cells compared with 37°C control cells. Apoptosis assay, flow cytometry analysis, and growth factor concentrations showed no remarkable differences among the 3 groups. We could not find any harmful effects of Wi-Fi electromagnetic signals from smartphones. The increased proliferation of ASCs under the smartphone, however, might be attributable to the thermal effect.

Somosy Z, Thuroczy G, Kubasova T, Kovacs J, Szabo LD, Effects of modulated and continuous microwave irradiation on the morphology and cell surface negative charge of 3T3 fibroblasts. Scanning Microsc 5(4):1145-1155, 1991

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Mouse embryo 3T3 cells were irradiated with **2450 MHz** continuous and low frequency (16 Hz) square modulated waves of absorbed energy ranging from 0.0024 to 2.4 mW/g. The low frequency modulated microwave irradiation yielded more morphological cell changes than did the continuous microwave fields of the same intensity. The amount of free negative charges (cationized ferritin binding) on cell surfaces decreased following irradiation by modulated waves but remained unchanged under the effect of a continuous field of the same dose. Modulated waves of 0.024 mW/g dose increased the ruffling activity of the cells, and caused ultrastructural alteration in the cytoplasm. Similar effects were experienced by continuous waves at higher (0.24 and 2.4 mW/g) doses.

Cleary, SF, Du, Z, Cao, G, Liu, LM, McCrady, C, Effect of isothermal radiofrequency radiation on cytolytic T lymphocytes. FASEB J 10(8):913-919. 1996.

Previous in vitro studies provide evidence that RF electromagnetic radiation modulates proliferation of human glioma, lymphocytes, and other cell types. The mechanism of RF radiation cell proliferation modulation, as well as mechanisms for effects on other cell physiologic endpoints, are not well understood. To obtain insight regarding interaction mechanisms, we investigated effects of RF radiation exposure on interleukin 2 (IL-2) - dependent proliferation of cytolytic T lymphocytes (CTLL-2). After exposure to RF radiation in the presence or absence of IL-2 cells were cultured at various physiological concentrations of IL-2. Treatment effects on CTLL-2 proliferation were determined by tritiated thymidine incorporation immediately or 24 h after exposure. Exposure to **2450 MHz** RIF radiation at specific absorption rates (SARs) of greater than 25 W/kg (induced E-field strength 98.4 V/m) induced a consistent, statistically significant reduction in CTLL-2 proliferation, especially at low IL-2 concentrations. At lower SARs, 2450 MHz exposure increased CTLL-2 proliferation immediately after exposure but reduced 24 h postexposure proliferation. RF radiation effects depended on the mitotic state of the cells at the time of exposure. Comparison of the effects of temperature elevation and RF radiation indicated significant qualitative and quantitative differences.

Obukhan KI, [The effect of ultrahigh-frequency radiation on adaptation thresholds and the damages to blood system cells]. Lik Sprava (7):71-73, 1998. [Article in Ukrainian]

Cytologic investigations designed to study bone marrow, peripheral blood, spleen, and thymus of albino rats irradiated by an electromagnetic field, 2375, **2450**, and 3000 MEGS, revealed structural and functional changes in populations of megakaryocytes, immunocompetent cells as well as of undifferentiated cells, and of other types of cells that are dependent on the intensity of irradiation and permit establishing the probability-threshold levels of exposure taking account of reactions of perception and physiologic adaptation together with compensatory and regenerative processes and the injury sustained. It is shown that changes in bone marrow cells differentiation and reproduction rather than integral shifts in the peripheral blood that acquire the utmost significance. Subjected to a particular scrutiny in the paper are blast cells, which cells' repopulation was noted to be getting increased in low-intensity exposure as were disturbances in their mitosis pattern.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Margaritis LH, Manta AK, Kokkaliaris CD, Schiza D, Alimisis K, Barkas G, Georgiou E, Giannakopoulou O, Kollia I, Kontogianni G, Kourouzidou A, Myari A, Roumelioti F, Skouroliahou A, Sykioti V, Varda G, Xenos K, Ziomas K. Drosophila oogenesis as a bio-marker responding to EMF sources. Electromagn Biol Med. 2013 Aug 5. [Epub ahead of print]

The model biological organisms *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Drosophila virilis* have been utilized to assess effects on apoptotic cell death of follicles during oogenesis and reproductive capacity (fecundity) decline. A total of 280 different experiments were performed using newly emerged flies exposed for short time daily for 3-7 d to various EMF sources including: GSM 900/1800 MHz mobile phone, 1880-1900 MHz DECT wireless base, DECT wireless handset, mobile phone-DECT handset combination, **2.44 GHz wireless network (Wi-Fi)**, 2.44 GHz blue tooth, 92.8 MHz FM generator, 27.15 MHz baby monitor, 900 MHz CW RF generator and microwave oven's 2.44 GHz RF and magnetic field components. Mobile phone was used as a reference exposure system for evaluating factors considered very important in dosimetry extending our published work with *D. melanogaster* to the insect *D. virilis*. Distance from the emitting source, the exposure duration and the repeatability were examined. All EMF sources used created statistically significant effects regarding fecundity and cell death-apoptosis induction, even at very low intensity levels (0.3 V/m blue tooth radiation), well below ICNIRP's guidelines, suggesting that *Drosophila* oogenesis system is suitable to be used as a biomarker for exploring potential EMF bioactivity. Also, there is no linear cumulative effect when increasing the duration of exposure or using one EMF source after the other (i.e. mobile phone and DECT handset) at the specific conditions used. The role of the average versus the peak E-field values as measured by spectrum analyzers on the final effects is discussed.

Marjanović AM, Pavičić I, Trošić I Biological indicators in response to radiofrequency/microwave exposure. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol. 2012 Sep 25;63(3):407-416, 2012.

Over the years, due to rapid technological progress, radiation from man-made sources exceeded that of natural origin. There is a general concern regarding a growing number of appliances that use radiofrequency/ microwave (RF/MW) radiation with particular emphasis on mobile communication systems. Since nonthermal biological effects and mechanisms of RF/MW radiation are still uncertain, laboratory studies on animal models, tissues, cells, and cell free system are of extraordinary importance in bioelectromagnetic research. We believe that such investigations play a supporting role in public risk assessment. Cellular systems with the potential for a clear response to RF/MW exposures should be used in those studies. It is known that organism is a complex electrochemical system where processes of oxidation and reduction regularly occur. One of the plausible mechanisms is connected with generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Depending on concentration, ROS can have both beneficial and deleterious effects. Positive effects are connected with cell signalling, defence against infectious agents, and proliferative cell ability. On the other hand, excessive production, which overloads antioxidant defence mechanism, leads to cellular damage with serious potential for disease development.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

ROS concentration increase within the cell caused by RF/MW radiation seems to be a biologically relevant hypothesis to give clear insight into the RF/MW action at non-thermal level of radiation. In order to better understand the exact mechanism of action and its consequences, further research is needed in the field. We would like to present current knowledge on possible biological mechanisms of RF/MW actions.

Peinnequin A, Piriou A, Mathieu J, Dabouis V, Sebbah C, Malabiau R, Debouzy JC Non-thermal effects of continuous 2.45 GHz microwaves on Fas-induced apoptosis in human Jurkat T-cell line. Bioelectrochemistry 51(2):157-161, 2000.

Non-thermal effects of microwaves (MWs) are one of the main issues studied for revising standards. The effects of MW exposure on apoptosis at non-thermal level (48 h, **2.45 GHz**, 5 mW/cm²) have been studied. Results obtained assess non-thermal MW effects on Fas, but neither on butyrate- nor on ceramide-induced apoptosis in human Jurkat T-cell line. These data show that MW interacts either with Fas pathway between receptor and caspase-3 activation or on membrane proteins (i.e. Fas receptor or neurosphingomyelinase).

Koyama S, Narita E, Suzuki Y, Taki M, Shinohara N, Miyakoshi J. Effect of a 2.45-GHz radiofrequency electromagnetic field on neutrophil chemotaxis and phagocytosis in differentiated human HL-60 cells. J Radiat Res. 2014 Sep 5. pii: rru075. [Epub ahead of print]

The potential public health risks of radiofrequency (RF) fields have been discussed at length, especially with the use of mobile phones spreading extensively throughout the world. In order to investigate the properties of RF fields, we examined the effect of **2.45-GHz RF** fields at the specific absorption rate (SAR) of 2 and 10 W/kg for 4 and 24 h on neutrophil chemotaxis and phagocytosis in differentiated human HL-60 cells. Neutrophil chemotaxis was not affected by RF-field exposure, and subsequent phagocytosis was not affected either compared with that under sham exposure conditions. These studies demonstrated an initial immune response in the human body exposed to 2.45-GHz RF fields at the SAR of 2 W/kg, which is the maximum value recommended by the International Commission for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) guidelines. The results of our experiments for RF-field exposure at an SAR under 10 W/kg showed very little or no effects on either chemotaxis or phagocytosis in neutrophil-like human HL-60 cells.

Koyama S, Isozumi Y, Suzuki Y, Taki M, Miyakoshi J. Effects of 2.45-GHz electromagnetic fields with a wide range of SARs on micronucleus formation in CHO-K1 cells. ScientificWorldJournal 4 Suppl 2:29-40, 2004.

There has been considerable discussion about the influence of high-frequency electromagnetic fields (HFEMF) on the human body. In particular, HFEMF used for mobile phones may be of great concern for human health. In order to investigate the properties of HFEMF, we have examined the effects of **2.45-GHz EMF** on micronucleus (MN) formation in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO)-K1 cells. MN formation is induced by chromosomal breakage or inhibition of spindles during cell division and leads to cell

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

damage. We also examined the influence of heat on MN formation, since HFEMF exposure causes a rise in temperature. CHO-K1 cells were exposed to HFEMF for 2 h at average specific absorption rates (SARs) of 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200 W/kg, and the effects on these cells were compared with those in sham-exposed control cells. The cells were also treated with bleomycin alone as a positive control or with combined treatment of HFEMF exposure and bleomycin. Heat treatment was performed at temperatures of 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, and 42 degrees C. The MN frequency in cells exposed to HFEMF at a SAR of lower than 50 W/kg did not differ from the sham-exposed controls, while those at SARs of 100 and 200 W/kg were significantly higher when compared with the sham-exposed controls. There was no apparent combined effect of HFEMF exposure and bleomycin treatment. On heat treatment at temperatures from 38-42 degrees C, the MN frequency increased in a temperature-dependent manner. We also showed that an increase in SAR causes a rise in temperature and this may be connected to the increase in MN formation generated by exposure to HFEMF.

Inoue S, Motoda H, Koike Y, Kawamura K, Hiragami F, Kano Y. Microwave irradiation induces neurite outgrowth in PC12m3 cells via the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. *Neurosci Lett.* 432(1):35-39,2008.

The increasing use of mobile phone communication has raised concerns about possible health hazard effects of microwave irradiation. We investigated damage and differentiation caused by microwave irradiation on drug-hypersensitive PC12 cell line (PC12m3). These cells showed enhancement of neurite outgrowth to various stimulants. The frequency of neurite outgrowth induced by **2.45GHz** (200W) of microwave irradiation was approximately 10-fold greater than that of non-irradiated control cells. Incubation of PC12m3 cells with SB203580, a specific inhibitor of p38 MAPK, resulted in marked inhibition of the microwave radiation-induced neurite outgrowth. Also, activation of the transcription factor CREB induced by microwave irradiation was inhibited by SB203580. Heat shock treatment at 45 degrees C had a strong toxic effect on PC12m3 cells, whereas microwave treatment had no toxic effect on PC12m3 cells. These findings indicate that p38 MAPK is responsible for the survival of PC12m3 cells and might induce neurite outgrowth via a CREB signaling pathway when subjected to microwave irradiation.

Effects on Behavior

Thomas S, Heinrich S, von Kries R, Radon K. Exposure to radio-frequency electromagnetic fields and behavioural problems in Bavarian children and adolescents. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 25(2):135-141, 2010.

Only few studies have so far investigated possible health effects of radio-frequency electromagnetic fields (RF EMF) in children and adolescents, although experts discuss a potential higher vulnerability to such fields. We aimed to investigate a possible association between measured exposure to RF EMF fields and behavioural problems in children and adolescents. 1,498 children and 1,524 adolescents were randomly selected

Studies that show WiFi and Devices Health Effects

from the population registries of four Bavarian (South of Germany) cities. During an Interview data on participants' mental health, socio-demographic characteristics and potential confounders were collected. Mental health behaviour was assessed using the German version of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ). Using a personal dosimeter, we obtained radio-frequency EMF exposure profiles over 24 h. Exposure levels over waking hours were expressed as mean percentage of the reference level. Overall, exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields was far below the reference level. Seven percent of the children and 5% of the adolescents showed an abnormal mental behaviour. In the multiple logistic regression analyses measured exposure to RF fields in the highest quartile was associated to overall behavioural problems for adolescents (OR 2.2; 95% CI 1.1-4.5) but not for children (1.3; 0.7-2.6). These results are mainly driven by one subscale, as the results showed an association between exposure and conduct problems for adolescents (3.7; 1.6-8.4) and children (2.9; 1.4-5.9). As this is one of the first studies that investigated an association between exposure to mobile telecommunication networks and mental health behaviour more studies using personal dosimetry are warranted to confirm these findings.

Yuan K, Qin W, Wang G, Zeng F, Zhao L, Yang X, Liu P, Liu J, Sun J, von Deneen KM, Gong Q, Liu Y, Tian J. Microstructure abnormalities in adolescents with internet addiction disorder. PLoS One.6(6):e20708, 2011.

BACKGROUND: Recent studies suggest that internet addiction disorder (IAD) is associated with structural abnormalities in brain gray matter. However, few studies have investigated the effects of internet addiction on the microstructural integrity of major neuronal fiber pathways, and almost no studies have assessed the microstructural changes with the duration of internet addiction. **METHODOLOGY/PRINCIPAL FINDINGS:** We investigated the morphology of the brain in adolescents with IAD (N=18) using an optimized voxel-based morphometry (VBM) technique, and studied the white matter fractional anisotropy (FA) changes using the diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) method, linking these brain structural measures to the duration of IAD. We provided evidences demonstrating the multiple structural changes of the brain in IAD subjects. VBM results indicated the decreased gray matter volume in the bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), the supplementary motor area (SMA), the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), the cerebellum and the left rostral ACC (rACC). DTI analysis revealed the enhanced FA value of the left posterior limb of the internal capsule (PLIC) and reduced FA value in the white matter within the right parahippocampal gyrus (PHG). Gray matter volumes of the DLPFC, rACC, SMA, and white matter FA changes of the PLIC were significantly correlated with the duration of internet addiction in the adolescents with IAD. **CONCLUSIONS:** Our results suggested that long-term internet addiction would result in brain structural alterations, which probably contributed to chronic dysfunction in subjects with IAD. The current study may shed further light on the potential brain effects of IAD.

Hirata A, Kojima M, Kawai H, Yamashiro Y, Watanabe S, Sasaki H, Fujiwara O. Acute dosimetry and estimation of threshold inducing behavioral signs of thermal stress in rabbits at 2.45-GHz microwave exposure. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 57(5):1234-42, 2010.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

In the current international guidelines and standards for human exposure to microwaves, the basic restriction is determined by the whole-body average specific absorption rate (SAR). The basis for the guidelines is the adverse effect such as work stoppage in animals for whole-body average SARs above a certain level. Although it is known that absorbed microwave energy causes the behavioral sign of thermal stress, the relationship of whole-body average SAR with temperature/temperature elevation has not been sufficiently investigated. In the present study, we performed experiments on rabbits exposed to 2.45-GHz microwaves. A total of 24 measurements were conducted for power densities from approximately 100 to 1,000 W/m². Our computational code for electromagnetic-thermal dosimetry was used to set the exposure time duration and incident power density. Our experimental results suggest that a core temperature elevation of 1°C is an estimate of the threshold inducing complex behavioral signs of microwave-induced thermal stress in rabbits for different whole-body average SARs and exposure time durations. The whole-body average SAR required for microwave-induced behavioral sign in rabbits was estimated as approximately 1.3 W/kg for 2.45-GHz microwaves.

Duan L, Shan Y, Yu X, [Observations of changes in neurobehavioral functions in workers exposed to high-frequency radiation]. Chung Hua Yu Fang I Hsueh Tsa Chih 32(2):109-111, 1998. [Article in Chinese]

OBJECTIVE: To study the effects of exposure to high-frequency radiation on neurobehavioral function of the exposed workers and its measurement in evaluating occupational hazards caused by it. **METHODS:** Four neurobehavioral functions were tested for the workers exposed to high-frequency radiation with Neurobehavioral Core Tests Battery recommended by WHO. **RESULTS:** Scores for various indicators in exposed workers were significantly lower than those in controls, and correlated to the detection of neurasthenia in the exposed workers, to certain extent. **CONCLUSION:** Changes in neurobehavioral function in workers exposed to high-frequency radiation can reflect its important adverse effects.

Immune Function

Li CY, Liao MH, Lin CW, Tsai WS, Huang CC, Tang TK. Inhibitory Effects of Microwave Radiation on LPS-Induced NFκB Expression in THP-1 Monocytes. Chin J Physiol. 55(6):421-427, 2012.

Microwave radiations can be encountered regularly in daily lives. When WHO announced that microwave radiations were a kind of environmental energy which interfere with the physiological functions of the human body, great concerns have been raised over the damages microwave frequencies can do to human physiology. The immunological performance and the activities of the cellular inflammatory factor NFκB have been closely related in monocyte. Due to the effect of phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) on THP-1 monocytes, THP-1 monocytes would differentiate into macrophages and would then react with lipopolysaccharides (LPS), and the amount of NFκB increased in the THP-

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

1 monocytes. Expression of cytokine is affected when cells are exposed to a frequency of **2450 MHz** and at 900 W. Thus, in our experiments, an observation was made when THP-1 monocytes were stimulated with PMA and LPS to differentiate into macrophage, the amount of NFkB in cells increased exponentially, and the levels of NFkB expression were decreased by the exposure of microwave radiation. In conclusion, microwave radiations were found to inhibit the activity functions of THP-1 monocytes stimulated with PMA and LPS.

Elekes, E, Thuroczy, G, Szabo, LD, Effect on the immune system of mice exposed chronically to 50 Hz amplitude-modulated 2.45 GHz microwaves. *Bioelectromagnetics* 17(3):246-248, 1996.

The effect of continuous (CW; **2.45 GHz** carrier frequency) or amplitude-modulated (AM; 50 Hz square wave) microwave radiation on the immune response was tested. CW exposures (6 days, 3 h/day) induced elevations of the number of antibody-producing cells in the spleen of male Balb/c mice (+37%). AM microwave exposure induced elevation of the spleen index (+15%) and antibody-producing cell number (+55%) in the spleen of male mice. No changes were observed in female mice. It is concluded that both types of exposure conditions induced moderate elevation of antibody production only in male mice.

Effects on Protein

George DF, Bilek MM, McKenzie DR. Non-thermal effects in the microwave induced unfolding of proteins observed by chaperone binding. *Bioelectromagnetics* 29(4):324-330, 2008.

We study the effect of microwaves at **2,450 MHz** on protein unfolding using surface plasmon resonance sensing. Our experimental method makes use of the fact that unfolding proteins tend to bind to chaperones on their unfolding pathway and this attachment is readily monitored by surface plasmon resonance. We use the protein citrate synthase (CS) for this study as it shows strong binding to the chaperone alpha crystallin when stressed by exposure to excess temperature. The results of microwave heating are compared with the effect of ambient heating and a combination of ambient and microwave heating to the same final temperature. We study the temperature distributions during the heating process. We show that microwaves cause a significantly higher degree of unfolding than conventional thermal stress for protein solutions heated to the same maximum temperature.

Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity

Nordin S, Neely G, Olsson D, Sandström M. Odor and Noise Intolerance in Persons with Self-Reported Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 11(9):8794-8805, 2014.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Lack of confirmation of symptoms attributed to electromagnetic fields (EMF) and triggered by EMF exposure has highlighted the role of individual factors. Prior observations indicate intolerance to other types of environmental exposures among persons with electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS). This study assessed differences in odor and noise intolerance between persons with EHS and healthy controls by use of subscales and global measures of the Chemical Sensitivity Scale (CSS) and the Noise Sensitivity Scale (NSS). The EHS group scored significantly higher than the controls on all CSS and NSS scales. Correlation coefficients between CSS and NSS scores ranged from 0.60 to 0.65 across measures. The findings suggest an association between EHS and odor and noise intolerance, encouraging further investigation of individual factors for understanding EMF-related symptoms.

Landgrebe M, Hauser S, Langguth B, Frick U, Hajak G, Eichhammer P. Altered cortical excitability in subjectively electrosensitive patients: results of a pilot study. J Psychosom Res. 62(3):283-288, 2007.

OBJECTIVE: Hypersensitivity to electromagnetic fields is frequently claimed to be linked to a variety of unspecific somatic and/or neuropsychological complaints. Whereas provocation studies often failed to demonstrate a causal relationship between electromagnetic field exposure and symptom formation, neurophysiological examinations highlight baseline deviations in people claiming to be electrosensitive. **METHODS:** To elucidate a potential role of dysfunctional cortical regulations in mediating hypersensitivity to electromagnetic fields, cortical excitability parameters were measured by transcranial magnetic stimulation in subjectively electrosensitive patients (n=23) and two control groups (n=49) differing in their level of unspecific health complaints. **RESULTS:** Electrosensitive patients showed reduced intracortical facilitation as compared to both control groups, while motor thresholds and intracortical inhibition were unaffected. **CONCLUSIONS:** This pilot study gives additional evidence that altered central nervous system function may account for symptom manifestation in subjectively electrosensitive patients as has been postulated for several chronic multisymptom illnesses sharing a similar clustering of symptoms.

Hagström M, Auranen J, Ekman R. Electromagnetic hypersensitive Finns: Symptoms, perceived sources and treatments, a questionnaire study. Pathophysiology. 2013 Apr 1. pii: S0928-4680(13)00002-3.

The aim was to analyze the subjective experiences of Finns who describe themselves as suffering from electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS), their symptoms, self-perceived sources of the health complaints and the effectiveness of medical and complementary alternative therapies. A total of 395 questionnaires were mailed to self-diagnosed EHS persons. Of the participants 345 belonged to a Finnish self-help group and 50 came from outside of the group. The return rate of the study was 52.1% (206) and 80.9% of the respondents were women. Before the onset of EHS the most common health complaints were different types of allergies (35.1%, 68). During the acute phase of EHS the most common symptoms were nervous system related: "stress" (60.3%, 117), "sleeping disorders" (59.3%, 115) and "fatigue" (57.2%, 111). The sources that were most often

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

reported to have triggered EHS were: "personal computers" (50.8%, 94) and "mobile phones" (47.0%, 87). The same devices were also claimed to cause the most symptoms during the acute phase. After the acute phase of EHS had passed, the respondents still claimed to react to these same digital and wireless devices while their reactions to basic electrical appliances were reduced. According to 76% of 157 respondents the reduction or avoidance of electromagnetic fields (EMF) helped in their full or partial recovery. The best treatments for EHS were given as: "dietary change" (69.4%), "nutritional supplements" (67.8%) and "increased physical exercise" (61.6%). The official treatment recommendations of psychotherapy (2.6%) and medication (-4.2%) were not significantly helpful. According to the present results the official treatment protocols should take better account the EHS person's own experiences. The avoidance of electromagnetic radiation and fields effectively removed or lessened the symptoms in EHS persons.

Landgrebe M, Frick U, Hauser S, Langguth B, Rosner R, Hajak G, Eichhammer P. Cognitive and neurobiological alterations in electromagnetic hypersensitive patients: results of a case-control study. Psychol Med. 38(12):1781-1791, 2008.

BACKGROUND: Hypersensitivity to electromagnetic fields (EMF) is frequently claimed to be linked to a variety of non-specific somatic and neuropsychological complaints. Whereas provocation studies often failed to demonstrate a causal relationship between EMF exposure and symptom formation, recent studies point to a complex interplay of neurophysiological and cognitive alterations contributing to symptom manifestation in electromagnetic hypersensitive patients (EHS). However, these studies have examined only small sample sizes or have focused on selected aspects. Therefore this study examined in the largest sample of EHS EMF-specific cognitive correlates, discrimination ability and neurobiological parameters in order to get further insight into the pathophysiology of electromagnetic hypersensitivity. METHOD: In a case-control design 89 EHS and 107 age- and gender-matched controls were included in the study. Health status and EMF-specific cognitions were evaluated using standardized questionnaires. Perception thresholds following single transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) pulses to the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex were determined using a standardized blinded measurement protocol. Cortical excitability parameters were measured by TMS. RESULTS: Discrimination ability was significantly reduced in EHS (only 40% of the EHS but 60% of the controls felt no sensation under sham stimulation during the complete series), whereas the perception thresholds for real magnetic pulses were comparable in both groups (median 21% versus 24% of maximum pulse intensity). Intra-cortical facilitation was decreased in younger and increased in older EHS. In addition, typical EMF-related cognitions (aspects of rumination, symptom intolerance, vulnerability and stabilizing self-esteem) specifically differentiated EHS from their controls. CONCLUSIONS: These results demonstrate significant cognitive and neurobiological alterations pointing to a higher genuine individual vulnerability of electromagnetic hypersensitive patients.

Landgrebe M, Frick U, Hauser S, Hajak G, Langguth B. Association of tinnitus and electromagnetic hypersensitivity: hints for a shared pathophysiology? PLoS One.4(3):e5026, 2009.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

BACKGROUND: Tinnitus is a frequent condition with high morbidity and impairment in quality of life. The pathophysiology is still incompletely understood. Electromagnetic fields are discussed to be involved in the multi-factorial pathogenesis of tinnitus, but data proving this relationship are very limited. Potential health hazards of electromagnetic fields (EMF) have been under discussion for long. Especially, individuals claiming themselves to be electromagnetic hypersensitive suffer from a variety of unspecific symptoms, which they attribute to EMF-exposure. The aim of the study was to elucidate the relationship between EMF-exposure, electromagnetic hypersensitivity and tinnitus using a case-control design. **METHODOLOGY:** Tinnitus occurrence and tinnitus severity were assessed by questionnaires in 89 electromagnetic hypersensitive patients and 107 controls matched for age-, gender, living surroundings and workplace. Using a logistic regression approach, potential risk factors for the development of tinnitus were evaluated. **FINDINGS:** Tinnitus was significantly more frequent in the electromagnetic hypersensitive group (50.72% vs. 17.5%) whereas tinnitus duration and severity did not differ between groups. Electromagnetic hypersensitivity and tinnitus were independent risk factors for sleep disturbances. However, measures of individual EMF-exposure like e.g. cell phone use did not show any association with tinnitus. **CONCLUSIONS:** Our data indicate that tinnitus is associated with subjective electromagnetic hypersensitivity. An individual vulnerability probably due to an over activated cortical distress network seems to be responsible for, both, electromagnetic hypersensitivity and tinnitus. Hence, therapeutic efforts should focus on treatment strategies (e.g. cognitive behavioral therapy) aiming at normalizing this dysfunctional distress network.

Effects on Critical Organs

Phelan AM, Neubauer CF, Timm R, Neirenberg J, Lange DG, Athermal alterations in the structure of the canalicular membrane and ATPase activity induced by thermal levels of microwave radiation. Radiat Res 137(1):52-58, 1994.

Sprague-Dawley rats (200-250 g) were exposed 30 min/day for 4 days to thermogenic levels (rectal temperature increase of 2.2 degrees C) of microwave radiation [2.45 GHz, 80 mW/cm², continuous-wave mode (CW)] or to a radiant heat source resulting in an equivalent increase in body temperature of 2.2 degrees C. On the fifth day after the 4 days of exposure to microwave radiation, the animals were sacrificed and their livers removed. The canalicular membranes were isolated and evaluated for adenosinetriphosphatase (ATPase) activity, total fatty acid composition and membrane fluidity characteristics. Mg(++)-ATPase activity (V_{max}) decreased by 48.5% in the group exposed to microwave radiation, with no significant change in the group exposed to radiant heat. The decrease in Mg(++)-ATPase was partially compensated by a concomitant increase in Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity (170% increase in V_{max} over control) in animals exposed to microwave radiation, while no change occurred in the group exposed to radiant heat. This alteration in ATPase activity in the group exposed to microwave radiation is associated with a large decrease in the ratio of saturated to unsaturated fatty acids. Conversely, the group exposed to radiant heat had an increase in the ratio of

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

saturated to unsaturated fatty acids. The most dramatic changes were found in the levels of arachidonic acid. Finally, the electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spin label technique used to measure the fluidity of the canalicular membranes of the animals in the three groups (sham, microwave radiation and radiant heat) indicated that the results were different in the three groups, reflecting the changes found in their fatty acid composition. The physiological response to "equivalent" thermal loads in rats is expressed differently for different types of energy sources. Possible mechanisms producing these divergent thermogenic responses are discussed.

Lange DG, D'Antuono ME, Timm RR, Ishii TK, Fujimoto JM. Differential response of the permeability of the rat liver canalicular membrane to sucrose and mannitol following in vivo acute single and multiple exposures to microwave radiation (2.45 GHz) and radiant-energy thermal stress. Radiat Res 134(1):54-62, 1993.

Both acute and chronic exposures to microwave radiation altered the function of the rat canalicular membrane. A single acute exposure to microwave radiation [80 mW/cm², **2.45 GHz**, continuous wave, 30 min exposure (SAR approximately equal to 72 W/kg)] or a matched radiant-energy thermal load, both designed to raise core body temperature approximately 3 degrees C, decreased the permeability of the canalicular membrane of male Sprague-Dawley rats to sucrose. The change in canalicular membrane permeability was demonstrated by a significant increase in the percentage of [3H]sucrose recovered in bile following its administration by a segmented retrograde intrabiliary injection. Similar acute exposures to microwave and radiant-energy thermal sources produced no significant alterations in canalicular membrane permeability to [14C]mannitol. In both acute exposure protocols, a rapidly reversible increase in bile flow rate was observed. Four exposures (30 min/day x 4 days) to either microwave radiation (80 mW/cm²) or a matched radiant-energy thermal load resulted in a significant depression in bile flow rate at normothermic temperatures. Animals receiving multiple exposures to microwave radiation had significant decreases in canalicular membrane permeability to both [3H]sucrose and [14C]mannitol, while similar exposure to radiant-energy thermal load alone altered canalicular membrane permeability to [3H]sucrose. An examination of the hepatic clearance of sucrose and mannitol following acute microwave exposure demonstrated no significant differences. Thus acute single exposure to microwave and radiant-energy thermal loads produced similar alterations in canalicular membrane permeability. Conversely, multiple exposures produced nonreversible changes in bile flow rate and canalicular membrane permeability, with microwave exposure producing greater alterations in the function of the canalicular membrane than an equivalent radiant-energy thermal load.

Somosy Z, Thuroczy G, Kovacs J, Effects of modulated and continuous microwave irradiation on pyroantimonate precipitable calcium content in junctional complex of mouse small intestine. Scanning Microsc 7(4):1255-1261, 1993.

The pyroantimonate precipitable calcium content of intestinal epithelial cells was investigated in mice following total body irradiation with **2450 MHz** continuous and low frequency (16 Hz) square modulated waves. In the control animals the reaction products

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

appeared in the intercellular space of adjacent cells including intermediate junctions and desmosomes and were absent in the area of tight junctions. Immediately after low frequency modulated microwave irradiation at 0.5 and 1 mW/cm² power densities, a rapid distribution of pyroantimonate precipitable calcium content was observed. The pyroantimonate deposits were located on the cytoplasmic side of lateral membrane, in the area of junctional complex, including tight junction, and in other parts of lateral plasma membrane. These changes were reversible and 24 hours after the irradiation the distribution of pyroantimonate deposits was similar to the control. Continuous waves with same energy not altered the distribution of precipitable calcium. We conclude the low frequency modulated microwave irradiation can modify the calcium distribution without heat effects.

Effects on Sleep

Liu H, Chen G, Pan Y, Chen Z, Jin W, Sun C, Chen C, Dong X, Chen K, Xu Z, Zhang S, Yu Y. (2014) Occupational Electromagnetic Field Exposures Associated with Sleep Quality: A Cross-Sectional Study. PLoS ONE 9(10): e110825. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110825.

BACKGROUND: Exposure to electromagnetic field (EMF) emitted by mobile phone and other machineries concerns half the world's population and raises the problem of their impact on human health. The present study aims to explore the effects of electromagnetic field exposures on sleep quality and sleep duration among workers from electric power plant. **METHODS:** A cross-sectional study was conducted in an electric power plant of Zhejiang Province, China. A total of 854 participants were included in the final analysis. The detailed information of participants was obtained by trained investigators using a structured questionnaire, which including socio-demographic characteristics, lifestyle variables, sleep variables and electromagnetic exposures. Physical examination and venous blood collection were also carried out for every study subject. **RESULTS:** After grouping daily occupational electromagnetic exposure into three categories, subjects with long daily exposure time had a significantly higher risk of poor sleep quality in comparison to those with short daily exposure time. The adjusted odds ratios were 1.68 (95%CI: 1.18, 2.39) and 1.57 (95%CI: 1.10, 2.24) across tertiles. Additionally, among the subjects with long-term occupational exposure, the longer daily occupational time apparently increased the risk of poor sleep quality (OR (95%CI): 2.12 (1.23~3.66) in the second tertile; 1.83 (1.07~3.15) in the third tertile). There was no significant association of long-term occupational exposure duration, monthly electric fee or years of mobile-phone use with sleep quality or sleep duration. **CONCLUSIONS:** The findings showed that daily occupational EMF exposure was positively associated with poor sleep quality. It implies EMF exposure may damage human sleep quality rather than sleep duration.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects**Synergistic Health Effects – RF and Other Agents**

Zhang MB, He JL, Jin LF, Lu DQ. Study of low-intensity 2450-MHz microwave exposure enhancing the genotoxic effects of mitomycin C using micronucleus test and comet assay in vitro. Biomed Environ Sci 15(4):283-290, 2002.

OBJECTIVE: To determine the interaction between **2450-MHz microwaves (MW) radiation and mitomycin C (MMC)**. **METHODS:** The synergistic genotoxic effects of low-intensity 2450-MHz microwave and MMC on human lymphocytes were studied using single cell gel electrophoresis (SCGE) assay (comet assay) and cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus (CBMN) test in vitro. The whole blood cells from a male donor and a female donor were either only exposed to 2450-MHz microwaves (5.0 mW/cm²) for 2 h or only exposed to MMC (0.0125 microgram/mL, 0.025 microgram/mL and 0.1 microgram/mL) for 24 h; and the samples were exposed to MMC for 24 h after exposure to MW for 2 h. **RESULTS:** In the comet assay, the comet lengths (29.1 microns and 25.9 microns) of MW were not significantly longer than those (26.3 microns and 24.1 microns) of controls ($P > 0.05$). The comet lengths (57.4 microns, 68.9 microns, 91.4 microns, 150.6 microns, 71.7 microns, 100.1 microns, 145.1 microns) of 4 MMC groups were significantly longer than those of controls ($P < 0.01$). The comet lengths (59.1 microns, 92.3 microns, 124.5 microns, 182.7 microns and 57.4 microns, 85.5 microns, 137.5 microns, 178.3 microns) of 4 MW plus MMC groups were significantly longer than those of controls too ($P < 0.01$). The comet lengths of MW plus MMC groups were significantly longer than those of the corresponding MMC doses ($P < 0.05$ or $P < 0.01$) when the doses of MMC were $>$ or $=$ 0.025 microgram/mL. In the CBMN, the micronucleated cell (MNC) rates of MW were 5@1000 and 6@1000, which showed no difference compared with those (4@1000 and 4@1000) of controls ($P > 0.05$). The MNC rates of 4 MMC groups were 8@1000, 9@1000, 14@1000, 23@1000 and 8@1000, 8@1000, 16@1000, 30@1000 respectively. When the doses of MMC were $>$ or $=$ 0.05 microgram/mL, MNC rates of MMC were higher than those of controls ($P < 0.05$). MNC rates of 4 MW plus MMC groups were 12@1000, 13@1000, 20@1000, 32@1000 and 8@1000, 9@1000, 23@1000, 40@1000. When the doses of MMC were $>$ or $=$ 0.05 microgram/mL, MNC rates of MW plus MMC groups were much higher than those of controls ($P < 0.01$). MNC rates of 4 MW plus MMC groups were not significantly higher than those of the corresponding MMC doses. **CONCLUSION:** The low-intensity 2450-MHz microwave radiation can not induce DNA and chromosome damage, but can increase DNA damage effect induced by MMC in comet assay.

Zhang MB, Jin LF, He JL, Hu J, Zheng W. [Effects of 2,450 MHz microwave on DNA damage induced by three chemical mutagens in vitro] Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi. 21(4):266-269, 2003. [Article in Chinese]

OBJECTIVE: To study the combined damage-effects of low-intensity **2,450 MHz** microwave (MW) with three chemical mutagens on human lymphocyte DNA. **METHODS:** DNA damage of lymphocytes exposed to microwave and(or) with chemical mutagens were observed at different incubation time (0 h or 21 h) with comet assay in vitro. Three

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

combination-exposure ways of MW with chemicals were used: MW irradiation before chemical exposures, simultaneously exposed to MW and chemicals and MW irradiation after chemical exposures. The three chemical mutagens were mitomycin C (MMC, DNA crosslinker), bleomycin (BLM, radiometric agent), methyl methanesulfonate (MMS, alkylating agent). The exposure time of MW and chemical mutagens were 2 h and 3 h respectively. RESULTS: The differences of comet tail length between MW group and control group were not significant when lymphocytes were incubated for 0 h or 21 h ($P > 0.05$). However, when lymphocytes were incubated for 21 h with 30.00 micro mol/L of MMC, the comet tail lengths of MW + MMC group, MW-MMC group and MMC + MW group were (18.00 +/- 5.96), (21.79 +/- 11.47) and (22.32 +/- 8.10) micro m respectively; while with 3.00 micro mol/L of MMC, the comet tail lengths were (8.99 +/- 3.75), (12.40 +/- 5.35) and (14.00 +/- 5.38) micro m respectively, which were significantly higher than those of corresponding MMC groups [(9.42 +/- 3.34) and (6.50 +/- 2.89) micro m, $P < 0.01$ or $P < 0.05$]. The DNA damage of MW plus BLM groups and MW plus MMS groups were not significantly different from the corresponding BLM and MMS groups ($P < 0.05$). CONCLUSION: 2 450 MHz MW (5 mW/cm²) did not induce DNA damage directly, but could enhance the DNA damage effects induced by MMC. The synergistic effects of 2 450 MHz MW with BLM and MMS were not obvious.

Nelson BK, Conover DL, Shaw PB, Snyder DL, Edwards RM, Interactions of radiofrequency radiation on 2-methoxyethanol teratogenicity in rats. *J Appl Toxicol* 17(1):31-39, 1997.

Concurrent exposures to chemical and physical agents occur in the workplace; exposed workers include those involved with microelectronics industry, plastic sealers and electrosurgical units. Previous animal research indicates that hyperthermia induced by an elevation in ambient temperature can potentiate the toxicity and teratogenicity of some chemical agents. We previously demonstrated that combined exposure to radiofrequency (r.f.; 10 MHz) radiation, which also induces hyperthermia and is teratogenic to exposed animals, and the industrial solvent 2-methoxyethanol (2ME) produces enhanced teratogenicity in rats. A subsequent study replicated and extended that research by investigating the interactive dose-related teratogenicity of r.f. radiation (sham exposure or maintaining colonic temperatures at 42.0 degrees C for 0, 10, 20 or 30 min by r.f. radiation absorption) and 2ME (0, 75, 100, 125 or 150 mg/kg) on gestation days 9 or 13 of rats. The purpose of the present research is to determine the effects of r.f. radiation (sufficient to maintain colonic temperatures at 42.0 degrees C for 10 min) on a range of doses of 2ME (0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120 and 140 mg kg⁻¹) administered on gestation day 13 of rats. Focusing on characterizing the dose-response pattern of interactions, this research seeks to determine the lowest interactive effect level. Day 20 fetuses were examined for external and skeletal malformations. The results are consistent with previous observations. Dose-related developmental toxicity was observed for 2ME both in the presence and absence of r.f. radiation. However, concurrent RF radiation exposure changed the shape of the dose-effect curve of 2ME. These data indicate that combined exposure effects should be considered when developing exposure guidelines and intervention strategies.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Nelson BK, Conover DL, Krieg EF Jr, Snyder DL, Edwards RM, Interactions of radiofrequency radiation-induced hyperthermia and 2-methoxyethanol teratogenicity in rats. *Bioelectromagnetics*. 18(5):349-359, 1997.

Radiofrequency (RF) radiation is used in a variety of workplaces. In addition to RF radiation, many workers are concurrently exposed to numerous chemicals; exposed workers include those involved with the microelectronics industry, plastic sealers, and electrosurgical units. The developmental toxicity of RF radiation is associated with the degree and duration of hyperthermia induced by the exposure. Previous animal research indicates that hyperthermia induced by an elevation in ambient temperature can potentiate the toxicity and teratogenicity of some chemical agents. We previously demonstrated that combined exposure to RF radiation (10 MHz) and the industrial solvent, 2-methoxyethanol (2ME), produces enhanced teratogenicity in rats. The purpose of the present research is to determine the effects of varying the degree and duration of hyperthermia induced by RF radiation (sufficient to maintain colonic temperatures at control [38.5], 39.0, 40.0, or 41.0 degrees C for up to 6 h) and 2ME (100 mg/kg) administered on gestation day 13 of rats. Focusing on characterizing the dose-response pattern of interactions, this research seeks to determine the lowest interactive effect level. Day 20 fetuses were examined for external and skeletal malformations. The results are consistent with previous observations. Significant interactions were observed between 2ME and RF radiation sufficient to maintain colonic temperatures at 41 degrees C for 1 h, but no consistent interactions were seen at lower temperatures even with longer durations. These data indicate that combined exposure effects should be considered when developing both RF radiation and chemical exposure guidelines and intervention strategies.

Effects on the Environment

Soran ML, Stan M, Niinemets U, Copolovici L. Influence of microwave frequency electromagnetic radiation on terpene emission and content in aromatic plants. *J Plant Physiol*. 171(15):1436-1443, 2014

Influence of environmental stress factors on both crop and wild plants of nutritional value is an important research topic. The past research has focused on rising temperatures, drought, soil salinity and toxicity, but the potential effects of increased environmental contamination by human-generated electromagnetic radiation on plants have little been studied. Here we studied the influence of microwave irradiation at bands corresponding to **wireless router (WLAN) and mobile devices (GSM)** on leaf anatomy, essential oil content and volatile emissions in *Petroselinum crispum*, *Apium graveolens* and *Anethum graveolens*. Microwave irradiation resulted in thinner cell walls, smaller chloroplasts and mitochondria, and enhanced emissions of volatile compounds, in particular, monoterpenes and green leaf volatiles (GLV). These effects

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

were stronger for WLAN-frequency microwaves. Essential oil content was enhanced by GSM-frequency microwaves, but the effect of WLAN-frequency microwaves was inhibitory. There was a direct relationship between microwave-induced structural and chemical modifications of the three plant species studied. These data collectively demonstrate that human-generated microwave pollution can potentially constitute a stress to the plants.

Miscellaneous Effects

Ramundo-Orlando A, Liberti M, Mossa G, D'Inzeo G. Effects of 2.45 GHz microwave fields on liposomes entrapping glycoenzyme ascorbate oxidase: evidence for oligosaccharide side chain involvement. Bioelectromagnetics. 25(5):338-345, 2004.

Previous observations reported by our group indicate that 2.45 GHz microwave fields at specific absorption rate (SAR) of 5.6 W/kg reduce the enzyme activity rate of ascorbate oxidase (AO) trapped in liposomes. In this study, we report dose-response studies on these AO containing liposomes irradiated at different SAR values (1.4, 2.8, 4.2, and 5.6 W/kg). No response was observed for SAR below 5.6 W/kg. Liposomes entrapping functional AO in its deglycated form (AO-D) were also used. In this case, no MW related enzyme activity changes were observed, demonstrating a direct involvement of oligosaccharide chains of AO. Furthermore, the catalytic properties of both AO and AO-D were not impaired by MW irradiation, neither in homogeneous solution nor loaded in liposomes, excluding possible changes in the conformation of enzyme as a mechanism. Our results suggest that the oligosaccharide chains of AO are critical to elicit the microwave observed effects on lipid membrane.

Saalman E, Norden B, Arvidsson L, Hamnerius Y, Hojevik P, Connell KE, Kurucsev T, Effect of 2.45 GHz microwave radiation on permeability of unilamellar liposomes to 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein. Evidence of non-thermal leakage. Biochim Biophys Acta 1064(1):124-130, 1991.

The influence of **2.45 GHz microwave radiation** on the membrane permeability of unilamellar liposomes was studied using the marker 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein trapped in phosphatidylcholine liposomes. The release of the fluorescent marker was followed by spectrofluorimetry after an exposure of 10 minutes to either microwave radiation or to heat alone of the liposome solutions. A significant increase of the permeability of carboxyfluorescein through the membrane was observed for the microwave-exposed samples compared to those exposed to normal heating only. Exposure to 2.45 GHz microwave radiation of liposomes has been previously found to produce increased membrane permeability as compared with heating. However, in contrast to previous studies, the observations reported here were made above the phase transition temperature of the lipid membrane. The experimental setup included monitoring of the temperature during microwave exposure simultaneously at several points in the solution volume using a fiberoptic thermometer. Possible mechanisms to explain the observations

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

are discussed.

Panagopoulos, D. J., Johansson O. & Carlo G.L. Polarization: A Key Difference between Man-made and Natural Electromagnetic Fields, in regard to Biological Activity. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 14914; doi: 10.1038/srep14914 (2015). Published online Oct 12, 2015.

In the present study we analyze the role of polarization in the biological activity of Electromagnetic Fields (EMFs)/Electromagnetic Radiation (EMR). All types of man-made EMFs/EMR - in contrast to natural EMFs/EMR - are polarized. Polarized EMFs/EMR can have increased biological activity, due to: 1) Ability to produce constructive interference effects and amplify their intensities at many locations. 2) Ability to force all charged/polar molecules and especially free ions within and around all living cells to oscillate on parallel planes and in phase with the applied polarized field. Such ionic forced-oscillations exert additive electrostatic forces on the sensors of cell membrane electro-sensitive ion channels, resulting in their irregular gating and consequent disruption of the cell's electrochemical balance. These features render man-made EMFs/EMR more bioactive than natural non-ionizing EMFs/EMR. This explains the increasing number of biological effects discovered during the past few decades to be induced by man-made EMFs, in contrast to natural EMFs in the terrestrial environment which have always been present throughout evolution, although human exposure to the latter ones is normally of significantly higher intensities/energy and longer durations. Thus, polarization seems to be a trigger that significantly increases the probability for the initiation of biological/health effects.

Nelson BK, Conover DL, Krieg EF Jr, Snyder DL, Edwards RM, Effect of environmental temperature on the interactive developmental toxicity of radiofrequency radiation and 2-methoxyethanol in rats. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 71(6):413-423, 1998.

OBJECTIVE: This research was conducted to determine if altered environmental temperatures would affect the interactive developmental toxicity of radiofrequency (RF) radiation and the industrial solvent, 2-methoxyethanol (2ME). This is important because RF radiation is used in a variety of workplaces that have poorly controlled environmental temperatures, and many workers are concurrently exposed to various chemicals. Furthermore, we have previously demonstrated that combined exposure to RF radiation (10 MHz) and 2ME produces enhanced teratogenicity in rats. METHODS: RF radiation sufficient to maintain colonic temperatures at the control value (38degrees), 39.0degrees or 40.0 degrees C for 2 or 4 h combined with either 0 or 100 mg/ kg 2ME at environmental temperatures of 18 degrees , 24 degrees and 30 degrees C (65 degrees , 75 degrees , and 85 degrees F) were given on gestation day 13 to Sprague-Dawley rats. Dams were killed on gestation day 20, and the fetuses were examined for external malformations. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS: Environmental temperature does affect the specific absorption rate (SAR) necessary to maintain a specific colonic temperature but does not affect the interactive developmental toxicity of RF radiation and 2ME in rats. These results, consistent with the literature, add to the evidence that the developmental toxicity of RF radiation (combined or alone) is associated with colonic temperature, not with SAR.

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

Nelson BK, Snyder DL, Shaw PB, Developmental toxicity interactions of methanol and radiofrequency radiation or 2-methoxyethanol in rats. Int J Toxicol 20(2):89-100, 2001.

This research was undertaken to determine potential interactions among chemical and physical agents. Radiofrequency (RF) radiation is used in numerous workplaces, and many workers are concurrently exposed to RF radiation and various chemicals. The developmental toxicity of RF radiation is associated with the degree and duration of hyperthermia induced by the exposure. Previous animal research indicates that hyperthermia induced by an elevation in ambient temperature can potentiate the toxicity and teratogenicity of some chemical agents. We previously demonstrated that combined exposure to RF radiation (10 MHz) and the industrial solvent, 2-methoxyethanol (2ME), enhanced teratogenicity in rats. Interactions were noted at even the lowest levels of 2ME tested, but only at hyperthermic levels of RF radiation. The purpose of the present research is to investigate if the interactive effects noted for RF radiation and 2ME are unique to these agents, or if similar interactions might be seen with other chemicals. Because methanol is widely used as a solvent as well as fuel additive, and, at high levels, is teratogenic in animals, we selected methanol as a chemical to address generalizability. Based on the literature and our pilot studies, 0, 2, or 3 g/kg methanol (twice, at 6-hour intervals) were administered on gestation day 9 or 13 to groups of 10 Sprague-Dawley rats. Dams treated on day 9 were given methanol and exposed to RF radiation sufficient to maintain colonic temperature at 41 degrees C for 60 minutes (or sham). Those treated on day 13 were given methanol plus either 0 or 100 mg/kg 2ME. Because we observed that methanol produced hypothermia, some groups were given the initial dose of methanol concurrently with the RF or 2ME, and others were given the first dose of methanol 1.5 hours prior to RF or 2ME. Dams were sacrificed on gestation day 20, and the fetuses were examined for external malformations. The results indicate that RF radiation or methanol on day 9 increased the incidence of resorbed fetuses, but no interactive effects were observed. The resorptions were highest in groups given the experimental treatments 1.5 hours apart. The higher dose of methanol also reduced fetal weights. Administration of 2ME or methanol on day 13 increased the rate of malformations, and there was evidence of a positive interaction between 2ME and methanol. Fetal weights were reduced by 2ME and methanol alone, but no interaction was observed. Also, separation of the dosing with the teratogens did not affect the results. These results point out that interactions in developmental toxicology, such as those of RF radiation, 2ME, and methanol that we have studied, are complex, and such interactions cannot be fully understood or predicted without more research. It is important that combined exposure effects be considered when developing both physical agent and chemical agent exposure guidelines and intervention strategies.

Solomentsev GY, English NJ, Mooney DA. Effects of external electromagnetic fields on the conformational sampling of a short alanine peptide. J Comput Chem. 33(9):917-923, 2012.

Non-equilibrium molecular dynamics simulations of a solvated 21-residue polyalanine (A21) peptide, featuring a high propensity for helix formation, have been performed at

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

300 K and 1 bar in the presence of external electromagnetic (e/m) fields in the microwave region (**2.45 GHz**) and an r.m.s. electric field intensity range of 0.01-0.05 V/Å. To investigate how the field presence affects transitions between the conformational states of a protein, we report 16 independent 40 ns-trajectories of A21 starting from both extended and fully folded states. We observe folding-behavior of the peptide consistent with prior simulation and experimental studies. The peptide displays a natural tendency to form stable elements of secondary structure which are stabilized by tertiary interactions with proximate regions of the peptide. Consistent with our earlier work, the presence of external e/m fields disrupts this behavior, involving a mechanism of localized dipolar alignment which serves to enhance intra-protein perturbations in hydrogen bonds (English, et al., J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 133, 091105), leading to more frequent transitions between shorter-lifetime states.

Somosi Z, Thuroczy G, Koteles GJ, Kovacs J, Effects of modulated microwave and X-ray irradiation on the activity and distribution of Ca(2+)-ATPase in small intestine epithelial cells. Scanning Microsc 8(3):613-619; discussion 619-620, 1994.

The distribution and activity of Ca(2+)-ATPase were investigated by histochemical methods in small intestine epithelial cells of mice following total body 2450 MHz low frequency (16 Hz) microwave and X-ray irradiation. In the control animals, enzyme activities were found in the brush border and on lateral membranes, including junctional areas of the cells. The enzyme activity of lateral membranes was inhibited by quercetin, a specific inhibitor of Ca(2+)-ATPase. Immediately after square modulated (16 Hz) 2450 MHz microwave irradiation at 1 mW/cm² power densities, we observed a decreased activity of Ca(2+)-ATPase on the lateral membrane regions. The X-ray irradiation (1 Gy) induced a similar decrease of Ca(2+)-ATPase activity which was reversible within 24 hours. "5 Gy" doses resulted in a decrease of enzyme activities on both apical and lateral membrane areas persisting up to 24 hours following irradiation.

Takashima Y, Hirose H, Koyama S, Suzuki Y, Taki M, Miyakoshi J. Effects of continuous and intermittent exposure to RF fields with a wide range of SARs on cell growth, survival, and cell cycle distribution. Bioelectromagnetics.27(5):392-400, 2006.

To examine the biological effects of radio frequency (RF) electromagnetic fields in vitro, we have examined the fundamental cellular responses, such as cell growth, survival, and cell cycle distribution, following exposure to a wide range of specific absorption rates (SAR). Furthermore, we compared the effects of continuous and intermittent exposure at high SARs. An RF electromagnetic field exposure unit operating at a frequency of **2.45 GHz** was used to expose cells to SARs from 0.05 to 1500 W/kg. When cells were exposed to a continuous RF field at SARs from 0.05 to 100 W/kg for 2 h, cellular growth rate, survival, and cell cycle distribution were not affected. At 200 W/kg, the cell growth rate was suppressed and cell survival decreased. When the cells were exposed to an intermittent RF field at 300 W/kg(pk), 900 W/kg(pk) and 1500 W/kg(pk) (100 W/kg(mean)), no significant differences were observed between these conditions and intermittent wave exposure at 100 W/kg. When cells were exposed to a SAR of 50 W/kg for 2 h, the temperature of the medium around cells rose to 39.1 degrees C, 100 W/kg

Studies that show **WiFi** and Devices Health Effects

exposure increased the temperature to 41.0 degrees C, and 200 W/kg exposure increased the temperature to 44.1 degrees C. Exposure to RF radiation results in heating of the medium, and the thermal effect depends on the mean SAR. Hence, these results suggest that the proliferation disorder is caused by the thermal effect.

Wi-Fi; 2.45-GHz Microwave Irradiation Adversely Affects Reproductive Function in Male Mouse, *Mus Musculus* by Inducing Oxidative and Nitrosative Stress. Free Radical Research (Shahin et al); 2014

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

2.45-GHz microwave irradiation adversely affects reproductive function in male mouse, *Mus musculus* by inducing oxidative and nitrosative stressS. Shahin¹, V. Mishra^{1,2}, S. P. Singh³ & C. M. Chaturvedi¹¹Department of Zoology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India, ²Department of Molecular Biology, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India, and ³Department of Electronics Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India**Abstract**

Electromagnetic radiations are reported to produce long-term and short-term biological effects, which are of great concern to human health due to increasing use of devices emitting EMR especially microwave (MW) radiation in our daily life. In view of the unavoidable use of MW emitting devices (microwaves oven, mobile phones, Wi-Fi, etc.) and their harmful effects on biological system, it was thought worthwhile to investigate the long-term effects of low-level MW irradiation on the reproductive function of male Swiss strain mice and its mechanism of action. Twelve-week-old mice were exposed to non-thermal low-level 2.45-GHz MW radiation (CW for 2 h/day for 30 days, power density = 0.029812 mW/cm² and SAR = 0.018 W/Kg). Sperm count and sperm viability test were done as well as vital organs were processed to study different stress parameters. Plasma was used for testosterone and testis for 3 β HSD assay. Immunohistochemistry of 3 β HSD and nitric oxide synthase (i-NOS) was also performed in testis. We observed that MW irradiation induced a significant decrease in sperm count and sperm viability along with the decrease in seminiferous tubule diameter and degeneration of seminiferous tubules. Reduction in testicular 3 β HSD activity and plasma testosterone levels was also noted in the exposed group of mice. Increased expression of testicular i-NOS was observed in the MW-irradiated group of mice. Further, these adverse reproductive effects suggest that chronic exposure to nonionizing MW radiation may lead to infertility via free radical species-mediated pathway.

Keywords: microwave irradiation, oxidative stress, free radicals, antioxidant enzymes, steroidogenesis, reproduction**Introduction**

In recent years, there has been many-fold increase in the strength of electromagnetic radiation (EMR) in our environment. EMR is caused by the escape of electromagnetic waves (EMWs) which are produced by the oscillations of electrically charged particles in free space. According to its frequency and energy, EMR can be classified into ionizing radiation (IR) or nonionizing radiation (NIR). IRs involve EMWs of extremely high frequency such as X-rays and gamma rays. These EMWs have enough photon energy to produce ionization by breaking the atomic bonds that hold molecules together in cells. NIR involves the EMWs especially microwaves (MWs) having wavelength from 1 mm to 1 m and frequency between 0.3 and 300 GHz. The photon energy of the NIR is too weak to break the atomic bonds or to produce ionization of molecules. MW radiation can produce thermal effect by inducing electric currents and alter chemical reactions in the cells and tissues. In addition to this, nonthermal effects of MW radiation have also been identified. MW radiations are reported to produce various long-term and short-term biological effects, which are of great concern to human health due to the increasing use of MW-emitting devices in our daily life such as MW oven (2.45 GHz), mobile phones (900/1800/2100 MHz), etc. It has been reported that the

leakage from MW oven is 5 mW/cm² (power density) at 5 cm (estimated SAR value 0.256 W/kg) and 1.5 mW/cm² at 30 cm (estimated SAR value of 0.0056 W/kg) [1]. This suggests that the power density of the MW radiation decreases rapidly with increasing distance from the MW-emitting devices.

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified EMRs as possible carcinogen to humans. People heavily exposed to MW radiations are more prone to nonmalignant tumors and had an increased risk of malignant gliomas and higher rate of acoustic neuromas. Due to health concern issues, some relevant National and International organizations came forward to establish guidelines, legislations and to recommend exposure limits for safe uses. International Council for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) published recommendations concerning the levels of exposure for the general public and workers [2,3]. These guidelines result from the various studies on internal electric fields, currents and MW energy depositions within biological tissues during exposures.

Recent studies elucidated that MW radiation may exert a wide range of adverse effects on the biological system. Exposure to these radiations affect the activation of peritoneal macrophages to a virucidal state, increased immune

response [4–6], modification of the lipid peroxidation conditions [7–9] etc. The low-level nonthermal MW radiation or mobile phone radiation may propagate through the body and affect the reproductive system of both males and females. MW radiation emitted from mobile phones are also reported to exert an adverse effect on the pituitary gonadotropins, gonadal steroids, sperm count, motility, viability, and quality as well as ROS level and total antioxidant capacity (TAC) capacity of semen [10–14]. Our laboratory has reported that in female mice, 2.45-GHz low-level continuous wave (CW) MW radiation affects embryo implantation sites and causes DNA damage in the brain cell of mice [15]. We have also found that nonthermal MW irradiation-induced oxidative stress not only suppresses implantation, but may also lead to implantation failure/resorption and deformity of the embryo in case pregnancy continues [16]. Further, it has been reported that MW exposure may exert a wide range of adverse effects on the testicular function. Radiofrequency (RF) field exposure to anaesthetized rats and mice resulting in elevated testicular or body temperature may cause depletion of the spermatogenic epithelium, abnormality in sperm morphology, reduction in sperm count, and primary spermatocyte resulting in decreased fertility [17–20]. Studies also suggest that exposure to the various RF fields at different level (1.7 and 10 mW m⁻²) from a commercial antenna park produced a rapid drop in fertility in mice [21]. Akdag et al. [22] reported decreased epididymal sperm count and increased percentage of abnormal sperm in rats chronically exposed to 9.45 GHz at a whole-body SAR of about 2 W/kg.

MW radiation acts as one of the environmental stressors, responsible for generating/inducing oxidative stress, a pronounced prooxidant state, caused by the excessive production of free radicals or from the weakening of the antioxidant defense system. Imbalance between the production and manifestation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), that is, extensive production of free radicals, cause toxic effects and damage all the components of a cell, including proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids. Free radicals are also implicated in the induction of apoptosis [23] and cellular senescence [24]. It promotes the oxidation of amino acid residue side chains, formation of protein–protein cross-linkages and oxidation of the protein backbone resulting in fragmentation and aggregation. These oxidative modifications enhance the degradation of critical enzymes by the multicatalytic proteasome complex [25] and thereby results in havoc rise in free radicals throughout the cell lead to oxidative stress.

Both oxidative and nitrosative stress have been attributed to most of the cases of infertility of both males and females [26]. Male infertility, which currently account for approximately half of all cases, is increasing faster than female infertility. Despite the low oxygen tensions that characterize the testicular microenvironment, testis remains vulnerable to oxidative and nitrosative stress due to the abundance of highly unsaturated fatty acid and the presence of potential ROS/RNS generating system.

Substantial evidence suggests that small amount of free radicals are necessary for spermatozoa to acquire fertilizing capabilities [27,28]. Low levels of free radicals are essential for acrosome reaction, hyperactivation, motility, capacitation, and fertilization [29,30]. But at high concentration it damages the sperm membrane which in turn reduces the sperm's motility and ability to fuse with the oocyte. ROS directly damage sperm DNA, compromising the paternal genomic contribution to the embryo. MW radiation emitted from mobile phones may produce ROS which is responsible for inducing infertility [31]. Studies suggest that sperm damage by ROS may occur due to MW field exposure [32–34]. MW exposure at 2.45 GHz decreases sperm count, increases apoptosis, and affects the level of antioxidant enzymes [35]. The increased ROS/RNS production in the testis is responsible for possible toxic effects on physiology of reproduction. However, cells have their defense mechanism, that is, antioxidants (glutathione peroxidase-GPx, catalase-CAT, and superoxide dismutase-SOD) to fight against increased production of ROS. Further, Kesari et al. [36–39] have shown changes in the antioxidant enzyme levels at various MW frequencies (900 MHz, 2.45 GHz, and 50 GHz) in brain and sperm.

Although majority of studies on MW-irradiated animals with reference to reproductive performance are associated with sperm deformities but the underlying mechanism is still unknown. In view of the unavoidable use of MW-emitting devices and their harmful effects on the biological system, it was thought worthwhile to investigate the long-term effects of low-level MW exposure (2.45 GHz) on antioxidant system and ROS/RNS generation as well as alteration in the reproductive function of male mice. For our experiment, we have selected 2.45 GHz frequency which is within the range of 2.45 GHz frequency band allocated for industrial, scientific, medical, and domestic (ISMD) applications and for several wireless communication standards [40]. We have chosen the whole body specific absorption rate (SAR) level of 0.018 W/Kg, which is also comparatively very low as compared with the amount of daily exposure by MW radiation emitting appliances such as mobile phones and other appliances. Present study, for the first time indicates the ROS/NO status in different tissues as well as testicular expression of nitric oxide synthase (i-NOS) and 3 β HSD of MW-exposed mice.

Materials and methods

Study design

Swiss strain male mice (12 weeks old, body weight ~30 g) were obtained from the mice colony of our laboratory maintained under light:dark cycle (LD12:12). Animals were kept under steady-state, received standard pelleted food, and water *ad libitum*. Randomly selected, 40 mice were divided into two groups (control and experimental) of 20 mice each ($N = 20$). Experimental group mice were

irradiated to 2.45-GHz CW low-level MW radiation for 2 h/day, from 09:00 h to 11:00 h for 30 days continuously. The control group mice were subjected to sham exposure. Rectal temperature of both the groups was measured before and after the exposure during the first 3 days and the last 3 days of exposure. Experiment was conducted in accordance with institutional practice and within the framework of the revised animals (Scientific procedures) act of 2002 of the Government of India on Animal welfare. Experiment was repeated twice and the native PAGE assays were repeated five times for each experiment.

All the biochemical parameters, sperm count, and sperm viability test were performed in the tissue of 15 animals while the tissues of other five animals were fixed for routine histology and immunohistochemistry. In the first experiment, brain and testis of only one mouse were fixed while in the second experiment, tissues of four mice were fixed for histology and immunohistochemical study.

Exposure setup

MW power to pyramidal horn antenna was delivered by the Analog Signal Generator MW source (Model no. E 8257D PSG, manufactured by Agilent Technologies, USA). The experimental setup used for MW irradiation of mice consists of Analog Signal Generator covering a frequency range from 250 kHz–20 GHz, a coaxial attenuator, MW amplifier (model no. 8349B Hewlett Packard Co., USA), coaxial to waveguide transition, 20 dB cross-coupler and E-plane bend, pyramidal horn antenna in addition to animal cage and a box covered by MW absorbers (Figure 1). Pyramidal horn antenna was made up of silver-polished brass material. Its throat and mouth dimensions were 7.2×3.2 cm and 9.0×5.0 cm, respectively, and axial length of the antenna from the throat was 10.0 cm. Gain of the horn antenna (G_t) was found to be 4.0657 dB, as calculated by three-antenna method. The distance between pyramidal horn and the mid-plane of animal body (assuming the body length to remain horizontal during exposure) was estimated through far-field criterion ($R \geq 2D^2/\lambda$) to be 25 cm (Figure 1). The animal cage cross-sectional dimensions in E- and H-planes (electric and magnetic field planes

respectively) were designed on the basis of 1.24 and 1.69 dB beam-widths in corresponding planes of the horn, respectively. For this purpose, the horn radiation patterns were also measured at 2.45 GHz in E- and H-planes [41]. Maximum output power measured by the power meter with power sensor (Model no. 836A, manufactured by Agilent Technologies, USA) was 19.8 dBm delivered to the horn antenna from the amplifier. The power meter has a range from 30 μ W to 3 W (Model no. 8481H, Hewlett Packard Co., USA).

Animal cage was designed in such a way that it contained 10 compartments of equal sizes. The dimension of the animal cage was $19.2 \times 17.6 \times 15$ cm and each compartment was $3.5 \times 8.5 \times 15$ cm accommodating single mouse at a time. Pine wood material with low dielectric constant was used for making the cage and its partitions. For air ventilation, small circular holes of 1 cm diameter were drilled on the side and the partition walls of the cage and the upper portion of the cage remained open. Base as well as sides and partition walls of the cage were covered by carbon-impregnated Styrofoam MW absorber to reduce the reflections of scattered beam. Each mouse was kept in the separate compartment of the cage throughout the exposure period. The partitions were made in such a way that mice remain restrained in the cage during the period of MW irradiation and were exposed with their length parallel to E-field. The animal cage was placed on the stand in a box covered from all the four sides by MW absorbers to minimize reflections (Figure 1). Mice were exposed daily for two hours, from 09:00 to 11:00 for 30 days continuously. The temperature in the chamber was maintained at 25–27°C throughout the exposure period by circulating air. The 20-dB cross-coupler and power meter with power sensor were used to measure the power input to antenna and reflections from it. Power transmitted from the antenna was equal to 64.776 mW and this was estimated by subtracting the power reflected from the antenna (when it faces toward free space), from the measured input power. The power density was computed using the following formula:

$$\text{Power Density} = \frac{P_t G_t}{4\pi R^2}$$




Figure 1. Microwave (MW) exposure setup and the position of animal cages during MW exposure. Mice were exposed to nonthermal 2.45-GHz low-level MW radiation for 2 h/day continuously for 30 days using MW source and Pyramidal horn antenna (power density = 0.029812 mW/cm² and SAR = 0.018 W/Kg).

where P_t is the power transmitted in to the cage, G_t is the gain of the horn, and R is the distance between the horn aperture and mid-plane of the body of mouse in the exposure cage. Mice of experimental group were exposed to 2.45-GHz MW radiation at power density = 0.029812 mW/cm² and average specific absorption rate (SAR) = 0.018 W/Kg. The SAR value was estimated for body length parallel to the electric field, as per actual placement of the mouse [41]. The exposure at power density = 0.029812 mW/cm² did not cause any elevation in the ambient temperature of the cage as well as rectal temperature of the mouse. The average rectal temperature of the mice was $35.81 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$ (control group) and $35.9 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$ (experimental group) before exposure and 35.91 ± 0.2 (control group) and 36.05 ± 0.2 (experimental group) after the end of exposure.

Blood collection and tissues sampling

After 24 h of the termination of 30 days exposure, mice were sacrificed by decapitation, and blood was collected in heparinized tubes. Brain was dissected out to separate hypothalamus and liver, kidney, and the testis were removed from the dissected body. After washing with ice-cold sterile physiological saline solution all the tissues were stored in -20°C until processed for study of different parameters. Epididymis was removed to study sperm count and sperm viability. Brain and right testis of five animals were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution for normal histology and Immunohistochemistry.

Histology of testis

Testis was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 24 h, dehydrated through increasing concentrations of ethanol, and embedded in paraffin prior to sectioning. 6- μm -thick sections of testis were cut by Lieca RM2125 RT rotatory microtome (Leica Biosystems Nussloch GmbH, Nussloch, Germany) and processed for routine histology (haematoxylin-eosin staining) followed by morphometric measurements and image analysis.

Sperm viability and sperm count

Sperm viability was assessed using a supra-vital staining technique based on the principle that cells with damaged plasma membrane take up the stain, while viable ones do not [42]. For this, excised cauda epididymis placed in a pre-warmed Petri dish containing 0.5 ml of normal saline (0.9% NaCl) maintained at 37°C was minced with scalpel for approx. 1 min. A drop of sperm suspension and a drop of eosin-negrosin stain (1% eosin Y + 5% negrosin, 1:1) were placed on a clear glass slide and mixed thoroughly with the help of a fine glass rod. A portion of the mixture was transferred to a second slide and a thin film was prepared. The slide was then examined under the microscope (400 $\times$), and one hundred spermatozoa (viable and dead) were counted from 10 different areas on the slide. Spermatozoa appearing pinkish (stained) were considered to be dead, while those appearing colorless (unstained) were

counted as viable. Sperm viability was calculated in percent using the following formula:

$$\text{Viability (\%)} = \frac{\text{number of viable spermatozoa}}{\text{total number of spermatozoa (viable + dead)}} \times 100$$

Sperm count was performed using hemocytometer (Fein-Optik, Jena, Germany) with improved Neubauer ruling [42]. For this, the sperm suspension was diluted 20-fold with normal saline (0.9% NaCl) in a white blood cell pipette; the sperm suspension was drawn to the 0.5 mark halfway up the stem and saline subsequently to the 11 mark at the top of the bubble chamber. The preparation was mixed thoroughly and then one drop of it was added to both sides of the hemocytometer. The number of spermatozoa was counted in the four corner squares of the hemocytometer under a microscope (400 $\times$) and the average numbers were calculated. If spermatozoa crossed the lines of the grid, only those at the top and right-hand sides of the squares were counted. Number of spermatozoa per cauda epididymis was expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sperm number} &= \text{averaged no. of spermatozoa counted (N)} \\ &\times \text{multiplication factor (10,000)} \\ &\times \text{dilution factor (20)} = N \times 10,000 \\ &= N \times 0.2 \times 10^6 \text{ spermatozoa} \end{aligned}$$

Isolation of plasma and tissue homogenization

Blood samples were kept at room temperature for 30 min and then centrifuged at 4000 rpm at 4°C for 15 min. The supernatant was collected as plasma and used for testosterone estimation. For NO and ROS estimation, liver, kidney, hypothalamus, and testis were homogenized in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 30 s using a Polytron homogenizer. The remaining homogenates, after adding protease inhibitor 0.2 μM PMSF, were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C . The resultant supernatant was used for measuring MDA level and determining antioxidant enzymes activity by native gel. Total protein concentration was measured using Lowry's method using BSA as standard.

Measurement of total ROS level

The total ROS generation in fresh homogenate of different tissues was assessed using the method of Bejma et al. [43] with slight modification. For this, 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA), a nonpolar compound was used as a probe. DCFH-DA after conversion to a polar derivative by intracellular esterase, can rapidly react with ROS to form the highly fluorescent compound dichlorofluorescein. Briefly, the homogenate was diluted in PBS to obtain a concentration of 25 μg tissue protein/ml. The reaction mixture containing diluted homogenate and 10 μl of DCFH-DA (10 μM) was incubated for 15 min at room temperature to allow the DCFH-DA to be incorporated into any membrane-bound vesicles and the diacetate group

cleaved by esterase. After 30 min of further incubation, the conversion of DCFH-DA to the fluorescent product DCF was measured using a spectrofluorimeter with excitation at 484 nm and emission at 530 nm. Background fluorescence (conversion of DCFH-DA in the absence of homogenate) was corrected by the inclusion of parallel blanks.

Estimation of total nitrite and nitrate concentration

Nitric oxide (NO), a reactive free radical is generally oxidized to NO $\times$ (nitrite-NO $_2^-$ /nitrate-NO $_3^-$). The total nitrite and nitrate concentration was measured using the indirect method of Sastry et al. [44] in liver, kidney, hypothalamus, and testis using acidic Griess reaction for color development after the reduction of nitrate with copper-cadmium alloy and deproteinization. In brief, the reaction mixture was prepared by adding 100 μ l of sample or standard (KNO $_3$), 400 μ l of carbonate buffer, and a small amount (0.15 g) of activated copper-cadmium alloy fillings (washed in buffer and dried on a filter paper) in the tube. The reaction mixture was then incubated at room temperature for 1 h with thorough shaking. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 100 μ l of 0.35 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH), followed by 400 μ l of 120 mM zinc sulphate (ZnSO $_4$) solution under vortex, and allowed to stand for 10 min. The tubes were then centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min. 100 μ l aliquots of the clear supernatant were transferred into the wells of a microplate (in quadruplicate) and Griess reagent (50 μ l of 1.0% sulphanilamide prepared in 2.5% orthophosphoric acid and 50 μ l of 0.1% N-naphthylethylenediamine prepared in distilled water) was added to it with gentle mixing. After 10 min, the absorbance was measured at 545 nm against a blank (containing the same concentrations of ingredients but no biological sample) in a microplate reader (MS5605A, ECIL, Hyderabad, India) using the path check option.

Lipid peroxidation assay

Level of lipid peroxides were measured by estimation of malondialdehyde (MDA), end product of lipid peroxidation using the method of Ohkawa et al. [45] with a slight modification. MDA reacts with thiobarbituric acid (TBA) to yield a colored compound. Briefly, to 100 μ l of tissue homogenate, 50 μ l of 0.8% butylated hydroxytoluene, 750 μ l 0.8% of TBA, 750 μ l of 20% acetic acid (pH 3.5), and 100 μ l of 8% SDS were added. The reaction mixture was then incubated at 95°C in water bath for 1 h. After this, the mixture was cooled down by keeping on ice for 15 min and then centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 min. Absorbance was taken at 532 nm and the results was expressed as nano moles MDA/mg protein.

Antioxidant enzymes assay by native PAGE

ROS-scavenging enzymes: SOD, CAT, and GPx activities were determined by nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Native PAGE). SOD assay was performed using 12% native gel slab in Tris-glycine buffer (pH 8.3) at a constant voltage of 100 V. In case of liver 20 μ g, kid-

ney 30 μ g, hypothalamus 35 μ g, testis 30 μ g, and for plasma 30 μ g of protein was loaded in each lane. After electrophoresis, the gel was subjected to substrate-specific staining of SOD bands as described by Beauchamp and Fridovich [46]. The staining mixture consisted of 2.5 mM NBT, 28 μ M riboflavin, and 28 mM TEMED. After 30 min incubation in the dark, gel was exposed to a fluorescent light to develop achromatic bands against dark-blue background corresponding to SOD protein in the gel.

Active level of CAT was determined by the method of Sun et al. [47]. For this, sample containing 15 μ g proteins for liver and kidney, 25 μ g for hypothalamus and testis, and for plasma 30 μ g protein were electrophoresed on 7.5% Native PAGE. To developed specific bands of CAT, gels were soaked for 10 min in 0.003% H $_2$ O $_2$ and then incubated in a staining mixture consisted of 1% potassium ferricyanide and 1% ferric chloride. Achromatic bands of catalase appeared against a blue-green background.

GPx activity was assayed using the method of Lin et al. [48]. After 10% nondenaturing PAGE containing 30 μ g protein in case of liver, 40 μ g for kidney, 50 μ g for hypothalamus, 40 μ g for testis and plasma, the gels were incubated in a staining mixture composed of 50 mM Tris-Cl buffer (pH 7.9), 3 mM GSH, 0.004% H $_2$ O $_2$, 1.2 mM NBT, and 1.6 mM PMS for 45 min. Achromatic bands corresponding to GPx activity appeared against a violet-blue background.

Enzyme activity staining after native PAGE was repeated at least five times and the intensity of bands in all the cases were quantitated by gel densitometry using Image J vs 1.36 software (NIH, USA).

Biochemical estimation of 3 β HSD

3 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (3 β HSD) enzyme activity in testis of mice was assayed using the method of Shivanandappa and Venkatesh [49]. In brief, 10% homogenate was prepared in 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8). The homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000 g at 4°C and the supernatant was used as the source of enzyme. The reaction mixture contained 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8) containing 500 μ M NAD, 100 μ M DHEA as substrate and 50 μ l supernatant in a total volume of 3 ml and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 2 ml of phthalate buffer (pH 3) and the absorbance was noted at 490 nm. The enzyme activity was calculated from the standard curve of NADH and expressed as nano moles NADH formed/min/mg protein.

Enzyme immunoassay for testosterone

The level of testosterone in the plasma was measured directly using commercial "DS-EIA-Steroid-Testosterone" kit (DSI S.r.l., Saronno (VA), Via A. Volonterio 36a, 21047, Italy) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Immunohistochemistry of i-NOS

Immunohistochemistry for i-NOS was carried out on paraformaldehyde-fixed paraffin-embedded testis sections using

polyclonal antibodies. Immunohistochemistry was performed in a two-step procedure using Vectastain ABC kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). In the first step, after initial deparaffinization in xylene and rehydration in graded series of alcohol, slides were incubated with rabbit-i-NOS antisera (dilution 1:250) for 24 h in humid chamber [50]. In second step, slides were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins for 30 min. Finally, diaminobenzidine hydrochloride was used as a chromogen molecule for the immunological detection.

Immunofluorescence of 3 β HSD: Confocal microscopy

Testis slides were also processed for immunofluorescence to check the expression of rate-limiting enzyme 3 β HSD using goat-3 β HSD antisera (1:500) for 24 h. Immunological reactivity was checked using TRITC-conjugated secondary antisera. To confirm the subcellular localization and alteration in the expression of 3 β HSD in the cytoplasm of testicular Leydig cells, we have proceeded for Confocal microscopy for immunofluorescent detection of 3 β HSD.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation. For statistical analysis, Student's *t*-test was performed using SPSS software to compare between the means of the different parameters of the control and experimental groups. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Since the study was repeated, statistical analysis was done by pooling the data sets of both the experiments.

Results

Histology

We observed marked alterations in the histoarchitecture of the testis of low-level 2.45-GHz MW-irradiated group of mice as compared to those of control group testis in which all the seminiferous tubules showed normal histological features of "full breeding condition". As compared to that of control, a significant reduction was observed in the seminiferous tubule diameter of the testis of exposed group of mice. Further, in addition to non-uniform degenerative changes in the seminiferous tubules, distorted Leydig cells were also observed in the testis of MW-exposed mice. Affected seminiferous tubules in the testis of MW-irradiated mice showed damaged seminiferous epithelium, detachment of the spermatogonial cells from the tunica propria resulting in the formation of a peripheral gap and depletion of germ cells leads to randomized gap formation within some seminiferous tubules (Figure 2).

Sperm count and sperm viability

A significant decrease in the number of sperms ($p < 0.001$) and their viability ($p < 0.01$) was observed in the cauda epididymis of MW-irradiated group of mice compared to

that of control. The numbers of dead sperms were found to be increased significantly in exposed group of mice when checked by eosin-negrosin staining (Figure 3).

ROS level

The overall oxidative status in liver, kidney, hypothalamus, and testis homogenates was assessed by measuring total ROS production with a DCFH-DA probe. We observed a significant elevation in ROS production indicated by increased fluorescence intensity in the liver ($p < 0.001$), kidney ($p < 0.01$), hypothalamus ($p < 0.001$), and testis ($p < 0.001$) of MW-exposed group of mice as compared to that of control (Figure 4).

Total nitrite and nitrate concentration

To check whether low-level 2.45-GHz MW radiation cause nitrosative stress via increasing RNS, we measured NO level in liver, kidney, hypothalamus, and testis. In MW-irradiated group of mice, a significant increase in total nitrite and nitrate concentration was observed in liver ($p < 0.01$), kidney ($p < 0.01$), hypothalamus, and testis ($p < 0.05$), compared to that of the control group (Figure 5). These results indicate that the level of NO has increased in these tissues after MW exposure and thus is responsible for generating nitrosative stress.

Lipid peroxidation

It is well documented that oxidative stress induced by the overproduction of free radicals result in lipid peroxidation, therefore we next examine MDA level, the end product of lipid peroxidation which increases during oxidative stress. In the present study, a significant increase has been observed in MDA level of MW-exposed mice in different tissues compared to that of control (Figure 6). Highly significant increase was found in case of liver ($p < 0.001$) and kidney ($p < 0.001$) than hypothalamus ($p < 0.05$) and testis ($p < 0.01$).

Antioxidant enzymes activity

Next we have checked the alteration in the activity of antioxidant enzymes: SOD, CAT and GPx in different tissues by performing native gel (Figure 7). The nonthermal MW exposure increased free radical load and altered the activity of ROS-scavenging enzymes: SOD, CAT and GPx. These antioxidant enzymes combinatorially play a major role in maintaining the intracellular concentration of ROS by forming the first line of defense against ROS.

SOD activity

SOD activity reduced in all different tissues of 2.45-GHz MW-radiation exposed group of mice when compared to that of control (Figure 7a). The SOD activity showed a highly significant decrease in liver ($p < 0.001$), kidney ($p < 0.01$), hypothalamus ($p < 0.001$), and testis ($p < 0.001$) compared to that of control.