I had under control now control me.

9. Since the meters have gone in I have had undesirable weight gain, yet with atrophying of my body and poor muscle tone and skin tone. This despite the fact that I exercise religiously. Previously I have always been trim and a little exercise kept me in shape.

10. Since this exposure I have strange pains in my body. I am constantly dealing with pains in my leg and foot. Never had this before. Also one of my arms has been sore for over five months with no known injury or cause. I can't move it in a lot of ways. It is sore day and night. I have always been supple.

11. Since being in this environment my upper back has had skin eruptions of some kind that I could not control. I have never had this, nor do I have oily skin. This recently has seemed to ease but I believe the symptoms are going deeper (first hair, then skin, then digestion…). With exposure o a toxin first the body may react strongly, then some symptoms go "underground" while the damage goes deeper and more serious.

12. It is hard to focus, concentrate to get any mental work done. Setting goals is hard under these conditions, and leading a purposeful life.

13. Meditation is not an option. Can't be done now. A very easy thing for me normally. There is one bathtub that if you get low enough below the porcelain level you can meditate in a fashion, but this is no substitute for disciplined meditation.

14. Needless to say, with meters and relays everywhere added onto existing wireless and cell towers and fluorescents there are very few places I could be able to work a job. Every American has the right to work, and obviously, most of us need to be able to do so! There is no current remuneration for electrically poisoned people and even if there were— people have the right to be able to use their talents to contribute to society! This is blocking my right!

15. A strange back "injury" occurred to me doing absolutely nothing when the meters and support structures were going in around me. I wondered how this could happen when I wasn't lifting, bending, or exerting, nor had I had a fall or strain but when I reported this to the head of an organization who had been collecting health stories related to SmartMeters I was told that a huge amount of these injuries were occurring to people that was way out of proportion for even the ageing population. Not having health care this has seriously altered my life.

16. Eyesight has deteriorated significantly. It has been the same for 10 years plus years but since the SmartMeters are in I can't really read anything easily. I have recently bought glasses that are 3 times stronger than what I had but it's still

tiring. Normally I love to read and doing so is tied into my profession of writing and social work. But I am realizing I may have to give it up and that also means another hurdle in the way of working. It is completely abnormal for someone's eyesight to change overnight so radically. My eye are better when I can get away from this pollution.

17. Loss of time and energy that must be devoted to defending myself, my neighbors, my community. With the combined loss of time and health I have lost countless hours of my life that could go to working and other pursuits. I am not paid, let alone handsomely, like PG&E spokespeople, to monitor, educate, and interface with public and political figures. With my limited health this is even more of a burden. Why should I have to fight for the right to protect myself from a known health threat? The studies are all out there (see Commonwealth Club San Francisco EMF Summit).

The Kicker: These effects are coming from our neighbor's SmartMeters, all around us. The effects have gotten stronger as PG&E moved in and put in more meters and support structure. It was very evident. I lived in Felton a few years back and there was no problem here then. I also lived in a neighborhood in Aptos prior which had no meters and there were no problems there. Ditto, up in the mountains above Aptos. Without even having the meter on our own house we still get all these effects from the neighborhoods around us.

Other health problems have flared up significantly, that before were mild but now are chronic. But I think I have listed more problems than any person should have to endure just to have electrical measurements taken.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the facts set forth above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. This declaration was executed the eleventh of May, 2012 at Felton, California.

/s/_____
Rhonda C. Hoefs

Declaration of Steven Golden

I, Steven Golden, have personal knowledge of all facts set forth in this declaration and am competent to testify thereto if called upon to testify in a court of law. I hereby declare:

My name is Steven Golden, and I reside at ...

1. I am a utility customer of SDG&E.

2. I have suffered health effects from the Smart Meters installed on my apartment building on September of 2011. I have suffered rapid heart beat, insomnia, muscle contractions, frozen joints and migraines.

3. I was able to replace only one of four Smart Meters on my building with analogue because SDG&E refused to replace the others with analogue meters unless my neighbors filed for the opt out and paid for it. The certified letter that SDG&E sent to me describing the opt out stated that the charge is for the entire address not just the one meter. They are not following their own guidelines.

4. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the facts set forth above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. This declaration was executed this third day of May, 2012 in San Diego, CA.

/s/_____
Steven Golden

Declaration of Sarah Jane Beard

I, Sarah Jane Beard, have personal knowledge of all facts set forth in this declaration and am competent to testify thereto if called upon to testify in a court of law.

I hereby declare:

1. My name is Sarah Jane Beard, and I reside at ...

2. I am a utility customer of San Diego Gas & Electric Company.

3. On April 15, 2011 the utility company, San Diego Gas & Electric deployed weapons of warfare SMART METERS in my neighborhood. I immediately heard a high pitched electric tone ringing in my ears. The tone is especially noticeable when using electric appliances, such as the Television or Computer and during quite times in my back yard.

4. When the SMART METER installer arrived at my home, I refused installation on U.S. Constitutional grounds, citing my concerns about Heath, Safety and Privacy issues. I believe it is my State and Federal Constitutional right to protect and defend my health, safety and privacy. The utility company came to my home two (2) more times in effort to convince me to allow installation of a SMART METER. The installer threatened me with litigation and denial of service but honored my refusal to allow installation of this Military weapon of warfare on my home.

5. In addition, around approximately April 15, 2011, I began suffering extreme fatigue. I then bought a $450.00 HF 35C HF-Analyzer 800 MHz – 2500 MHz reads up to 1999uW/m2. I took my radiation meter to work and found that I was being exposed to over 2000 uW/m2 of microwave radiation all day long in approximately five second intervals. The radiation levels were stronger than my meter was able to read. This exposure made me quite exhausted. I would sleep all day after work and all day on the weekends. I felt like I needed a blood transfusion.

6. Later the radiation at my work stopped for unknown reasons and I in turn resumed to my normal functioning, health, well-being and energy levels.

7. If I am around cell towers and/or am exposed to microwave radiation while in public places I become extremely fatigued. Currently I do not walk Mission Viejo green belts because they are lined with cell towers emitting dangerous levels of radiation to all who walk near them. Please see http://www.youtube.com/user/SarahParalegal channel where I have documented some of my findings.

8. Currently I am very thankful that my home is currently free of a SMART METER

and high levels of microwave radiation where I have a place to recuperate from my exposures while in public places.

9. I find it disgusting that our Government is allowing this known carcinogen to radiate our children, elderly, the working men and women of our great country, causing them harm and a shortening all of our life spans.

I understand that this is a legally binding document. This declaration is made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. I have personal knowledge of all facts set forth in this declaration and am competent to testify thereto if called upon to testify in a court of law.

This declaration was executed this April 4, 2012, Wednesday at Mission Viejo California



/s/

Sarah Jane Beard

Declaration of Tobie B. Cecil

I, Tobie B. Cecil, have personal knowledge of all facts set forth in this declaration and am competent to testify thereto if called upon to testify in a court of law. I hereby declare:

1. My name is Tobie B. Cecil, and I reside at ...

2. I am a utility customer of PG&E.

3. As a Property Manager and on behalf of a Property Owner, I have heard of and read of the dangers of these UL-unapproved Smart Meters. My concerns for the Owner, the residents and my own family are Smart Meter-related fires, privacy invasion, expensive rates, over-billing, hacking of personal information, wiring overloads, dirty electricity, explosions and health impacts on all.

4. Another concern of mine is the possibility of lawsuits against the Property Owner and myself due to the above-mentioned Smart Meter problems.

5. In addition, I think that the Smart Meter opt-out program is illegal and immoral and that Smart Meters should be banned since the ratepayers did not approve these meters.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the facts set forth above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. This declaration was executed this Nineteenth day of June, 2012, at Marina, California.

/s/_____
Tobie B. Cecil

Declaration of William C. Beckham

I, William C. Beckham, have personal knowledge of all facts set forth in this declaration and am competent to testify thereto if called upon to testify in a court of law. I hereby declare:

1. My name is William C. Beckham, and I reside at ...

2. I am a utility customer of PG&E.

3. PG&E required me to allow them to install a SmartMeter on my residence. They demanded access to my property on a given date and I was not allowed to refuse them.

4. PG&E installed a SmartMeter on that date to both my electrical box and my gas meter.

5. Both of these meters are located on the wall opposite of our bedroom. The exact location is less than 2 feet from where our heads are located while sleeping.

6. Within weeks of the installation, my sleeping patterns began being disrupted as I would find myself waking up earlier and earlier as time passed.

7. I have found that in order to get a full nights sleep, I must take medication.

8. In the last year, my wife's sleeping patterns have also been affected as mine were.

9. When contacting PG&E about this situation, I was told to move to different location in our house to sleep or to move our bed to a different location.

10. The floorplan of our house does not allow me to move the furniture to comply as it will not fit in any other configuration.

11. I do not believe that it is justifiable to either force me to by a whole new bedroom set nor to have to pay PG&E a fee to have the old meter reinstalled.

12. If PG&E requires that homeowners have a SmartMeter attached to there house, they should be required to:

    (a) Make sure that the location of the meter does not harm the inhabitants of the dwelling.

(b) If the possibility exists for harm, install some kind of shielding to protect the homeowners.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the facts set forth above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. This declaration was executed this 3rd day of June, 2012 at Fresno, California.

/s/_____
William C. Beckham

Declaration of William George Riggan

I, William George Riggan, have personal knowledge of all facts set forth in this declaration and am competent to testify thereto if called upon to testify in a court of law.

I hereby declare:

1. My name is William George Riggan, and I reside at ...

2. I am a utility customer of Pacific Gas and Electric.

3. For the past three years I, and my wife, have lived with increasing anxiety over the prospect of having to place a SmartMeter on our home. We have made clear that we will not accept such a meter through:

   - multiple correspondences to PG&E

   -testimony before the Sebastopol City Council when PG&E representatives were present

   -assemblage for the Sebastopol City Council of educational materials on the dangers of low level RF and EMF non-ionizing radiation

   - letters to the CPUC

   -individual letters to members of the CPUC

   - REFUSAL signage on our front lawn for more than two years

   - REFUSAL declarations next to our analog electric and gas meters for three years

   - discovering and informing the EMF Safety Network that the Obama administration considered and rejected the plan for a nationwide mesh grid in late December of 1998, which PG&E must have known about and still has not revealed to the public.

   -a recent phone call to the 'opt-out' line to report that we demand to keep our analog meters without paying any surcharge, which we believe constitutes extortion and is still being treated by the CPUC as 'no action'.

4. We fear for the stability of our daughter's health. She is chemically sensitive, which means that her immune system is compromised. Believing, as many medical people do, that sensitivity is probably triggered by an event of overexposure, we do not want to risk another problem. This is not so say that the installation of SmartMeters on our home will definitively cause her to become electro-sensitive, but she may well be more susceptible to such an outcome than

most.

5. Given the omissions, half-truths and outright lies PG&E, the deceit that has characterized so much of the company's behavior of late, we have lived in a continual state of hyper-vigilance fearing that SmartMeters would be slapped on the house while we were away, despite all our efforts to prevent that happening.

6. The current source of discomfort, tension and anxiety is that we will have to pay now a surcharge for meters we have always had, which is nothing short of outrageous.

7. Long-term issue: frightening prospects of the unknown dimension of the certain health effects of the vast expansion of RF and EMF non-ionizing radiation throughout the environment. Cell phones are virtually ubiquitous, and given the news, for example, that there are now only four pay phones left in New York City (SF Chronicle) there are no easy alternatives. Not so with wireless computer networks (see Sonic's recent decision to return to wired) or with utility meters. Analog meters and meter readers comprise a safe system. A wireless mesh grid cannot ever be proven safe. Should PG&E decide to return to analog meters, I'd be happy to pay a substantial installation fee to retain my meters.

I declare under penalty of perjury and under the laws of the state of California that the facts set forth above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. This declaration was executed this 13th day of May, 2012 at Sebastopol, California.

/s/_____
William George Riggan

Declaration of Zachary Ryan Marks

I, Zachary Ryan Marks, have personal knowledge of all facts set forth in this declaration and am competent to testify thereto if called upon to testify in a court of law. I hereby declare:

1. My name is Zachary Marks, and I reside at ...

2. I am a utility customer of Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) and have chosen to opt out from having a Smart Meter on my home.

3. I am sensitive to EMF and have removed all wireless devices from my home to protect my health.

4. I do not have a Smart Meter on my home, but I am surrounded by Smart Meters on my neighbors homes.

5. Since Smart Meters were installed in my neighborhood I have experienced worsened anxiety, terrible headaches, and heart palpitations.

6. I think it is very unfair and wrong to charge me more money not to have a Smart Meter on my home.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the facts set forth above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. This declaration was executed this 25th day of May, 2012 in Lafayette, CA.

/s/_____
Zachary Marks

Organizations - Russian Government; Electromagnetic Fields From Mobile Phones: Health Effect On Children And Teenagers | Resolution Of Russian National Committee On Nonionizing Radiation Protection | April 2011, Moscow

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS FROM MOBILE PHONES: HEALTH EFFECT ON CHILDREN AND TEENAGERS

RESOLUTION OF

RUSSIAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE ON NON-IONIZING RADIATION PROTECTION

April 2011, Moscow

This Resolution was approved by members of the Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (RNCNIRP) at its Committee session on 3 March 2011. The Resolution evolved from scientific statements adopted by RNCNIRP in 2001, 2004, 2007, 2008 and 2009, taking into account contemporary views and actual scientific data. The Resolution represents a viewpoint of the professional scientific community and is meant for public dissemination, for the consumers of the mobile telecommunications services, as well as for the legislative and executive authorities who develop and implement health protection, environmental, communication, scientific and safety policies.

On behalf of members of RNCNIRP

Chairman, Professor Yury GRIGORIEV

Vice-Chairman, Professor Valentina NIKITINA

Vice-Chairman, Dr Oleg GRIGORIEV

RUSSIAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE ON NON-IONIZING RADIATION PROTECTION

Resolution:

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS FROM MOBILE PHONES: HEALTH EFFECT ON CHILDREN AND TEENAGERS

The world-wide dissemination of mobile telecommunications has resulted in new sources of large-scale population exposure to radio-frequency (RF) electromagnetic fields (EMF) since 2000. At present, mobile phones dominate among the mobile communication services used by the population.

By the end of 2010, there were 219.3 million mobile phone SIM cards registered in Russian network operators [1]. The mobile phone penetration rate (expressed as the number of SIM cards registered per 100 people) has reached to about 150% in Russia, and exceeded 200% in the Moscow Region. According to ROSSTAT (Federal State Statistics Service of Russian Federation), there were about 15 million children and teenagers (ages from 5 to 19) living in Russia at the end of 2010 [2]. They all are presumed to be a target group for marketing for telecommunication service providers, mobile phone vendors and others.

Prevention childhood and juvenile diseases from exposure to EMF sources is of paramount social and economic importance. It is one of the bases for public health policy for the nation in the near and long-term future. This problem has been already recognized by the international community: in May 2011, the World Health Organization (WHO) will be organizing the Second International Conference: "Non-ionizing Radiation and Children's Health" dedicated to health protection of children exposed to EMF sources of various frequency ranges. It is WHO's opinion that a "child is more vulnerable to environmental factors" [3]. WHO considers that studies aimed at determining if there are risks to children's health from exposure to EMF is a top priority.

Governments and public organizations of all technologically developed countries strive to protect children's health by legislative and economic methods. They conduct special studies to assess effects from EMF [4]. EU documents suggest inadequacy of the current scientific base and the inconsistency between existing safety standards and popula-

tion exposure to EMF. Requirements for application of the precautionary principle have been formulated [5].

The Russian population is, to some extent, aware of the potential effects from RF EMF from mobile phones. According to a sociological survey conducted by the Russian Public Opinion Research Center (VCIOM) in 2010, "the percentage of those agreeing that use of a mobile phone may affect human health is 73%" [6].

Since 2001, the RNCNIRP has been studying the problem of possible health effects in children from RF EMF generated by mobile phones and is concerned about possible effects on children's health [7, 8, 9]. This position of the Committee has been taken into account in the obligatory Sanitary Rule of the Russian Federation "Hygienic Requirements for Placement and Operation of Onshore Mobile Radio Devices" SanPiN 2.1.8/2.2.4.1190-03, p.6.9 [10].

RNCNIRP ASSESSMENT AND STATISTICAL DATA ON MORBIDITY OF CHILDREN AND TEENAGERS

In April 2008, the RNCNIRP reviewed the short-term and long-term effects of mobile phone use for children. In particular, it reviewed possible decrease of intellectual abilities and cognition together with possible increases in susceptibility to epileptic fits, "acquired dementia" and degeneration of cerebral nervous structures [11]. The results of clinical studies have shown that chronic exposure to RF EMF may lead to borderline psychosomatic disorders [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In 2010, a number of papers published in Russian and foreign peer-reviewed journals showed a response to RF EMF exposure from the immune system [17, 18].

Unfortunately, statistical data published in 2009 and 2010 by ROSSTAT and UNICEF show that, since 2000 there has been a steady growth in the incidence of childhood diseases identified by RNCNIRP as "possible diseases" from mobile phone use [19, 20]. Of particular concern is the morbidity increase among young people aged 15 to 19 years (it is very likely that most of them are mobile phone users for a long period of time). Compared to 2009, the number of CNS disorders among 15 to 17 year-old has grown by 85%, the number of individuals with epilepsy or epileptic syndrome has grown by 36%, the number of "mental retardation" cases has grown by 11%, and the number of blood

disorders and immune status disorders has grown by 82%. In group of children aged less than 14 years there was a 64% growth in the number of blood disorders and immune status disorders, and 58% growth in nervous disorders. The number of patients aged 15 to 17 years old having consultations and treatment due to CNS disorders has grown by 72%.

Because of this the RNCNIRP considers it important to conduct a scientific study to determine whether the growth in morbidity resulted from EMF exposure from mobile phone use or whether it was caused by other factors.

According to RNCNIRP, assessment of health effects from the mobile phone use by children should include the results of epidemiological studies, experimental studies on volunteers and the results of animal and cellular studies. The results of long-term studies conducted by a group of Swedish scientists have demonstrated a considerably increased risk of brain cancer among people who started to use mobile phones before 20 years old [21, 22, 23]. In children, the amount of so-called stem cells is larger than in adults and the stem cells were shown to be the most sensitive to RF EMF exposure, which may be one of the reasons why children are so susceptible to electromagnetic exposure [24]. The information on possible blood-brain barrier disturbances, cerebral bio-electric activity disturbances and structural disturbances of brain neurons from EMF exposure should also be taken into account for assessment of mobile phone safety [25, 26, 27].

Human brain and the nervous system tissues directly perceive EMF and react irrespective of its intensity, and in certain cases it depends on EMF modulation. This feature distinguishes EMF from all other environmental factors and complicates human health risk assessment for EMF exposure.

BASIC POSTULATES FOR DETERMINATION RISKS FOR CHILDREN AND TEENAGERS FROM EXPOSURE TO ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

Analysis of scientific peer-reviewed national and international publications as well as analysis of actual population exposure to EMF have allowed the RNCNIRP to formulate 10 postulates - basic statements serving as a basis for assessment of risks to children's and teenager's health from exposure to EMF from all types of modern mobile

phones, irrespective of their communication standard. These statements are sufficient for the development and implementation of urgent supplementary precautionary measures.

1. For the first time in human evolution, the brain is daily exposed to modulated EMF at all developmental stages.

2. Absorption of EMF in a child's brain is greater than in adult phone users; larger brain areas including those responsible for intellectual development are exposed in a child's brain.

3. A child's brain is undergoing development and its intellectual functions are maturing thus it is more susceptible to environmental hazards than adult's brain.

4. Mobile phone is a source of EMF exposure that may result in health effects. The exposure to EMF from mobile phones is not controlled; the duration, time and frequency of mobile phone use are not limited. Mobile phone is an uncontrolled source of harmful exposure.

5. A child, due to its perception features, is unable to recognize the mobile phone as the source of harmful EMF exposure.

6. The existing basic standards for RF EMF had been established before the large-scale dissemination of the mobile radio-telecommunications and are not accounted for the current daily RF exposure of human brain in the near-zone of mobile phone antenna. At present, there are no scientific data on possible effects from chronic long-term exposure of human brain to EMF (especially, in children and adolescents).

7. The Sanitary Rule "Hygienic Requirements for Placement and Operation of Onshore Mobile Radio Devices" (SanPiN 2.1.8/2.2.4.1190-03) recommend limitation of mobile phone use by children and adolescents (p.6.9). However, mobile phone users are not informed about the necessity of reasonable limitation of its usage.

8. Declaration of a mobile phone safety included in the "User's Guide", as a rule, is based on recommendations of a public organization registered outside Russia, which has no legal and moral responsibility for possible health effects. These recommendations are out of date and no longer correspond to the current exposure situation to RF EMF from mobile phones.

9. The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) used for declaration of a mobile phone safety, equal to 2 W/kg averaged over ten grams of brain tissue, in the opinion of the RNCNIRP, cannot be viewed as sufficiently scientifically grounded in this case, and its use does not guarantee protection of childhood and juvenile health.

10. Global changes in the electromagnetic background caused by the development of modern mobile technologies, is an evolutionary factor requiring adaptation of children and adolescents to this harmful environmental factor.

Thus, for the first time in the human history, children using mobile telecommunications along with the adult population are included into the health risk group due to the RF EMF exposure. A situation has emerged that cumulative EMF exposure of children may be comparable to adult exposure and may be equal to the levels of occupational exposure of workers. At the same time, the society, with all its administrative and social structures, remain in a "waiting" position.

PRIORITY MEASURES AIMED AT PROTECTION OF CHILDREN AND TEENAGERS

Taking into account the RNCNIRP position and the precautionary measures suggested by WHO, the Committee considers that urgent measures must be taken because of the inability of children to recognize the harm from the mobile phone use and that a mobile phone itself can be considered as an uncontrolled source of harmful exposure.

1. It is required that the information that a mobile phone is a source of RF EMF is clearly shown on the phone's body (or any other telecommunication device).

2. It is required that the "User's Guide" contains information that a mobile phone (personal wireless communication tool using electromagnetic communication method, etc.) is a source of harmful RF EMF exposure. Usage of a mobile phone by children and adolescents under 18 years old is not recommended by the Sanitary Rule SanPiN 2.1.8/2.2.4.1190-03, and mobile phone use requires implementation of precautionary measures in order to prevent health risks. Mobile phone use by pregnant women is not recommended in order to prevent risk for a fetus.

3. The easiest way to reduce RF EMF exposure is to move the mobile phone away from one's head during the phone call which may be achieved by using the hands-free sets

(protection by distance). Shortening the call duration is another way to reduce the exposure (protection by time).

4. The RNCNIRP considers it is reasonable to develop mobile phones with reduced EMF exposure (with hands-free sets, included limitation functions, such as limitation of the number of daily phone calls, possibility of forced limitation of phone call duration, etc.).

5. It is required to include courses on mobile phones use and issues concerning EMF exposure in the educational program in schools.

6. It is reasonable to set limits on mobile telecommunications use by children and adolescents, including ban on all types of advertisement of mobile telecommunications for children (teenagers) and with their participation.

7. The RNCNIRP is ready to assist the mass-media in their awareness-raising work and educational activities in the area of EMF and, in particular, to provide information about the newest research of the impact of EMF on human health and the measures to curb the negative impact of this physical agent.

8. Better safety criteria for children and teenagers are required in the nearest term. Features of the developing organism should be taken into account, as well as the significance of bioelectric processes for human life and activities, present and future conditions of EMF, prospects of technological and technical development should be addressed in a document of legal status.

9. Development of a funded national program for studying possible health effects from chronic EMF exposure of the developing brain is necessary.

REFERENCES

1. AC&M Consulting http://www.acm-consulting.com/news-and-data/market-news.html

2. The Demographic Yearbook of Russia. 2010, Rosstat – M., 2010, 525 pages

3. WHO, Backgrounder № 3, April 2003

4. Fragopoulou A., Grigoriev Yu., Johansson O. et al. Scientific Panel on Electromagnetic Field Health Risks: Consensus Points, Recommendations, and Rationales.// Reviews On Environmental Health 2010, 25, No. 4, 1-11 p.

5. European Parliament Resolution, 02 April 2009, p.2

6. Assessment of Popular Opinion about Electromagnetic Emission and Cellular Communication Standards. Analytical Report upon the Findings of All-Russian VCIOM Poll (Omnibus), 56 pages, Moscow, 2010

7. Cellular Communications and Children's Health. Memorandum of Annual Conference "Cellular Communications and Health", Moscow, 20-22 September 2004. In Almanac of Russian National Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, 2004-2005, M. 2006b, p.70

8. Cellular Communications and Delayed Action. Opinion of the Russian National Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (February 2007). In Almanac of the Russian National Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, 2004-2005, M. 2007, p.194

9. Lukianova S.N., Grigoriev Yu.G., Grigoriev O.A., Merkulov A.V.. Dependence of Biological Effects of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Field of Non-Thermal Intensity from Human Electroencephalogram Typology. Radiation Biology. Radiation Ecology. 2010. Volume 50. No.6

10. Hygienic Requirements for Placement and Operation of Onshore Mobile Radio Devices. Current Sanitary Regulations and Standards of the Russian Federation (SanPiN) 2.1.8/2.2.4.1190-03. Moscow, Federal Center for State Sanitary and Epidemiological Supervision of the Ministry for Health Protection of the Russian Federation, 2003. 27 pages

11. Children and Mobile Phones: Health of the Future Generations is at Stake. In Almanac of the Russian National Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, Moscow, 2008, p.116-117

12. Grigoryev Yu.G.. Electromagnetic Fields of Cellular Phones and Health of Children and Teenagers (A Situation Requiring Urgent Measures). Radiation Medicine. Radiation Ecology. 2005, Volume 45, No.4, pages 442-450.

13. K.K.Yakhnin, N.H.Amirov. Detection of Borderline Neuropsychic Disorders of Persons Exposed to Physical Factors of Industrial Environment. / Labor Medicine and Industrial Ecology, No.7, 1994, p.8-11

14. Social Psychiatry Manual, Edited by T.B.Dmitrieva. Moscow, Medicine, 2001, p.458

15. Parcernyak S.A.. Stress, Vegetative Neuroses, Psychosomatics. St.-Petersburg, A.B.K., 2002, p.384

16. Grigoriev Yu.G., Grigoriev O.A.. Primary Scientific Results of International Conference: "Cellular Communications and Health: Medico-Biological and Social Aspects". In Almanac of the Russian National Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, 2004-2005 // Collected Works. Moscow, ALANA Publishers House, 2006. pages 66-69

17. Grigoriev Yu.G., Grigoriev O.A., A.A. Ivanov et al. Confirmation studies of Soviet research on immunological effects of microwaves: Russian immunology results. Bioelectromagnetics. 2010. Vol. 31, № 8 , p. 589-602.

18. Autoimmune Processes after Prolonged Exposure to Low Intensity Electromagnetic Fields (Experiment Results): Statement 1. Mobile Communications and Alteration of the Electromagnetic Human Environment. The Need of Additional Justification of the Existing Hygienic Standards. Radiation Biology. Radiation Ecology. 2010. Volume 50, No.1, p.5-11.

19. Children in Russia. 2009: Statistical Almanac. UNICEF, ROSSTAT. Moscow: Informational and Publishing Center "Russian Statistics", 2009, 121 pages

20. Young People in Russia. 2010: Statistical Almanac. UNICEF, ROSSTAT. Moscow: Informational and Publishing Center "Russian Statistics", 2010, 166 pages

21. Hardell L. Brain tumor studies. //Int. conference "EMF and Health – A Global Issue", London, Sep. 8-9, 2008.

22. Hardell L., Carlberg M., Hansson M. Mobile phone use and the risk for malignant brain tumors: a case-control study on deceased cases and controls.// Neuroepidemiology, 2010, 35, (2), p.109-14.

23. Hardell L., Carlberg M., Söderqvist F. et al. Time trends in brain tumor incidence rates in Denmark, Finland, Norway, and Sweden, 1974-2003. //Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2010,102(10), p.740-743

24. Markova E, Malmgren L, Belyaev I. GSM/UMTS microwaves inhibit 53BP1 DNA repair foci in human stem cells stronger than in differentiated cells: mechanistic link to possible cancer risk.// Envir. Health Perspect 2010,118(3):394-399.

25. Salford L.. Nittby H., Brun A. et al. Effects of microwave radiation upon the mammalian blood-brain barrier. //In.: ICEMS Monograph "Non-thermal effects and mechanisms of interaction between electromagnetic fields and living matter. Bologna, Italy, 2010, 423 p.

26. Lukianova S.N.. Phenomenology and Genesis of Changes in the Overall Bioelectric Activity of the Brain in Response to Electromagnetic Radiation. Radiation Biology. Radiation Ecology. 2002, volume 42, No.3. pages 308 to 314

27. Grigoriev Yu.G., Grigoriev O.A.. Mobile Communications and Human Health: Hazard Assessment, Social and Ethical Problems. Theses of Reports of the 6th Conference on Radiation Studies (Radiation Biology, Radiation Ecology, Radiation Safety). 25-28 October 2010. Moscow, 2010. Volume 1, page 6

Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (RNCNIRP) was founded January 28, 1997.

Committee formed of highly qualified scientists and specialists. Now it comprises 36 persons. RNCNIRP members represent the leading research centers of the Ministry of Health of Russia, Russian Academy of Sciences and Academy of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Defence, as well as non-governmental organizations.

The RNCNIRP is a union of scientists conducting research in the biological effects of non-ionizing radiation in fields of radiobiology, health, physics and other disciplines. Each session of the Committee is meeting professionals the opportunity to comprehensively discuss almost any issue, maintain and enhance academic and personal contacts.

RUSSIA, 123182 Moscow, Zhivopisnaya Str., 46

www.emf-net.ru

rcnirp@mail.ru,

+7-499-190-9660

+7-499-190-9532

Printed with support of the **Federal Medical Biophysical Centre** of Federal Medical-Biological Agency of Russia