

I know exactly why the digital waves are dangerous. I know the link and I Have the proof.

People made EHS were not more sensitive individuals. Almost all were overexposed and thus assaulted. We have become, in comparison, like severe burn victims who can no longer tolerate the proximity of a flame (here the digital waves, the harmful agent).

ANSES is the French agency that gives a simple opinion to the government (to which it is subordinate) on the dangerousness of environmental pollution, including that of digital waves.

Our association is on the dialogue committee with this agency.

Why do certain waves affect us and not others? Why more so Wi-Fi, for example, which constantly communicates data?

First of all, because it is related to the nature of the pulsed multiplexed modulation of digital waves.

The power indicator (SAR, absorbed energy...) serves to determine whether a person is exposed or not. This power indicator is also an indicator of harmfulness, but it has become a diversion, a deception because it is confusing compared to other indicators that are much more harmful, never measured.

The main indicator of harmfulness is related to the pulsed effect of the pulsed multiplexed modulation multiplied by the type of digital coding QAM. The more data transfers you have, the more the wave will be pulsed modulated and therefore dangerous. A digital wave that does not transfer data, its carrier is analog and therefore non-digital (hence the biases of protocols led by researchers who think it is enough to only concern themselves with power, frequency, and exposure time while omitting the essential, the pulsed effect).

One must set aside the power and access its understanding on the inductions that enter into a completely different domain (even if the conditioned reflex of most people is to relate everything to power).

Digital modulation is based on 0 and 1 (nothing or everything) which generates BRUTAL variations of significant electromagnetic flux, amplified with multiplexing and certain digital codifications. Note: it is not the cumulative effect of the energies of the subcarriers of the waves with enormous peaks of intensity, but the BRUTAL variations of electromagnetic flux intensity (notably the magnetic part that interests us). (the slope of the curve translated by the integral of the derivatives or by the peak crest x peak crest (PAPR) or more simply in relation to the flow of the number of bytes transmitted).

Indeed, even if a magnet is of high power, if its flux does not vary, then nothing happens in a coil that is nearby. But if we have a sudden displacement of the magnet and thus of its magnetic flux in relation to the coil, then an induced current is created in the coil (by the laws of Faraday and Maxwell, universally recognized and therefore no need to provide proof) which will itself create a magnetic field to oppose the variation of flux that initiated it. (action – reaction).

We find this brutality of variations of the field with the digital waves that are pulsed and multiplexed.

The carrier of a digital wave is generally high frequency and therefore may penetrate little deeply into the body (even if the skin is highly innervated up to the brain). On the other hand, the modulation of the wave is low frequency and thus the equivalent of the energy of the modulation will penetrate deeply into the body, and as it is pulsed, it will create inductions: induced currents and ion sequestrations (very sensitive to electromagnetic fields).

As our body manages external aggressions through bioelectrochemical equilibrium reactions (homeostasis), it must not be disturbed by wandering induced currents or by ion sequestrations due to the fields.

Examples:

- The voltage-dependent channels of cells like synapses that allow ions to enter the cell or not depending on the voltage between the two environments on either side of the cell wall. They would be totally disturbed by these induced currents creating cellular mortality.
- If the ions are sequestered by the variations of fields and thus not available, the equilibrium reactions cannot take place, leading to oxidation, migraines, etc., degradation of health.

The ANSES has just published a report on waves and cancer in November 2026 with a pre-study that was accessible to us. Their conclusion regarding "lobbies" is to say that they do not have enough knowledge. They have doubts, etc., but when we look into the development of the report, we see many studies that present health issues. Noticing that ANSES held a "scientific" seminar in November 2023, presenting only analog studies to conclude on digital ones, I examined the waves and cancer report to classify all the studies presented from the WHO, etc., into two categories of studies: those with pulsed modulation (digital) and those with continuous waves (analog).

So simply, we can read (and everyone can read):

That half of the studies conclude that there are carcinogenic or dangerous consequences.

That half of the studies use digital waves in their protocol and the other half use analog waves.

That 100% of the studies using pulsed digital waves report a danger.

That 100% of the studies using analog waves report "no" danger.

That all these analog studies have no place in this report. That is why only the studies using pulsed digital waves remain, which conclude with a danger.

That all the analog studies (thus non-pulsed), by declaring "no" danger, support the conclusion of the harmful effect of the pulsed nature of digital waves by creating inductions due to the abrupt variations in fields of the multiplexed modulation of digital waves.

Regardless of the power, which essentially translates to exposure, the pulsed/induction effect is the main indicator of harmfulness, which unfortunately is not taken into account in the protection of people or living beings.

That is why when we talk about wave exposure, the question arises: But what type/nature of wave is living beings exposed to? Here, digital and thus pulsed, hence its harmfulness. You have here the main cause-and-effect link of the harmful nature, due to the pulsed effect of all or nothing (the 0 or the 1) of the multiplexed modulation (and thus the multiplied effect) of these digital waves that characterize/define them, which ANSES obstinately refuses to see in a denial of reality, the reason for which is not scientific and harms the entire population.

When will there be a real indicator of the main harmfulness, the pulsed one, that standards (currently obsolete) would take into account?

If you are interested, i will send you the proof from report of ANSES, despite itself

Pierre DUPREZ